

February 26, 2018

Gavora, Inc. 246 Illinois Street, #3B Fairbanks, AK 99707

Attn: Mr. Rudy Gavora

RE: JANUARY 2018 INDOOR-AIR AND CRAWLSPACE-AIR SAMPLING SUMMARY REPORT, SHOPPER'S FORUM MALL, ADEC FILE NO. 102.38.100

Shannon & Wilson is pleased to present this summary report for indoor- and crawlspace-air monitoring at the Shopper's Forum Mall Annex (Annex), located at 1255 Airport Way in Fairbanks, Alaska. The objective of our work was to monitor concentrations of tetrachloroethene (PCE), trichloroethene (TCE), and their related compounds (1,1-dichloroethene; *cis*-1,2-dichloroethene; and *trans*-1,2-dichloroethene) in crawlspace and indoor-air at the Annex. This report documents the results of the second of four planned, consecutive quarterly air-quality monitoring events. Our scope of services for this project included:

- collecting indoor- and crawlspace-air samples at select locations within the Annex; and
- preparing this summary report documenting sampling activities and analytical results.

We performed these sampling activities following our July 2013 *Site Characterization and Vapor-Intrusion Monitoring Work Plan*; which was reviewed and approved by the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC).

SAMPLING AND OBSERVATIONS

On January 23 and 24, 2018, Kristen Freiburger and Cacy Wilfer from Shannon & Wilson's Fairbanks office performed the sampling described herein. We deployed the Radiello® 130 passive samplers in the breathing space (head height) in discrete but representative locations within each unit and crawlspace. The samplers remained in place for approximately 24 hours. The passive samplers consist of an outer cylindrical diffusive surface (porous polypropylene) surrounding an interior adsorbing surface. Air constituents small enough to pass through the pore space of the exterior surface are adsorbed onto the interior surface allowing for a sample to be analyzed.

Gavora, Inc. Mr. Rudy Gavora February 26, 2018 Page 2 of 6

We collected three indoor-air samples from Miguel's restaurant lease spaces (Figure 1), one indoor-air sample from Bamboo Panda (Figure 2), and one indoor-air sample from Fairbanks Fast Foto (Figure 3). We also collected air samples from two crawlspace locations; one from Bamboo Panda and the other from Fairbanks Fast Foto.

We collected indoor-air project sample *Miguel's-Kitchen* from the pantry in Miguel's kitchen, *Miguel's-Office* from Miguel's main office, *Miguel's-Banquet Room* from the banquet space adjacent to the main dining area in Miguel's, *Bamboo Panda-Kitchen* from the kitchen at Bamboo Panda, and *Fast Foto-Office* from the office of Fairbanks Fast Foto. We collected crawlspace-air project sample *Crawlspace-BP* from the crawlspace beneath Bamboo Panda, and then a crawlspace-air field-duplicate pair both named *Crawlspace-FF* from the crawlspace beneath Fairbanks Fast Foto.

At the end of deployment, we retrieved the passive samplers and shipped them to Eurofins Air Toxins, Ltd. (Eurofins) testing laboratory in Folsom, California. We submitted the samplers for analysis of PCE, TCE, 1,1-dichloroethene, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, and trans-1,2-dichloroethene by the laboratory's custom gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC/MS) modified method EPA TO-17. TCE and PCE were determined quantitatively, but concentrations of the other analytes were estimated.

We have enclosed copies of our sampling forms for this project with this report.

RESULTS

We present the analytical results of the indoor-air and crawlspace samples in Table 1, which is enclosed with this report.

PCE was detected in each project sample at concentrations below the ADEC target levels (Table 1). The remaining analytes were not detected above their respective reporting limits. The ADEC target levels were obtained from the November 2017 ADEC Vapor Intrusion Guidance for Contaminated Sites. Indoor-air samples were compared to the commercial values listed in Appendix D and crawlspace samples were compared to commercial values listed in Appendix E.

QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL

Quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) activities for this project were designed to achieve data quality and reliability. We reviewed the analytical results for laboratory QC

Gavora, Inc. Mr. Rudy Gavora February 26, 2018 Page 3 of 6

samples, and conducted our own QA assessment for this project. Our QA-review procedures allow us to document the accuracy and precision of the analytical data, and check that the analyses are sufficiently sensitive to detect analytes at levels below regulatory standards.

For this report, we reviewed the indoor-air and sub-slab soil-gas data reported by Eurofins Work Order (WO) 1801415. The laboratory report contained a case narrative, document sample receipt, and analytical results. Details regarding the results of our QA analysis are presented in the ADEC data-review checklist, enclosed with this report, along with a copy of the laboratory report.

Sample Handling and Holding Times

We reviewed the laboratory narrative provided by the laboratory. The samples were noted to have been received in good condition upon receipt at the Eurofins laboratory.

Analytical Sensitivity

Consistent with our previous air-sampling reports, we compared indoor-air sample reporting limits to target levels for commercial indoor-air listed in ADEC's Vapor Intrusion Guidance, Appendix D. We compared crawlspace sample reporting limits to target levels for commercial sub-slab soil-gas listed in ADEC's Vapor Intrusion Guidance, Appendix E. Reporting limits were below commercial target levels for each analyte.

Accuracy

The laboratory assessed the accuracy of their analytical procedures by analyzing laboratory control samples (LCS) and LCS duplicates (LCSDs). LCS/LCSD analysis allows the laboratory to evaluate their ability to recover analytes added to clean aqueous matrices. LCS/LCSD samples were reported for each analyte in the laboratory report. Accuracy is also assessed for organic analyses by evaluating the recovery of a surrogate added to each project sample. The LCS/LCSD, and surrogate recovery data for each sample were within laboratory control limits and the results are considered accurate.

Precision

To evaluate data precision and reproducibility of our sampling techniques, we calculated the relative percent difference (RPD) of duplicate results. RPD is defined as the difference between the sample and its field duplicate divided by the mean of the two. We can only evaluate RPDs if

Gavora, Inc. Mr. Rudy Gavora February 26, 2018 Page 4 of 6

the result of the analysis for both the sample and its duplicate are greater than the reporting limit for a given analyte.

We collected a field-duplicate pair from the crawlspace beneath Fairbanks Fast Foto; both samples were named *Crawlspace-FF*. The RPDs were within QC criteria for the field-duplicate pair, where calculable.

