

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 725 East Fireweed Lane Suite 200, Anchorage AK 99503-2245

December 22, 2023 File: 203721236

Attention: Jason Michelson Chevron Environmental Management Company 1500 Louisiana Street, Floor 38 Houston, TX 77002

Dear Mr. Michelson,

Reference: Chevron Swanson River Plant 10 and SCU 14-3 PCB Monitoring Report for 2023 ADEC File Number 2334.38.016, Hazard ID 1303

Stantec has prepared this letter report on behalf of Chevron Environmental Management Company (CEMC), providing the results of semi-annual sampling as established in Amendment #4 to the Order by Consent (OBC) for Compressor Plant 10 (Plant 10) at the Swanson River facility. The OBC was originally issued by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) on August 6, 1985, and Amendment #4 was issued on September 5, 1990. The associated site SCU14-3 was also sampled in 2023 as part of a five-year sampling plan required by ADEC letters of January 31, 2017, and August 23, 2018.

BACKGROUND

The Swanson River facilities used polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) as a component of electrical transformers and as a heat transfer fluid from the early 1960s until the late 1970s. Between 1962 and 1976-77, Aroclor 1248 and Aroclor 1242 (Therminol FR-1) were used as a heat transfer oil in the process heat system of the propane recovery unit until replaced with a non-PCB heat transfer oil in 1976 or 1977.

In January 1972 an explosion occurred at the SRF Plant 10 which resulted in the release of an unknown quantity of PCBs to the surrounding area. It is believed that the final disposal site for the impacted snow and soil from this explosion was at SCU 14-3, located approximately 1 mile from the plant. SCU 14-3 had been established in 1971 to serve as a central receiving site and stockpile for oil-contaminated soils from production activities at Swanson River.

Oily sand and gravel, and presumably PCB-contaminated soils, from SCU 14-3 were used for dust suppression and road maintenance on approximately two miles of roads within the Swanson River Field in 1983 and 1984 under a permit issued by the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation. Testing of the stockpiled soil at SCU 14-3 revealed the presence of PCB contamination in the soils and their use for road maintenance was terminated.

PLANT 10

The polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) contamination at Plant 10 is believed to originate from a January 1972 explosion at the compressor plant that released an undetermined amount of Aroclor 1248 heat transfer oil to the environment. Historical minor leaks and spills from the heat transfer fluid system may have also

December 22, 2023 Jason Michelson Page 2 of 6

Reference: Chevron Swanson River Plant 10 and SCU 14-3 PCB Monitoring Report for 2023ADEC File Number 2334.38.016, Hazard ID 1303

contributed to the PCB contamination, and Aroclor 1242 and Aroclor 1248 were listed as the primary contaminants of concern in the OBC. A remediation effort occurred at the plant in 1988-89 in response to the OBC, during which the PCB contaminated soils were remediated to the OBC cleanup level of 12 ppm, and the site was listed as "Cleanup Complete with Institutional Controls" (ADEC File Number 2334.38.016, Hazard ID 175). Amendment #4 was issued in 1990 to allow for the remaining PCBs to remain in the soil beneath the compressor plant until permanent closure of the field or until PCBs are detected in the groundwater. Semi-annual sampling of the four monitoring wells around Plant 10 is a mandatory requirement of the amendment.

In accordance with Amendment #4 of the OBC, PCB groundwater monitoring was conducted twice in 2023, on May 15th and October 16th. On both occasions groundwater samples were collected from the four existing monitoring wells at Plant 10 (CP-A, CP-BR, CP-C, and CP-F) utilizing low-flow purge and sample techniques in accordance with Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sampling procedures. Water quality parameters and water level measurements were collected and recorded on sample forms and the samples were analyzed by SGS North America for PCBs using EPA Method 8082A.

SCU 14-3

As noted earlier, an explosion occurred at the Plant 10 in January 1972 that resulted in the release of an unknown quantity of this PCB containing oil. It is believed that the final disposal site for this impacted snow and soil was in the SCU 14-3 sump. Four monitoring wells were proposed to monitor for potential PCB contamination in the 1985, but apparently only three wells were actually established. Actual installation date of the monitoring wells is unknown.

Sampling of the three known wells was last done in 2018. The analytical results from that sampling indicated no PCBs above detection limits of the test method. Samples were collected from wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3 on May 15-16, 2023. Analysis revealed no PCBs above the detection limits of the test method.

FINDINGS

This letter report includes three attachments:

- Attachment A includes the current and historical analytical results for Plant 10 and SCU 14-3,
- Attachment B includes the laboratory reports and laboratory data review checklists for Plant 10 and SCU 14-3, and
- Attachment C includes a site location map and Plant 10 and SCU 14-3 well locations.

Table 1 (Attachment A) shows that all 2023 sample results for Plant 10 continue to be non-detectable (ND) at concentrations above the method detection limit (DL). The DL for each individual PCB and total PCBs was used to compare to cleanup levels. For all samples, there were no detections or DLs above the cleanup levels established by the OBC.

December 22, 2023 Jason Michelson Page 3 of 6

Reference: Chevron Swanson River Plant 10 and SCU 14-3 PCB Monitoring Report for 2023ADEC File Number 2334.38.016, Hazard ID 1303

Table 1 continues to show that only one sampling event (October 2006 at one location, CP-A) had detectable total PCBs over the entire 23-year sampling and analysis record at Plant 10. Since that 2006 event, sampling has continued with no detectable PCB Aroclors.

Table 2 (Attachment A) shows that all 2023 sample results are non-detectable (ND) at concentrations above DL at SCU 14-3. The DL for each individual PCB and total PCBs was used to compare to cleanup levels. For all samples, there were no detections or DLs above the cleanup levels established by the OBC.

In accordance with the OBC, Amendment #4, and ADEC's letter of January 31, 2017, semi-annual groundwater sampling is currently planned for 2024 at Plant 10. The next scheduled round of sampling at SCU 14-3 is in 5 years, in 2028.

If you have any questions regarding this letter report, please contact the undersigned.

