

BGES, INC. Providing Environmental and Geological Consulting Services 1042 E. 6th Avenue Anchorage, Alaska 99501 Ph: (907) 644-2900 Fax: (907) 644-2901 www.BGESINC.com

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

BGES, INC.

September 9, 2019

Robert Weimer Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Contaminated Sites Program 555 Cordova Street Anchorage, Alaska 99501

RE: SUMMARY REPORT - REQUEST TO REUSE PEAT/SOIL STOCKPILE AT 10240 OLD SEWARD HIGHWAY, ANCHORAGE, ALASKA

Dear Mr. Weimer:

BGES personnel mobilized to the site on August 14, 2019 for the purposes of performing stockpile sampling in association with the covered peat stockpile located at 10240 Old Seward Highway in Anchorage; hereafter referred to as the subject property. The ADEC File Number and Hazard Identification Number associated with the peat stockpile are 2100.38.543 and 26086, respectively. This document serves to summarize the peat stockpile characterization sampling activities.

The stockpiles were originally constructed in general accordance with ADEC stockpile construction guidelines during 2013 by a previous consultant. The stockpile was approximately 54 feet long by 42 feet wide by 9 feet average height prior to collection of the samples. The peat stockpile was estimated to be approximately 700 to 750 cubic yards. There was no evidence that the soil stockpile had been disturbed since 2017 when the stockpile cover was replaced.

The peat stockpile was sampled in accordance with the proposed sampling methodology you approved via email correspondence dated August 9, 2019. Field screening samples were not required for this sampling event because all photoionization detector (PID) readings were 0 parts per million (ppm) during the previous sampling event during 2014. It is noted that heated headspace field screening samples were collected from each sample location utilizing a PID, which was calibrated prior to use with 100 ppm isobutylene calibration gas. The screening samples were collected in sealable plastic bags and allowed to equilibrate for at least 10 minutes, but not greater than one hour, and were allowed to warm to at least 40 degrees Fahrenheit. The bags were then agitated for approximately 15 seconds prior to inserting the probe of the PID into the bag. The

greatest PID reading was then recorded for each field screening sample. All six field screening samples exhibited a PID of 0 ppm. No visual or olfactory indications of contamination were observed in any of the soils during the collection of the stockpile samples.

Six peat/soil samples plus one duplicate sample were collected from the stockpile. Each soil sample was collected utilizing a clean stainless-steel spoon and placed into a laboratory-supplied amber glass jar. The lid was then firmly tightened, and the sample was labeled with a unique sample identification and the time of collection. All soil samples were then immediately placed within a chilled cooler.

The samples were labeled, for example "PSTK-100-0814" where the prefix "PSTK" indicates that the sample was collected from the Peat Stockpile; "-100" indicates the unique sample number within the stockpile; and "-0814" indicates the month and day that the sample was collected.

Four of the samples were collected from the approximate locations and depths of the four samples that previously exhibited diesel range organics (DRO) concentrations that exceeded the ADEC cleanup criterion. Sample PSTK-100-0814 was collected from the approximate location as the previous Sample PSTK-12-1210. Sample PSTK-101-0814 was collected from the approximate location as the previous Sample PSTK-19-1210. Sample PSTK-102-0814 and Duplicate Sample PSTK-103-0814 were collected from the approximate location as the previous Sample PSTK-104-0814 was collected from the approximate location as the previous Sample PSTK-104-0814 was collected from the approximate location as the previous Sample PSTK-62-1210. Sample PSTK-105-0814 and PSTK-106-0814 were collected from new locations within the peat stockpile. A site sketch map and the approximate depths of each sample are included in the field notes attached to this summary report.

The samples were hand delivered under standard chain of custody protocol to SGS North America in Anchorage, Alaska, which is an ADEC-approved laboratory. All soil samples were analyzed for diesel range organics (DRO) by Alaska Method 102. Copies of the Laboratory Analytical Data Report for Work Order 1194650 and the data quality control checklist for this laboratory work order are attached to this summary report.

Sample PSTK-105-0814 exhibited a concentration of DRO at 322 milligrams per kilograms (mg/Kg), which exceeds the ADEC cleanup criterion of 250 mg/Kg. The reported DRO concentrations for the other five samples (including the duplicate) ranged from 65.2 mg/Kg to 207

mg/Kg. Because the samples from this stockpile consisted of mainly of peat, we contacted SGS to get a copy of the chromatograms for the soil samples and requested an opinion from SGS's chemist on the origin of the DRO in these samples. Jillian Janssen, SGS Project Manager, indicated that "Based on the moisture content and the chromatogram pattern for sample PSTK-105-0814, this does appear to be biogenic. The pattern is quite similar for all samples on this work order." Copies of the chromatograms and the email correspondence with SGS are attached to this summary report. The analytical results are included in Table 1.

Based on these DRO concentrations and the biogenic chromatogram pattern for all soil samples, we are requesting ADEC's written approval to spread the peat stockpile on the subject property.

Sincerely BGES, INC. Prepared by:

Chris Pepe Environmental Scientist I

Reviewed by:

ottal

Jayne Martin Senior Environmental Scientist

Attachments: Table 1 – Analytical Results – Soil Samples (September 2019) Field Notes Laboratory Analytical Data Laboratory Data Quality Checklist Chromatograms and Email Correspondence with SGS

TABLE 1 10240 OLD SEWARD HIGHWAY ANCHORAGE, AK ANALYTICAL RESULTS - SOIL SAMPLES (SEPTEMBER 2019)

