2007 Groundwater Monitoring Report Katmai National Park and Preserve Headquarters King Salmon, Alaska ADEC Facility ID No. 2013 Reckey No. 99250001301

Prepared for National Park Service

July 2007 7401-26 2007 Groundwater Monitoring Report Katmai National Park and Preserve Headquarters King Salmon, Alaska ADEC Facility ID No. 2013 Reckey No. 99250001301

Prepared for National Park Service

July 2007 7401-26

Prepared by Hart Crowser, Inc.

Card. (

Caryn A. Orvis Sr. Staff Environmental Geologist

Bruce A. Ream, R.P.A. Manager, Alaska Operations

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Site Description	1
1.2	Project Objectives	1
1.3	Summary of Findings	2
2.0	WORK PERFORMED	2
2.1	Monitoring Well Measurements	2
2.2	Groundwater Monitoring	3
3.0	SITE DATA EVALUATION	3
3.1	Free-Phase Hydrocarbon Observations	3
3.2	Hydrogeologic and Groundwater Flow Observations	3
3.3	Petroleum Hydrocarbon Analytical Results	4
3.4	Comparative 2007 and Historical Hydrocarbon Analytical Results	4
4.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	4
5.0	INFORMATION LIMITATIONS	5
6.0	REFERENCES	5

TABLES

1	Groundwater	Elevations -	May 9,	2007
---	-------------	--------------	--------	------

- 2 2007 Groundwater Analytical Results
- 3 Historic Groundwater Analytical Results

FIGURES

- 1 Site Location Map
- 2 Site Plan with Groundwater Elevations, Measured May 9, 2007

Page

CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

APPENDIX A BACKGROUND AND PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

APPENDIX B FIELD METHODS

APPENDIX C DATA QUALITY REVIEW AND LABORATORY REPORT

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The National Park Service (NPS) contracted Hart Crowser to perform groundwater monitoring at the Katmai National Park and Preserve (KATM) Headquarters in King Salmon, Alaska (Figure 1). The work was performed under U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) Contract No. GS-10F-0308K, Delivery Order No. 15.

This report presents the project objectives, a summary of findings, work performed, site data evaluation, conclusions, and recommendations. Background information and a summary of previous investigative activities for the site are included in Appendix A. Field methods used to accomplish the scope of work are presented in Appendix B and copies of the laboratory report and the data quality review are provided in Appendix C.

1.1 Site Description

KATM occupies approximately 4 million acres on the west side of Cook Inlet, approximately 275 miles southwest of Anchorage, Alaska. The park headquarters is located in King Salmon, Alaska, on the north bank of the Naknek River, immediately adjacent to the King Salmon Airport (Figure 1). The facility consists of a visitor center situated adjacent to the Peninsula Airways office, with a maintenance facility and employee housing located to the southeast, on the south side of the King Salmon–Naknek Road (Figure 2).

Results of previous site investigation work at this site are provided in Appendix A.

1.2 Project Objectives

The primary objectives of this work were to:

- Perform groundwater monitoring at selected onsite monitoring wells (MW-1R, MW-5, and MW-8) in order to update contaminant concentrations at the site;
- Measure the wells prior to spring runoff to evaluate whether free-phase hydrocarbons are still present at the site, particularly in MW-8; and

• Update the groundwater flow direction and gradient.

1.3 Summary of Findings

The following summarizes the findings from this phase of work.

- The groundwater elevations measured at the site during May 2007 were approximately 2 to 3 feet lower than groundwater elevations measured in previous monitoring events;
- The inferred groundwater flow direction was to the southwest at an average gradient of 0.009;
- There were no ADEC groundwater cleanup level exceedences for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), gasoline-range organics (GRO), or diesel-range organics (DRO) in the site monitoring wells sampled in May 2007; and
- Free-phase hydrocarbons were not observed in any of the site monitoring wells during the May 2007 monitoring event.

2.0 WORK PERFORMED

This section provides a summary of the work performed at the site for this project. Groundwater sampling and all fieldwork for this phase of the project occurred on May 9, 2007. All work was performed by a qualified person, as defined by 18 AAC 75. Our field methods are provided in Appendix B.

2.1 Monitoring Well Measurements

Groundwater levels at monitoring wells MW-1, MW-1R, MW-3, MW-5, MW-7, and MW-8 were measured on May 9, 2007. In addition, all monitoring wells were checked for the presence of free-phase petroleum hydrocarbons. We were unable to locate MW-6 at the time of sampling. The groundwater level was not measured in MW-9 due to an impenetrable ice plug at approximately 1.5 feet below the top of the casing. The measured depths to groundwater and the relative groundwater elevations are presented in Table 1. The monitoring well locations and inferred groundwater flow direction are shown on Figure 2.

2.2 Groundwater Monitoring

Monitoring wells MW-1R, MW-5, and MW-8 were purged and sampled on May 9, 2007. The wells were sampled after depth-to-groundwater measurements had been completed.

The wells were purged using a peristaltic pump and dedicated Teflon[™] tubing connected to a flow-through cell to measure groundwater parameters. All wells were purged until temperature, pH, and conductivity readings stabilized, and upon stabilization, these parameter readings along with DO and ORP were recorded.

After recording the groundwater quality parameters, the flow-through cell was disconnected and the groundwater samples were collected. The samples were submitted to Test America, Inc. (TA), in Anchorage, Alaska, for the following analyses:

- BTEX U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 8021B;
- GRO ADEC Method AK 101; and
- DRO ADEC Method AK 102.

3.0 SITE DATA EVALUATION

3.1 Free-Phase Hydrocarbon Observations

No free-phase hydrocarbons were observed in any of the monitoring wells.

3.2 Hydrogeologic and Groundwater Flow Observations

Relative groundwater elevations were calculated using the May 2007 groundwater-level measurements. Groundwater elevations for May 9, 2007, are presented in Table 1. The groundwater elevations are on average 2 to 3 feet lower than those observed during previous monitoring events. This decrease in groundwater elevation is likely due to seasonal fluctuations in the groundwater levels. The localized groundwater flow direction is inferred to be to the southwest, toward the Naknek River with an average gradient of 0.009 (Figure 2). This flow direction is consistent with groundwater flow directions measured previously at this site.

3.3 Petroleum Hydrocarbon Analytical Results

Table 2 presents a summary of petroleum hydrocarbon analytical results for groundwater samples collected in May 2007. The laboratory report and data quality review are included in Appendix C.

BTEX compounds were not detected above their respective laboratory method detection limits in any of the monitoring wells sampled in May 2007. GRO was detected in monitoring well MW-1R and its duplicate sample. Both of these detected concentrations were below the ADEC groundwater cleanup level of 1.3 milligrams per liter (mg/L). DRO was detected in both the parent and duplicate sample from MW-1R at concentrations slightly below the ADEC groundwater cleanup level of 1.5 mg/L.

3.4 Comparative 2007 and Historical Hydrocarbon Analytical Results

Table 3 presents the 2007 data and historical groundwater analytical results. Historical data prior to May 2004 showed a decreasing trend of GRO and DRO concentrations in MW-1R. The fall 2005 monitoring event revealed a significant increase in DRO and GRO in MW-1R and a significant increase in DRO in MW-5. In August 2006 and in May 2007, GRO and DRO concentrations were below ADEC cleanup levels in all sampled monitoring wells.