Best regards,

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

zain

Craig Wilson Principal Phone: 907 266 1128 Cell: 907 240 3752 craig.wilson@stantec.com

Attachment: Attachment A Summary of Current and Historical Analytical Results Attachment B Laboratory Reports and ADEC Laboratory Data Review Checklist Attachment C Figures

cc. Peter Campbell, ADEC (via email)
 Lynnda Kahn, USFWS (via email)
 Sharon L. Yarawsky, BLM (via email)
 Michelle Mullin, EPA Region 10 (via email)

chw https://stantec.sharepoint.com/teams/swansonriverunit/shared documents/plant_10/2022 plant 10 report/plant 10 2022 annual report 24oct2022.docx

December 22, 2023 Jason Michelson Page 4 of 6

Reference: Chevron Swanson River Plant 10 and SCU 14-3 PCB Monitoring Report for 2023ADEC File Number 2334.38.016, Hazard ID 1303

Attachment A Summary of Current and Historical Analytical Results

Design with community in mind

Table 1. Plant 10 Current and Historical Groundwater Analytical Results and Groundwater Elevations

		CP-A		CP-BR				CP-C		CP-F		
	Depth to	Groundwater		Depth to	Groundwater		Depth to	Groundwater		Depth to	Groundwater	
	Groundwater	Elevation		Groundwater	Elevation AMSL		Groundwater	Elevation AMSL		Groundwater	Elevation AMSL	
Date	(ft)	AMSL (ft)	PCB (µg/L)	(ft)	(ft)	PCB (µg/L)	(ft)	(ft)	PCB (µg/L)	(ft)	(ft)	PCB (µg/L)
ADEC Ground	water Cleanup Le	vels ^a	0.5	—	—	0.5	—	—	0.5	—	—	0.5
10/19/2000	11.51	156.40	ND(0.51)	15.42	152.96	ND(0.51)	10.59	158.10	ND(0.51)	11.44	158.04	ND(0.51)/ ND(0.53)
6/26/2001	9.01	158.90	ND(0.50)	16.34	152.04	ND(0.50)/ ND(0.050)	10.87	157.81	ND(0.50)	10.88	158.61	ND(0.53)
10/19/2001	10.84	157.07	ND(0.51)	17.66	150.72	ND(0.53)	10.28	158.41	ND(0.53)	11.99	157.49	ND(0.50)/ ND(0.50)
6/30/2002	6.53	161.38	ND(0.51)	16.99	151.39	ND(0.51)	8.98	159.71	ND(0.053)/ ND(0.53)	5.95	163.53	ND(0.51)
10/29/2002	7.58	160.33	ND(0.50)	13.59	154.79	ND(0.050)	9.31	159.38	ND(0.050)	8.67	160.81	ND(0.50)/ ND(0.50)
5/14/2003	9.99	157.95	ND(0.052)	16.19	151.86	ND(0.051)	11.22	157.33	ND(0.51)	11.58	158.12	ND(0.51)/ ND(0.52)
10/8/2003	6.22	162.54	ND(0.054)	10.11	157.94	ND(0.053)	10.62	157.93	ND(0.53)	7.16	162.72	ND(0.54)/ ND(0.54)
5/17/2004	6.23	161.71	ND(1.0)	8.32	159.73	ND(1.0)	9.01	159.54	ND(1.0)	7.46	162.24	ND(1.0)/ ND(1.0)
10/20/2004	5.42	162.52	ND(1.0)	9.09	158.96	ND(1.0)	6.85	161.70	ND(1.0)	7.10	162.60	ND(1.0)/ ND(1.0)
5/19/2005	5.83	162.11	ND(1.0)	9.03	159.02	ND(1.0)	8.61	161.85	ND(1.0)	6.70	161.10	ND(1.0)/ ND(1.0)
11/8/2005	6.84	161.10	ND(0.95)	9.65	158.40	ND(0.95)	8.05	160.50	ND(0.95)	8.45	161.25	ND(0.95)/ ND(0.95)
6/22/2006	9.40	158.54	ND(0.97)	12.83	155.22	ND(0.94)	10.16	158.39	ND(0.96)	9.49	160.21	ND(0.96)/ ND(0.96)
10/13/2006	4.88	163.06	<u>1.55</u>	7.94	160.11	ND(0.48)	6.45	162.10	ND(0.48)	6.41	163.29	ND(0.48)/ ND(0.47)
5/18/2007	10.93	157.01	ND(0.48)	14.77	153.28	ND(0.48)	9.90	158.65	ND(0.48)	13.08	156.62	ND(0.48)/ ND(0.48)
11/8/2007	5.82	162.12	ND(0.48)	10.42	157.63	ND(0.47)	7.48	161.07	ND(0.48)	8.28	161.42	ND(0.49)/ ND(0.49)
6/4/2008	7.84	160.10	ND(0.57)	13.93	154.12	ND(0.57)	10.84	157.71	ND(0.57)	11.87	157.83	ND(0.57)/ ND(1.1)
11/17/2008	8.40	159.54	ND(0.19)	11.74	156.31	ND(0.095)	8.78	159.77	ND(0.097)	9.01	160.69	ND(0.10)/ ND(0.19)
6/15/2009	9.52	158.42	ND(0.095)	13.69	154.36	ND(0.095)	10.03	158.52	ND(0.095)	11.75	157.95	ND(0.095)/ ND(0.095)
11/18/2009	12.84	155.10	ND(0.48)	18.19	149.86	ND(0.48)	12.03	156.52	ND(0.48)	14.71	155.53	ND(0.48)/ ND(0.48)
5/11/2010	12.57	155.37	ND(0.48)	24.04	144.01	ND(0.48)	10.61	157.94	ND(0.47)/ ND(0.48)		Dry	
11/30/2010	10.45	157.49	ND(0.0952)	18.81	149.24	ND(0.191)	9.66	158.89	ND(0.0978)/ ND(0.0964)	11.52	158.18	ND(0.188)
7/26/2011	13.42	154.52	ND(0.63)	22.02	146.03	ND(0.47)/ ND(0.47)	11.53	157.02	ND(0.47)	Off-limits	due to Plant 10 de	emolition
12/26/2011	10.08	157.86	ND(0.194)	15.34	152.71	ND(0.196)	8.63	159.92	ND(0.192)	10.50	159.20	ND(0.191)/ ND(0.191)
6/1/2012	7.50	160.44	ND(0.49)	11.90	156.15	ND(0.49)	8.82	159.73	ND(0.48)	9.12	160.58	ND(0.48)
1/13/2013	12.65	155.29	ND(0.095)	15.52	152.53	ND(0.101)/ ND(0.099)	11.08	157.47	ND(0.095)	11.62	158.08	ND(0.099)

Attachment A

Table 1. Plant 10 Current and Historical Groundwater Analytical Results and Groundwater Elevations

