

# SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENT, ENERGY, HEALTH & SAFETY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

January 22, 2015

Sent by email to: Danielle.duncan@alaska.gov

Danielle Duncan ADEC 410 Willoughby suite 303 Juneau, Alaska 99803

Accounting Office: 2400 College Rd Fairbanks, AK 99709 907.452.5688 907.452.5694 Fax

 Juneau, Alaska ADEC File Number: 1513.38.087
 <sup>3105 Lakeshore Drive</sup> Suite A106
 Ms. Duncan:

RE:

**NORTECH** is pleased to submit this report summarizing the November 2014 Annual Soil Sampling Assessment regarding a former release of home heating oil. The release occurred from an above ground storage tank (AST) system at 9209 and 9211 Sharon Street in Juneau, Alaska (the Site). The annual soil sampling assessment was completed in November, 2014 and is discussed in this document. Of primary concern are contaminated soils in treatment on the east and west sides of the duplex. Figure 1 shows the Location Map, Figure 2 shows the Vicinity Map, and Figure 3 shows the Site map with sampling locations and results.

November 2014 Annual Soil Sampling Assessment

9209 and 9211 Sharon Street

# **Background and Objectives**

In December 2006, Neil Atkinson contacted **NORTECH** regarding the release of home heating fuel at his duplex located at 9209 and 9211 Sharon Street in Juneau, Alaska (the Site). Due to faulty plumbing, an estimated 200 gallons of fuel on the east side and 30 gallons of fuel on the west side of the duplex were released onto the ground. During the 2009 Site Assessment work, **NORTECH** identified an estimated 250 cubic yards of contaminated soils on the east side of the home, and 75 cubic yards of contaminated soils on the west side of the home. Beginning in the fall of 2009, Mr. Atkinson installed nutrient addition ports on both sides of the home for in-situ treatment of the contaminated soils using high nitrogen fertilizer. Mr. Atkinson has been treating the contaminated soils this way during the non-freezing months of 2009 through 2014.

**NORTECH** has been performing annual Site assessments to characterize how remediation at the Site is progressing. This report presents the most recent soil sampling efforts completed during November 2014.

# **Field Activities and Methods**

**NORTECH** arrived on Site to assess the 9209 and 9211 Sharon Street property on November 13, 2014. One single level, two family residential property is located on the Site. Site sample locations were determined based

Anchorage, AK 99517 907.222.2445 907.222.0915 Fax

•

5438 Shaune Drive Suite B Juneau, AK 99801 907.586.6813 907.586.6819 Fax

1623 Mill Bay Rd #5 **Kodiak**, AK 99615 907.942.7700 907.452.5694 Fax

٠

www.nortechengr.com



on historical assessment of the spill area and knowledge of the site. Four samples were taken near the smaller spill area to the west of the duplex in two borings at two depths. Location S1 was sampled at 20 inches and 28 inches below ground surface (bgs). Location S2 was sampled at 24 inches, and water was encountered at 25 inches in both borings.

At the source of the larger spill on the east side of the duplex, two additional samples were collected at locations S3 and S4. All samples were field screened via photoionization detector, with the highest field screening reading from each side of the building used for the laboratory sample. Both locations were sampled at 24 inches bgs to remain above ground water. After assessment of the samples, S1-24, S4-24, and the field duplicate S4B-24 were collected into clean, lab supplied glassware. Samples were placed in a cooler under ice and chilled to  $4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}$ . Sample were transported under a chain of custody to SGS Anchorage for analysis.

Sampling was completed in general accordance with the May 2010 ADEC <u>Draft Field</u> <u>Sampling Guidance</u>. The results are discussed below.

# Sample Results with Discussion

Samples collected on the west side of the Site during the 2014 sampling assessment indicate soil conditions that have recovered to pre-spill levels. Neither location read more than 1.2 during headspace field screening analysis. The S1 location was non-detect for DRO and follows a declining trend reflected in the past sampling results.

The collected sample and duplicate at the larger spill area at the east side of the duplex was higher than previous years and is an outlier to the declining trend. The two sample results were 4950 mg/kg and 4440 mg/kg. These results exceed the ADEC cleanup level of 230 mg/kg of DRO in an "Over 40 inch zone".

Sample locations are shown on the attached Site Sketch, and the SGS laboratory report is attached.

All Quality control indicators are within acceptable limits and all sample results are deemed valid.

#### **Conclusions and Recommendations**

Contaminated soil on the smaller west side spill have declined below detection limits and are below the ADEC cleanup limits. This area has exhibited a declining trend and was approaching cleanup limits at the last sampling assessment. The area trend and the small spill size at this location lead to a quick remediation and does not require further treatment or monitoring.

The larger spill location on the east side of the duplex was higher than the previous assessment and the results are an outlier in the downward trend. This sample may have been collected in a hot spot, though it still indicates high levels of DRO at deeper soil levels. Further treatment and time are expected to reduce contaminated soil, we expect to collect additional samples from this area in the fall of 2015.



Please contact me, at your earliest convenience if you have any questions about the data presented in the report or the site in general.