Data Quality Summary

By working in accordance with our proposed scope of services, we consider the indoor-air and sub-slab soil-gas samples we collected to be representative of the site conditions at the locations and times they were collected. Based on our QA review, no sample results were rejected as unusable due to QC failures. For this project, the quality of the analytical data is acceptable for its intended use.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our observations and analytical-sample results, Shannon & Wilson presents the following conclusions and recommendations.

PCE was detected in each of the project samples at concentrations below its indoor-air or subslab soil-gas (crawlspace-air) target levels. Other analytes were not detected above their respective reporting limits.

Our January 2018 sample results are similar to results from our previous indoor-air sampling completed in October 2017. Refer to Table 2 for a summary of historical results.

We recommend Gavora continue monitoring Annex air quality to comply with ADEC's request for one year's worth of quarterly indoor- and crawlspace-air quality sampling.

CLOSURE

This report was prepared for the exclusive use of Gavora, Inc., and their representatives. We understand this report will be used to monitor indoor-air and sub-slab soil-gas at the Annex. This report should not be used for other purposes without Shannon & Wilson's review. We have prepared the document "Important Information about Your Geotechnical/Environmental Report" to help you and others understand the use and limitations of this report.

Gavora, Inc. Mr. Rudy Gavora February 26, 2018 Page 5 of 6

Our observations represent site conditions as they existed during our sampling activities on January 23 and 24, 2018. Our observations are specific to the locations and times noted herein, and may not be applicable to all areas of the site. No number of indoor-air and sub-slab soil-gas samples along with analytical testing can precisely predict the characteristics, quality, or distribution of site conditions. Potential variations include, but are not limited to:

- The conditions between sampling points may be different.
- The passage of time or intervening causes (natural and manmade) may result in changes to site conditions.
- Contaminant concentrations may change in response to natural conditions, chemical reactions, and/or other events.
- The presence, distribution, and concentration of contaminants may vary from our sampling locations. Our tests may not represent the highest contaminant concentrations at the site.

The report should not be used without our approval if any of the following occurs:

- Conditions change due to natural forces or human activity under, at, or adjacent to the site.
- Project details change or new information becomes available such that our analyses, conclusion, and recommendations may be affected.
- If the site ownership or land use has changed.
- More than ten years has passed since the date of this summary letter report.
- Regulations, laws, or cleanup levels change.
- If the site's regulatory status has changed.

If any of these occur, we should be retained to review the applicability or our analyses, conclusions, and recommendations.

State and/or federal agencies may require reporting of the information included in this report. Shannon & Wilson does not assume the responsibility for reporting these findings and therefore has not, and will not, disclose the results of this study unless specifically requested and authorized by Gavora, Inc., or as required by law. Regulatory agencies may reach different conclusions than Shannon & Wilson. Gavora, Inc. Mr. Rudy Gavora February 26, 2018 Page 6 of 6

We are pleased to have had the opportunity to assist you with this project. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

SHANNON & WILSON, INC.

Kristen Freiburger Senior Chemist

Enc: Table 1 – January 2018 Indoor- and Crawlspace-Air Analytical Summary Table 2 – Historical Air-Sample Results – Crawlspace and Indoor Air Figure 1 – Sample Locations, Miguel's Figure 2 – Sample Locations, Bamboo Panda Figure 3 – Sample Locations, Fast Foto Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. Laboratory Report of Analysis (WO 1801415) Laboratory Data Review Checklist for Air Samples Field-Sampling Forms for January 23 and 24, 2018 Sampling Event Important Information about Your Geotechnical/Environmental Report

TABLE 1 JANUARY 2018 INDOOR- AND CRAWLSPACE-AIR ANALYTICAL SUMMARY SHOPPER'S FORUM MALL ANNEX

Analyte		Tetrachloroethene	Trichloroethene	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans-1,2- Dichloroethene
Abb	previation	PCE	TCE	1,1-DCE	cis-1,2-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE
ADEC Targe	t Levels†	41	2.2	79	NA	790
Bamboo Panda-Kitchen	µg/m³	9.6	<1.0	<3.7 C	<2.2 C	<2.3 C
Fast Foto-Office	µg/m³	8.4	<1.0	<3.7 C	<2.2 C	<2.3 C
Miguel's-Banquet Room	µg/m³	13	<1.0	<3.6 C	<2.2 C	<2.3 C
Miguel's-Kitchen	µg/m³	14	<0.99	<3.6 C	<2.2 C	<2.3 C
Miguel's-Office	µg/m ³	13	<1.0	<3.6 C	<2.2 C	<2.3 C
ADEC Targe	t Levels†	1,800	84	8,800	NA	NA
Crawlspace-BP	µg/m³	34	<1.0	<3.8 C	<2.3 C	<2.4 C
Crawlspace-FF	µg/m³	21	<1.0	<3.8 C	<2.3 C	<2.4 C
Crawlspace-FF_DUP	µg/m ³	20	<1.0	<3.7 C	<2.3 C	<2.4 C

Notes: Sample *Crawlspace-FF_DUP* is a field-duplicate of sample*Crawlspace-FF*.

ADEC Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

The ADEC Target Levels were obtained from the November 2017 ADEC Vapor Intrusion Guidance for Contaminated Sites - Appendix D: Target Levels for Indoor Air - Commercial and Appendix E: Target Levels for Subslab Soil Gas - Commercial.

NA Not applicable; ADEC Target Level not established.

µg/m³ microgram per cubic meter

< Analyte not detected; listed as less than the reporting limit (RL).

C Estimated concentration due to estimated uptake rates. Flag applied by the laboratory.