BTEX concentrations are below laboratory method reporting limits and have remained below the ADEC groundwater cleanup levels since 2001.

The GRO concentration in MW-1R in May 2007 (0.0611 mg/L) was lower than GRO concentrations measured during previous monitoring efforts. The DRO concentration in MW-1R measured in May 2007 (1.34 mg/L) was comparable to the concentration measured in August 2006. GRO and DRO concentrations in all other monitoring wells were similar to concentrations measured in previous monitoring events, with the exception of the November 2005 event, in which DRO concentrations in MW-5 were above ADEC groundwater cleanup level.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Free-phase hydrocarbons were not measured in any of the site monitoring wells sampled in May 2007. MW-8 had a measurable amount of free-phase hydrocarbons in August 2006.

Measured groundwater elevations showed a groundwater flow direction to the southwest in May 2007. This is consistent with previous interpretations of

groundwater flow with the exception of the November 2005 event. The groundwater elevations measured at MW-1 and MW-1R in May 2007 do not fit with the inferred flow direction. These wells are located in the source area where contaminated soil was excavated in 1998 (Appendix A). The excavation area was lined prior to backfill and this lining is most likely allowing groundwater to pool in the vicinity of MW-1 and MW-1R, thus creating higher groundwater elevations.

BTEX concentrations at MW-1R remain below ADEC groundwater cleanup levels. MW-1R showed a decrease in GRO concentration and a slight increase in DRO concentration in May 2007. Concentrations for these analytes were below the ADEC groundwater cleanup levels. This slight increase in the DRO concentration in MW-1R is possibly due to a combination of sampling, laboratory, or seasonal groundwater fluctuations. All BTEX, GRO, and DRO concentrations in the other sampled monitoring wells (MW-5 and MW-8) were below laboratory method detection limits.

Free-phase hydrocarbons were not detected in MW-8 in May 2007. At this time, we do not recommend installing additional monitoring wells downgradient of MW-8.

We recommend sampling the groundwater in MW-1R, MW-5, and MW-8 for BTEX, GRO, and DRO in the summer of 2008 to ensure that contaminant concentrations in these wells remain below applicable ADEC groundwater cleanup levels. Groundwater levels should be measured in all site monitoring wells (MW-1R, MW-3, MW-5, MW-7, MW-8, and MW-9) in the summer of 2008. If no cleanup level exceedences are observed in the groundwater in 2008, Hart Crowser recommends that the NPS seek conditional site closure from ADEC.

5.0 INFORMATION LIMITATIONS

Work for this project was performed, and this report prepared, in accordance with generally accepted professional practices for the nature and conditions of the work to be completed. It is intended for the exclusive use of the NPS for specific application to the project site. This report is not meant to represent a legal opinion, and no other warranty, express or implied, is made.

6.0 REFERENCES

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) 2003. *18 AAC 78 Underground Storage Tanks,* as amended through January 30.

- ADEC 2006. *18 AAC 75 Oil and Other Hazardous Substances Pollution Control,* as amended through December 30, 2006.
- Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. 2005. *Draft 2004 Characterization and Remediation of Petroleum-Contaminated Soil at the former Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants Pipeline and Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Marina, King Salmon Air Station, King Salmon, Alaska,* July.

Ref:J:\PROJECT\7401-26\7401-26 D-KS RPT.doc

Well Number	Depth to water in feet [*]	MP Elevation in feet ¹	Product Thickness in feet	Groundwater Elevation in feet ¹
MW-1R	10.09	98.05	0.00	87.96
MW-1	12.79	97.59	0.00	84.80
MW-3	14.89	99.83	0.00	84.94
MW-5	15.30	99.13	0.00	83.83
MW-6	NM	95.20	NM	NM
MW-7	13.53	96.88	0.00	83.35
MW-8	6.41	87.35	0.00	80.94
MW-9	NM	96.77	NM	NM

Table 1 - Groundwater Elevations and Water Quality Parameters - May 9, 2007KATM Field Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska

Notes:

* = below MP.
¹ = Surveyed to an arbituary benchmark set to 100.00 feet.

 $^{\circ}$ C = degrees Celsius.

mg/L = milligrams per liter.

MP = Measuring point.

mS/cm = MilliSiemens per centimeter.

mV = Millivolts.

Hart Crowser

7401-26

J:/Project/7401-26/7401-26 KS Rpt Tbls

Table 2 - 2007 Groundwater Analytical ResultsKATM Field Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska

		EPA Meth	Alaska Method AK 101	Alaska Method AK 102		
Well Number	Benzene in mg/L	Toluene in mg/L	Ethylbenzene in mg/L	Total Xylenes in mg/L	GRO in mg/L	DRO in mg/L
MW-1R	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0015 U	0.0611	1.34
Duplicate (MW-1R)	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0015 U	0.0850	1.32
MW-5	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0015 U	0.05 U	0.427 U
MW-8	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0015 U	0.05 U	0.407 U
Trip Blank	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0015 U	0.05 U	NA
ADEC Groundwater Cleanup Level	0.005	1.0	0.7	10	1.3	1.5

Notes:

Cleanup levels per Title 18 of the Alaska Administrative Code, Chapter 75, Section 345, Table C.

ADEC = Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation.

DRO = Diesel-range organics.

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency.

GRO = Gasoline-range organics.

J = Estimated Value.

mg/L = milligrams per liter.

NA = Not applicable.

U = Not detected at concentration shown.

Hart Crowser 7401-26 J:/Project/7401-26/7401-26 KS Rpt Tbls

Table 3 - Historic Groundwater Analytical Results KATM Field Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska

					Alaska Method	Alaska Method	
		Benzene	EPA Metho Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	AK 101	AK 102
Well	Sampled	in mg/L	in mg/L	in mg/L	in mg/L	GRO in mg/L	DRO in mg/L
	8/16/1999	0.0030	0.0980	0.1400	0.6000	2.7	11.0
MW-1	7/5/2000	0.0065	0.0630	0.1620	0.4289	3.0	8.2
	10/26/2000	0.0050	0.0511	0.1940	0.3911	3.3	229
	1/18/2001	0.0031	0.0412	0.1470	0.2550	2.1	10.2
	7/3/2001	0.0064 J	0.0061 J	0.0299 J	0.1222 J	3.9 J	6.3 J
	9/24/2001	0.0246	0.0029	0.0124	0.0442	1.6	4.7
	12/27/2001	0.0038	0.0032	0.0114	0.0437	1.3	4.8
	4/2/2002	0.0038	0.0020 U	0.0095	0.0439	1.6	5.6
	10/8/2002	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.004 U	0.09 U	2.40
MW-1R	5/21/2003	0.0014	0.0024	0.0021	0.004 U	0.57	1.90
	9/17/2003	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.0051	0.12	1.74
	5/18/2004	0.00218	0.0005 U	0.00056	0.00302	0.168	1.40
	11/1/2005	0.0005 U	0.014	0.126	0.184	2.74	45.3
	8/10/2006	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0015 U	0.112	1.20
	5/9/2007	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0015 U	0.061 J	1.34
	8/14/1999	0.0010 U	0.0010 U	0.0010 U	0.001 U	0.10 U	0.25 U
	7/4/2000	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.002 U	0.09 U	0.29 U
	10/26/2000	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.002 U	0.09 U	NA
	1/18/2001	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.002 U	0.09 U	0.37
	7/3/2001	0.0050 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.002 U	0.09 U	0.56 U
	9/24/01	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.002 U	0.09 U	0.56 U
	12/27/01	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.002 U	0.09 U	0.58 U
MW-5	4/2/2002	0.0005 U	0.0020 0	0.0020 U	0.002 U	0.09 U	0.58 U
	10/8/2002	0.0005 U	0.002 0	0.002 0	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.56 U
	5/21/2002	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.333 11
	9/17/2003	0.0005 U	0.0020 0	0.0020 0	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.353 U
	5/18/2004	0.0003 U	0.0020 0	0.0020 0	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.333 U
	3/18/2004	0.0003 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.001 U	0.03 U	0.417 0
	0/31/2003	0.0003 U	0.0005 U	0.0003 U	0.001 0	0.05 U	0.130
	5/0/2008	0.0003 U	0.0005 U	0.0003 U	0.0015 U	0.05 U	0.420 0
	8/15/1000	0.0003 U	0.0003 U	0.0005 0	0.0013 U	0.03 U	0.427 U
	7/1/2000	0.0010 0	0.001 0	0.001 U	0.001 U	0.10 0	0.23 0
	10/26/2000	0.0003 0	0.002 0	0.002 0	0.002 0	0.09 0	0.37
	1/18/2000	0.0102	0.0066	0.0096	0.0329	0.18 U	0.47
	7/18/2001	0.0006	0.002 0	0.002 0	0.002 0	0.09 0	0.43
	7/3/2001	0.0050 0	0.002 0	0.002 0	0.002 0	0.09 0	0.57 0
	9/24/2001	0.0008	0.002 U	0.002 U	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.58 U
MW-7	12/2//2001	0.0005	0.002 U	0.002 U	0.002 U	0.09 U	0.53 U
	4/2/2002	0.0005 U	0.002 0	0.002 U	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.54 U
	10/8/2002	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.532 U
	5/21/2003	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.369
	9/17/2003	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.616
	5/18/2004	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.001 U	0.05 U	0.424 U
	10/31/2005	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.001 U	0.08 U	0.417 U
M/M/ 9	8/10/2006	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0015 U	0.05 U	0.400 U
10100-0	5/9/2007	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0015 U	0.05 U	0.407 U
	7/3/2001	0.0012	0.0020 U	0.0032	0.0028	0.19	0.77
	9/24/2001	0.0005 U	0.002 U	0.002 U	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.56 U
	12/27/2001	0.0005 U	0.002 U	0.002 U	0.002 U	0.09 U	0.60
10000	4/2/2002	0.0005 U	0.002 U	0.002 U	0.002 U	0.09 U	0.81 U
MW-9	10/8/2002	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.556 U
	5/21/2003	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.313 U
	9/17/2003	0.0005 U	0.0020 U	0.0020 U	0.004 U	0.09 U	0.316 U
	10/31/2005	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.001 U	0.08 U	0.400 U
	8/10/2006	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0005 U	0.0015 U	0.05 U	0.435 U
ADEC Ground	lwater Cleanun Level	0.005	1.0	07	10	13	15

Notes: Bold concentrations and reporting limits in excess of cleanup level. Cleanup level per 18 AAC 75.345, Table C. Reported concentrations have been rounded. ADEC = Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation. DRO = Diesel-range organics. EPA = Environmental Protection Agency. GRO = Gasoline-range Organics. J = Estimated concentration. mg/L = milligrams per liter. NA = Not analyzed. U = Not detected at concentration shown.

Hart Crowser 7401-26 J:/Project/7401-26/7401-26 KS Rpt Tbls

Site Location Map King Salmon Field Headquarters Katmai National Park and Preserve, Alaska



0 2000 4000

Scale in Feet



Site Location Map King Salmon Field Headquarters Katmai National Park and Preserve, Alaska



0 2000 4000

Scale in Feet



Site Plan with Groundwater Elevations, Measured May 9, 2007 King Salmon Field Headquarters Katmai National Park and Preserve, Alaska



APPENDIX A BACKGROUND AND PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

CONTENTS

A1.0 Previous InvestigationsA-1A2.0 ReferencesA-2

Page

APPENDIX A BACKGROUND AND PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

A1.0 Previous Investigations

In 1998, Environmental Systems, Inc. (ESI), observed the removal of two diesel underground storage tanks (USTs) from the east side of the maintenance building at the Katmai National Park and Preserve headquarters building in King Salmon, Alaska. Approximately 40 cubic yards of petroleum-contaminated soil associated with a 1,000-gallon UST was removed. Additional petroleum contamination was also observed beneath a 6,000-gallon UST, but did not appear to be directly associated with this feature. ESI concluded that the contamination appeared to be associated with an unknown upgradient source.

In August 1999, eight monitoring wells (MW) were installed at the site (Shannon & Wilson [S&W] 2000a). MW-1, located within the footprint of the former UST excavation, exhibited the highest concentrations of contaminants in the soil, with several analytes, including gasoline-range organics (GRO) and diesel-range organics (DRO), detected above Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) Method Two Soil Cleanup Levels (Title 18 of the Alaska Administrative Code, Chapter 75.341 [18 AAC 75.341], Tables B1 and B2). Soil from MW-7 also contained a DRO concentration in excess of the ADEC Method Two Soil Cleanup Levels. The GRO and DRO contaminants detected in groundwater were above ADEC Groundwater Cleanup Levels in MW-1 (18 AAC 75.345, Table C).

Monitoring wells were sampled in October 2000 and again in January 2001 (S&W 2000b and 2001). The groundwater flow direction in October was inferred to be to the south-southwest, toward the Naknek River. In June 2000, GRO and DRO contamination above ADEC Groundwater Cleanup Levels was again detected in MW-1, but not elsewhere. In October 2000, ADEC Groundwater Cleanup Levels for DRO and GRO were exceeded in MW-1 and benzene was detected above the ADEC Groundwater Cleanup Level of 0.005 milligram per liter (mg/L) in MW-7. At that time the presence of free-phase hydrocarbons was noted in MW-1 during purging. On inspection, the casing of MW-1 was also found to be damaged. January 2001 groundwater contours and laboratory analytical results were similar to those observed in October 2000. Free-phase petroleum hydrocarbons were again observed in the purge water of MW-1, but the thickness of the free-phase petroleum was not measured.

One new well (MW-9) and one replacement well (MW-1R) were installed by Hart Crowser in July 2001. These wells were developed and sampled in conjunction with the sampling of MW-5 and MW-7. Groundwater analytical results for MW-9 indicated detectable levels of benzene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, GRO, and DRO, but at concentrations below the respective ADEC Groundwater Cleanup Levels. Benzene, GRO, and DRO concentrations in the groundwater sample from replacement well MW-1R exceeded the ADEC Groundwater Cleanup Levels (Hart Crowser 2001).