		CP-A		CP-BR				CP-C		CP-F		
	Depth to	Groundwater		Depth to	Groundwater		Depth to	Groundwater		Depth to	Groundwater	
	Groundwater	Elevation		Groundwater	Elevation AMSL		Groundwater	Elevation AMSL		Groundwater	Elevation AMSL	
Date	(ft)	AMSL (ft)	PCB (µg/L)	(ft)	(ft)	PCB (µg/L)	(ft)	(ft)	PCB (µg/L)	(ft)	(ft)	PCB (µg/L)
ADEC Ground	water Cleanup Le	vels ^a	0.5	—	-	0.5	—	—	0.5	-	-	0.5
6/26/2013	4.73	163.21	ND(0.347)	6.90	161.15	ND(0.354)	7.43	161.12	ND(0.350)	5.80	163.90	ND(0.373)
10/15/2013	5.60	162.34	ND(0.352)	10.01	158.04	ND(0.343)	6.26	162.29	ND(0.336)	6.80	162.90	ND(0.359)
6/23/2014	PVC riser	damaged ^b	ND(0.358)	13.29	154.76	ND(0.370)	9.85	158.70	ND(0.350)	10.55	159.15	ND(0.363)
10/9/2014		-	ND(0.358)	11.10	156.95	ND(0.361)	13.20	155.35	ND(0.336)	8.12	161.58	ND(0.350)
7/8/2015	3.33	165.55	ND(0.604)	12.93	155.93	ND(0.606)	8.09	161.86	ND(0.585)	3.66	166.88	ND(0.600)
10/2/2015	4.29	164.59	ND(0.226)	9.16	159.70	ND(0.226)	5.24	164.71	ND(0.226)	5.09	165.45	ND(0.226)
8/3/2016	11.68	157.20	ND(0.160)	15.06	153.80	ND(0.180)	11.80	158.15	ND(0.180)	12.26	158.28	ND(0.175)
9/29/2016	15.30	153.75	ND(0.229)	11.26	157.60	ND(0.182)	7.83	162.12	ND(0.184)	17.98	152.56	ND(0.229)
7/7/2017 ^c	12.17	156.71	ND(0.099)/ ND(0.099)	20.62	148.24	ND(0.10)	10.56	159.39	ND(0.097)	14.53	156.01	ND(0.098)
9/21/2017 ^c	7.04	161.84	ND(0.098) JS-/ ND(0.10)	12.80	156.06	ND(0.096) JS-	9.59	160.36	ND(0.10)	8.72	161.82	ND(0.11) JS-
ADEC Groundy	water Cleanup Le	vels ^e	0.44	—	-	0.44		_	0.44			0.44
7/15/2018 ^d	10.30	158.58	ND[0.076] JS- / ND[0.077]	13.52	155.34	ND[0.076]	11.34	158.61	ND[0.077]	11.47	159.07	ND[0.076] JS-
9/22/2018 ^d	12.05	156.83	ND[0.077] / ND[0.081]	15.33	153.53	ND[0.082]	11.70	158.25	ND[0.073]	12.32	158.22	ND[0.076] JS-
8/2/2019 ^f	12.28	156.60	ND [0.333]	14.84	154.02	ND [0.320]	11.91	158.04	ND [0.341]	13.10	157.44	ND [0.344]
7/25/2020 ^f	10.14	158.74	ND[0.0326]	12.85	156.01	ND[0.0369]	10.15	159.80	ND[0.0326]	10.43	160.11	ND[0.0365]
9/11/2020 ^f	15.35	153.53	ND[0.0323]	12.38	156.48	ND[0.0348]	11.06	158.89	ND[0.0344]	17.80	152.74	ND[0.0323]
6/22/2021 ^f	8.92	159.96	ND[0.0555]	12.39	156.47	ND[0.0580]	9.68	160.27	ND[0.0500]	10.23	160.31	ND[0.0500] / ND[0.0500]
9/23/2021 ^f	10.15	158.73	ND[0.0515]	13.50	155.36	ND[0.0515]	10.77	159.18	ND[0.0550] / ND[0.0550]	10.26	160.28	ND[0.0580]
6/7/2022	8.69	160.19	ND[0.0540]	9.96	158.90	ND[0.0540]	9.49	160.46	ND[0.0550]	9.17	161.37	ND[0.0540]
9/6/2022	4.39	157.61	ND[0.0520]	7.22	161.64	ND[0.0540]	4.96	156.55	ND[0.0520]	6.45	164.09	ND[0.0510]
5/15/2023	5.04	163.84	ND[0.0515]	9.17	159.69	ND[0.0580]	6.61	163.34	ND[0.0580]	8.02	162.52	ND[0.0570]
10/16/2023	6.85	162.03	ND[0.0520]	9.28	159.58	ND[0.0530]	7.00	162.95	ND[0.0530]	8.55	161.99	ND[0.0515]

Notes:

Results above site-specific cleanup levels are <u>underlined</u> and **bolded**.

Non-detect results with reporting limits above the 2018 site-specific amended cleanup level of 0.44 µg/L are *italicized*.

2013 PCB results are for total aroclor.

Plant 10 monitoring wells were resurveyed in October 2015.

Water was discharging out of Plant 10 vent above CP-F on 7/8/15. Water was pooled around CP-F and flowing toward CP-A, which also had water pooled around the security casing. Two sets of analytical results are reported and separated by "/" when a duplicate sample was collected.

AMSL = above mean sea level

ft = feet

— = Not applicable

JS- = One or more surrogates recovered outside of control criteria (biased low)

ND = Analyte not detected above the laboratory reporting/mthod detection limit (provided in parentheses or brackets).

PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl

μg/L = Micrograms per liter

^a Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC), 2017, Title 18, Alaska Administrative Code Chapter 75 (18 AAC 75), Oil and Other Hazardous Substances Pollution Control, Table C.

^D Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) riser was damaged, and technician could not get water level indicator probe past the bulge in the damaged PVC riser.

^c 2017 ND value in () is the TestAmerica laboratory reporting limit.

 $^{\alpha}$ 2018 ND value in [] is the TestAmerica method detection limit.

^e ADEC 2018, 18 AAC 75, Table C. October 27, 2018.

[†] ND value in [] is the SGS detection limit.