TABLE 2HISTORICAL AIR-SAMPLE RESULTSCRAWLSPACE AND INDOOR AIR, SHOPPER'S FORM MALL ANNEX

		PCE	TCE	Active Air Quality Mitigation Systems				
Sampling Location	Date	µg/m³	μg/m³	Portable GAC	In-Line GAC	нки	SSDPS	
	April 2011	250E	1.6					
	February 2012	280J	1.7J					
	April 2013	260	1.8		х	х		
	August 2013	1,200	7.6		х	х		
Miquel's - Kitchen (indoor air)	Sub	-slab depressurizatio	on system startup, Octo	ber 201	3			
	October 2013	43	<1.0		х	х	x	
	November 2013	29	<1.0			х	х	
	February 2014	27	<1.0	х		х	х	
	February 2017	32	<0.1			х	х	
	October 2017	12	<0.96			х	х	
	January 2018	14	<0.99			х	х	
	February 2012	940	4.6					
	April 2013*	470	2.7	х	х	х		
	August 2013*	4,800	25	х	х	х		
	Sub-slab depressurization system startup, October 2013							
Miquel's - Office (indoor air)	October 2013	67	<1.0	х	х	х	х	
	November 2013*	47	<1.0	х		х	х	
	February 2014*	34JL	<1.0JL	х		х	х	
	February 2017	27	<1.0			х	х	
	October 2017	14	<0.95			х	х	
	January 2018	13	<1.0			х	х	
	April 2011	1,600 ^ª	7.3			х		
	Sub	-slab depressurization	on system startup, Octo	ber 201	3			
	November 2013	45	<1.0			Х	х	
Miguel's - Banquet Room	February 2014	25	<1.0	х		Х	х	
	February 2017	28	<1.0			Х	х	
	October 2017	14	<0.95			х	х	

TABLE 2HISTORICAL AIR-SAMPLE RESULTSCRAWLSPACE AND INDOOR AIR, SHOPPER'S FORM MALL ANNEX

		PCE	TCE	Active Air Quality Mitigation Systems			
Sampling Location	Date	µg/m³	μg/m³	Portable GAC	In-Line GAC	нки	SSDPS
	January 2018	13	<1.0			х	х
	April 2011	2,000	9.8				
	February 2012	3,600	20				
	Crawlspac	ce ventilation startup	, October 2012				
	April 2013	1,400	7.1		х	х	
Ramboo Randa Crawlenaco	August 2013	190	<0.94		х	х	
Barriboo Farida - Crawispace	November 2013	180	<1.0			х	х
	February 2014	73	<1.0	х		х	х
	February 2017	75	<1.0			х	х
	October 2017	98	<0.96			х	х
	January 2018	34	<1.0			х	х
	February 2012	730J	3.6J				
		Crawlspace ventila	ation startup, October 20	012			
	April 2013	210	1.4		х	х	
	August 2013	5.3	<0.93		х	х	
Bamboo Panda - Indoor Air	November 2013	27	<1.0			х	х
	February 2014	12	<1.0	х		х	х
	February 2017	18	<1.0			х	х
	October 2017	14	<0.98			х	х
	January 2018	9.6	<1.0			х	х
	April 2013	620	3.1		х	х	
	August 2013	120	<0.94		х	х	
	November 2013	90	<1.0			х	х
Fairbanks Fast Foto - Crawlspace	February 2014	42	<1.0	х		Х	х
	February 2017	14	<1.0			х	х
	October 2017	27	<0.98			Х	х
	January 2018	21	<1.0			х	х

TABLE 2HISTORICAL AIR-SAMPLE RESULTSCRAWLSPACE AND INDOOR AIR, SHOPPER'S FORM MALL ANNEX

		PCE	TCE	Active Air Quality Mitigation Systems				
Sampling Location	Date	µg/m³	μg/m³	Portable GAC	In-Line GAC	нки	SSDPS	
	February 2012	25	0.41					
	Crawlspace ventilation startup, October 2012							
	April 2013	260	1.6		х	х		
	August 2013	46	<0.93		х	х		
Fairbanks Fast Foto - Indoor Air	November 2013	47	<1.0			х	х	
	February 2014	26	<1.0	х		х	х	
	February 2017	1.8	<1.0			х	х	
	October 2017	2.9	<0.96			х	х	
	January 2018	8.4	<1.0			Х	Х	
ADEC Exterior or Subslab Soil Gas Target Level †		1,800	84					
ADEC Indoor-Air Target Level †		41	2.2					

ADEC Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

† The ADEC Target Levels were obtained from the November 2017 ADEC Vapor Intrusion Guidance for Contaminated Sites -Appendix D: Target Levels for Indoor Air - Commercial and Appendix E: Target Levels for Subslab Soil Gas - Commercial.

- µg/m³ microgram per cubic meter
 - < Analyte not detected; listed as less than the reporting limit (RL).
- Bold Detected concentration exceeds current regulatory limit.
 - JL Estimated result, biased low, due to quality control failures. Flag applied by Shannon & Wilson, Inc.
 - J Estimated result due to quality control failures, or a detected result below the reporting limit.









2/8/2018 Ms. Kristen Freiburger Shannon & Wilson, Inc. 2355 Hill Road

Fairbanks AK 99709

Project Name: Shoppers Forum Mall Annex Project #: 31-1-11850-001 Workorder #: 1801415

Dear Ms. Kristen Freiburger

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 1/29/2018 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Kelly Buettner at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Killy Butte

Kelly Buettner Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



WORK ORDER #: 1801415

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Ms. Kristen Freiburger Shannon & Wilson, Inc. 2355 Hill Road Fairbanks, AK 99709	BILL TO:	Mr. Seth Robinson Shannon & Wilson, Inc. 2355 Hill Road Fairbanks, AK 99709
PHONE:	907-479-0600	P.O. #	
FAX:	907-479-5691	PROJECT #	31-1-11850-001 Shoppers Forum Mall
DATE RECEIVED:	01/29/2018	CONTACT	Annex Kelly Buettner
DATE COMPLETED:	02/08/2018	contact.	Keny Ductifici

FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>
01A	Crawlspace-BP	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
02A	Crawlspace-FF (B871V)	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
03A	Crawlspace-FF (B874V)	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
04A	Fast Foto-Office	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
05A	Bamboo Panda Kitchen	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
06A	Miguel's-Benquetroom	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
07A	Miguel's-Office	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
08A	Miguel's-Kitchen	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
09A	Lab Blank	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
10A	LCS	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
10AA	LCSD	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC

Rayes 1 Tero 6

Technical Director

CERTIFIED BY:

DATE: <u>02/08/18</u>

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

🛟 eurofins

LABORATORY NARRATIVE RAD130 Passive SE by Mod EPA TO-17 Shannon & Wilson, Inc. Workorder# 1801415

Eight Radiello 130 (Solvent) samples were received on January 29, 2018. The laboratory analyzed the charcoal sorbent bed of the passive sampler following modified method EPA TO-17. The VOCs were chemically extracted using carbon disulfide and an aliquot of the extract was injected into a GC/MS for identification and quantification of volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

The mass of each target compound adsorbed by the sampler was converted to units of concentration using the sample deployment time and the sampling rate for each VOC. If sampling rates were calculated by the lab or the manufacturer, the concentration result has been flagged as an estimated value. Results are not corrected for desorption efficiency.