Sample No.	Parameter	Results (mg/Kg)	LOQ(mg/Kg)	DL (mg/Kg)	ADEC Cleanup Criteria (mg/Kg) ¹	Analytical Method
PSTK-100-0814	DRO	65.2	24.9	7.72	250	AK 102
PID = 0 ppm						
Depth = $18-24$ in. from top of pile						
PSTK-101-0814	DRO	138	33.5	10.4	250	AK 102
PID = 0 ppm						
Depth = 24 in. from top of pile						
PSTK-102-0814	DRO	136	27.6	8.55	250	AK 102
PID = 0 ppm						
Depth = 36 in. from top of pile						
PSTK-103-0814	DRO	207	35.8	11.1	250	AK 102
PID = 0 ppm						
Depth = 36 in. from top of pile						
Duplicate of PSTK-102-0814						
DRO RPD = 41.4 %						
PSTK-104-0814	DRO	171	23.9	7.40	250	AK 102
PID = 0 ppm						
Depth = 24 in. from top of pile						
PSTK-105-0814	DRO	322	49.7	15.40	250	AK 102
PID = 0 ppm						
Depth $= 30$ in from top of pile						
PSTK-106-0814	DRO	141	27.9	8.65	250	AK 102
PID = 0 ppm						
Depth = 36 in. from top of pile						
¹ Soil cleanup criteria for DRO are obtained from	n Table B2, Method 2, Und	er 40-Inch Zone (referr	ing to annual precipitatio	on), Migration to Gro	oundwater values (October 2	27, 2018).
AAC = Alaska Administrative Code; AK = Alask	a Method; ADEC = Alaska	Department of Enviror	imental Conservation; m	g/Kg = milligrams p	er kilogram;	
PID = photoionization detector; ppm = parts per LOO = Limit of Quantitation; PPD = relative per	million; DRO = diesel range	e organics; in. = inches	; DL = detection limit;			
Bold	= The value exceeds t	he applicable ADEC	cleanup criterion.			

FIELD NOTES

70° overcast 8-14-19 14:00 - Chris and Jayne with BGES arrived on site at Alaska Rubber Supply at 10240 old Seward Highway - Located asens within the stockpile that was sampled in 2014. · Collected 6 soil samples plus I duplicate. Four soil samples collected from soil in areas previously sampled in 2014. Sample locations 42 RID PSTK-100-0814 Ø 85TK-101 -0914 Ø 85TK-104 PSTK-105 E PSTK-102-0814 PSTK-103-0314 (dup of 102) PSTK-104-0814 Height Aug = 9' Ø PSTK-105-0814 Ø cP Ø PSTK-106-0814 SW PSTK-101 PSTK-101 PSTK-103 = 54 PSTK-106 PSTK-100 Approximately 750 yol 3 Previous Sample 10 SAMPLE 10 Depth 18"-2" from top of p.k PSTK-100-0814 PSTK-12-1210 midpoint = 3 2 from top of pile PSTK-101-0814 PSTK-19-1210 PSTK-30-1210 PSTK-102-0814 mid point = 3' from top of pile 4 N PSTK-103-0814 PSTK-104-0914 PSTK-62-1210 2 from top of pile mil point = 2.5" from top of pile PSTK-105-0914 New location mid point = 3.0 from top of pile PSTK-106 - 0914 New location offsik 16.30 Cheis

lite in the Rain"

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL DATA



Laboratory Report of Analysis

To: BGES Inc. 1042 E. 6th Ave., Anchorage, AK 99501 (907)644-2900

Report Number: 1194650

Client Project: ARG

Dear Jayne Martin,

Enclosed are the results of the analytical services performed under the referenced project for the received samples and associated QC as applicable. The samples are certified to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference Standards. Copies of this report and supporting data will be retained in our files for a period of ten years in the event they are required for future reference. All results are intended to be used in their entirety and SGS is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. Any samples submitted to our laboratory will be retained for a maximum of fourteen (14) days from the date of this report unless other archiving requirements were included in the quote.

If there are any questions about the report or services performed during this project, please call Jillian at (907) 562-2343. We will be happy to answer any questions or concerns which you may have.

Thank you for using SGS North America Inc. for your analytical services. We look forward to working with you again on any additional analytical needs.

Sincerely,	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Jillian Vlahovich
SGS NOTIT America Inc.	Jillian	Jansson	2019.08.20
	SGS North America, Inc. Environmental Services - Alaska Division Project Manager		08:12:55 -08'00'

Jillian Janssen Project Manager Jillian.Janssen@sgs.com Date

Print Date: 08/19/2019 3:30:45PM

SGS North America Inc.

200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518 t 907.562.2343 f 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com Results via Engage

Member of SGS Group



Case Narrative

SGS Client: **BGES Inc.** SGS Project: **1194650** Project Name/Site: **ARG** Project Contact: **Jayne Martin**

Refer to sample receipt form for information on sample condition.

*QC comments may be associated with the field samples found in this report. When applicable, comments will be applied to associated field samples.

Print Date: 08/19/2019 3:30:47PM

SGS North America Inc.

200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518 t 907.562.2343 f 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group



Laboratory Qualifiers

Enclosed are the analytical results associated with the above work order. The results apply to the samples as received. All results are intended to be used in their entirety and SGS is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <<u>http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx></u>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indenmification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client and this document does not exonerate parties to a transaction from exercising all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the context or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

SGS maintains a formal Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) program. A copy of our Quality Assurance Plan (QAP), which outlines this program, is available at your request. The laboratory certification numbers are AK00971 (DW Chemistry & Microbiology) & 17-021 (CS) for ADEC and 2944.01 for DOD ELAP/ISO17025 (RCRA methods: 1020B, 1311, 3010A, 3050B, 3520C, 3550C, 5030B, 5035A, 6020A, 7470A, 7471B, 8015C, 8021B, 8082A, 8260C, 8270D, 8270D-SIM, 9040C, 9045D, 9056A, 9060A, AK101 and AK102/103). SGS is only certified for the analytes listed on our Drinking Water Certification, and only those analytes will be reported to the State of Alaska for compliance. Except as specifically noted, all statements and data in this report are in conformance to the provisions set forth by the SGS QAP and, when applicable, other regulatory authorities.

The following descriptors or qualifiers may be found in your report:

*	The analyte has exceeded allowable regulatory or control limits.
!	Surrogate out of control limits.
В	Indicates the analyte is found in a blank associated with the sample.
CCV/CVA/CVB	Continuing Calibration Verification
CCCV/CVC/CVCA/CVCB	Closing Continuing Calibration Verification
CL	Control Limit
DF	Analytical Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (i.e., maximum method detection limit)
E	The analyte result is above the calibrated range.
GT	Greater Than
IB	Instrument Blank
ICV	Initial Calibration Verification
J	The quantitation is an estimation.
LCS(D)	Laboratory Control Spike (Duplicate)
LLQC/LLIQC	Low Level Quantitation Check
LOD	Limit of Detection (i.e., 1/2 of the LOQ)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (i.e., reporting or practical quantitation limit)
LT	Less Than
MB	Method Blank
MS(D)	Matrix Spike (Duplicate)
ND	Indicates the analyte is not detected.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
Sample summaries which i All DRO/RRO analyses are	nclude a result for "Total Solids" have already been adjusted for moisture content.