During the August 1999 release investigation and all subsequent groundwater sampling events, water samples were collected from the headquarter's water supply well. No concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX), GRO, or DRO have been detected in the water supply well.

National Park Service (NPS) personnel reported that the passive pump in MW-1 was checked in February 2003. Free-phase petroleum hydrocarbons were not observed at that time. An attempt was made during the September 17, 2003, monitoring event to check the pump for recovery of free-phase hydrocarbons. However, the pump was stuck and neither the Hart Crowser field representative nor NPS personnel could remove it from the well for inspection.

Hart Crowser monitored the site wells on a semiannual basis from August 2001 until 2004 when monitoring was decreased to once per year (Hart Crowser 2001a, 2001b, 2002a, 2002b, 2003a, 2003b, 2003c, 2004, and 2006a). With the exception of the October 31, 2005 monitoring event the overall, historical data indicated a decrease in GRO and DRO concentrations for MW-1R. DRO and GRO concentrations have been below ADEC groundwater cleanup levels in all site wells with the exception of MW-1, MW-1R, and MW-5 (in October 2005). BTEX concentrations in all site wells have stayed below the ADEC groundwater cleanup levels since 2001. Free-phase hydrocarbons were detected in MW-8 in 2006 (Hart Crowser 2006b).

A2.0 References

- Hart Crowser, 2001a. Additional Soil and Groundwater Assessment, Katmai National Park and Preserve Field Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska. August.
- Hart Crowser, 2001b. *Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring, September 2001, Katmai National Park and Preserve Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska.* November.
- Hart Crowser, 2002a. *Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring, December 2001, Katmai National Park and Preserve Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska.* March.

Hart Crowser 2002b. *Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring, April 2002, Katmai National Park and Preserve Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska.* May.

- Hart Crowser, 2003a. *Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring, October 2002, Katmai National Park and Preserve Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska.* February.
- Hart Crowser, 2003b. *Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring, May 2003, Katmai National Park and Preserve Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska*. October.
- Hart Crowser, 2003c. Semiannual Groundwater Monitoring, September 2003, Katmai National Park and Preserve Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska. November.
- Hart Crowser, 2004. *May 2004 Semiannual Groundwater Monitoring, Katmai National Park and Preserve Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska.* July.
- Hart Crowser, 2006a. Fall 2005 Semiannual Groundwater Monitoring Report, Katmai National Park and Preserve Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska, April.
- Hart Crowser 2006b. Fall 2006 Groundwater Monitoring Report, Katmai National Park and Preserve Headquarters, King Salmon, Alaska. November.
- Shannon & Wilson (S&W), 2000a. *Final Release Investigation, Katmai National Park and Preserve, King Salmon, Alaska.* February.
- S&W, 2000b. October 2000 Groundwater Sampling, Katmai National Park and Preserve, King Salmon, Alaska. December 6.
- S&W, 2001. January 2001 Groundwater Sampling, Katmai National Park and Preserve, King Salmon, Alaska. January.

APPENDIX B FIELD METHODS

CONTENTS

B1.0	Monitoring Well Free-Phase Hydrocarbon/Water-Level Measurements	B-1
B2.0	Monitoring Well Sampling	B-1
B3.0	Field Documentation Procedures	B-2
B4.0	Decontamination Procedures	B-2
B5.0	Investigation-Derived Wastes (IDW)	B-2

Page

APPENDIX B FIELD METHODS

All fieldwork was conducted, and all field and laboratory quality assurance for this project were performed in accordance with sample collection procedures in Title 18 of the Alaska Administrative Code, Chapter 75 (18 AAC 75), *Oil and Other Hazardous Substances Pollution Control, as amended December 30, 2006,* and 18 AAC 78, *Underground Storage Tanks, dated January 30, 2003.*

B1.0 Monitoring Well Free-Phase Hydrocarbon/Water-Level Measurements

Prior to groundwater sampling, monitoring wells were opened and the freephase petroleum hydrocarbon and/or water levels were measured with an oil/water interface probe. All measurements were made to the nearest 0.01foot.

B2.0 Monitoring Well Sampling

After free-phase petroleum hydrocarbon/water level measurements were recorded, the wells were then purged. Dedicated Teflon[™] tubing was placed into each well and water was removed with a peristaltic pump into a flow-through cell, and then discharged into a purge water container. Temperature, pH, and conductivity levels were monitored during the purging process and purging continued until these parameters stabilized.

After parameter stabilization occurred, the parameter readings were recorded along with the dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP). The flow-through cell was then disconnected and samples for laboratory analyses were collected using the pump. Very low flow rates were used so that laminar flow into sample containers was achieved, thus minimizing sample aeration.

Immediately after collection, the samples were labeled and placed in a cooler with gel ice for delivery to the laboratory under standard chain-of-custody procedures. Samples were shipped to Test America, Inc. (TA) in Anchorage, Alaska for analyses of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX), gasolinerange organics (GRO), and diesel-range organics (DRO). A trip blank accompanied the cooler containing samples for BTEX and GRO analyses.

One duplicate sample was collected and submitted blind to the laboratory for analyses of BTEX, GRO, and DRO.

B3.0 Field Documentation Procedures

The Hart Crowser field representative maintained a record of field activities in a logbook and on standard field report forms (well sampling and chain-of-custody forms). All field logbook entries were dated and signed. Activities and observations noted in the logbook included weather, water quality measurements, and site conditions.

B4.0 Decontamination Procedures

All sampling equipment including the oil/water interface probe, and the well sounder were cleaned prior to and between sampling/measurement attempts using an anionic detergent wash (Alconox) followed by two potable water rinses.

B5.0 Investigation-Derived Wastes (IDW)

IDW are wastes generated during field investigations. The IDW from the well installations and development consisted of the following waste streams:

- Water from purging; and
- Personal protective equipment (PPE) and general debris.

B5.1 Decontamination/Development/Purge Water

Water generated from decontamination and during well purging was drummed, labeled with the project number, the date, the well number, the designation "decontamination/development/purge water," a contact name, and a telephone number. Water below ADEC Groundwater Cleanup Levels (18 AAC 75.345, Table C) was disposed of at an upgradient portion of the site. Purge water from MW-1R and MW-8 were containerized as described above and shipped to Anchorage for storage pending the receipt of analytical results. After results showed that all contaminant levels for this purge water were below ADEC groundwater cleanup levels, the water was disposed of by pouring it on a paved surface and allowing it to evaporate.

B5.2 PPE and Debris

PPE and debris were placed in plastic bags and taped shut for disposal at an onsite dumpster.

APPENDIX C DATA QUALITY REVIEW AND LABORATORY REPORTS

CONTENTS

		Page
C1.0	Data Quality Review	C-1
C2.0	Laboratory Data Review Checklist	C-1

APPENDIX C DATA QUALITY REVIEW AND LABORATORY REPORT

C1.0 Data Quality Review

All data quality assurance/quality control data provided by Test America, Inc. (TA) for the groundwater samples collected at the KATM Headquarters Site in King Salmon, Alaska, meet the data quality objectives set forth in Title 18 of the Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) Chapter 75 (18 AAC 75) and 18 AAC 78. All data are accepted for the purposes of this report.