The reference method used for this procedure is EPA TO-17, which describes the collection of VOCs in ambient air using sorbents and analysis by GC/MS. Because TO-17 describes active sample collection using a pump and thermal desorption as the preparation step, several modifications are required. Modifications to TO-17 are listed in the table below:

Requirement	TO-17	ATL Modifications
Sample Collection	Pump pulls measured air volume through sorbent tube	VOCs in air adsorbed onto sorbent bed passively through diffusion
Sample Preparation	Thermal extraction	Solvent extraction
Sorbent tube conditioning	Condition newly packed tubes prior to use	Charcoal-based sorbent is a single use media and conditioning is conducted by vendor.
Instrumentation	Thermal desorption introduction system	Liquid injection introduction system
Internal Standard	Gas-phase internal standard introduced on the tube or focusing trap during analysis	Liquid-phase internal standard introduced on the tube at the time of extraction
Media and sample storage	<4 deg C, 30 days	Media shelf life is determined by vendor; sample hold-time is 6 months for the RAD130 and WMS. Sample preservation requirements are storage in a cool, solvent-free refrigerator and optional use of ice during shipping.
Internal Standard Recovery	+/-40% of daily CCV area	-50% to +100% of daily CCV area

Receiving Notes

Sample identifications for Crawlspace-FF on the Chain of Custody (COC) were not unique. The sampler number was added to each of the sample identifications to ensure uniqueness.

Analytical Notes

eurofins Air Toxics

The uptake rates were corrected based on average field temperatures if provided. In the absence of field temperatures, the uptake rates determined at 25 deg C were used.

If validated uptake rates were not available, rates were estimated using the chemical's diffusion coefficient in air and the geometric constant of the sampler. Chemicals that are poorly retained by the sorbent over the sampling duration may exhibit a low bias. All concentrations calculated using estimated rates are qualified with a "C" flag.

To calculate ug/m3 concentrations in the Lab Blank, a sampling duration of 1471 minutes was applied. The assumed temperature used for the uptake rate is listed on the data page. If the field temperatures were provided, the rate was adjusted in the same manner as the field samples.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicate as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit.
- UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
- N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
- C Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate
- CN See case narrative explanation.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Summary of Detected Compounds VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

Client Sample ID: Crawlspace-BP

Lab ID#: 1801415-01A

Compound	Rpt. Limit	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Amount
	(ug)	(ug/m3)	(ug)	(ug/m3)
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	2.8	34

Client Sample ID: Crawlspace-FF (B871V)

Lab ID#: 1801415-02A

O annual d	Rpt. Limit	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Amount
Compound	(ug)	(ug/m3)	(ug)	(ug/m3)
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	1.7	21

Client Sample ID: Crawlspace-FF (B874V)

Lab ID#: 1801415-03A

	Rpt. Limit	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Amount
Compound	(ug)	(ug/m3)	(ug)	(ug/m3)
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	1.6	20

Client Sample ID: Fast Foto-Office

Lab ID#: 1801415-04A

	Rpt. Limit	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Amount
Compound	(ug)	(ug/m3)	(ug)	(ug/m3)
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	0.70	8.4

Client Sample ID: Bamboo Panda Kitchen

Lab ID#: 1801415-05A

	Rpt. Limit	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Amount
Compound	(ug)	(ug/m3)	(ug)	(ug/m3)
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	0.81	9.6

Client Sample ID: Miguel's-Benquetroom

Lab ID#: 1801415-06A

	Rpt. Limit	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Amount
Compound	(ug)	(ug/m3)	(ug)	(ug/m3)
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	1.1	13



Summary of Detected Compounds VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

Client Sample ID: Miguel's-Office

Lab ID#: 1801415-07A

Compound	Rpt. Limit	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Amount
	(ug)	(ug/m3)	(ug)	(ug/m3)
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	1.1	13

Client Sample ID: Miguel's-Kitchen

Lab ID#: 1801415-08A

	Rpt. Limit	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Amount
Compound	(ug)	(ug/m3)	(ug)	(ug/m3)
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	1.2	14



Client Sample ID: Crawlspace-BP Lab ID#: 1801415-01A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

File Name: Dil. Factor:	c013009sim 1.00	c013009sim Date of Collection: 1/24/18 3:21:00 PM 1.00 Date of Analysis: 1/30/18 12:58 PM Date of Extraction: 1/30/18		4/18 3:21:00 PM 18 12:58 PM 0/18
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	0.10	1.0	Not Detected	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	2.8	34
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.3	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.4	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	3.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C

٦

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 60.8F , duration time = 1456 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	102	70-130



Client Sample ID: Crawlspace-FF (B871V) Lab ID#: 1801415-02A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	c013010sim 1.00	Date of Collection: 1/24/18 3:19:00 PM Date of Analysis: 1/30/18 01:23 PM Date of Extraction: 1/30/18		4/18 3:19:00 PM 18 01:23 PM 0/18
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	0.10	1.0	Not Detected	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	1.7	21
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.3	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.4	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	3.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 60.8F , duration time = 1459 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	102	70-130



Client Sample ID: Crawlspace-FF (B874V) Lab ID#: 1801415-03A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	c013011sim 1.00	Date of Collection: 1/24/18 3:00:00 PM Date of Analysis: 1/30/18 01:48 PM Date of Extraction: 1/30/18		4/18 3:00:00 PM 18 01:48 PM 0/18
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	0.10	1.0	Not Detected	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	1.6	20
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.3	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.4	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	3.7	Not Detected C	Not Detected C

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 60.8F , duration time = 1470 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	100	70-130



Client Sample ID: Fast Foto-Office Lab ID#: 1801415-04A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

File Name: Dil. Factor:	c013012sim 1.00	Date of Collection: 1/24/18 3:15:00 PM Date of Analysis: 1/30/18 02:13 PM Date of Extraction: 1/30/18		4/18 3:15:00 PM 18 02:13 PM 0/18
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	0.10	1.0	Not Detected	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	0.70	8.4
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.2	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.3	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	3.7	Not Detected C	Not Detected C

٦

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 68.0F , duration time = 1459 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	100	70-130



Client Sample ID: Bamboo Panda Kitchen Lab ID#: 1801415-05A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	c013013sim 1.00	Date of Collection: 1/24/18 3:14:00 PM Date of Analysis: 1/30/18 02:37 PM Date of Extraction: 1/30/18		4/18 3:14:00 PM 18 02:37 PM 0/18
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	0.10	1.0	Not Detected	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	0.81	9.6
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.2	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.3	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	3.7	Not Detected C	Not Detected C