Print Date: 08/19/2019 3:30:48PM

Note:

200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518 t 907.562.2343 f 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com

Member of SGS Group



	Sample Summary									
Client Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Collected	Received	Matrix						
PSTK-100-0814	1194650001	08/14/2019	08/14/2019	Soil/Solid (dry weight)						
PSTK-101-0814	1194650002	08/14/2019	08/14/2019	Soil/Solid (dry weight)						
PSTK-102-0814	1194650003	08/14/2019	08/14/2019	Soil/Solid (dry weight)						
PSTK-103-0814	1194650004	08/14/2019	08/14/2019	Soil/Solid (dry weight)						
PSTK-104-0814	1194650005	08/14/2019	08/14/2019	Soil/Solid (dry weight)						
PSTK-105-0814	1194650006	08/14/2019	08/14/2019	Soil/Solid (dry weight)						
PSTK-106-0814	1194650007	08/14/2019	08/14/2019	Soil/Solid (dry weight)						

Method

AK102 SM21 2540G

Method Description

Diesel Range Organics (S) Percent Solids SM2540G



Summary

Client Sample ID: PSTK-100-0814			
Lab Sample ID: 1194650001	Parameter	Result	Units
Semivolatile Organic Fuels	Diesel Range Organics	65.2	mg/Kg
Client Sample ID: PSTK-101-0814 Lab Sample ID: 1194650002 Semivolatile Organic Fuels	Parameter Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result</u> 138	<u>Units</u> ma/Ka
Client Sample ID: PSTK-102-0814 Lab Sample ID: 1194650003 Semivolatile Organic Fuels	<u>Parameter</u> Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result</u> 136	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg
Client Sample ID: PSTK-103-0814 Lab Sample ID: 1194650004 Semivolatile Organic Fuels	<u>Parameter</u> Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result</u> 207	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg
Client Sample ID: PSTK-104-0814 Lab Sample ID: 1194650005 Semivolatile Organic Fuels	<u>Parameter</u> Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result</u> 171	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg
Client Sample ID: PSTK-105-0814 Lab Sample ID: 1194650006 Semivolatile Organic Fuels	<u>Parameter</u> Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result</u> 322	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg
Client Sample ID: PSTK-106-0814 Lab Sample ID: 1194650007 Semivolatile Organic Fuels	<u>Parameter</u> Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result</u> 141	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg

SGS North America Inc.



Results of PSTK-100-0814							
Client Sample ID: PSTK-100-0814 Client Project ID: ARG Lab Sample ID: 1194650001 Lab Project ID: 1194650	C R M S L	Illection Date: 08/14/19 14:30 ceived Date: 08/14/19 17:07 atrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight) Ilids (%):80.2 cation:					
Results by Semivolatile Organic Fuels	5						
<u>Parameter</u> Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result Qual</u> 65.2	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 24.9	<u>DL</u> 7.72	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg	<u>DF</u> 1	<u>Allowable</u> <u>Limits</u>	Date Analyzed 08/16/19 11:20
Surrogates							
5a Androstane (surr)	92.6	50-150		%	1		08/16/19 11:20
Batch Information							
Analytical Batch: XFC15248 Analytical Method: AK102 Analyst: VDL Analytical Date/Time: 08/16/19 11:20 Container ID: 1194650001-A		F F F	Prep Batch: Prep Methor Prep Date/T Prep Initial \ Prep Extrac	XXX42026 d: SW3550C ime: 08/15/1 Nt./Vol.: 30.0 t Vol: 5 mL	9 16:48 956 g		



Results of PSTK-101-0814							
Client Sample ID: PSTK-101-0814 Client Project ID: ARG Lab Sample ID: 1194650002 Lab Project ID: 1194650	C R M S						
Results by Semivolatile Organic Fuel	S		_				
<u>Parameter</u> Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result Qual</u> 138	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 33.5	<u>DL</u> 10.4	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg	<u>DF</u> 1	Allowable Limits	Date Analyzed 08/16/19 11:29
Surrogates							
5a Androstane (surr)	90.3	50-150		%	1		08/16/19 11:29
Batch Information							
Analytical Batch: XFC15248 Analytical Method: AK102 Analyst: VDL Analytical Date/Time: 08/16/19 11:29 Container ID: 1194650002-A			Prep Batch: Prep Method Prep Date/T Prep Initial N Prep Extrac	XXX42026 d: SW3550C iime: 08/15/1 Wt./Vol.: 30.3 t Vol: 5 mL	9 16:48 373 g		



Results of PSTK-102-0814							
Client Sample ID: PSTK-102-0814 Client Project ID: ARG Lab Sample ID: 1194650003 Lab Project ID: 1194650	C R M S						
Results by Semivolatile Organic Fuels	5		_				
<u>Parameter</u> Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result Qual</u> 136	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 27.6	<u>DL</u> 8.55	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg	<u>DF</u> 1	<u>Allowable</u> Limits	Date Analyzed 08/16/19 11:39
Surrogates							
5a Androstane (surr)	99.5	50-150		%	1		08/16/19 11:39
Batch Information							
Analytical Batch: XFC15248 Analytical Method: AK102 Analyst: VDL Analytical Date/Time: 08/16/19 11:39 Container ID: 1194650003-A			Prep Batch: Prep Metho Prep Date/T Prep Initial \ Prep Extrac	XXX42026 d: SW3550C ïme: 08/15/1 Nt./Vol.: 30.0 t Vol: 5 mL	9 16:48 17 g		