December 22, 2023 Jason Michelson Page 5 of 6

Reference: Chevron Swanson River Plant 10 and SCU 14-3 PCB Monitoring Report for 2023ADEC File Number 2334.38.016, Hazard ID 1303

Attachment B Laboratory Reports and ADEC Laboratory Data Review Checklists



Laboratory Report of Analysis

To: Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 725 East Fireweed Lane, #200 Anchorage, AK 99503 (907)266-1148

Report Number: 1232117

Client Project: SRU-Plant10-SCU14-3

Dear Douglas Quist,

Enclosed are the results of the analytical services performed under the referenced project for the received samples and associated QC as applicable. The samples are certified to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference Standards. Copies of this report and supporting data will be retained in our files for a period of ten years in the event they are required for future reference. All results are intended to be used in their entirety and SGS is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. Any samples submitted to our laboratory will be retained for a maximum of fourteen (14) days from the date of this report unless other archiving requirements were included in the quote.

If there are any questions about the report or services performed during this project, please call Justin at (907) 562-2343. We will be happy to answer any questions or concerns which you may have.

Thank you for using SGS North America Inc. for your analytical services. We look forward to working with you again on any additional analytical needs.

Sincerely,		Stephen C. Ede
363 North America Inc.	Stophen C.	Ede 2023.06.07
		15:21:42 -08'00'

Justin Nelson Project Manager Justin.Nelson@sgs.com Date

Print Date: 06/06/2023 3:15:15PM

SGS North America Inc.

200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518 t 907.562.2343 f 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com Results via Engage



Case Narrative

SGS Client: Stantec Consulting Services Inc. SGS Project: 1232117 Project Name/Site: SRU-Plant10-SCU14-3 Project Contact: Douglas Quist

Refer to sample receipt form for information on sample condition.

*QC comments may be associated with the field samples found in this report. When applicable, comments will be applied to associated field samples.

Print Date: 06/06/2023 3:15:16PM

SGS North America Inc.

200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518 t 907.562.2343 f 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com



Laboratory Qualifiers

Enclosed are the analytical results associated with the above work order. The results apply to the samples as received. All results are intended to be used in their entirety and SGS is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <<u>http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx></u>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indenmification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client and this document does not exonerate parties to a transaction from exercising all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the context or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

SGS maintains a formal Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) program. A copy of our Quality Assurance Plan (QAP), which outlines this program, is available at your request. The laboratory certification numbers are AK00971 (JDW Chemistry & Microbiology (Provisionally Certified as of 6/05/2023 for Fluoride EPA300.0, Alkalinity SM2320B, Orthophosphate SM4500P-E and Beryllium, Copper and Mercury 200.8) & 17-021 (CS) for ADEC and 2944.01 for DOD ELAP/ISO17025 (RCRA methods: 1020B, 1311, 3010A, 3050B, 3520C, 3550C, 5030B, 5035A, 6020B, 7470A, 7471B, 8015C, 8021B, 8082A, 8260D, 8270D, 8270D-SIM, 9040C, 9045D, 9056A, 9060A, AK101 and AK102/103). SGS is only certified for the analytes listed on our Drinking Water Certification (DW methods: 200.8, 2130B, 2320B, 2510B, 300.0, 4500-CN-C,E, 4500-H-B, 4500-NO3-F, 4500-P-E and 524.2) and only those analytes will be reported to the State of Alaska for compliance. Except as specifically noted, all statements and data in this report are in conformance to the provisions set forth by the SGS QAP and, when applicable, other regulatory authorities.

The following descriptors or qualifiers may be found in your report:

*	The analyte has exceeded allowable regulatory or control limits.
!	Surrogate out of control limits.
В	Indicates the analyte is found in a blank associated with the sample.
CCV/CVA/CVB	Continuing Calibration Verification
CCCV/CVC/CVCA/CVCB	Closing Continuing Calibration Verification
CL	Control Limit
DF	Analytical Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (i.e., maximum method detection limit)
E	The analyte result is above the calibrated range.
GT	Greater Than
IB	Instrument Blank
ICV	Initial Calibration Verification
J	The quantitation is an estimation.
LCS(D)	Laboratory Control Spike (Duplicate)
LLQC/LLIQC	Low Level Quantitation Check
LOD	Limit of Detection (i.e., 1/2 of the LOQ)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (i.e., reporting or practical quantitation limit)
LT	Less Than
MB	Method Blank
MS(D)	Matrix Spike (Duplicate)
ND	Indicates the analyte is not detected.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
Sample summaries which i All DRO/RRO analyses are	nclude a result for "Total Solids" have already been adjusted for moisture content.

Print Date: 06/06/2023 3:15:18PM

Note:



	;	Sample Summary	,	
Client Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Collected	Received	Matrix
CP-A	1232117001	05/15/2023	05/18/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)
CP-F	1232117002	05/15/2023	05/18/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)
CP-C	1232117003	05/15/2023	05/18/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)
Duplicate 1	1232117004	05/15/2023	05/18/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)
CP-BR	1232117005	05/15/2023	05/18/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)
MW-3	1232117006	05/15/2023	05/18/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)
MW-2	1232117007	05/15/2023	05/18/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)
Dupicate 2	1232117008	05/15/2023	05/18/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)
MW-1	1232117009	05/16/2023	05/18/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)

Method SW8082A Method Description

SW8082 PCB's

Print Date: 06/06/2023 3:15:20PM

SGS North America Inc.

SGS								
Results of CP-A Client Sample ID: CP-A Client Project ID: SRU-Plant Lab Sample ID: 1232117001 Lab Project ID: 1232117	:10-SCU14-3		Collection Date: 05/15/23 13:40 Received Date: 05/18/23 11:44 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Ground) Solids (%): Location:					
Results by Polychlorinated I	Biphenyls							
<u>Parameter</u> Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221	<u>Result</u> Qual 0.0515 U 0.515 U	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 0.103 1.03	<u>DL</u> 0.0320 0.320	<u>LOD</u> 0.0515 0.515	<u>Units</u> ug/L ug/L	<u>DF</u> 1 1	<u>Allowable</u> <u>Limits</u>	<u>Date Analyzed</u> 06/01/23 00:31 06/01/23 00:31
Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248 Aroclor-1254	0.0515 U 0.0515 U 0.0515 U 0.0515 U	0.103 0.103 0.103 0.103	0.0320 0.0320 0.0320 0.0320	0.0515 0.0515 0.0515 0.0515	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/l	1 1 1 1		06/01/23 00:31 06/01/23 00:31 06/01/23 00:31
Aroclor-1260	0.0515 U	0.103	0.0320	0.0515	ug/L	1		06/01/23 00:31
Surrogates Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	108	40-135			%	1		06/01/23 00:31
Batch Information Analytical Batch: XGC11336 Analytical Method: SW8082A Analyst: BRP Analytical Date/Time: 06/01/2 Container ID: 1232117001-A	23 00:31		Prep Ba Prep Me Prep Da Prep Init Prep Ex	tch: XXX47 ethod: SW3 te/Time: 05 ial Wt./Vol.: tract Vol: 1	/909 520C 5/26/23 13 970 mL mL	:10		