Sincerely, **NORTECH** 

Thun /

Thomas Brado Environmental Specialist

Reviewed By:

-dio

Jason Ginter, PMP, CEA Principal, Environmental Projects Manager

 Attachment 1:
 Figures

 Figure 1
 Location Map

 Figure 2
 Site Location

 Figure 3
 Site Map with Sampling Locations and Results

 Attachment 2:
 SGS Laboratory Report

 ADEC Lab Review Data Checklist



2400 College Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99709 Ph: 907-452-5688 3105 Lakeshore Dr. Anch, Alaska 99517, Ph: 907-222-2445 4402 Thane Road, Juneau, Alaska 99801 Ph: 907-586-6813

Juneau, Alaska

SCALE:	1"=1	mile'	FIGURE:
DESIGN:	TJ		1
DRAWN:	FCW		1
PROJECT	NO:	12-1	089
DWG:	12108	39a1	
DATE:	2/23	/15	







#### Laboratory Report of Analysis

To: Nortech 5438 Shaune Dr, #B Juneau, AK 99801 (907)250-0731

Report Number: 1145656

Client Project: Atkinson

Dear Jason Ginter,

Enclosed are the results of the analytical services performed under the referenced project for the received samples and associated QC as applicable. The samples are certified to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference Standards. Copies of this report and supporting data will be retained in our files for a period of five years in the event they are required for future reference. All results are intended to be used in their entirety and SGS is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. Any samples submitted to our laboratory will be retained for a maximum of fourteen (14) days from the date of this report unless other archiving requirements were included in the quote.

If there are any questions about the report or services performed during this project, please call Victoria at (907) 562-2343. We will be happy to answer any questions or concerns which you may have.

Thank you for using SGS North America Inc. for your analytical services. We look forward to working with you again on any additional analytical needs.

Sincerely, SGS North America Inc.

Simile

Victoria Pennick 2014.11.20 13:56:50 -09'00'

Victoria Pennick Project Manager Victoria.Pennick@sgs.com Date

Print Date: 11/19/2014 1:36:02PM

SGS North America Inc.

200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518 t 907.562.2343 f 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com



#### **Case Narrative**

SGS Client: Nortech SGS Project: 1145656 Project Name/Site: Atkinson Project Contact: Jason Ginter

Refer to sample receipt form for information on sample condition.

#### S4-24 (1145656002) PS

AK102 - 5a-Androstane (surrogate) recovery is outside QC criteria due to sample dilution.

 $\mathsf{AK102}$  - The pattern is consistent with a weathered middle distillate.

#### S4B-24 (1145656003) PS

AK102 - 5a-Androstane (surrogate) recovery is outside QC criteria due to sample dilution.

AK102 - The pattern is consistent with a weathered middle distillate.

\*QC comments may be associated with the field samples found in this report. When applicable, comments will be applied to associated field samples.

Print Date: 11/19/2014 1:36:04PM

SGS North America Inc.

200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518 t 907.562.2343 f 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group



#### Laboratory Qualifiers

Enclosed are the analytical results associated with the above work order. All results are intended to be used in their entirety and SGS is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. If you have any questions regarding this report, or if we can be of any other assistance, please contact your SGS Project Manager at 907-562-2343. All work is provided under SGS general terms and conditions (<http://www.sgs.com/terms\_and\_conditions.htm>), unless other written agreements have been accepted by both parties.

SGS maintains a formal Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) program. A copy of our Quality Assurance Plan (QAP), which outlines this program, is available at your request. The laboratory certification numbers are AK00971 (DW Chemistry & Microbiology) & UST-005 (CS) for ADEC and 2944.01 for DOD ELAP/ISO17025 (RCRA methods: 1020A, 1311, 3010A, 3050B, 3520C, 3550C, 5030B, 5035B, 6020, 7470A, 7471B, 8021B, 8082A, 8260B, 8270D, 8270D-SIM, 9040B, 9045C, 9056A, 9060A, AK101 and AK102/103). Except as specifically noted, all statements and data in this report are in conformance to the provisions set forth by the SGS QAP and, when applicable, other regulatory authorities.

The following descriptors or qualifiers may be found in your report:

- \* The analyte has exceeded allowable regulatory or control limits.
- ! Surrogate out of control limits.
- B Indicates the analyte is found in a blank associated with the sample.
- CCV Continuing Calibration Verification
- CL Control Limit
- D The analyte concentration is the result of a dilution.
- DF Dilution Factor
- DL Detection Limit (i.e., maximum method detection limit)
- E The analyte result is above the calibrated range.
- F Indicates value that is greater than or equal to the DL
- GT Greater Than
- IB Instrument Blank
- ICV Initial Calibration Verification
- J The quantitation is an estimation.
- JL The analyte was positively identified, but the quantitation is a low estimation.
- LCS(D) Laboratory Control Spike (Duplicate)
- LOD Limit of Detection (i.e., 1/2 of the LOQ)
- LOQ Limit of Quantitation (i.e., reporting or practical quantitation limit)
- LT Less Than
- M A matrix effect was present.
- MB Method Blank
- MS(D) Matrix Spike (Duplicate)
- ND Indicates the analyte is not detected.
- Q QC parameter out of acceptance range.
- R Rejected
- RPD Relative Percent Difference
- U Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
- Note: Sample summaries which include a result for "Total Solids" have already been adjusted for moisture content. All DRO/RRO analyses are integrated per SOP.