Results of PSTK-103-0814							
Client Sample ID: PSTK-103-0814 Client Project ID: ARG Lab Sample ID: 1194650004 Lab Project ID: 1194650		C R M S L	ollection D eceived Da latrix: Soil/ olids (%):5 ocation:	ate: 08/14/ [.] ate: 08/14/1 Solid (dry wo 5.3	19 14:45 9 17:07 eight)		
Results by Semivolatile Organic Fuels	5		_				
Parameter Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result Qual</u> 207	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 35.8	<u>DL</u> 11.1	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg	<u>DF</u> 1	<u>Allowable</u> Limits	Date Analyzed 08/16/19 11:49
Surrogates							
5a Androstane (surr)	94.9	50-150		%	1		08/16/19 11:49
Batch Information							
Analytical Batch: XFC15248 Analytical Method: AK102 Analyst: VDL Analytical Date/Time: 08/16/19 11:49 Container ID: 1194650004-A			Prep Batch: Prep Method Prep Date/T Prep Initial \ Prep Extrac	XXX42026 d: SW3550C ime: 08/15/1 Vt./Vol.: 30.3 t Vol: 5 mL	9 16:48 39 g		



Results of PSTK-104-0814							
Client Sample ID: PSTK-104-0814 Client Project ID: ARG Lab Sample ID: 1194650005 Lab Project ID: 1194650	C R M S	ollection D eceived Da atrix: Soil/ olids (%):8 ocation:	ate: 08/14/ [.] ate: 08/14/1 Solid (dry wo 3.4	19 14:50 9 17:07 eight)			
Results by Semivolatile Organic Fuel	s		_				
<u>Parameter</u> Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result Qual</u> 171	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 23.9	<u>DL</u> 7.40	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg	<u>DF</u> 1	Allowable Limits	Date Analyzed 08/16/19 11:59
Surrogates							
5a Androstane (surr)	88.9	50-150		%	1		08/16/19 11:59
Batch Information							
Analytical Batch: XFC15248 Analytical Method: AK102 Analyst: VDL Analytical Date/Time: 08/16/19 11:59 Container ID: 1194650005-A			Prep Batch: Prep Method Prep Date/T Prep Initial V Prep Extrac	XXX42026 d: SW3550C ime: 08/15/1 Nt./Vol.: 30.1 t Vol: 5 mL	9 16:48 34 g		



Results of PSTK-105-0814							
Client Sample ID: PSTK-105-0814 Client Project ID: ARG Lab Sample ID: 1194650006 Lab Project ID: 1194650	C R M S						
Results by Semivolatile Organic Fue	els		_				
Parameter Diesel Range Organics	Result Qual 322	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 49.7	<u>DL</u> 15.4	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg	<u>DF</u> 1	Allowable Limits	Date Analyzed 08/16/19 12:08
Surrogates							
5a Androstane (surr)	87.5	50-150		%	1		08/16/19 12:08
Batch Information							
Analytical Batch: XFC15248 Analytical Method: AK102 Analyst: VDL Analytical Date/Time: 08/16/19 12:08 Container ID: 1194650006-A			Prep Batch: XXX42026 Prep Method: SW3550C Prep Date/Time: 08/15/19 16:48 Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: 30.472 g Prep Extract Vol: 5 mL				



Results of PSTK-106-0814							
Client Sample ID: PSTK-106-0814 Client Project ID: ARG Lab Sample ID: 1194650007 Lab Project ID: 1194650		C R M S	ollection D eceived Da atrix: Soil/ olids (%):7 ocation:	ate: 08/14/ [;] ate: 08/14/1 Solid (dry wo 1.2	19 14:59 9 17:07 eight)		
Results by Semivolatile Organic Fuels	;		_				
<u>Parameter</u> Diesel Range Organics	<u>Result Qual</u> 141	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 27.9	<u>DL</u> 8.65	<u>Units</u> mg/Kg	<u>DF</u> 1	<u>Allowable</u> Limits	Date Analyzed 08/16/19 12:18
Surrogates							
5a Androstane (surr)	92.4	50-150		%	1		08/16/19 12:18
Batch Information Analytical Batch: XFC15248 Analytical Method: AK102 Analyst: VDL Analytical Date/Time: 08/16/19 12:18 Container ID: 1194650007-A			Prep Batch: Prep Methor Prep Date/T Prep Initial V Prep Extrac	XXX42026 d: SW3550C ime: 08/15/1 Nt./Vol.: 30.2 t Vol: 5 mL	9 16:48 224 g		

SGS

		L			
	1797935 [SPT/10854]	Matri	x: Soil/Solid (drv weight)	
Blank Lab ID: 152551	18	inc.		i y noight,	
QC for Samples: 1194650001, 11946500	002, 1194650003, 1194650004, 119	4650005, 1194650006	6, 1194650007		
Results by SM21 254	0G	1.00/01		l la ita	
Total Solids	<u>Results</u> 99.9			<u>01115</u> %	
Batch Information					
Analytical Batch: SF Analytical Method: S Instrument:					
Analyst: MER Analytical Date/Time	a: 8/14/2019 11:00:00PM				

SGS	

- Dunlicate Sample Summary		·						
Original Sample ID: 119457100 Duplicate Sample ID: 1525522 QC for Samples:	2	A N	Analysis Date: 08/14/2019 23:00 Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)					
Results by SM21 2540G		·						
NAME	<u>Original</u>	<u>Duplicate</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>RPD (%)</u>	RPD CL			
Total Solids	94.1	94.2	%	0.10	(< 15)			
Batch Information Analytical Batch: SPT10854 Analytical Method: SM21 2540G Instrument: Analyst: MER								
Print Date: 08/19/2019 3:30:54PM								

SGS

uplicate Sample Summ riginal Sample ID: 1194 uplicate Sample ID: 152 C for Samples:	1621006									
riginal Sample ID: 1194 uplicate Sample ID: 152 C for Samples:	1621006									
o ioi oumpioo.	25523		Analysis Date: 08/14/2019 23:00 Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)							
104650001 110465000	2 1104650002 1104	250004 1104650005	1104650006 110	4650007						
194050001, 119405000	2, 1194050005, 11940	550004, 1194650005,	1194050000, 119	4050007						
oculto by SM21 2540C										
	Original	Duplicate	Units	RPD (%)	RPD CL					
<u>≺m⊢</u> otal Solids	91.2	91.5	%	0.32	(< 15)					
	51.2	01.0	70	0.02	(10)					
atch Information										
Analytical Batch: SPT108 Analytical Method: SM21 Instrument:	54 2540G									
Analyst: MER										