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 68.0F , duration time = 1464 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	101	70-130



Client Sample ID: Miguel's-Benquetroom Lab ID#: 1801415-06A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	c013014sim 1.00	Date of Collection: 1/24/18 3:08:00 PM Date of Analysis: 1/30/18 03:02 PM Date of Extraction: 1/30/18		4/18 3:08:00 PM 18 03:02 PM 0/18
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	0.10	1.0	Not Detected	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	1.1	13
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.2	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.3	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	3.6	Not Detected C	Not Detected C

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 71.6F , duration time = 1463 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
Toluene-d8	99	70-130	



Client Sample ID: Miguel's-Office Lab ID#: 1801415-07A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

File Name: Dil. Factor:	c013015sim 1.00	Date of Collection: 1/24/18 3:10:00 PM Date of Analysis: 1/30/18 03:27 PM Date of Extraction: 1/30/18		4/18 3:10:00 PM 18 03:27 PM 0/18
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	0.10	1.0	Not Detected	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	1.1	13
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.2	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.3	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	3.6	Not Detected C	Not Detected C

٦

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 71.6F , duration time = 1463 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	101	70-130



Client Sample ID: Miguel's-Kitchen Lab ID#: 1801415-08A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

File Name: Dil. Factor:	c013016sim 1.00	Date of Collection: 1/24/18 3:07:00 PM Date of Analysis: 1/30/18 03:51 PM Date of Extraction: 1/30/18		4/18 3:07:00 PM 18 03:51 PM 0/18
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	0.10	0.99	Not Detected	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.2	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	3.6	Not Detected C	Not Detected C

٦

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 75.2F , duration time = 1471 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	102	70-130



Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1801415-09A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

File Name: Dil. Factor:	c013005sim 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 1/30/18 10:55 AM Date of Extraction: 1/30/18		18 10:55 AM 0/18
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	0.10	0.99	Not Detected	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	1.2	Not Detected	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.2	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	2.3	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	3.6	Not Detected C	Not Detected C

٦

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 75.2F , duration time = 1471 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	103	70-130



Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 1801415-10A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

File Name: Dil. Factor:	c013003sim 1.00	Date of Colleo Date of Analy Date of Extra	ction: NA sis: 1/30/18 09:46 AM ction: 1/30/18
Compound		%Recovery	Method Limits
Trichloroethene		102	70-130
Tetrachloroethene		98	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		98	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		103	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene		100	70-130

Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
Toluene-d8	100	70-130	



Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 1801415-10AA VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

File Name: Dil. Factor:	c013004sim 1.00	Date of Colle Date of Analy	ction: NA /sis: 1/30/18 10:12 AM
		Date of Extra	ction: 1/30/18
			Method
Compound		%Recovery	Limits
Trichloroethene		102	70-130
Tetrachloroethene		100	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		96	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		105	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene		95	70-130

Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
Toluene-d8	99	70-130	

Laboratory Data Review Checklist for Air Samples

Completed by:	mpleted by: Cacy Wilfer				
Title:	Environmental Engineering Staff			Date:	Feb 22, 2018
CS Report Name:	Shopper's Foru	ım Mall Annex 1	31-1-11850	Report Date:	Feb 8, 2018
Consultant Firm:	Shannon & W	ilson, Inc. (Shan	non & Wilson)	u,	
Laboratory Name:	Eurofins Air T (Eurofins)	oxics, Inc	Laboratory Report Nu	mber:1801415	
ADEC File Number:	102.38.100		ADEC Haz ID:	3683	
1. Laboratory					
a. Did a NEL	AP certified labo	pratory receive ar	nd <u>perform</u> all of the submi	tted sample ana	lyses?
• Yes	C) No	O NA (Plea	ase explain.)	Comments	:
b. If the samp laboratory, wa	les were transfer s the laboratory	rred to another "n performing the a	network" laboratory or sub- nalyses NELAP approved?	contracted to an	alternate
C Yes	C No	• NA (Plea	se explain.)	Comments	:
Samples v	vere analyzed by	y Eurofins of Fo	llsom, CA; a NELAP cert	ified laboratory	
2. Chain of Custody	<u>(COC)</u>				
a. COC inform	nation completed	, signed, and dat	ed (including released/rece	ived by)?	
• Yes	C No	∩ NA (Plea	se explain.)	Comments	
h Correct anal	lyses requested?				
• Yes	C No	ONA (Please	e explain)	Comments:	
3. <u>Laboratory Sampl</u> a. Sample cond	e Receipt Docur lition documente	mentation ed -Samples colle	ected in gas tight, opaque/d	ark Summa can	sters or other ADE

• Yes O No O NA (Please explain) Comments:

Documentation of the sample condition was not provided in a sample receipt form. However, the case narrative noted that the samples were received in good condition and in the appropriate containers.

b. If there were any discrepancies, were they documented? For example, incorrect sample containers/ preservation, sample temperature outside of acceptable range, insufficient or missing samples, canister not holding a vacuum etc.?