Sample Summary						
Client Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Collected	Received	Matrix		
S1-24	1145656001	11/12/2014	11/13/2014	Soil/Solid (dry weight)		
S4-24	1145656002	11/12/2014	11/13/2014	Soil/Solid (dry weight)		
S4B-24	1145656003	11/12/2014	11/13/2014	Soil/Solid (dry weight)		
Method	Method Des	scription				
AK102	Diesel Rang	ge Organics (S)				
SM21 2540G	Percent Sol	ids SM2540G				

Print Date: 11/19/2014 1:36:07PM



Detectable	Results	Summary
------------	---------	---------

Client Sample ID: <b>S4-24</b> Lab Sample ID: 1145656002 <b>Semivolatile Organic Fuels</b>	<u>Parameter</u> Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result</u> 4950	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg
Client Sample ID: <b>S4B-24</b> Lab Sample ID: 1145656003	Parameter	Result	Units
Semivolatile Organic Fuels	Diesel Range Organics	4440	mg/Kg

Print Date: 11/19/2014 1:36:08PM

SGS North America Inc.

200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518 t 907.562.2343 f 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

SES	

Results of S1-24							
Client Sample ID: <b>S1-24</b> Client Project ID: <b>Atkinson</b> Lab Sample ID: 1145656001 Lab Project ID: 1145656		C R M S	ollection D eceived Da latrix: Soil olids (%):	ate: 11/12/ <sup>,</sup> ate: 11/13/1 /Solid (dry w 83.9	14 15:50 4 16:09 veight)		
Results by Semivolatile Organic Fu	els						
<u>Parameter</u> Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result Qual</u> 23.8 U	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 23.8	<u>DL</u> 7.38	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg	<u>DF</u> 1	Allowable Limits	<u>Date Analyzed</u> 11/14/14 21:41
Surrogates	~~ -						
Batch Information Analytical Batch: XFC11683 Analytical Method: AK102 Analyst: AYC Analytical Date/Time: 11/14/14 21:41 Container ID: 1145656001-A	82.7	50-150	Prep Batch: Prep Methor Prep Date/T Prep Initial \ Prep Extrac	% XXX32422 d: SW3550C ime: 11/14/1 Wt./Vol.: 30.0 t Vol: 1 mL	1 4 13:27 122 g		11/14/14 21:41

Print Date: 11/19/2014 1:36:09PM

0	

Results of S4-24								
Client Sample ID: <b>S4-24</b> Client Project ID: <b>Atkinson</b> Lab Sample ID: 1145656002 Lab Project ID: 1145656			C R M S L	ollection E eceived D atrix: Soi olids (%): ocation:	Date: 11/12/1 Date: 11/13/1 I/Solid (dry w 88.9	I4 15:20 4 16:09 eight)		
Results by Semivolatile Organic Fuels								
Parameter Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result Q</u> 4950	<u>ual</u>	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 446	<u>DL</u> 138	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg	<u>DF</u> 20	Allowable Limits	Date Analyzed 11/17/14 19:42
Surrogates								
5a Androstane	0	*	50-150		%	20		11/17/14 19:42
Batch Information Analytical Batch: XFC11686 Analytical Method: AK102 Analyst: AYC Analytical Date/Time: 11/17/14 19:42 Container ID: 1145656002-A			F	Prep Batch Prep Metho Prep Date/ Prep Initial Prep Extrac	: XXX32422 od: SW3550C Time: 11/14/1 Wt./Vol.: 30.2 ct Vol: 1 mL	4 13:27 41 g		

Print Date: 11/19/2014 1:36:09PM

000	
SITS.	
	-

Results of S4B-24							
Client Sample ID: <b>S4B-24</b> Client Project ID: <b>Atkinson</b> Lab Sample ID: 1145656003 Lab Project ID: 1145656			Collection D Received Da Matrix: Soil Solids (%): Location:	0ate: 11/12/ <sup>2</sup> ate: 11/13/1 /Solid (dry w 84.6	14 16:00 4 16:09 eight)		
Results by Semivolatile Organic Fuels	;		_				
Parameter Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result Qual</u> 4440	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 471	<u>DL</u> 146	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg	<u>DF</u> 20	Allowable Limits	Date Analyzed 11/17/14 19:52
Surrogates							
5a Androstane	0 *	50-150		%	20		11/17/14 19:52
Batch Information							
Analytical Batch: XFC11686 Analytical Method: AK102 Analyst: AYC Analytical Date/Time: 11/17/14 19:52 Container ID: 1145656003-A			Prep Batch: Prep Method Prep Date/T Prep Initial M Prep Extrac	XXX32422 d: SW3550C Time: 11/14/1 Wt./Vol.: 30.0 t Vol: 1 mL	4 13:27 92 g		