SGS

Method Blank							
Blank ID: MB for HBN 179 Blank Lab ID: 1525663	97979 [XXX/42026]	Matrix	k: Soil/Solid (d	ry weight)			
QC for Samples: 194650001, 1194650002, 1	194650003, 1194650004, 1194	650005, 1194650006	, 1194650007				
Results by AK102							
Parameter	Results	LOQ/CL	<u>DL</u>	<u>Units</u>			
Diesel Range Organics	10.0U	20.0	6.20	mg/Kg			
urrogates							
5a Androstane (surr)	94.2	60-120		%			
atch Information							
Analytical Batch: XFC15	248	Prep Ba	tch: XXX42026				
Applytical Mathad: AK10	12	Prep Me	thod: SW3550	C			
Analytical Method. AKTO	BR	Prep Date/Time: 8/15/2019 4:48:43PM					
Instrument: Agilent 7890		Duois Init					



Blank Spike Summary

Blank Spike ID: LCS for HBN 1194650 [XXX42026] Blank Spike Lab ID: 1525664 Date Analyzed: 08/16/2019 08:44 Spike Duplicate ID: LCSD for HBN 1194650 [XXX42026] Spike Duplicate Lab ID: 1525665 Matrix: Soil/Solid (dry weight)

QC for Samples: 1194650001, 1194650002, 1194650003, 1194650004, 1194650005, 1194650006, 1194650007

Results by AK102									
	E	Blank Spike	(mg/Kg)	S	pike Duplic	ate (mg/Kg)			
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Spike</u>	Result	<u>Rec (%)</u>	<u>Spike</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Rec (%)</u>	<u>CL</u>	<u>RPD (%)</u>	RPD CL
Diesel Range Organics	833	853	102	833	867	104	(75-125)	1.60	(< 20)
urrogates									
5a Androstane (surr)	16.7	102	102	16.7	103	103	(60-120)	0.16	
Batch Information									
Analytical Batch: XFC15248 Analytical Method: AK102 Instrument: Agilent 7890B R Analyst: VDL				Pre Pre Pre Spil Dup	o Batch: X o Method: o Date/Tim ke Init Wt./v oe Init Wt./v	XX42026 SW3550C e: 08/15/20 /ol.: 833 mg /ol.: 833 mg	19 16:48 g/Kg Extract l/Kg Extract \	Vol: 5 mL /ol: 5 mL	





SGS North America Inc. HAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Locations Nationwide

Alaska Maryland New Jersey New York North Carolina Indiana West Virgina Kentucky

www.us.sgs.com

		BGES					Inst On	ructions nission	s: Sect s may c	ions 1 Ielay 1	- 5 i the oi	must nset c	be fill of ana	ed o Iysis	ut.		
	CONTACT:	Jayne Marton PHO	DNE NO: 9	07 644	-2900	Sec	tion 3				Prese	rvative					Page of
ection '	PROJECT NAME:	ARG PRO	JECT/ SID/ MIT#:			# C											
S	REPORTS TO	D: E-N Jayne Martin	IAIL: Jaya	-2086ES	inc.com	O N T	Type C = COMP	Co									
	INVOICE TO: Ja	yne Mertin P.O	OTE #: . #: Opes	n		I N	GRAB MI = Multi	AK I									
	RESERVED for lab use	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	DATE mm/dd/yy	TIME HH:MM	MATRIX/ MATRIX CODE	R S	mental Soils	0,00									REMARKS/ LOC ID
	QA) A PSTK-100-0814 B-14-19 14.30 S					G	X									
	(2) A	PSTK-101-0914	8-14-19	14:35	5	<u>}</u>	G	17									
\sim	(3) A	PSTK-102-0814	8-14-19	14:40	5		G	<u>}</u>									
fion	AU	PSTK-103-0814	8-14-19	14:45	5	1	6										
Sec	<u>S</u> A	PSTK-104-0814	8-14-19	14:50	5		G	×									
	A Q	PSTK-105-0814	8-14-19	14:55	5	1	5						· .				
	D A	PSTK-106-0814	8-14-19	14.59	5	1	5	7									
┝									Sec	tion 4	DOD	Proiec	t? Yes	Nô)	Data [Deliver	able Requirements:
	Relinquished	d By: (1)	Date	Time	Received By			2							1.0.0	TT	DATAPL
	Min	1.pr	8-14-19	16.30	sec.	H			Coo	ler ID:					Leve		WINT Jackay
5	Relinquished	I Bý: (2)	Date	Time	Received By				Requ	ested Tu	ırnarou	Ind Time	e and/or	Speci	ial Instru	ctions	:
<u></u>	la	La La	8-14-19	17:06						C^{1}	٥		T.				JE
Sect	Relinquished	l By: (3)	Date	Time	Received By:					うち	n n	050		<u> </u>	0100	F	rohle 334626
ľ									Temp	Blank °	c: 10	0,62	62	(Chain	n of Cu	stody Seal: (Circle)
	Relinquished	l By: (4)	Date	Time	Received For	r Labora	atory By:				or Amb	bient []		INTAC	ст в	ROKEN ABSENT
			8.14.19	17:07	CR	17			(Se	e attach	ed Sam	ple Rec	eipt For	rm)	(See atta	ached \$	Sample Receipt Form)
	[] 200 W. P	otter Drive Anchorage. AK 995	18 Tel: (907)	562-2343 Fa	x: (907) 561-5	301			http://	www.sas	.com/te	rms-and	-conditic	ons	<u></u> .		HO
	[] 5500 Bus	siness Drive Wilmington, NC 2	8405 Tel: (910) 350-1903 F	ax: (910) 350-	1557											18 of 20

F083-Kit_Request_and_COC_Templates-Blank Revised 2013-03-24

.