The data review procedures, calculations, and qualifications used for this project are based on the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) guidance document *Technical Memorandum – 06-002 Environmental Laboratory Data and Quality Assurance Requirements* (dated October 9, 2006). Based on the data assessment results the analytical results are flagged with data qualifiers to indicate potential problems with the qualified results.

Analytical results summarizing the analysis of groundwater samples collected from the KATM Headquarters Site were submitted in TA work order AQE0032. Samples consisting of groundwater were collected and analyzed in accordance with EPA methods found in SW846 (Revision 5, dated January 1998).

C2.0 Laboratory Data Review Checklist

1. Laboratory

a. Did an ADEC CS approved laboratory receive and <u>perform</u> all of the submitted sample analyses?

X	Yes	No	Comments:
	105	110	connicito.

b. If the samples were transferred to another "network" laboratory or sub-contracted to an alternate laboratory, was the laboratory performing the analyses ADEC CS approved?

□ Yes □ No	Comments: N/A
------------	---------------

2. Chain of Custody (COC)

3.

a.	COC information con	npleted, signed,	, and dated (including released/received by)?
	🗵 Yes	🛛 No	Comments:
b.	Correct analyses requ	lested?	
	🗵 Yes	🛛 No	Comments:
<u>Labora</u>	ttory Sample receipt de	ocumentation	
a.	Sample/cooler tempe	rature docume	nted and within range at receipt (4° <u>+</u> 2 ° C)?
	X Yes	🛛 No	Comments:
b.	Sample preservation a (GRO, BTEX, Volatile	acceptable – ac Chlorinated Sol	idified waters, Methanol preserved VOC soil lvents, etc.)?
	X Yes	□ No	Comments:
C.	Sample condition doo vials)?	cumented – bro	ken, leaking (Methanol), zero headspace (VOC
	⊠ Yes	🛛 No	Comments:
d.	If there were any disc sample containers/pre insufficient or missing	repancies, were eservation, sam samples, etc.?	e they documented? – For example, incorrect ple temperature outside of acceptance range,
	🗆 Yes 🗆 N	o <u>Comn</u>	nents: N/A
e.	Data quality or usabil	ity affected? Exp	olain. N/A
<u>Case N</u>	<u>Varrative</u>		
a.	Present and understa	ndable?	
	🗵 Yes	□ No	Comments:
b.	Discrepancies, errors	or QC failures i	identified by the lab?
	□ Yes 🗵 N	o <u>Comn</u>	nents:

4.

C.	. We	Were all corrective actions documented?				
		Yes	🛛 No)	Comm	nents: N/A
d	. Wh	at is the eff	ect on o	lata	quality/usa	ability according to the case narrative? N/A
5. <u>Samp</u>	oles Re	<u>esults</u>				
a.	. Cor	rrect analys	es perfo	rme	ed/reported	as requested on COC?
	\mathbf{X}	Yes			No	Comments:
b	. All	applicable ł	nolding	time	es met?	
	\mathbf{X}	Yes			No	Comments:
C.	. All	soils reporte	ed on a	dry	weight bas	is?
		Yes	🛛 No)	<u>Comm</u>	nents: N/A
d	. Are det	the reporte ection level	ed PQLs for the	s les pro	s than the (ject?	Cleanup Level or the minimum required
	X	Yes			No	<u>Comments:</u>
e.	. Dat	ta quality or	[.] usabilit	y af	ffected? Exp	olain. N/A
6. <u>QC S</u>	Sample	<u>25</u>				
a.	. Me	thod Blank				
		i. One m	ethod b	lanl	k reported	per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?
	X	Yes			No	Comments:
		ii. All met	hod bla	nk r	results less t	than PQL?
	X	Yes			No	<u>Comments:</u>
		iii. If abov	e PQL,	wha	at samples a	are affected? N/A

iv.	Do the affected sample(s) have data flags?	If so, are the data flags clearly
	defined?	

		Yes	🛛 No	Comments: N/A
--	--	-----	------	---------------

- v. Data quality or usability affected? Explain. N/A
- b. Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate (LCS/LCSD)
 - i. Organics One LCS/LCSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?
 - XYes□NoComments:
 - ii. Metals/Inorganics One LCS and one sample duplicate reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?
 - □ Yes □ No <u>Comments:</u>N/A
 - iii. Accuracy All percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits? Or project specified DQOs? (AK Petroleum methods 75-125 %R; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages)
 - ĭ Yes □ No <u>Comments:</u>
 - iv. Precision All relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits? Or project specified DQOs? (AK Petroleum methods 20 %; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages)
 - ĭ Yes □ No <u>Comments:</u>
 - v. If %R or RPD outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected? None.
 - vi. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?
 - □ Yes □ No <u>Comments</u>: N/A
 - vii. Data quality or usability affected? Explain. N/A

- c. Surrogates Organics only
 - i. Are surrogate recoveries reported for organic analyses field, QC and laboratory samples?
 - ⊠ Yes □ No <u>Comments:</u>
 - ii. Accuracy All percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits? Or project specified DQOs? (AK Petroleum methods 50-150 %R; all other analyses see the laboratory report pages)
 - ⊠ Yes □ No <u>Comments:</u>
 - iii. Do the sample results with failed surrogate recoveries have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?
 - \Box Yes \Box No <u>Comments</u>: N/A
 - iv. Data quality or usability affected? Explain. N/A
- d. Trip Blank Volatile analyses only (GRO, BTEX, Volatile Chlorinated Solvents, etc.): water and soil
 - i. One trip blank reported per matrix, analysis and cooler?
 - \boxtimes Yes \square No <u>Comments:</u>
 - ii. All results less than PQL?
 - ⊠ Yes □ No <u>Comments:</u>
 - iii. If above PQL, what samples are affected? N/A
 - iv. Data quality or usability affected? Explain. N/A

e. Field Duplicate

- i. One field duplicate submitted per matrix, analysis and 10 project samples?
- ⊠ Yes □ No <u>Comments:</u>
 - ii. Submitted blind to lab?

🗵 Yes □ No Comments:

iii. Precision - All relative percent differences (RPD) less than specified DQOs? (Recommended: 30% water, 50% soil)

□ Yes 🗵 No Comments: The RPD between the parent and duplicate sample for GRO was slightly higher than 30%.

- iv. Data quality or usability affected? Explain. All positive detections for GRO in project samples were flagged "J" to indicate that the values are estimated.
- Decontamination or Equipment Blank (if applicable) f.
 - □ Yes □ No ☑ Not Applicable
 - i. All results less than PQL?
 - □ Yes □ No Comments:
 - ii. If above PQL, what samples are affected? N/A
 - iii. Data quality or usability affected? Explain. N/A
- 7. Other Data Flags/Qualifiers (ACOE, AFCEE, Lab specific, etc.)

□ No

- a. Defined and appropriate
 - □ Yes
- Comments: N/A

Project Manager:

Supervisor:

Cash. O Date: 7/3/07 A \dot{h} \dot{h} Date: 7/3/07



May 17, 2007

Caryn Orvis Hart Crowser, Inc. 2600 Cordova, Suite 210 Anchorage, AK/USA 99503

RE: King Salmon

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 05/10/07 16:09. The following list is a summary of the Work Orders contained in this report, generated on 05/17/07 16:04.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Work Order AQE0032 Project King Salmon ProjectNumber 7401-26

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Engst

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.