C Yes	C No	(• NA (Please explain)	Comments:
A sampl good cor	e receipt form w ndition and in th	as not provided but the laboratory no e appropriate containers.	oted that the samples were received in
c. Data quali	ity or usability af	ffected? (Please explain.)	
C Yes	• No	CNA (Please explain)	Comments:
See abov	e.		
Case Narrative			
a. Present an	d understandabl	e?	
• Yes	C No	∩NA (Please explain)	Comments:
	<u>, , ,, ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
b. Discrepa	ncies, errors or Q	C failures identified by the lab?	
C Var	C No	⊂ NA (Please explain)	Comments:
Sample If valida coefficie sorbent	uptake rates wer ated uptake rates ent in air and the over the samplir	re corrected based on the average tem s were not available, rates were estimate geometric constant of the sampler. (ng duration may exhibit a low bias. A	nperatures provided. ated using the chemicals diffusion Chemicals that are poorly retained by th Il concentrations calculated using
• Yes Sample If valida coefficie sorbent estimate	uptake rates wer ted uptake rates ent in air and the over the samplir ed rates are quali	re corrected based on the average tem s were not available, rates were estimate geometric constant of the sampler. (ing duration may exhibit a low bias. A ified with a 'C' flag.	nperatures provided. ated using the chemicals diffusion Chemicals that are poorly retained by th Il concentrations calculated using
 Yes Sample If valida coefficies sorbent estimate c. Were all C. Yes 	uptake rates wer ated uptake rates ent in air and the over the samplin ed rates are quali corrective action	re corrected based on the average tem s were not available, rates were estimate e geometric constant of the sampler. On ang duration may exhibit a low bias. A ified with a 'C' flag. (Ins documented? (Ins NA (Please explain)	nperatures provided. ated using the chemicals diffusion Chemicals that are poorly retained by th Il concentrations calculated using Comments:
 Yes Sample If valida coefficies sorbent estimate c. Were all C Yes Correct 	uptake rates were ted uptake rates ent in air and the over the sampline over the sampline corrective action \cap No ive actions were	re corrected based on the average tem s were not available, rates were estimate e geometric constant of the sampler. On ing duration may exhibit a low bias. A ified with a 'C' flag. Ins documented?	nperatures provided. ated using the chemicals diffusion Chemicals that are poorly retained by th Il concentrations calculated using Comments:
 Yes Sample If valida coefficies sorbent estimate c. Were all C Yes Correct d What is 	uptake rates were uptake rates were ent in air and the over the sampling corrective action C No ive actions were the effect on dat	re corrected based on the average tem s were not available, rates were estimate e geometric constant of the sampler. On ing duration may exhibit a low bias. A ified with a 'C' flag. As documented?	ated using the chemicals diffusion Chemicals that are poorly retained by th Il concentrations calculated using Comments:
 Yes Sample If valida coefficies sorbent estimate c. Were all C Yes Correct d. What is 	uptake rates were ted uptake rates ent in air and the over the sampling corrective action C No two actions were the effect on dat	re corrected based on the average tem s were not available, rates were estimate e geometric constant of the sampler. Of ing duration may exhibit a low bias. A ified with a 'C' flag. Ins documented?	nperatures provided. ated using the chemicals diffusion Chemicals that are poorly retained by th Il concentrations calculated using Comments: ase narrative? Comments:
 Yes Sample If valida coefficie sorbent estimate c. Were all C Yes Correct d. What is The case estimate 	uptake rates were ted uptake rates ent in air and the over the sampling d rates are quali- corrective action \bigcirc No ive actions were the effect on data se narrative note ed, and are flagg	re corrected based on the average tem a were not available, rates were estimate e geometric constant of the sampler. On ang duration may exhibit a low bias. A ified with a 'C' flag. (In a documented? (In NA (Please explain)) (In a not required. (In a quality/usability according to the case of that the sample concentrations usin ged 'C' for these results.	ated using the chemicals diffusion Chemicals that are poorly retained by th Ill concentrations calculated using Comments: ase narrative? Comments: ag estimated rates are considered
 Yes Sample If valida coefficies sorbent estimate c. Were all C Yes Correct d. What is The case estimate 	uptake rates were uptake rates were ted uptake rates ent in air and the over the sampling d rates are quali- corrective action C No ive actions were the effect on data se narrative note ed, and are flagg s	re corrected based on the average tem a were not available, rates were estimate e geometric constant of the sampler. Of ang duration may exhibit a low bias. A ified with a 'C' flag. (In the sample concentrations using a that the sample concentrations using ged 'C' for these results.	ated using the chemicals diffusion Chemicals that are poorly retained by th Ill concentrations calculated using Comments: ase narrative? Comments: ag estimated rates are considered
 Yes Sample If valida coefficies sorbent estimate c. Were all C Yes Correct d. What is The case estimat Samples Result a. Correct a 	uptake rates were uptake rates were the uptake rates ent in air and the over the sampling corrective action \bigcirc No ive actions were the effect on data se narrative note ed, and are flagg samples perform	re corrected based on the average tem a were not available, rates were estimate e geometric constant of the sampler. On ang duration may exhibit a low bias. A ified with a 'C' flag. As documented? (In NA (Please explain) (In the not required. It a quality/usability according to the car and that the sample concentrations using ged 'C' for these results.	nperatures provided. ated using the chemicals diffusion Chemicals that are poorly retained by th Il concentrations calculated using Comments: ase narrative? Comments: ag estimated rates are considered

Additionally, the laboratory noted the duplicates were not uniquely identified. The results are not affected by this discrepancy.

b. Samples analyzed within 30 days of collection or within the time required by the method?

(L	• Yes	C No	C NA (Please explain)	Comments:
c. A	Are the rep	oorted PQLs less	s than the Target Screening Level or the	minimum required detection level for
	• Yes	C No	∩NA (Please explain)	Comments:
L d. E	Data qualit	y or usability af	fected?	Comments:
1	No; see ab	ove.		
Sam	ples			
ı. M	ethod Bla	nk		
	i. One me	ethod blank repo	orted per analysis and 20 samples?	
	• Ye	s O No	∩NA (Please explain)	Comments:
	ii All me	thod blank resu	Its less than POI ?	
	• Yes	s C No	CNA (Please explain)	Comments:
	Projec	t analytes were	not detected in the method blanks.	
	iii. If abo	ove POL, what	samples are affected?	
				Comments:
	None;	project analyte	s were not detected in the method blar	ıks.
	iv. Do th	e affected samp	e(s) have data flags and if so, are the da	ta flags clearly defined?
	C Ye	s C No	• NA (Please explain)	Comments:
	Proje	ct analytes were	e not detected in the method blanks.	
	v. Data q	uality or usabili	ty affected? (Please explain.)	<u> </u>
	No; s	ee above.		Comments:
νΤο	horatom/	Control Samula	Duplicate (I CS/I CSD)	
л. ца	i One I (CS/LCSD or one	Duplicate (LCO/LCOD)	ir reported per analysis and 20 sample
			CNA (Place evaluin)	Commente
	(• Yes	s <u>()</u> INO	() INA (r lease explain)	Commento.

ii. Accuracy - All percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits? And project specified DQOs, if applicable.

• Yes	C No	∩ NA (Please explain)	Comments:

iii. Precision - All relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits? And project specified DQOs, if applicable.

• Yes	C No	⊂ NA (Please explain)	Comments:
The RPD	s were calcul	ated by Shannon & Wilson and were	less than 20% as recommended for
the metho	od by the Nat	ional Functional Guidelines.	

iv. If %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected?