C	<b>FG</b>

		_			
Method Blank					
Blank ID: MB for HBN Blank Lab ID: 124546	N 1676361 [SPT/9492] 65	Matriz	x: Soil/Solid (	dry weight)	
QC for Samples: 1145656001, 11456560	002, 1145656003				
Results by SM21 254	0G				
Parameter Total Solids	<u>Results</u> 100	LOQ/CL	<u>DL</u>	<u>Units</u> %	
Batch Information	<u> </u>				
Analytical Batch: SF Analytical Method: S Instrument: Analyst: MJN Analytical Date/Time	PT9492 SM21 2540G a: 11/13/2014 6:10:00PM				

Print Date: 11/19/2014 1:36:11PM

\_

# SGS

Duplicate Sample Summa	ary				
Original Sample ID: 11456 Duplicate Sample ID: 124	651002 5466		Analysis Date: 1 Matrix: Soil/Soli	11/13/2014 18:10 d (dry weight)	
QC for Samples:					
1145656001, 1145656002, 1	145656003				
Results by SM21 2540G					
NAME	Original ()	Duplicate ()	RPD (%)	RPD CL	
Total Solids	93.7	94.5	0.85	15.00	
Batch Information					
Analytical Batch: SPT9492 Analytical Method: SM21 2 Instrument: Analyst: MJN	2 2540G				

	00
C	

Method Blank		]			
Blank ID: MB for HBN 1676 Blank Lab ID: 1245582	6769 [XXX/32422]	Matrix	k: Soil/Solid (di	ry weight)	
QC for Samples: 1145656001, 1145656002, 11	45656003				
Results by AK102		]			
Parameter	Results	LOQ/CL	DL	<u>Units</u>	
Diesel Range Organics	10.0U	20.0	6.20	mg/Kg	
durrogates					
5a Androstane	74.4	60-120		%	
atch Information					
Analytical Batch: XFC1168	83	Prep Ba	itch: XXX32422		
Analytical Method: AK102		Prep Me	ethod: SW3550	C	
Instrument: HP 6890 Serie	es II FID SV D R	Prep Da	ite/Time: 11/14/	2014 1:27:44PM	
Analyst: AYC	1/2011 8·51·00PM	Prep Inii Prep Ev	tial Wt./Vol.: 30	g	
Analytical Date/Time: 11/1	72017 0.01.001 10				

Print Date: 11/19/2014 1:36:14PM

\_



#### Blank Spike Summary

Blank Spike ID: LCS for HBN 1145656 [XXX32422] Blank Spike Lab ID: 1245583 Date Analyzed: 11/14/2014 21:01 Spike Duplicate ID: LCSD for HBN 1145656 [XXX32422] Spike Duplicate Lab ID: 1245584 Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)

QC for Samples: 1145656001, 1145656002, 1145656003

Results by AK102			_						
	E	Blank Spike	(mg/Kg)	S	pike Duplic	ate (mg/Kg)			
Parameter	<u>Spike</u>	Result	<u>Rec (%)</u>	<u>Spike</u>	Result	<u>Rec (%)</u>	<u>CL</u>	<u>RPD (%)</u>	RPD CL
Diesel Range Organics	167	165	99	167	157	94	(75-125)	5.10	(< 20)
Surrogates									
5a Androstane	3.33	99.8	100	3.33	95.7	96	(60-120)	4.20	
Batch Information									
Analytical Batch: XFC11683 Analytical Method: AK102 Instrument: HP 6890 Series I Analyst: AYC	I FID SV D R	ł		Pre Pre Pre Spi	p Batch: X p Method: p Date/Tim ke Init Wt./\	<b>XX32422</b> <b>SW3550C</b> e: <b>11/14/20</b> 1 /ol.: 167 mg	<b>4 13:27</b> /Kg Extract	Vol: 1 mL	
•				Dup	be Init Wt./\	/ol.: 167 mg	/Kg Extract	Vol: 1 mL	

Print Date: 11/19/2014 1:36:16PM

\_

tionwide Maryland New York Indiana Kentucky sgs.com	2.7	rage on the			REMARKS/ LOC ID							iverable Requirements:		ons:		r custody seal: (circle)	BROKEN ABSENT	המשווחה הפינווחים וויווחים	13 of 17 nd_COC_Templates-Blank Revised 2013-03-24
Locations Na Alaska Vew Jersey Vorth Carolina Nest Virgina www.us	lled out. alysis.											s No Data Del		or Special Instructi		38 chain o	INTACT	tions	F083-Kit_Request_a
1145656	sections 1 - 5 must be fi ay delay the onset of an	Preservative										Section 4 DOD Project? Ye	Cooler ID:	Requested Turnaround Time and/	- 4/ th	Temp Blank °C://2	or Ambient [ ]	The analieu oanipre hereipu	
th America Inc. USTODY RECORD	Instructions: S Omissions m	Section 3	# U (	Type Comp	R Incre- R Solis Solis Solis	2 G X	2 6 X	2 6 X	52				$\bigcap$				Laboratory By:	- /ro	567
SGS Nor CHAIN OF C		.813	)	lenge com	MATRIX/ MATRIX CODE	5	స	5				Received By:	)	Received By:	Received By:	-	Received For	Fax: (907) 561-53	3 Fax: (910) 350-1
		NO: 907 586 1	12-1089	ter Contect	DATE TIME n/dd/yy HH:MN	12/14 1550	12/14 1520	12/14 1600	e			Time	13/14 9:05	Time	Time		I plice Time	el: (907) 562-2343	Tel: (910) 350-190:
S	DETECH	PHONE I	PWSDECT	1911: TER Joins UFRX P.O.#:	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION mn	52-24 111	54-24 ml	548-24 11				1 By: (1) Date		By-C2D Date	By: (3) Date		By: (4) Date	1 17/2 otter Drive Anchorage, AK 99518 Tr	siness Drive Wilmington, NC 28405
S	CLIENT: N	CONTACT:	CE PROJECT	U. G. IS	RESERVED for lab use	$\mathbb{O}\mathbb{A}$	A (C)	2 BA	rction	€S		Relinquished	ð	ស ទោ ដែល ដែល ដែល ដែល ដែល ដែល ដែល ដែល ដែល ដែល	© Relinquished		Relinquished	[ ] 200 W. P.	[ ] 5500 Bus