SGS								
Results of CP-F								
Client Sample ID: CP-F Client Project ID: SRU-Plant Lab Sample ID: 1232117002 Lab Project ID: 1232117	2 2		Collectio Received Matrix: V Solids (% Location	n Date: 05 d Date: 05 Vater (Surf %): :	5/15/23 1 5/18/23 1 ace, Eff.,	3:50 1:44 Ground))	
Results by Polychlorinated	Biphenyls							
Parameter Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248 Aroclor-1254	Result Qual 0.0570 U 0.570 U 0.0570 U	LOQ/CL 0.114 1.14 0.114 0.114 0.114 0.114	DL 0.0352 0.352 0.0352 0.0352 0.0352 0.0352	LOD 0.0570 0.570 0.0570 0.0570 0.0570 0.0570	<u>Units</u> ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	<u>DF</u> 1 1 1 1 1	<u>Allowable</u> <u>Limits</u>	Date Analyzed 06/01/23 00:42 06/01/23 00:42 06/01/23 00:42 06/01/23 00:42 06/01/23 00:42
Aroclor-1260	0.0570 U	0.114	0.0352	0.0570	ug/L	1		06/01/23 00:42
Surrogates								
Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	105	40-135			%	1		06/01/23 00:42
Batch Information Analytical Batch: XGC11336 Analytical Method: SW8082A Analyst: BRP Analytical Date/Time: 06/01/2 Container ID: 1232117002-A	A 23 00:42		Prep Ba Prep Me Prep Da Prep Init Prep Ex	tch: XXX47 ethod: SW3 te/Time: 05 tial Wt./Vol.: tract Vol: 1	/909 520C 5/26/23 13 880 mL mL	:10		

SGS Peoulte of CB C								
Client Sample ID: CP-C Client Project ID: SRU-Plant Lab Sample ID: 1232117003 Lab Project ID: 1232117	1 0-SCU14-3 }		Collectio Received Matrix: W Solids (% Location	n Date: 05 d Date: 05 Vater (Surf 6): :	5/15/23 1 /18/23 1 ⁻ ace, Eff.,	5:04 I:44 Ground))	
Results by Polychlorinated	Biphenyls							
Parameter Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248 Aroclor-1254	Result Qual 0.0570 U 0.570 U 0.0570 U	LOQ/CL 0.114 1.14 0.114 0.114 0.114 0.114	DL 0.0352 0.352 0.0352 0.0352 0.0352 0.0352	LOD 0.0570 0.0570 0.0570 0.0570 0.0570 0.0570	Units ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	DF 1 1 1 1 1	<u>Allowable</u> <u>Limits</u>	Date Analyzed 06/01/23 00:52 06/01/23 00:52 06/01/23 00:52 06/01/23 00:52 06/01/23 00:52 06/01/23 00:52
Aroclor-1260	0.0570 U	0.114	0.0352	0.0570	ug/L	1		06/01/23 00:52
Surrogates Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	108	40-135			%	1		06/01/23 00:52
Batch Information Analytical Batch: XGC11336 Analytical Method: SW8082A Analyst: BRP Analytical Date/Time: 06/01/2 Container ID: 1232117003-A	A 23 00:52		Prep Ba Prep Me Prep Da Prep Init Prep Ext	tch: XXX47 athod: SW3 te/Time: 05 ial Wt./Vol.: tract Vol: 1	'909 520C 5/26/23 13 880 mL mL	:10		

Results of Duplicate 1			Collectio	n Date: 04	5/15/23 1	5.07		
Client Valiple ID: SRU-Plant1 Lab Sample ID: 1232117004 Lab Project ID: 1232117	0-SCU14-3		Received Matrix: V Solids (% Location	d Date: 05 Vater (Surf 6):	/18/23 11 ace, Eff.,	:44 Ground)		
Results by Polychlorinated B	iphenyls		_					
Parameter Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248 Aroclor-1254 Aroclor-1260	ResultQual0.0580U0.580U0.0580U0.0580U0.0580U0.0580U0.0580U0.0580U	LOQ/CL 0.116 1.16 0.116 0.116 0.116 0.116 0.116	DL 0.0360 0.360 0.0360 0.0360 0.0360 0.0360 0.0360	LOD 0.0580 0.0580 0.0580 0.0580 0.0580 0.0580	<u>Units</u> ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	DF 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>Allowable</u> <u>Limits</u>	Date Analyzed 06/01/23 01:02 06/01/23 01:02 06/01/23 01:02 06/01/23 01:02 06/01/23 01:02 06/01/23 01:02 06/01/23 01:02
Surrogates Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	115	40-135			%	1		06/01/23 01:02
Analytical Batch: XGC11336 Analytical Method: SW8082A Analyst: BRP Analytical Date/Time: 06/01/23 Container ID: 1232117004-A	3 01:02		Prep Ba Prep Me Prep Da Prep Init Prep Ext	tch: XXX47 thod: SW3 te/Time: 05 ial Wt./Vol.: tract Vol: 1	'909 520C 5/26/23 13 860 mL mL	:10		