e-sample necelpt i onn

000	e-Sam <u>p</u>	ole Recei	ot Form	<u>ו</u>		
262	SGS Workorder #:		1194	4650		1 9 4 <u>6 5</u> 0
Re	view Criteria	Condition (Ye	es, No, N/A		Exceptions	Noted below
<u>Chain o</u>	f Custody / Temperature Requir	rements		N/A Exem	ption permitted if s	ampler hand carries/delivers.
	Were Custody Seals intact? Note # & I	location N/	Absent	t		
	COC accompanied sa	mples? Ye	s			
DOD: Were s	amples received in COC corresponding co	oolers? N/	4			
	Yes **Exemption permitted if a	chilled & co	lected <8	hours ago, or	for samples wher	e chilling is not required
Temperat	ure blank compliant* (i.e., 0-6 °C afte	er CF)? N/	A Cooler	<mark>· ID:</mark>	1 @	10.6 °C Therm. ID: D21
			Cooler	<mark>· ID:</mark>	@	°C Therm. ID:
If samples received without a documented instead & "COOLER 1	temperature blank, the "cooler temperature" will [EMP" will be noted to the right. "ambient" or "chi	be illed" will	Cooler	<mark>· ID:</mark>	@	°C Therm. ID:
be n	oted if neither is available.		Cooler	<mark>· ID:</mark>	@	°C Therm. ID:
			Cooler	<mark>· ID:</mark>	@	°C Therm. ID:
*lf >6	°C, were samples collected <8 hours	ago? Ye	s			
	If <0°C, were sample containers ice	free? N/	4			
Note: Identify contain	ers received at non-compliant temper	ature.				
	Use form 1 3-0023 if more space is ne	eeueu.				
Holdina Time / D	Ocumentation / Sample Condition Re	auirement	s Note: Re	efer to form F-08	3 "Sample Guide" fo	r specific holding times.
	Nere samples received within holding	g time? Ye	s		•	
			7			
Do samples match CO	C** (i.e.,sample IDs,dates/times colle	ected)? Ye	s			
**Note: If times dif	fer <1hr, record details & login per CC	OC.				
***Note: If sample information on c	ontainers differs from COC, SGS will default to C	COC information	on			
Were analytical requests of	clear? (i.e., method is specified for an	alyses Ye	s			
with mu	Iltiple option for analysis (Ex: BTEX, N	Metals)				
				N/A ***Exe	emption permitted	for metals (e.g,200.8/6020A).
Were proper container	rs (type/mass/volume/preservative***))used? Ye	s			
	Volatile / LL-Hg Requ	uirement	<mark>s</mark>			
Were Trip Blanks	(i.e., VOAs, LL-Hg) in cooler with san	nples? N/	4			
Were all water VOA via	ls free of headspace (i.e., bubbles ≤ €	6mm)? N/	A			
Were all	soil VOAs field extracted with MeOH-	+BFB? N/	4			
Note to Clie	ent: Any "No", answer above indicates nor	n-complianc	e with sta	ndard procedu	ures and may impa	act data quality.
	Additiona	l notes (if	applical	ble):		
				/-		



Sample Containers and Preservatives

<u>Container Id</u>	<u>Preservative</u>	<u>Container</u> Condition	<u>Container Id</u>	<u>Preservative</u>	<u>Container</u> <u>Condition</u>
1194650001-A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1194650002-A	No Preservative Required	OK			
1194650003-A	No Preservative Required	OK			
1194650004-A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1194650005-A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1194650006-A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1194650007-A	No Preservative Required	ОК			

Container Condition Glossary

Containers for bacteriological, low level mercury and VOA vials are not opened prior to analysis and will be assigned condition code OK unless evidence indicates than an inappropriate container was submitted.

OK - The container was received at an acceptable pH for the analysis requested.

BU - The container was received with headspace greater than 6mm.

DM - The container was received damaged.

FR - The container was received frozen and not usable for Bacteria or BOD analyses.

IC - The container provided for microbiology analysis was not a laboratory-supplied, pre-sterilized container and therefore was not suitable for analysis.

NC- The container provided was not preserved or was under-preserved. The method does not allow for additional preservative added after collection.

PA - The container was received outside of the acceptable pH for the analysis requested. Preservative was added upon receipt and the container is now at the correct pH. See the Sample Receipt Form for details on the amount and lot # of the preservative added.

PH - The container was received outside of the acceptable pH for the analysis requested. Preservative was added upon receipt, but was insufficient to bring the container to the correct pH for the analysis requested. See the Sample Receipt Form for details on the amount and lot # of the preservative added.

LABORATORY DATA QUALITY CHECKLIST

Laboratory Data Review Checklist

Completed By:

Chris Pepe

Title:

Environmental Scientist I

Date:

9/5/2019

CS Report Name:

Summary Report – Request to Reuse Peat/Soil Stockpile at 10240 Old Seward Highway

Report Date:

N/A

Consultant Firm:

BGES, Inc.

Laboratory Name:

SGS, Inc.

Laboratory Report Number:

1194650

ADEC File Number:

26086

Hazard Identification Number:

2100.38.543

1. Laboratory

a. Did an ADEC CS approved laboratory receive and perform all of the submitted sample analyses?

F		• Yes	O No	Comments:	
	b.	If the sa alternate	mples were tr e laboratory, v	ransferred to another "network" laboratory or sub-contractive was the laboratory performing the analyses ADEC CS ap	cted to an proved?
		© Yes	🔿 No	Comments:	
	N/A				
C	hain of	Custody	<u>(CoC)</u>		
	a. Co	C inform	ation comple	ted, signed, and dated (including released/received by)?	
		• Yes	© No	Comments:	
L	b. Co	rrect Ana	lyses request	ed?	
		• Yes	© No	Comments:	
L		~ 1	D D		

a. Sample/cooler temperature documented and within range at receipt $(0^{\circ} \text{ to } 6^{\circ} \text{ C})$?

O Yes O No Comments:

The sample cooler arrived at the laboratory with a measured temperature blank of 10.6 degrees Celsius, which exceeds the prescribed optimal temperature range of 0 to 6°C. However, because the sample cooler arrived within two hours of sample collection, there is a reduced potential for contaminant concentration loss within the samples because of natural attenuation. For this reason, it is our opinion that this quality control (OC) failure does not affect the acceptability of the data for their intended use.

b. Sample preservation acceptable – acidified waters, Methanol preserved VOC soil (GRO, BTEX, Volatile Chlorinated Solvents, etc.)?