# SAMPLE RECEIPT FORM

Review Criteria:	Condition:	Comments/Action Taken:
Were custody seals intact? Note # & location, if applicable.	Yes No (N/A)	Exemption permitted if sampler hand carries/delivers.
COC accompanied samples?	Ves No	20 10 10 20 1
Temperature blank compliant* (i.e., 0-6°C after CF)?	Res No	□ Exemption permitted if chilled & collected <8 hrs ago.
If >6°C, were samples collected <8 hours ago?	Yes No NA	
If $<0^{\circ}C$ , were all sample containers ice free?	Yes No NA	
Cooler ID: $\underline{}$ @ $\underline{}$ w/ Therm.ID: $\underline{}$		
Cooler ID: @ w/ Therm.ID:		
Cooler ID: @ w/ Therm.ID:		
Cooler ID: @ w/ Therm.ID:		
Cooler ID: @ w/ Therm.ID:		
If samples are received without a temperature blank, the "cooler temperature" will be decumented in lieu of the temperature blank &		
"COOLER TEMP" will be noted to the right. In cases where neither a		Note: Identify containers received at non-compliant
temp blank nor cooler temp can be obtained, note "ambient" or "chilled."		temperature. Use form FS-0029 if more space is needed.
Delivery method (specify all that apply): Client (hand carried)	Tracking/AB #	
USPS Lynden AK Air Alert Courier	of see attached	>
UPS FedEx RAVN C&D Delivery	or N/A	
Carlile Pen Air Warp Speed Other:		
$\rightarrow$ For WO# with airbills, was the WO# & airbill	$\sim$	
info recorded in the Front Counter eLog?	(Yes)No N/A	
$\rightarrow$ For samples received with payment, note amount ( \$	) and whether cas	h / check / CC (circle one) was received.
→ For samples received in FBKS, ANCH staff will verify all criter	ia are reviewed. S	RF initiated in FBKS by:
Were samples received within hold time?	Yes No N/A	Note: Refer to form F-083 "Sample Guide" for hold times.
Do samples match COC* (i.e., sample IDs, dates/times collected)?	Ves No N/A	Note: If times differ <1nr, record details and login per COC.
Were analyses requested unambiguous?	Yes No N/A	
Were samples in good condition (no leaks/cracks/breakage)?	(Yes) No	
Packing material used (specify all that apply): Bubble Wrap		
Separate plastic bags Vermiculite Other:		
Were proper containers (type/mass/volume/preservative*) used?	(Yes) No N/A	Exemption permitted for metals (e.g., 200.8/6020A).
Were <b>Trip Blanks</b> (i.e., VOAs, LL-Hg) in cooler with samples?	Yes No WA	
were all VOA viais free of headspace (i.e., bubbles $\leq 0$ mm)?	Yes No (N/A)	
Were all soll VOAs held extracted with MeOH+BFB?	Yes No NA	
ror preserved waters (other than VOA viais, LL-ivercury of	Tes INO MIA	
Incrobiological analyses), was pri vernied and compliant?	Ves No NIA	
For special handling (e.g. "MI" soils foreign soils lab filter for	Ves No NIA	
dissolved lab extract for volatiles Ref Lab limited volume)	Tes no QIA	J
were bottles/nanerwork flagged (e.g. sticker)?		
For <b>RUSH/SHORT Hold Time</b> were COC/Bottles flagged	Yes No N/A	
accordingly? Was Rush/Short HT email sent, if applicable?	100 110	
For SITE-SPECIFIC OC. e.g. BMS/BMSD/BDUP. were	Yes No N/A	
containers / paperwork flagged accordingly?		
For any question answered "No." has the PM been notified and	Yes No N/A	SRF Completed by: 11/1 F 11-13-14
the problem resolved (or paperwork put in their bin)?	6	PM notified: N/A
Was PEER REVIEW of sample numbering/labeling completed?	(Yes)No N/A	Peer Reviewed by: SLC N/A
Additional notes (if applicable):		La construction de la fin de la construction de la

Note to Client: Any "no" circled above indicates non-compliance with standard procedures and may impact data quality.