Print Date: 06/06/2023 3:15:23PM

4-3		Collectio Received Matrix: V Solids (% Location	n Date: 05 d Date: 05 Vater (Surf 6): :	5/15/23 1 /18/23 11 ace, Eff.,	5:15 :44 Ground)		
Client Sample ID: CP-BR Client Project ID: SRU-Plant10-SCU14-3 Lab Sample ID: 1232117005 Lab Project ID: 1232117 Results by Polychlorinated Biphenyls							
<u>sult</u> Qual 570 U	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 0.114	<u>DL</u> 0.0352	<u>LOD</u> 0.0570	<u>Units</u> ug/L	<u>DF</u> 1	<u>Allowable</u> <u>Limits</u>	Date Analyzec 06/01/23 01:12
570 U	1.14	0.352	0.570	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:12
570 U	0.114	0.0352	0.0570	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:12
570 U	0.114	0.0352	0.0570	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:12
570 U	0.114	0.0352	0.0570	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:12
570 U	0.114	0.0352	0.0570	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:12
570 U	0.114	0.0352	0.0570	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:12
110	40-135			%	1		06/01/23 01:12
	sult Qual 570 U 570 U 570 U 570 U 570 U 570 U 570 U 110	Sult Qual LOQ/CL 570 U 0.114 110 40-135	Sault Qual LOQ/CL DL 570 U 0.114 0.0352 570 U 1.14 0.352 570 U 0.114 0.0352 110 40-135 Prep Ba Prep Da Prep Da Prep Da Prep Init Prep Ext Prep Ext	Sult Qual LOQ/CL DL LOD 570 U 0.114 0.0352 0.0570 570 U 1.14 0.352 0.570 570 U 0.114 0.0352 0.0570 110 40-135 Prep Batch: XXX47 Prep Method: SW3 Prep Date/Time: 05 Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: Prep Extract Vol: 1	Sult Qual LOQ/CL DL LOD Units 570 U 0.114 0.0352 0.0570 ug/L 570 U 1.14 0.352 0.570 ug/L 570 U 1.14 0.352 0.0570 ug/L 570 U 0.114 0.0352 0.0570 ug/L 110 40-135 % Prep Batch: XXX47909 Prep Date/Time: 05/26/23 13 <td>Bult Qual LOQ/CL DL LOD Units DF 570 U 0.114 0.0352 0.0570 ug/L 1 570 U 1.14 0.352 0.570 ug/L 1 570 U 1.14 0.352 0.570 ug/L 1 570 U 0.114 0.0352 0.0570 ug/L 1 110 40-135 % 1 110 40-135 Yrep Batch: XXX47909 Yrep Date/Time: 05/26/23 13:10</td> <td>Sult Qual LOQ/CL DL LOD Units DF Limits 570 U 0.114 0.0352 0.0570 ug/L 1 570 U 1.14 0.352 0.570 ug/L 1 570 U 0.114 0.0352 0.0570 ug/L 1 110 40-135 % 1 110 40-135 Yrep Batch: XXX47909 Yrep Date/Time: 05/26/23 13:10</td>	Bult Qual LOQ/CL DL LOD Units DF 570 U 0.114 0.0352 0.0570 ug/L 1 570 U 1.14 0.352 0.570 ug/L 1 570 U 1.14 0.352 0.570 ug/L 1 570 U 0.114 0.0352 0.0570 ug/L 1 110 40-135 % 1 110 40-135 Yrep Batch: XXX47909 Yrep Date/Time: 05/26/23 13:10	Sult Qual LOQ/CL DL LOD Units DF Limits 570 U 0.114 0.0352 0.0570 ug/L 1 570 U 1.14 0.352 0.570 ug/L 1 570 U 0.114 0.0352 0.0570 ug/L 1 110 40-135 % 1 110 40-135 Yrep Batch: XXX47909 Yrep Date/Time: 05/26/23 13:10

Results of MW-3								
Client Sample ID: MW-3 Client Project ID: SRU-Plant Lab Sample ID: 1232117006 Lab Project ID: 1232117	10-SCU14-3		Collectio Received Matrix: V Solids (% Location	n Date: 05 d Date: 05 Vater (Surf 6): :	oate: 05/15/23 16:35 ate: 05/18/23 11:44 er (Surface, Eff., Ground)			
Results by Polychlorinated I	Biphenyls							
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u> <u>Qual</u>	LOQ/CL	DL	<u>LOD</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Allowable</u> <u>Limits</u>	Date Analyzed
Aroclor-1016	0.0575 U	0.115	0.0356	0.0575	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:23
Aroclor-1221	0.575 U	1.15	0.356	0.575	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:23
Aroclor-1232	0.0575 U	0.115	0.0356	0.0575	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:23
Aroclor-1242	0.0575 U	0.115	0.0356	0.0575	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:23
Aroclor-1248	0.0575 U	0.115	0.0356	0.0575	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:23
Aroclor-1254	0.0575 U	0.115	0.0356	0.0575	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:23
Aroclor-1260	0.0575 U	0.115	0.0356	0.0575	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:23
Surrogates								
Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	115	40-135			%	1		06/01/23 01:23
Batch Information								
Analytical Batch: XGC11336 Analytical Method: SW8082A Analyst: BRP Analytical Date/Time: 06/01/2 Container ID: 1232117006-A	23 01:23		Prep Ba Prep Me Prep Da Prep Init Prep Ext	tch: XXX47 sthod: SW3 te/Time: 05 ial Wt./Vol.: tract Vol: 1	909 520C 5/26/23 13 870 mL mL	:10		

Results of MW-2								
Client Sample ID: MW-2 Client Project ID: SRU-Plant Lab Sample ID: 1232117007 Lab Project ID: 1232117		Collection Date: 05/15/23 16:49 Received Date: 05/18/23 11:44 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Ground) Solids (%): Location:						
Results by Polychlorinated I	Biphenyls							
Parameter	<u>Result</u> <u>Qual</u>	LOQ/CL	DL	LOD	<u>Units</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Allowable</u> <u>Limits</u>	Date Analyze
Aroclor-1016	0.0580 U	0.116	0.0360	0.0580	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:33
Aroclor-1221	0.580 U	1.16	0.360	0.580	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:33
Aroclor-1232	0.0580 U	0.116	0.0360	0.0580	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:33
Aroclor-1242	0.0580 U	0.116	0.0360	0.0580	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:33
Aroclor-1248	0.0580 U	0.116	0.0360	0.0580	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:33
Aroclor-1254 Aroclor-1260	0.0580 U 0.0580 U	0.116 0.116	0.0360	0.0580 0.0580	ug/L ug/L	1 1		06/01/23 01:33
Surrogates								
Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	108	40-135			%	1		06/01/23 01:33
Batch Information								
Analytical Batch: XGC11336			Prep Ba	tch: XXX47	909			
Analytical Method: SW8082A Analyst: BRP	L.		Prep Me Prep Da	ethod: SW3 te/Time: 05	520C 5/26/23 13	:10		
Analytical Date/Time: 06/01/2	23 01:33		Prep Init	ial Wt./Vol.:	860 mL			