2600 Cordova, Suite 210 Anchorage, AK/USA 99503 Project Name: Project Number: Project Manager:

King Salmon7401-26r:Caryn Orvis

Report Created: 05/17/07 16:04

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
MW- 5	AQE0032-01	Water	05/09/07 11:30	05/10/07 16:09
MW- 8	AQE0032-02	Water	05/09/07 13:15	05/10/07 16:09
MW-11	AQE0032-03	Water	05/09/07 12:45	05/10/07 16:09
MW-1R	AQE0032-04	Water	05/09/07 14:15	05/10/07 16:09
Trip Blank	AQE0032-05	Water	05/09/07 00:00	05/10/07 16:09

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Tray DEngster

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.





2600 Cordova, Suite 210 Anchorage, AK/USA 99503

King Salmon Project Name: Project Number: Project Manager:

7401-26 Caryn Orvis

Report Created: 05/17/07 16:04

Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) and BTEX per AK101 TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK Analyzed Analyte Method Result MDL* MRL Units Dil Batch Prepared Notes Water Sampled: 05/09/07 11:30 AQE0032-01 (MW-5) Gasoline Range Organics AK101 ND 50.0 ug/l 1x 7050038 05/11/07 14:54 05/11/07 23:32 GRO/BTEX Benzene .. ND 0.500 ND 0.500 .. ., Toluene, 0.500 ... Ethylbenzene ND -----.. ND -----1.50 Xylenes (total) 103% " ,, 50 - 150 % Surrogate(s): a,a,a-TFT (FID) 84.1% 50 - 150 % a,a,a-TFT (PID) Water AQE0032-02 (MW-8) Sampled: 05/09/07 13:15 05/11/07 14:54 05/12/07 00:39 AK101 ND 50.0 1x 7050038 Gasoline Range Organics ----ug/l GRO/BTEX Benzene ND 0.500 ----., " ., .. Toluene ND ____ 0.500 .. " .. . Ethylbenzene ND -----0.500 " " .. " ND -----1.50 Xylenes (total) " ,, 102% a,a,a-TFT (FID) 50 - 150 % Surrogate(s): 83.3% 50 - 150 % a,a,a-TFT (PID) Water Sampled: 05/09/07 12:45 AQE0032-03 (MW-11) AK101 50.0 7050038 05/11/07 14:54 05/12/07 02:19 85.0 ug/l 1x **Gasoline Range Organics** -----GRO/BTEX 0.500 .. ., .. ND .. Benzene -----.. 0.500 .. ", Toluene ND ----.. .. " 0.500 Ethylbenzene ND -----.. ", .. ND 1.50 Xylenes (total) -----" " a,a,a-TFT (FID) 97.6% 50 - 150 % Surrogate(s): a,a,a-TFT (PID) 79.5% 50 - 150 % Water Sampled: 05/09/07 14:15 AOE0032-04 (MW-1R) 05/11/07 14:54 **Gasoline Range Organics** AK101 61.1 50.0 ug/l lx7050038 05/12/07 02:53 -----GRO/BTEX ND 0.500 Benzene, Toluene ND 0.500 ", Ethylbenzene ND 0.500 " .,, 1.50 ., ... Xylenes (total) ND -----" " a,a,a-TFT (FID) 97.2% 50 - 150 % Surrogate(s): a,a,a-TFT (PID) 79.3% 50 - 150 %

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Engstra

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager



2600 Cordova, Suite 210 Anchorage, AK/USA 99503

2

Project Name:King SalmonProject Number:7401-26Project Manager:Caryn Orvis

Report Created: 05/17/07 16:04

Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) and BTEX per AK101

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Analyte	Method	Result	MDL*	MRL	Units	Dil	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes
AQE0032-05 (Trip Blank)		Wa	ter		Sampl	ed: 05/0	9/07 00:00			
Gasoline Range Organics	AK101 GRO/BTEX	ND		50.0	ug/l	1x	7050038	05/11/07 14:54	05/11/07 22:59	
Benzene	"	ND		0.500	"		"	"	"	
Toluene	"	ND		0.500	"		"		"	
Ethylbenzene	"	ND		0.500	"		"		"	
Xylenes (total)	"	ND		1.50		"		"	"	
Surrogate(s): a,a,a-TFT (FID)			101%		50 - 150 %	"			"	
a,a,a-TFT (PID)			83.0%		50 - 150 %	"			"	

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Engstr hay o

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.





Hart Crowser, Inc.			Project Na	me:	King Sal	mon				
2600 Cordova, Suite 210			Project Nu	mber:	7401-26				Repor	t Created:
Anchorage, AK/USA 99503			Project Ma	mager:	Caryn Orv	vis			05/17	/07 16:04
	Di	i esel Range Tes	Organic tAmerica	es (C10 - Anchor	-C25) pe rage, AK	er AK	102			
Analyte	Method	Result	MDL*	MRL	Units	Dil	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes
AQE0032-01 (MW- 5)		Wa	ter		Sampl	ed: 05/0	9/07 11:30			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	ND		0.427	mg/l	1x	7050046	05/15/07 12:58	05/16/07 13:56	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane			96.7%		50 - 150 %	"			"	
AQE0032-02 (MW- 8)		Wa	ter		Sampl	ed: 05/0	9/07 13:15			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	ND		0.407	mg/l	1x	7050046	05/15/07 12:58	05/16/07 14:30	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane			87.4%		50 - 150 %	"			"	
AQE0032-03 (MW-11)		Wa	ter		Sampl	ed: 05/0	9/07 12:45			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	1.32		0.424	mg/l	1x	7050046	05/15/07 12:58	05/16/07 15:03	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane			83.6%		50 - 150 %	"			"	
AQE0032-04 (MW-1R)		Wa	ter		Sampl	ed: 05/0	9/07 14:1 5			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	1.34		0.431	mg/l	1x	7050046	05/15/07 12:58	05/16/07 15:03	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane			84.3%		50 - 150 %	"			"	

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Tray DEngstro

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.