#### Sample Containers and Preservatives

Container Id	Preservative	Container Condition	Container Id	Preservative	Container Condition
1145656001-A	No Preservative Required	OK			
1145656002-A	No Preservative Required	OK			
1145656003-A	No Preservative Required	OK			

Container Condition Glossary

OK - The container was received at an acceptable pH for the analysis requested.

PA - The container was received outside of the acceptable pH for the analysis requested. Preservative was added upon receipt and the container is now at the correct pH. See the Sample Receipt Form for details on the amount and lot # of the preservative added. PH - The container was received outside of the acceptable pH for the analysis requested. Preservative was added upon receipt, but was insufficient to bring the container to the correct pH for the analysis requested. See the Sample Receipt Form for details on the amount

and lot # of the preservative added. BU - The container was received with headspace greater than 6mm.

027	INIL 13	51 69	856				5	5			0	27-1351 6856
Shipper's	Name and Addres	s	000	T	Shipper's A	count	Number	Not Negotiable	•		0	21-1001 0000
Norte 2400 Fairb	ch College Ro anks, AK 99	I 9709			27442 <sup>Customer</sup> 10	126 s ID Nu 588	076 Imber	Air Way Issued By	bill	A	layka Al	ir Cargo.
USA			Tol	90745	25688					P.O. B	AIRLINES & HOR OX 68900 SEATT	IZON AIR
Consigne	ee's Name and Add	iress	1 61.	1 (	Consignee's	Accoun	t Number	Also notify		800-2	23-2752 ALASP	TACARGO.COM
SGS 200 V Anch USA	North Ame V Potter Dri orage, AK S	rica lı ve 99518	nc 3		27400	215	947					
		01	Tel:	90756	22343					Т	el:	40500
Agent's l	ATA Code	City		A	ccount No.		ie che aqui - i	Nortech 2400 Colle Fairbanks, USA	ege Rd AK 9970	9	1145	5656
Airport of	Departure (Addr. o	of First C	Carrier) ar	d Requested	Routing			SRN/JEN	NIFER			
Junea	au		43	0.99				GoldStrea	к		t and the state of	ann an tha an th' failt
To ANC	By First Carrier Alaska Air	lines		Elight/Data	To / By	Flight	To / By	Currency USD PX	X X	Other De	clared Value For Carriage	Declared Value For Customs NCV
Ancho	orage			AS 0	65/13	Flight	Date	Amount of inst				
Handling	Information								<u>`</u>		a di serie de la companya de la comp	
	907 562 23	43										SCI
No of Pieces	Gross Weight	kg Ib	Cor Ite	nmodity m No.	Charge Weig	able ht	Rate / Charge	5.	Total		Nature and (Incl. Dime	I Quantity of Goods ensions or Volume)
1	9.0				g	0.0			AS ĄG	REED	SOIL SAMPL	ES
											Dims: 9 x 12 x*	12 x 1
											COX	
	0.0	+++							10.00	DEED	GSX	
1	9.0								AS AG	REED	Volume: 0.750	
Prepaid	AS AGREE	Weigh D Valuati	Int Charge	e	Collect	Other M S X	Charges IYC 1.2 CC 2.0 BC 0.0	26 00 00		×		
	Total	Other Ch	harges Du	ie Agent		Shin	per certifies that the	he particulars on	the face her	eof are correct	and that insofar as any pa	art of the consignment
	Total C	ther Ch	arges Du	e Carrier		by a	ains dangerous air according to t r: Nortech	goods, such pa he applicable D	rt is properi angerous G	y described b oods Regulat	y name and is in proper c ions. I consent to the ins Signature of Shipper or A	ondition for carriage pection of this cargo. his Acent
						0	P THIS SHIPM	ENT DOES NOT	CONTAIN	<		
	Total Prepaid		1	Total Coll	ect		DANGEROU	5 60005			_DANGEROUS GOODS	
	AS AGREE	D				13 Exe	Nov 2014	09:56		Juneau	Ala Signature of Issu	iska Airlines
											0	27-1351 6856

#### #350016

# Alert Expeditors Inc. DBA/Petroleum Courier Service

Citywide Delivery • 440-3351 8421 Flamingo Drive • Anchorage, Alaska 99502

<u>, 56</u>	5	
Collect 🗇	Prepay D Account D	Advance Charges
Job #	PO#	
8 n. <sup>2</sup> . 35 <sup>- 81<sup>2</sup></sup>		
1 6	<i>wler</i>	A Contract of the second
1000		
65%-	1351 6856	
	. 1. <u>2006 - 1</u>	
	114	5656
		5050
	e	
Shipped Signatur		