Print Date: 06/06/2023 3:15:23PM

Results of Dupicate 2								
Client Sample ID: Dupicate 2 Client Project ID: SRU-Plant Lab Sample ID: 1232117008 Lab Project ID: 1232117		Collection Date: 05/15/23 16:52 Received Date: 05/18/23 11:44 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Ground) Solids (%): Location:						
Results by Polychlorinated B	liphenyls							
Parameter Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248 Aroclor-1254	Result Qual 0.0555 U 0.555 U 0.0555 U	LOQ/CL 0.111 1.11 0.111 0.111 0.111 0.111	DL 0.0344 0.344 0.0344 0.0344 0.0344	LOD 0.0555 0.0555 0.0555 0.0555 0.0555	<u>Units</u> ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	<u>DF</u> 1 1 1 1	<u>Allowable</u> <u>Limits</u>	Date Analyzed 06/01/23 01:43 06/01/23 01:43 06/01/23 01:43 06/01/23 01:43 06/01/23 01:43
Aroclor-1260	0.0555 U	0.111	0.0344	0.0555	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:43
Surrogates					-			
Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	105	40-135			%	1		06/01/23 01:43
Batch Information Analytical Batch: XGC11336 Analytical Method: SW8082A Analyst: BRP Analytical Date/Time: 06/01/2 Container ID: 1232117008-A	3 01:43		Prep Ba Prep Me Prep Da Prep Init Prep Exi	tch: XXX47 thod: SW3 te/Time: 05 ial Wt./Vol.: tract Vol: 1	7909 520C 5/26/23 13 900 mL mL	:10		

Print Date: 06/06/2023 3:15:23PM

-3		Collectio Received Matrix: V	n Date: 05 d Date: 05	5/16/23 10 /18/23 11	0:03 I:44			
Client Sample ID: MW-1 Client Project ID: SRU-Plant10-SCU14-3 Lab Sample ID: 1232117009 Lab Project ID: 1232117			Collection Date: 05/16/23 10:03 Received Date: 05/18/23 11:44 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Ground) Solids (%): Location:					
Results by Polychlorinated Biphenyls								
sult Qual	LOQ/CL	DL	LOD	Units	DF	<u>Allowable</u> Limits	Date Analyze	
30 U	0.106	0.0330	0.0530	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:53	
30 U	1.06	0.330	0.530	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:53	
30 U	0.106	0.0330	0.0530	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:53	
30 U	0.106	0.0330	0.0530	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:53	
30 U	0.106	0.0330	0.0530	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:53	
30 U	0.106	0.0330	0.0530	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:53	
30 U	0.106	0.0330	0.0530	ug/L	1		06/01/23 01:53	
10	40-135			%	1		06/01/23 01:53	
	<u>ult</u> <u>Qual</u> 30 U 30 U 30 U 30 U 30 U 30 U 30 U	ult Qual LOQ/CL 30 U 0.106 30 U 1.06 30 U 0.106 30 U 0.106	ult Qual LOQ/CL DL 30 U 0.106 0.0330 30 U 1.06 0.330 30 U 0.106 0.0330 10 40-135 Prep Bat Prep Me Prep Da Prep Init Prep Init Prep Init Prep Init	ult Qual LOQ/CL DL LOD 30 U 0.106 0.0330 0.0530 30 U 1.06 0.330 0.530 30 U 0.106 0.0330 0.0530 10 40-135 Prep Batch: XXX47 Prep Date/Time: 05 Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: Prep Extract Vol: 1	ult Qual LOQ/CL DL LOD Units 30 U 0.106 0.0330 0.0530 ug/L 30 U 1.06 0.330 0.530 ug/L 30 U 1.06 0.330 0.530 ug/L 30 U 0.106 0.0330 0.0530 ug/L 10 40-135 % Prep Method: SW3520C Prep Date/Time: 05/26/23 13 Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: 940 mL Prep Extract Vol: 1 mL 1 mL	ult Qual LOQ/CL DL LOD Units DF 1 30 0 0.106 0.0330 0.0530 ug/L 1 30 U 1.06 0.330 0.530 ug/L 1 30 U 1.06 0.0330 0.530 ug/L 1 30 U 0.106 0.0330 0.0530 ug/L 1 10 40-135 % 1 Prep Batch: XXX47909 Prep Method: SW3520C Prep Date/Time: 05/26/23 13:10	Ult Qual LOQ/CL DL LOD Units DF Limits 30 0 0.106 0.0330 0.0530 ug/L 1 30 0 1.06 0.330 0.530 ug/L 1 30 0 1.06 0.330 0.530 ug/L 1 30 0 0.106 0.0330 0.0530 ug/L 1 10 40-135 % 1 Prep Batch: XXX47909 ////////////////////////////////////	



Method Blank

Blank ID: MB for HBN 1856387 [XXX/47909] Blank Lab ID: 1714579 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)

QC for Samples:

1232117001, 1232117002, 1232117003, 1232117004, 1232117005, 1232117006, 1232117007, 1232117008, 1232117009

Results by SW8082A					
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	LOQ/CL	<u>DL</u>	LOD	<u>Units</u>
Aroclor-1016	0.0500U	0.100	0.0310	0.0500	ug/L
Aroclor-1221	0.500U	1.00	0.310	0.500	ug/L
Aroclor-1232	0.0500U	0.100	0.0310	0.0500	ug/L
Aroclor-1242	0.0500U	0.100	0.0310	0.0500	ug/L
Aroclor-1248	0.0500U	0.100	0.0310	0.0500	ug/L
Aroclor-1254	0.0500U	0.100	0.0310	0.0500	ug/L
Aroclor-1260	0.0500U	0.100	0.0310	0.0500	ug/L
Surrogates					
Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	120	40-135		0	%

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: XGC11336 Analytical Method: SW8082A Instrument: Agilent 7890B GC ECD SW R Analyst: BRP Analytical Date/Time: 5/31/2023 8:14:00PM Prep Batch: XXX47909 Prep Method: SW3520C Prep Date/Time: 5/26/2023 1:10:31PM Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: 1000 mL Prep Extract Vol: 1 mL

Print Date: 06/06/2023 3:15:25PM



Blank Spike Summary

Blank Spike ID: LCS for HBN 1232117 [XXX47909] Blank Spike Lab ID: 1714580 Date Analyzed: 05/31/2023 20:24 Spike Duplicate ID: LCSD for HBN 1232117 [XXX47909] Spike Duplicate Lab ID: 1714581 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)

QC for Samples:

1232117001, 1232117002, 1232117003, 1232117004, 1232117005, 1232117006, 1232117007, 1232117008, 1232117009

Results by SW8082A			_						
	Blank Spik	e (ug/L)	:	Spike Duplicate (ug/L)					
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Spike</u>	Result	<u>Rec (%)</u>	<u>Spike</u>	Result	<u>Rec (%)</u>	<u>CL</u>	<u>RPD (%)</u>	RPD CL
Aroclor-1016	1	0.740	74	1	0.730	73	(46-129)	1.36	(< 30)
Aroclor-1260	1	0.940	94	1	0.890	89	(45-134)	5.46	(< 30)
Surrogates									
Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	0.400		118	0.400		120	(40-135)	2.11	
Batch Information									
Baton Internation									