O Yes O No Comments:

Not applicable. The soil samples were only analyzed for DRO which does not require preservation using an acid or methanol.

c. Sample condition documented – broken, leaking (Methanol), zero headspace (VOC vials)?

O No • Yes

Comments:

No irregularities were identified.

d. If there were any discrepancies, were they documented? For example, incorrect sample containers/preservation, sample temperature outside of acceptable range, insufficient or missing samples, etc.?

	Yes	O No	Comments:
No c	liscrepancie	s were noted.	
e. I	Data quality	or usability affected?	
			Comments:
N/A			
4. <u>Cas</u>	se Narrative		
a.	Present and	understandable?	
	Yes	O No	Comments:
b.	Discrepanci	es, errors, or QC failures	identified by the lab?
	Yes	© No	Comments:
No	discrepanci	es, errors, or QC failures	were identified by the lab regarding this data package
c.	Were all co	rrective actions document	ed?
	Yes	C No	Comments:
d.	What is the	effect on data quality/usa	bility according to the case narrative?
			Comments:
N/A	A		
Sample	es Results		
a.	Correct ana	lyses performed/reported	as requested on COC?
	Yes	C No	Comments:
b.	All applicat	ble holding times met?	
	• Yes	O No	Comments:

6.

c. All soils reported on a dry weight basis?

	1	•	, 8
	Yes	🔿 No	Comments:
d. A th	re the repo e project?	orted LOQs le	ess than the Cleanup Level or the minimum required detection level for
	Yes	C No	Comments:
e. D	ata quality	v or usability a	affected?
	O Yes	O No	Comments:
N/A			
C Samp	oles		
a. M	ethod Bla	nk	
	i. One	method blank	c reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?
	• Yes	O No	Comments:
	ii. All 1	nethod blank	results less than limit of quantitation (LOQ)?
	• Yes	O No	Comments:
	iii. If ab	ove LOQ, wh	nat samples are affected?
			Comments:
N/A			
	iv. Do t	he affected sa	mple(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?
	O Yes	O No	Comments:
N/A			
	v. Data	quality or us	ability affected?
			Comments:
N/A			

- b. Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate (LCS/LCSD)
 - i. Organics One LCS/LCSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples? (LCS/LCSD required per AK methods, LCS required per SW846)

	\odot	Yes	© No	Comments:
	ii.	Meta 20 sa	als/Inorgan amples?	ics – one LCS and one sample duplicate reported per matrix, analysis and
	С	Yes	No	Comments:
The sa	ımp	oles on	this work	order were not analyzed for metals or inorganics.
	iii	. Accı And AK1	aracy – All project spe 02 75%-12	percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits? ecified DQOs, if applicable. (AK Petroleum methods: AK101 60%-120%, 25%, AK103 60%-120%; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages)
	\odot	Yes	© No	Comments:
	iv	. Preci labor LCS other	ision – All ratory limit /LCSD, M r analyses s	relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or s? And project specified DQOs, if applicable. RPD reported from S/MSD, and or sample/sample duplicate. (AK Petroleum methods 20%; all see the laboratory QC pages)
	\odot	Yes	O No	Comments:
	v.	If %	R or RPD i	s outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected?
				Comments:
N/A				

vi. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

○ Yes ○ No Comments:

N/A

vii. Data quality or usability affected? (Use comment box to explain.)

Comments:

N/A

- c. Surrogates Organics Only
 - i. Are surrogate recoveries reported for organic analyses field, QC and laboratory samples?

• Yes • No Comments:

ii. Accuracy – All percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits? And project specified DQOs, if applicable. (AK Petroleum methods 50-150 %R; all other analyses see the laboratory report pages)

Yes	🔿 No	Comments:

iii. Do the sample results with failed surrogate recoveries have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

○ Yes ○ No Comments:

N/A

iv. Data quality or usability affected?

Comments:

- d. Trip blank Volatile analyses only (GRO, BTEX, Volatile Chlorinated Solvents, etc.): <u>Water and</u> <u>Soil</u>
 - i. One trip blank reported per matrix, analysis and for each cooler containing volatile samples?

(If not, enter explanation below.)

○ Yes ● No Comments:	🔿 Yes	🖲 No	Comments:
----------------------	-------	------	-----------

Samples were only analyzed for DRO. No trip blank was submitted for analysis.

ii. Is the cooler used to transport the trip blank and VOA samples clearly indicated on the COC? (If not, a comment explaining why must be entered below)

	O Yes	© No	Comments:
N/A			

iii. All results less than LOQ?

• Yes • No Comments:

N/A

Comments:

N/A

v. Data quality or usability affected?

Comments:

e. Field Duplicate

i. One field duplicate submitted per matrix, analysis and 10 project samples?

ii. Submitted blind to lab?

Yes O No

Comments:

iii. Precision – All relative percent differences (RPD) less than specified DQOs? (Recommended: 30% water, 50% soil)

RPD (%) = Absolute value of:

 $\frac{(R_1-R_2)}{((R_1+R_2)/2)}$ x 100

Where R_1 = Sample Concentration R_2 = Field Duplicate Concentration

• Yes • No

Comments:

Sample PTSK-103-0814 is a duplicate of Sample PSTK-102-0814 and was collected to evaluate field sampling precision. The RPD between the reported concentrations of DRO was 41.4 percent, which is within the acceptable limit of 50 percent. This indicates relatively good field sampling precision with respect to this analyte.

iv. Data quality or usability affected? (Use the comment box to explain why or why not.)

Comments:

No, data quality not affected.

f. Decontamination or Equipment Blank (If not applicable, a comment stating why must be entered below).

○ Yes ○ No ⊙ Not Applicable

Decontamination and equipment blanks were not part of the approved scope of work for this project.

- i. All results less than LOQ?
- O Yes O No Comments:

N/A

ii. If above LOQ, what samples are affected?

Comments:

N/A

iii. Data quality or usability affected?

Comments:

N/A

7. Other Data Flags/Qualifiers (ACOE, AFCEE, Lab Specific, etc.)

a. Defined and appropriate?