17 of 17

# **Laboratory Data Review Checklist**

Completed by:	Thomas Brado				
Title:	Environmental Sp	pecialist		Date:	2/2/2015
CS Report Name:	2014 Annual Soil	Sampling 92	09 & 9211 Sharon St.	Report Date:	2/2/2015
Consultant Firm:	NORTECH, Inc.				
Laboratory Name:	SGS Anchorage		Laboratory Report Nu	mber:	
ADEC File Number:	1513.38.087		ADEC RecKey Numb	ber:	
1. <u>Laboratory</u>		11-1	·····	6 41 1 144 - 1	
a. Did ar	s 🔿 No	○ NA (Plea	ase explain.)	Comments:	sample analyses?
b. If the s laborat	amples were transfe ory, was the laborate	erred to anothe	er "network" laboratory on the analyses ADEC CS	r sub-contracte S approved?	d to an alternate
⊖ Yes	⊖ No	• NA (Pleas	se explain)	Comments:	
None transferr	red				
2. Chain of Custod	<u>y (COC)</u>				
a. COC info	ormation completed,	, signed, and c	lated (including released/	received by)?	
• Yes	⊖ No	○NA (Pleas	se explain)	Comments:	
b. Correct a	analyses requested?				
• Yes	O No	○NA (Ple	ase explain)	Comments:	
3. Laboratory Sam	ple Receipt Docume	entation			
a. Sample/c	ooler temperature de	ocumented an	d within range at receipt	$(4^\circ \pm 2^\circ \mathrm{C})?$	
• Yes	○ No	⊖NA (Ple	ease explain)	Comments:	

b. Sample preservation acceptable - acidified	d waters, Methanol	preserved VOC s	oil (GRO, B	TEX,
Volatile Chlorinated Solvents, etc.)?				

	⊖ No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:
c. Sample co	ndition docume	nted - broken, leaking (Methanol),	zero headspace (VOC vials)?
• Yes	○ No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:
d. If there we preservation,	re any discrepar sample tempera	ncies, were they documented? - Fo ature outside of acceptance range,	or example, incorrect sample containe insufficient or missing samples, etc.?
○ Yes	⊖ No	•NA (Please explain)	Comments:
lo discrepancies			
- Dete 1'		Stantad2 (Diagon armitain)	
e. Data qualit	ty or usability al	rected ? (Please explain)	Commenter
Not offected			Comments:
se Narrative			
se Narrative a. Present and	l understandable	e?	
a. Present and • Yes	l understandable O No	e? ○NA (Please explain)	Comments:
se Narrative a. Present and • Yes	l understandable O No	e? ○NA (Please explain)	Comments:
a. Present and • Yes b. Discrepand	l understandable O No cies, errors or Q	e? ○NA (Please explain) C failures identified by the lab?	Comments:
a. Present and • Yes b. Discrepand • Yes	l understandable O No cies, errors or Q O No	e? ○NA (Please explain) C failures identified by the lab? ○NA (Please explain)	Comments: Comments:
se Narrative a. Present and Yes b. Discrepand Yes Surrogate recover	l understandable	<ul> <li>?</li> <li>ONA (Please explain)</li> <li>C failures identified by the lab?</li> <li>ONA (Please explain)</li> <li>riteria due to sample dilution</li> </ul>	Comments: Comments:
a. Present and a. Present and Yes b. Discrepand Yes Surrogate recovery	l understandable No cies, errors or Q No er outside QC cr	<ul> <li>NA (Please explain)</li> <li>C failures identified by the lab?</li> <li>NA (Please explain)</li> <li>riteria due to sample dilution</li> </ul>	Comments: Comments:
se Narrative a. Present and Yes b. Discrepand Yes Surrogate recove c. Were all co Yes	l understandable No cies, errors or Q No er outside QC cr prrective actions No	<ul> <li>e?</li> <li>NA (Please explain)</li> <li>C failures identified by the lab?</li> <li>NA (Please explain)</li> <li>riteria due to sample dilution</li> <li>s documented?</li> <li>NA (Please explain)</li> </ul>	Comments: Comments:
<ul> <li><u>se Narrative</u></li> <li>a. Present and</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>b. Discrepand</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>Surrogate recove</li> <li>c. Were all co</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	l understandable No cies, errors or Q No er outside QC cr prrective actions No rtion	e? ONA (Please explain) C failures identified by the lab? ONA (Please explain) riteria due to sample dilution s documented? • NA (Please explain)	Comments: Comments:

Comments:

No affect.

# 5. Samples Results

a. Correct analyses	performed/reported as	requested on COC?
u. Contest unury ses	periornica/reported ad	requested on coc.

• Yes	⊖ No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:
b. All applicat	ole holding tim	es met?	
• Yes	⊖ No	○ NA (Please explain)	Comments:
c. All soils rep	ported on a dry	weight basis?	
• Yes	○ No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:
d. Are the repo project?	orted PQLs less	s than the Cleanup Level or the mini	mum required detection level for the
• Yes	$\bigcirc$ No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:
Not affected			Comments.
C Samples			
a. Method Blar	ık		
i One me			
1. One me	thod blank rep	orted per matrix, analysis and 20 sar	nples?
• Ye	s O No	ONA (Please explain)	nples? Comments:
• Ye	s O No	ONA (Please explain)	nples? Comments:
ii. All met	ethod blank rep	ONA (Please explain)	nples? Comments: Comments:

1

6.