Hart Crow	ser, Inc.				Project N	ame:	King S	almon							
2600 Cordo	va, Suite 210				Project N	umber:	7401-26	5						Report Create	ed:
Anchorage,	AK/USA 99503				Project M	lanager:	Caryn C	Drvis						05/17/07 16	:04
	C	l' D	o •			7 4771	01 T	1 4	0		4 1 D	14			
	Ga	isonne Kange	Organics	(C6-C10) : Te	stAmerica -	Anchorage	91 - La , AK	adorator	y Qua	iity Co	ontrol K	esuits			
QC Batc	h: 7050038	Water I	Preparation	n Method:	EPA 5030	B									
Analyte		Method	Result	MDI	L* MRI	L Units	Dil	Source Result	Spike Amt	%∧ REC	(Limits)	%∧ RPD	(Limits)	Analyzed	Notes
Blank (70500	38-BLK1)								Ext	acted:	05/11/07 14	1:54			
Gasoline Range Org	anics	AK101	ND		50.0	ug/l	1x							05/12/07 05:39	
Benzene		GRO/BTEX	ND		0.500	"									
Toluene			ND		0.500									"	
Ethylbenzene			ND		0.500										
Xylenes (total)		"	ND		1.50		"								
Surrogate(s):	a,a,a-TFT (FID) a,a,a-TFT (PID)		Recovery:	106% 83.8%	1	Limits: 50-1509 50-150	% " % "							05/12/07 05:39 "	
LCS (7050038	8-BS1)								Ext	acted:	05/11/07 14	1:54			
Benzene		AK101	18.6		0.500	ug/l	1x		20.6	90.3%	(80-120)			05/11/07 21:52	
Toluene		GRO/BTEX	18.5		0.500	"			19.7	93.9%					
Ethylbenzene			17.7		0.500				19.8	89.4%	(80-126)			"	
Xylenes (total)		"	59.6		1.50	"			59.6	100%	(80-127)			"	
Surrogate(s):	a,a,a-TFT (PID)		Recovery:	89.9%	1	Limits: 60-1209	% "							05/11/07 21:52	
LCS (705003	R-R\$7)			Extracted: 05/11/07 14:54											
Gasoline Range Org	anics	AK101	598		50.0	ug/l	1x		550	109%	(60-120)	-		05/11/07 22:25	
Surrogate(s):	a,a,a-TFT (FID)	GRO/BTEX	Recovery:	101%	1	Limits: 60-1209	% "							05/11/07 22:25	
									-						
LCS Dup (70	50038-BSD1)	41/101	19.4		0.500		1		20.6	en 20/	(80, 120)	1.08%	(12.9)	05/12/07 04:22	
Benzene		GRO/BTEX	18.4		0.500	ug/1	IX		20.0	89.3%	(80-120)	1.08%	(13.8)	05/12/07 04:32	
Toluene		"	18.0		0.500		"		19.7	91.4%		2.74%	(10.4)		
Ethylbenzene		"	17.3		0.500	"	"		19.8	87.4%	(80-126)	2.29%	(11.8)	"	
Xylenes (total)		"	57.9		1.50	"	"		59.6	97.1%	(80-127)	2.89%	(11.2)	"	
Surrogate(s):	a,a,a-TFT (PID)		Recovery:	87.2%	1	Limits: 60-1209	% "							05/12/07 04:32	
LCS Dup (70	50038-BSD2)								Ext	acted:	05/11/07 14	1:54			
Gasoline Range Org	anics	AK101 GRO/BTEX	582		50.0	ug/l	1x		550	106%	(60-120)	2.71%	(20)	05/12/07 05:06	
Surrogate(s):	a,a,a-TFT (FID)		Recovery:	105%	1	Limits: 60-1209	% "							05/12/07 05:06	
Duplicate (70	50038-DUP1)				QC Sourc	ce: AQE0032-	-01		Ext	acted:	05/11/07 14	1:54			
Gasoline Range Org	anics	AK101	ND		50.0	ug/l	1x	ND				6.28%	(35)	05/12/07 00:06	
Surrogate(s):	a,a,a-TFT (FID)	UKU/DIEA	Recovery:	99.8%	1	Limits: 50-1509	% "							05/12/07 00:06	

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Engsta hay 2

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager



2600 Cordova, Suite 210

Anchorage, AK/USA 99503

King Salmon Project Name: Project Number: Project Manager:

7401-26 Caryn Orvis

Report Created: 05/17/07 16:04

Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) and BTEX per AK101 - Laboratory Quality Control Results

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

QC Batch: 7050038	Water P	reparation	Method:	EPA 5030B										
Analyte	Method	Result	MDL	* MRL	Units	Dil	Source Result	Spike Amt	% REC	(Limits)	%∧ RPD	(Limits)) Analyzed	Notes
Matrix Spike (7050038-MS1)				QC Source:	AQE0032-02	2		Ext	acted:	05/11/07 14	:54			
Benzene	AK101 GRO/BTEX	17.9		0.500	ug/l	1x	ND	20.6	86.9%	(69-124)			05/12/07 01:12	
Toluene	"	17.4		0.500	"	"	ND	19.7	88.3%	(80-126)				
Ethylbenzene	"	16.6		0.500	"	"	0.0400	19.8	83.6%	(77.3-143)			"	
Xylenes (total)	"	56.4		1.50		"	0.110	59.6	94.4%	(67.5-140)				
Surrogate(s): a,a,a-TFT (PID)		Recovery:	80.3%	Lin	uits: 50-150%	"							05/12/07 01:12	
Matrix Spike Dup (7050038-MS	5D1)			QC Source:	AQE0032-02	2		Ext	acted:	05/11/07 14	:54			
Benzene	AK101 GRO/BTEX	17.8		0.500	ug/l	1x	ND	20.6	86.4%	(69-124)	0.560%	6 (10)	05/12/07 01:46	
Toluene	"	17.4		0.500	"	"	ND	19.7	88.3%	(80-126)	0.00%	. "		
Ethylbenzene	"	16.6		0.500	"	"	0.0400	19.8	83.6%	(77.3-143)	0.00%	5 "		
Xylenes (total)	"	56.1		1.50		"	0.110	59.6	93.9%	(67.5-140)	0.533%	6 "	"	

Surrogate(s): a,a,a-TFT (PID)

Limits: 50-150% "

Recovery: 85.9%

05/12/07 01:46

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Engen hay a

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.





Hart Crowser, Inc.				Project Nam	ie: F	King S	almon							
2600 Cordova, Suite 210				Project Num	iber: 7	401-26	5						Report Create	d:
Anchorage, AK/USA 99503				Project Man	ager: C	Caryn C	Drvis						05/17/07 16:	04
		-												
	Diesel Ra	nge Organ	tics (C10-C2	5) per AK	102 - La	borat	ory Qual	lity Co	ntrol	Results				
			1 est/	America - A	ncnorage,	AK								
QC Batch: 7050046	Water	Preparation	1 Method: H	EPA 3510										
Analyte	Method	Result	MDL*	MRL	Units	Dil	Source Result	Spiko Amt	e % REC	(Limits)	%∧ RPD (Limits	s) Analyzed	Notes
Blank (7050046-BLK1)								Ext	racted:	05/15/07 12	::58			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	ND		0.500	mg/l	1x							05/16/07 13:23	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane		Recovery:	93.5%	Lim	nits: 50-150%	"							05/16/07 13:23	
LCS (7050046-BS1)								Ext	racted:	05/15/07 12	:58			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	10.3		0.500	mg/l	1x		10.3	100%	(75-125)			05/16/07 13:56	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane		Recovery:	100%	Lim	nits: 60-120%	"							05/16/07 13:56	
LCS Dup (7050046-BSD1)								Ext	racted:	05/15/07 12	:58			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	9.89		0.500	mg/l	1x		10.3	96.0%	(75-125)	4.06%	(20)	05/16/07 14:30	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane		Recovery:	94.4%	Lim	nits: 60-120%	"							05/16/07 14:30	
Duplicate (7050046-DUP1)				QC Source:	AQE0032-0	1		Ext	racted:	05/15/07 12	:58			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	ND		0.407	mg/l	1x	ND				13.2%	(20)	05/16/07 13:23	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane		Recovery:	94.6%	Lim	nits: 50-150%	"							05/16/07 13:23	

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Tray DEngster

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.