∩ Yes	C No	• NA (Please explain)	Comments:	
The percen	nt recoverie	s and RPDs were within acceptance criteria.	•	

v. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

∩ Yes	C No	• NA (Please explain)	Comments:
The perce	ent recoverie	s and RPDs were within acceptance	criteria.

vi. Data quality or usability affected? (Please explain.)

Comments:

No; see above.

c. Surrogates

i. Are surrogate recoveries reported for field, QC and laboratory samples?

• Yes	C No	CNA (Please explain)	Comments:

ii. Accuracy - All percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits? And project specified DQOs, if applicable.

• Yes	C No	∩ NA (Please explain)	Comments:

iii. Do the sample results with failed surrogate recoveries have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

∩ Yes	C No	• NA (Please explain)	Comments:
The surrog	ate recoveri	es were within acceptance criteria.	

iv. Data quality or usability affected? (Please explain.)

Comments:

No; see above.

d. Field Duplicate

i. One field duplicate submitted per analysis and 10 type (soil gas, indoor air etc.) samples?

• Yes	C No	C NA (Please explain)	Comments:	

ii. Submitted blind to lab?

C Yes	No	∩NA (Please explain)	Comments:
-------	----	----------------------	-----------

The field-duplicate sample and parent sample were both named "Crawlspace FF."

iii. Precision - All relative percent differences (RPD) less than specified DQOs? (Recommended: 25 %)

RPD (%) = Absolute Value of:
$$(\underline{R_1 - R_2}) \times 100$$

(($R_1 + R_2$)/2)

Where $R_1 =$ Sample Concentration

 $R_2 =$ Field Duplicate Concentration

• Yes O No O NA (Please explain) Comments:

The field-duplicate RPDs were within the recommended DQO of 25%, where calculable.

iv. Data quality or usability affected? (Please explain.)

No; see above.

e. Field Blank (If not used explain why).

C Yes	No	CNA (Please explain)	Comments:
A field blank	sample was	not required for this project.	
i. All resu	Its less than I	PQL?	
C Yes	C/No	• NA (Please explain)	Comments:
A field	blank samp	le was not required for this project.	

ii. If above PQL, what samples are affected?

Comments:

N/A; a field blank sample was not required for this project.

iii. Data quality or usability affected? (Please explain.)

Comments:

Comments:

No; see above.

7. Other Data Flags/Qualifiers

a. Defined and appropriate?

C Yes	C No	• NA (Please explain)	Comments:	
Addition	al data flags o	or qualifiers are not required.		

Reset Form

Updated: 2/2015

Address	1255 Airport Way, Fairbanks, AK		Project number	31-1-11850-001
Weather	-25°F		Project name	Shopper's Forum
Sample ID	Miguel's Office	time (start)	Initials 441 date (start)	KRF/CRW 1/23/2018
Duplicate_		dup. time		1121/2018
Sample tul	be serial #		Lab	Eurofins Air Toxics
Duplicate tul	be serial #		Sampler	Radiello 130

Notes 21	Ontily	in cabine	r temp	hs for wo	ork cor	puter
_						

ct name Initials	Shopper's Forum
Initials	KRF/CRW
e (start)	1/23/2018
te (end)	
Lab	Eurofins Air Toxics
Sampler	Radiello 130
	te (end) Lab Sampler

Notes	On dec	oration of	eer the	Jertboard	Jisplay
211		xx	слур		
		×			

Address_	1255 Airport Way, Fairbanks, AK	Project number	31-1-11850-001
	-250F	Project name	Shopper's Forum
vveatner_	UIE		Maria and Anna and An
	NINI	Initials	KRF/CRW
Sample ID	Bamboo Paria - Kitchentime (start)	date (start)	1/23/2018
	time (end)	1514_ date (end)	1/24/2018
Duplicate	dup. time	-	
	Querry (
Sample tul	be serial # $\underline{B876V}$	Lab	Eurofins Air Toxics
Duplicate tul	be serial #	Sampler	Radiello 130

2	0,0°C	indur ur	- tenp	
	2 . S. S			

Address	1255 Airport Way, Fairbanks, AK	Pr	oject number_	31-1-11850-001
Weather	-25 °F		Project name	Shopper's Forum
-	ELELELAD		Initials_	KRF/CRW
Sample ID _	Tarbahr Tast Tons Utic tin ti	ne (start) <u>1456</u> me (end) <u>155</u>	date (start) date (end)	1/23/2018
Duplicate_		dup. time	-	
Sample tub	be serial #		Lab_	Eurofins Air Toxics
Duplicate tub	be serial #		Sampler	Radiello 130

Notes He	ns. ns. ns. a	n pap	erwork	in	mored, ch	- right	aths
20	1°C	njour	or kmp				
		19					

Address_	1255 Airport Way	, Fairbanks, AK		Pro	ject number	31-1-11850-001
Weather_		-25°F		Ρ	roject name	Shopper's Forum
ana an	-				Initials	KRF/CRW
Sample ID	tait tob	Craw Space	time (start)	1500	date (start)	1/23/2018
_ Duplicate	Duplizate	1	time (end) dup. time	1430	date (end)	1/24/2018
Sample tub	oe serial #	BBAIN	_ \	15/9	Lab	Eurofins Air Toxics
Duplicate tub	oe serial #	B874V	- 1	hap qu	Sampler	Radiello 130
			U.	1500		

16.3°C inter ar hyp	michey.

SHANNON & WILSON, INC.

Address_	1255 Airport Way, Fairbanks, AK		Project number	31-1-11850-001
Weather	-250F		Project name	Shopper's Forum
	N 1 2 1 C		Initials	KRF/CRW
Sample ID	Bumpoo Graw Space	_time (start) _[SOS date (start)	1/23/2018
		time (end) <u>}</u>	SAL date (end)	V24/2018
Duplicate_		_ dup. time		
	1200			and a state of the
Sample tu	be serial #5613 V	-0	Lab_	Eurofins Air Toxics
Duplicate tu	be serial #	2	Sampler	Radiello 130

Notes	Hensey	interest	ht	looring	Micha	1 though	Bembou	6
16.9	C	10 OCM	air	temperai	tra			
								_
								_

Address 1255 Airport Way, Fairbanks, AK		Pro	ject number	31-1-11850-001
Weather -25°F		F	Project name	Shopper's Forum
Sample ID Miguel's - Kitchen	_time (start)_	1436	Initials _date (start) _date (end)	KRF/CRW 1/23/2018
Duplicate	_ dup. time	-	-	
Sample tube serial # <u>B869</u> V			Lab	Eurofins Air Toxics
Duplicate tube serial #			Sampler	Radiello 130

Notes aren behn reception Hanc cubb N de 4

24,400



Attachment to and part of Report 31-1-11850-001

Date: February 26, 2018

To: Gavora, Inc.