⊖ Yes	$\bigcirc$ No	• NA (Please explain)	Comments:
Ione affected			
v. Data c	quality or usabil	ity affected? (Please explain)	Comments:
Not affected			
b. Laborator	y Control Samp	ble/Duplicate (LCS/LCSD)	
i. Organi per AK 1	ics - One LCS/I nethods, LCS r	CSD reported per matrix, analysis a equired per SW846)	and 20 samples? (LCS/LCSD required
• Yes	○ No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:
ii. Metal samples	s/Inorganics - C ?	One LCS and one sample duplicate re	eported per matrix, analysis and 20
$\bigcirc$ Yes	⊖ No	• NA (Please explain)	Comments:
lo metals anal	ysis		
iii. Accu project s 75%-125	racy - All perce pecified DQOs 5%, AK103 609	ent recoveries (%R) reported and wit , if applicable. (AK Petroleum metho 6-120%; all other analyses see the la	thin method or laboratory limits? And ods: AK101 60%-120%, AK102 aboratory QC pages)
• Yes	⊖ No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:
iv. Precis limits? A or sampl	sion - All relati and project spec e/sample duplic	ve percent differences (RPD) reporte cified DQOs, if applicable. RPD repo cate. (AK Petroleum methods 20%; a	ed and less than method or laboratory orted from LCS/LCSD, MS/DMSD, an all other analyses see the laboratory QC
pages) • Yes	⊖ No	⊂ NA (Please explain)	Comments:
v. If %R	or RPD is outs	ide of acceptable limits, what sample	es are affected?

vi. Do the affected samples(s	have data flags? If so, are th	ne data flags clearly defined?
-------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------

$\bigcirc$	Yes	$\bigcirc$ No	• NA (Please explain)	Comments:
None affe	cted			
vii. I	Data qu	ality or usab	ility affected? (Please explain)	Comments:
Not affect	ed			
c. Surro	gates - (	Organics On	ly	
i. Are	e surrog	ate recoverie	es reported for organic analyses - fiel	ld, QC and laboratory samples?
• }	les	○ No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:
ii. A proje the l	ccuracy ect spec aborato	- All percen ified DQOs, ry report pag	It recoveries (%R) reported and with if applicable. (AK Petroleum metho ges)	in method or laboratory limits? And ds 50-150 %R; all other analyses see
О	Yes	• No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:
iii. D clear	Do the saturation of the satur	ample results red? O No	with failed surrogate recoveries hav	ve data flags? If so, are the data flags Comments:
iv. D	)ata qua	lity or usabi	lity affected? (Use the comment box	to explain.). Comments:
Not affecte	ed, resul	ts over clear	up limits, site not subject to closure.	
d. Trip I <u>Soil</u> i. Or (If n	3lank - 1e trip b ot, ente	Volatile anal lank reporter r explanatior	lyses only (GRO, BTEX, Volatile Ch d per matrix, analysis and for each co 1 below.)	nlorinated Solvents, etc.): <u>Water and</u> ooler containing volatile samples?
⊖ Y€	ès	○ No	• NA (Please explain.)	Comments:
lo volatile s	samples	,		
ii. Is (I	the coo f not, a	oler used to the comment exp	ransport the trip blank and VOA sam plaining why must be entered below)	pples clearly indicated on the COC?
$\bigcirc$ Ye	es	○ No	• NA (Please explain.)	Comments:
No trip blar	nk			

iii. All rest	ults less than I	PQL?	
⊖ Yes	○ No	○ NA (Please explain.)	Comments:
iv. If abov	ve PQL, what	samples are affected?	
			Comments:
			connients.
v. Data qu	ality or usabil	lity affected? (Please explain.)	
			Comments:
e. Field Duplic	ate		
i. One field	l duplicate sul	bmitted per matrix, analysis and 10 j	project samples?
• Yes	○ No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:
		· · · ·	
ii Submit	ted blind to la	h?	
n. Suonn			
• Yes	○ No	○ NA (Please explain.)	Comments:
iii. Precisi (Recon	on - All relati nmended: 30%	ve percent differences (RPD) less th 6 water, 50% soil)	an specified DQOs?
	T	PD(%) = Absolute Value of (B. 1)	<b>R</b> <sub>2</sub> ) 100
	1	$((R_{1+}R_{2})) = R_{0}$	(2)/2) x 100
Where R	$A_1 = $ Sample Co	oncentration	
R	$_2 = Field Dupl$	licate Concentration	
	⊖ No	$\bigcirc$ NA (Please explain)	Comments:
. 103		Crist (crease emplain)	
iv. Data q	uality or usabi	ility affected? (Use the comment box	x to explain why or why not.)
○ Yes	• No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:

	f. Decontamina	tion or Equip	oment Blank (if applicable)		
	○ Yes	○ No	• NA (Please explain)	Comments:	
	No decontaminati	on or equipm	nent blank		
	i. All result	s less than PO	QL?		
	⊖ Yes	⊖ No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:	
	ii. If above	PQL, what s	amples are affected?		
[				Comments:	
	iii. Data qu	ality or usabi	lity affected? (Please explain)		
	ini. Dutu qu	unity of usual	ing uncered. (Freuse explain.)	Comments:	
7. <u>O</u> 1	ther Data Flags/Qu	alifiers (ACC	OE, AFCEE, Lab Specific, etc.)		
	a. Defined and appropriate?				
	• Yes	○ No	○NA (Please explain)	Comments:	

Reset Form