2600 Cordova, Suite 210 Anchorage, AK/USA 99503 Project Name: Project Number: Project Manager:

King Salmon 7401-26 Caryn Orvis

Report Created: 05/17/07 16:04

Notes and Definitions

Report Specific Notes:

None

DET

Laboratory Reporting Conventions:

ND - Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit (MDL or MRL, as appropriate).
 NR/NA - Not Reported / Not Available
 dry - Sample results reported on a Dry Weight Basis. Results and Reporting Limits have been corrected for Percent Dry Weight.
 wet - Sample results and reporting limits reported on a Wet Weight Basis (as received). Results with neither 'wet' nor 'dry' are reported on a Wet Weight Basis.
 RPD - RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE (RPDs calculated using Results, not Percent Recoveries).
 MRL - METHOD REPORTING LIMIT. Reporting Level at, or above, the lowest level standard of the Calibration Table.

Analyte DETECTED at or above the Reporting Limit. Qualitative Analyses only.

- MDL* METHOD DETECTION LIMIT. Reporting Level at, or above, the statistically derived limit based on 40CFR, Part 136, Appendix B.
 *MDLs are listed on the report only if the data has been evaluated below the MRL. Results between the MDL and MRL are reported as Estimated Results.
- Dil Dilutions are calculated based on deviations from the standard dilution performed for an analysis, and may not represent the dilution found on the analytical raw data.
- Reporting Reporting limits (MDLs and MRLs) are adjusted based on variations in sample preparation amounts, analytical dilutions and percent solids, where applicable.
- Electronic
 Electronic Signature added in accordance with TestAmerica's *Electronic Reporting and Electronic Signatures Policy*.

 Signature
 Application of electronic signature indicates that the report has been reviewed and approved for release by the laboratory.

 Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager

Engste

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



))	□ 1910 Fairview Ave. □ 2250 Denoti S1, #7	, E., Seattle WA 98102 05, Anchorage, AK 99503	
Sample Cus	tody I	Secord	[]			☐ Five Centerpointe D ☐ 301 E. Ocean Bivd. ☐ 120 3rd Ave S. #1	r., Lake Gswega, OR 97035 #1950, Lang Beach CA 90802 10. Edmands, WA 98120	
Samples Shipped To:	TH		HART	CROW	کر ک	C 811 Church Hill Rd	,# 236, Charry Hill, NJ 08002	
100 1742/-26	140 MI	unci AQF	(K00)		J.			
					Z - 1. 98		EKZ	
PROJECT NAME	DO / WILL		0		01 : 01 : 9	×.	OBSERVATIONS/COMMENTS/	
HART CROWSER CONTACT	1000	11 CV	<. N		214 216 1708		01 COMPOSITING INSTRUCTIONS	
SAMPLED BY: Ru	55ell 1	Frand	1		070 070 1079 1113		ON	
LAB NO. SAMPLE ID	DESCRIPTIO	N DATE	TIME	MATRIX	KC KC KC			
01 MM-5		5/9/07	1130	ua/ru	XXX		5	
B-MM Za			1315	11	XXX		5	
03 MW-11			1245	11	XXX		5	
M-MM YO			14 15	11	メメ		Ł	
05 Trip			-		XX		3 TRIP BLANK	
				-				
RELINQUISHED BY	DATE	RECEIVED B	<u> </u>	DATE	SPECIAL SHIPN	AENT HANDLING OR	23 TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTA	NERS
Russ M III	6/ac	The second	J	. 2</td <td>STORAGE REQ</td> <td>UIREMENTS</td> <td>SAMPLE RECEIPT INFORMATION</td> <td></td>	STORAGE REQ	UIREMENTS	SAMPLE RECEIPT INFORMATION	
RUSSI CAM du	TIME	SIGNATURE	Suic Suic				DVES XNO DNA	
PANT HAME	1900	PRINT NAME	DUSE	1820			GOOD CONDITION ¤YES □NO 1,° C	
COMPANY		COMPANY		2000				
	DATE	RECEIVED B	≿	DATE				
end his	5/10/67	ex-		F0/01/2	COOLER NO.:	STORAGE LOCATION		
Callo Onis	TIME	ISIGNATURE		TIME			24 HOURS 1 WEEK	
PRINT AME	20.00	RRINT NAME	163	14h	See Lab Work O	brder No.	- D 48 HOURS	
COMPANY	င်ဆ	COMPANY T.A Anchura	416	2	for Other Contr	act Requirements	D 72 HOURS OTHER	
White and Yellow Copies to Lab	Pink to Project	Manager	Lab to Return White	e Copy to Hart Crov	ser Gold to S	iample Custodian		

Test America Coole: (Atmy Corps, Con	r Receipt	Form
WORK ORDER # AQEOD 32 CLIENT: Hu	rt Crowser	PROJECT: 1/2- Salman GW
Date /Time Cooler Arrived 5 / 10 / 07 09 : 40	Cooler signed for	by: Rachel James
Preliminary Examination Phase	U	(Print name)
Date cooler opened: same as date received or/	/	
Cooler opened by (print) tohanputobrate - Ruchel	(sign)	Y
1. Delivered by ALASKA AIRLINES Fed-Ex UPS	NAC LYND	(/ EN ∟ CLIENT ⊡Other:
Shipment Tracking # if applicable	_ (include copy of sh	ipping papers in file)
2. Number of Custody Seals Signed by	·····	Date/_/
Were custody seals unbroken and intact on arrival?	Yes	No
3. Were custody papers sealed in a plastic bag?	Yes Yes	No
4. Were custody papers filled out properly (ink, signed, etc.)?	Yes	No
5. Did you sign the custody papers in the appropriate place?	⊠Yes	No
6. Was ice used? 🔂 Yes 🗍 No Type of ice: 🗌 blue ice 🔀 gel ic	e <u>real ice</u>	dry ice Condition of Ice: Solid
Temperature by Digi-Thermo Probe °C Therm	ometer # Pec	#3
7. Packing in Cooler: <u>bubble wrap</u> styrofoam cardboard	Other:	
8. Did samples arrive in plastic bags?	Yes	No
9. Did all bottles arrive unbroken, and with labels in good condition?	Yes	No
10. Are all bottle labels complete (ID, date, time, etc.)	Yes	No
11. Do bottle labels and Chain of Custody agree?	Yes	XNo AQE0032-04 (cc time 1415
12. Are the containers and preservatives correct for the tests indicated	l? 🔽 Yes	□No jur time 1345
13. Is there adequate volume for the tests requested?	Yes	No COL is correct
14. Were VOA vials free of bubbles?	Yes Yes	□No se phone log 8 ostillo7
If "NO" which containers contained "head space" or bubbles	s?	
Log-in Phase:		
Samples logged in by (print) Johanna Dreher	(sign)	anne. Maker
1. Was project identifiable from custody papers?	Yes Ves	□ No
2. Do Turn Around Times and Due Dates agree?	Yes	No
3. Was the Project Manager notified of status?	Yes Yes	No .
4. Was the Lab notified of status?	Yes	No
5. Was the COC scanned and copied?	Yes	No