Attn: Mr. Rudy Gavora Re: February 2018 Air-Sampling Report Shopper's Forum Annex ADEC File No. 102.38.100

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR GEOTECHNICAL/ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT

CONSULTING SERVICES ARE PERFORMED FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES AND FOR SPECIFIC CLIENTS.

Consultants prepare reports to meet the specific needs of specific individuals. A report prepared for a civil engineer may not be adequate for a construction contractor or even another civil engineer. Unless indicated otherwise, your consultant prepared your report expressly for you and expressly for the purposes you indicated. No one other than you should apply this report for its intended purpose without first conferring with the consultant. No party should apply this report for any purpose other than that originally contemplated without first conferring with the consultant.

THE CONSULTANT'S REPORT IS BASED ON PROJECT-SPECIFIC FACTORS.

A geotechnical/environmental report is based on a subsurface exploration plan designed to consider a unique set of project-specific factors. Depending on the project, these may include: the general nature of the structure and property involved; its size and configuration; its historical use and practice; the location of the structure on the site and its orientation; other improvements such as access roads, parking lots, and underground utilities; and the additional risk created by scope-of-service limitations imposed by the client. To help avoid costly problems, ask the consultant to evaluate how any factors that change subsequent to the date of the report may affect the recommendations. Unless your consultant indicates otherwise, your report should not be used: (1) when the nature of the proposed project is changed (for example, if an office building will be erected instead of a parking garage, or if a refrigerated warehouse will be built instead of an unrefrigerated one, or chemicals are discovered on or near the site); (2) when the size, elevation, or configuration of the proposed project is altered; (3) when the location or orientation of the proposed project is modified; (4) when there is a change of ownership; or (5) for application to an adjacent site. Consultants cannot accept responsibility for problems that may occur if they are not consulted after factors which were considered in the development of the report have changed.

SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS CAN CHANGE.

Subsurface conditions may be affected as a result of natural processes or human activity. Because a geotechnical/environmental report is based on conditions that existed at the time of subsurface exploration, construction decisions should not be based on a report whose adequacy may have been affected by time. Ask the consultant to advise if additional tests are desirable before construction starts; for example, groundwater conditions commonly vary seasonally.

Construction operations at or adjacent to the site and natural events such as floods, earthquakes, or groundwater fluctuations may also affect subsurface conditions and, thus, the continuing adequacy of a geotechnical/environmental report. The consultant should be kept apprised of any such events, and should be consulted to determine if additional tests are necessary.

MOST RECOMMENDATIONS ARE PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENTS.

Site exploration and testing identifies actual surface and subsurface conditions only at those points where samples are taken. The data were extrapolated by your consultant, who then applied judgment to render an opinion about overall subsurface conditions. The actual interface between materials may be far more gradual or abrupt than your report indicates. Actual conditions in areas not sampled may differ from those predicted in your report. While nothing can be done to prevent such situations, you and your consultant can work together to help reduce their impacts. Retaining your consultant to observe subsurface construction operations can be particularly beneficial in this respect.

A REPORT'S CONCLUSIONS ARE PRELIMINARY.

The conclusions contained in your consultant's report are preliminary because they must be based on the assumption that conditions revealed through selective exploratory sampling are indicative of actual conditions throughout a site. Actual subsurface conditions can be discerned only during earthwork; therefore, you should retain your consultant to observe actual conditions and to provide conclusions. Only the consultant who prepared the report is fully familiar with the background information needed to determine whether or not the report's recommendations based on those conclusions are valid and whether or not the contractor is abiding by applicable recommendations. The consultant who developed your report cannot assume responsibility or liability for the adequacy of the report's recommendations if another party is retained to observe construction.

THE CONSULTANT'S REPORT IS SUBJECT TO MISINTERPRETATION.

Costly problems can occur when other design professionals develop their plans based on misinterpretation of a geotechnical/environmental report. To help avoid these problems, the consultant should be retained to work with other project design professionals to explain relevant geotechnical, geological, hydrogeological, and environmental findings, and to review the adequacy of their plans and specifications relative to these issues.

BORING LOGS AND/OR MONITORING WELL DATA SHOULD NOT BE SEPARATED FROM THE REPORT.

Final boring logs developed by the consultant are based upon interpretation of field logs (assembled by site personnel), field test results, and laboratory and/or office evaluation of field samples and data. Only final boring logs and data are customarily included in geotechnical/environmental reports. These final logs should not, under any circumstances, be redrawn for inclusion in architectural or other design drawings, because drafters may commit errors or omissions in the transfer process.

To reduce the likelihood of boring log or monitoring well misinterpretation, contractors should be given ready access to the complete geotechnical engineering/environmental report prepared or authorized for their use. If access is provided only to the report prepared for you, you should advise contractors of the report's limitations, assuming that a contractor was not one of the specific persons for whom the report was prepared, and that developing construction cost estimates was not one of the specific purposes for which it was prepared. While a contractor may gain important knowledge from a report prepared for another party, the contractor should discuss the report with your consultant and perform the additional or alternative work believed necessary to obtain the data specifically appropriate for construction cost estimating purposes. Some clients hold the mistaken impression that simply disclaiming responsibility for the accuracy of subsurface information always insulates them from attendant liability. Providing the best available information to contractors helps prevent costly construction problems and the adversarial attitudes that aggravate them to a disproportionate scale.

READ RESPONSIBILITY CLAUSES CLOSELY.

Because geotechnical/environmental engineering is based extensively on judgment and opinion, it is far less exact than other design disciplines. This situation has resulted in wholly unwarranted claims being lodged against consultants. To help prevent this problem, consultants have developed a number of clauses for use in their contracts, reports, and other documents. These responsibility clauses are not exculpatory clauses designed to transfer the consultant's liabilities to other parties; rather, they are definitive clauses that identify where the consultant's responsibilities begin and end. Their use helps all parties involved recognize their individual responsibilities and take appropriate action. Some of these definitive clauses are likely to appear in your report, and you are encouraged to read them closely. Your consultant will be pleased to give full and frank answers to your questions.

The preceding paragraphs are based on information provided by the ASFE/Association of Engineering Firms Practicing in the Geosciences, Silver Spring, Maryland