© Yes ⊙ No Comments:

CHROMATOGRAMS AND EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH SGS

Data Path : Z:\2019\08\SF\DATA\081619.SEC\ Data File : 16051.D Signal(s) : FID2B.ch : 16 Aug 2019 11:20 am Acq On Operator : VDL : 1194650001 Sample Misc : ALS Vial : 116 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Aug 19 10:23:55 2019 Quant Method : Z:\2019\08\SF\METHOD\SFR2019-0815.M Quant Title : DRO/RRO by Method AK 102/103 QLast Update : Fri Aug 16 09:58:38 2019 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation

```
Volume Inj. :
Signal Phase :
Signal Info :
```



```
Data Path : Z:\2019\08\SF\DATA\081619.SEC\
Data File : 16053.D
Signal(s) : FID2B.ch
Acq On
           : 16 Aug 2019 11:29 am
Operator
          : VDL
           : 1194650002
Sample
Misc
           :
ALS Vial : 117
                   Sample Multiplier: 1
Integration File: autoint1.e
Quant Time: Aug 19 10:26:30 2019
Quant Method : Z:\2019\08\SF\METHOD\SFR2019-0815.M
Quant Title : DRO/RRO by Method AK 102/103
QLast Update : Fri Aug 16 09:58:38 2019
Response via : Initial Calibration
Integrator: ChemStation
```

```
Volume Inj. :
Signal Phase :
Signal Info :
```



Data Path : Z:\2019\08\SF\DATA\081619.SEC\ Data File : 16055.D Signal(s) : FID2B.ch Acq On : 16 Aug 2019 11:39 am Operator : VDL Sample : 1194650003 Misc : ALS Vial : 118 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Aug 19 10:25:03 2019 Quant Method : Z:\2019\08\SF\METHOD\SFR2019-0815.M Quant Title : DRO/RRO by Method AK 102/103 QLast Update : Fri Aug 16 09:58:38 2019 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation

```
Volume Inj. :
Signal Phase :
Signal Info :
```



Data Path : Z:\2019\08\SF\DATA\081619.SEC\ Data File : 16057.D Signal(s) : FID2B.ch Acq On : 16 Aug 2019 11:49 am Operator : VDL : 1194650004 Sample Misc : ALS Vial : 119 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Aug 19 10:26:50 2019 Quant Method : Z:\2019\08\SF\METHOD\SFR2019-0815.M Quant Title : DRO/RRO by Method AK 102/103 QLast Update : Fri Aug 16 09:58:38 2019 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation

```
Volume Inj. :
Signal Phase :
Signal Info :
```



Data Path : Z:\2019\08\SF\DATA\081619.SEC\ Data File : 16059.D Signal(s) : FID2B.ch Acq On : 16 Aug 2019 11:59 am Operator : VDL : 1194650005 Sample Misc : ALS Vial Sample Multiplier: 1 : 120 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Aug 19 10:27:04 2019 Quant Method : Z:\2019\08\SF\METHOD\SFR2019-0815.M Quant Title : DRO/RRO by Method AK 102/103 QLast Update : Fri Aug 16 09:58:38 2019 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation

Volume Inj. : Signal Phase : Signal Info :



Data Path : Z:\2019\08\SF\DATA\081619.SEC\ Data File : 16061.D Signal(s) : FID2B.ch Acq On : 16 Aug 2019 12:08 pm Operator : VDL Sample : 1194650006 Misc : ALS Vial : 121 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File: autoint1.e Quant Time: Aug 19 10:27:15 2019 Quant Method : Z:\2019\08\SF\METHOD\SFR2019-0815.M Quant Title : DRO/RRO by Method AK 102/103 QLast Update : Fri Aug 16 09:58:38 2019 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation

```
Volume Inj. :
Signal Phase :
Signal Info :
```



```
Data Path : Z:\2019\08\SF\DATA\081619.SEC\
Data File : 16063.D
Signal(s) : FID2B.ch
Acq On
           : 16 Aug 2019 12:18 pm
Operator : VDL
Sample
           : 1194650007
Misc
           :
ALS Vial : 122
                    Sample Multiplier: 1
Integration File: autoint1.e
Quant Time: Aug 19 10:27:29 2019
Quant Method : Z:\2019\08\SF\METHOD\SFR2019-0815.M
Quant Title : DRO/RRO by Method AK 102/103
QLast Update : Fri Aug 16 09:58:38 2019
Response via : Initial Calibration
Integrator: ChemStation
```

```
Volume Inj. :
Signal Phase :
Signal Info :
```



Jayne Martin

From:	Janssen, Jillian (Anchorage) <jillian.janssen@sgs.com></jillian.janssen@sgs.com>
Sent:	Friday, August 30, 2019 10:07 AM
То:	Jayne Martin
Subject:	RE: [EXTERNAL] WO#1194650
Attachments:	1194650_DRO chromatograms.pdf

Hi Jayne,

I looked at the results this AM and I should have been able to tell you this without talking it over with Steven! I did pull the chromatograms for you and confirmed with Steven. Based on the moisture content and the chromatogram pattern for sample PSTK-105-0814, this does appear to be biogenic. The pattern is quite similar for all samples on this work order.

Thanks,

Jillian Janssen Environment, Health, and Safety Project Manager

Office: +00 1 907 562-2343 Direct: +00 1 907 550-3208

From: Jayne Martin <jayne@bgesinc.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2019 11:35 AM
To: Janssen, Jillian (Anchorage) <Jillian.Janssen@sgs.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] WO#1194650

*** WARNING: this message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER. Please be cautious, particularly with links and attachments.

Hi Jillian,

For WO#1194650, please send me copies of the chromatograms for the DRO analyses.

Can you also have Steven Ede look at these chromatograms, specifically Client Sample ID PSTK-105-0814 and see if he can determine if the DRO concentrations are related to naturally occurring organics or manmade petroleum products?

Thanks,

Jayne Martin Senior Environmental Scientist

BGES, INC.

1042 East 6th Avenue Anchorage, AK 99501 Office: (907) 644-2900 Fax: (907) 644-2901 Cell: (907) 952-8381

BGES is proud to announce the opening of our new office in Seattle! Check our website for more information.

Information in this email and any attachments is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed or otherwise directed. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Company. Finally, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. The Company accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email. All SGS services are rendered in accordance with the applicable SGS conditions of service available on request and accessible at https://www.sgs.com/en/terms-and-conditions