Analytical Batch: XGC11336 Analytical Method: SW8082A Instrument: Agilent 7890B GC ECD SW R Analyst: BRP Prep Batch: XXX47909 Prep Method: SW3520C Prep Date/Time: 05/26/2023 13:10 Spike Init Wt./Vol.: 1 ug/L Extract Vol: 1 mL Dupe Init Wt./Vol.: 1 ug/L Extract Vol: 1 mL

Print Date: 06/06/2023 3:15:27PM

1	SG	S		C	SGS No CHAIN OF	orth A CUST	merica ODY F	Inc. ECO	RD Profile	<u>3</u>	62 4	127	Int.:	- DR			12	232117	
Π		intro					Ins	tructi	ons: S	Sectio	ns 1 -	5 mi	ust be	filled	out.			1 1	
		March II	PHONE #:	 ≎∕	Sec	Section 3 Preservative							Page of						
tion 1	PROJECT NAME:	Marshall	Project/Permit N	ade 110 lumber:	<u>></u>	#		/	1	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	/	/	
Sec		1947 10 - SCU19-S	NPDL Number(D E-MAIL:	OD): 000 1	1	c o	Sample	\leftarrow			/_/	Analys	is*						
	INVOICE TO:	wilson	Cr:,,c. w. QUOTE# P.O. #:	Ison OSte	nta. ion		Type Comp Grab											The following analyses req specific method and/or compound list: BTEX, Metal	uire Is,
	RESERVED for lab use	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	DATE mm/dd/	TIME yy HH:MM	MATRIX/ MATRIX CODE	N E R S	м	PCB									-	PFAS BEMARKS/LOCID	
	IAB	CP-A	05/6	3 1340	W	2	6												
	ZAB	CP-F	04/15/1	3 1350	W	2	1								_				
n 2	3 AB	CP-C	05/15/	13 1504	W	3													
ctio	4AB	Duplicate 1	05/15/0	1507	W	5	++											4) 	
Se	5 AV3	CP-BR	05/15/2	3 1515	\overline{W}	3	++			<u>ی</u>		-							
-23	Le AVS	VMW-3	63/15/1	3 1655		2	+	-				_							
	8 AB	Didick 2	5/15/2	N 1614	W	12													
	9 AB	Mual	5/10	13 1603	h/	Ĩ	$\forall V$	-		8		1							
				1000		<u> </u>													
Cor	a couler?	Sé Plant 10/SCU 1	4-3 Y ₄	, ² /2					- Mart										
n 4	DOD Project?	YES NO		Chand	Turnaround Ti	me Rea	uested		Delivery	Mathod:	Clin	2	SGS S	ample F	leceir	Chain (Use O	niv)	
Sectio	DataView Legel 4	SEDD EQUI ERPIMS Othe	r:	Rush	ested Rush Re	port Da	ite:		Denvery	Did eac corre	ch cooler sponding	have a COC?	Yes) Nic	,	COC SI	INTA Bal Loc	CT BROKEN ABSENT	SH
Η	, RE	INQUISHED BY:	DATE:	TIME:	RE	CEIVED	BY:			Coole	er ID		Temp	erature	(°C)	Thern	n. 1D		i,
	Ma	hull	5/14/23	1144					1.	1	\		Ч.	()		PE	5	If more than three coolers a received, or for documentation	ere on of
ion	V						1.000		2.	1	2		2	<u>, </u>	i si ini sinin	05	$\langle\!\!\langle$	non-compliant coolers, 🛥 for 0029.	m FS-
Sect		\langle			~ 		1914		3.	0 12 1 12 12 10 10 10 10		á.		15)				р. 	
			5/18/13	1144	AR	ETA	X		Note: li ≌ waste sa to procee	mples, Cl nd in an	utside 0-6° lient or PM nalysis. If id	and sam should it should its pres	nples wer nitial hers ent, mate	e not takei or attach on form F	n ⊲≘ ho an ema 102B.	urs ago () ail change	R <u>ar</u> 9 order	Intials:	-
			in the second second	Labor	ratory Use Onl	Y/	/		1.1	<u>htt</u>	p://www.	sqs.col	m/terms	s-and-co	nditio	ns			

Page 16 of 18



1232117

SAMPLE RECEIPT FORM

Project Manager Completion									
Was all necessary information recorded on the	(Yes	No	N/A						
COC upon receipte (temperature, COC seals,	S								
etc.?)	6								
Was temperature between 0-6° C?	Yes	No	N/A	If "No", are the samples either exempt* or sampled <8					
				hours prior to receipt?					
	\cap		1010-010						
Were all analyses received within holding time*?	Yes	No	N/A						
	6	NIT	N1/A	and device the second sec					
Was a method specified for each analysis,	res	NO	N/A						
where applicable? If no, please note correct	\square	/							
Are compound lists specified where applicable?	Va	No	(N/A)						
For project specific or special compound lists	\mathcal{Z}	NO	\bigcirc						
please note correct analysis code.	,-		~						
If rush was requested by the client, was the	Yes	No	N/A	If "NO", what is the approved TAT?					
requested TAT approved?			\square						
If SEDD Deliverables are required, were	Yes	No	/N/A)	If "NO", contact client for information.					
Location ID's and an NPDL Number provided?			\bigcirc						
	Sample	e Logii	n Comp	letion					
Do ID's on sample containers match COC?	(Yes)	No	N/A						
If provided on containers, do dates/times	Yes	No	N/A	Note: If times differ <1 hr., record details below and					
collected match COU?	X	NIa	NI/A	login per COC.					
opplition?	res	NO	N/A						
Were proper containers	Vac	No	N/A	Note: If 200 8/6020 Total Metals are received unpreserved					
(type/mass/volume/preservative) received for all	00			preserve and note HNO3 lot here:					
samples?				If 200.8/6020 Dissolved Metals are received unpreserved, log					
*See form F-083 "Sample Guide"				in for LABFILTER and do not preserve.					
				For all non-metals methods, month Project Manager.					
			\cap						
Were Trip Blanks (VOC, GRO, Low-Level Hg,	Yes	No	(N/A)						
etc.) received with samples, where applicable*?			X						
Were all VOA vials free of headspace >6mm?	Yes	No	(N/A)						
vvere all soil VOA samples received field	res	NO	N/A	2					
Did all coil VOA samples have on	Voc	No	NUA -	L					
accompanying uppreserved container for %	165	NU		V					
solids?			5						
If special handling is required, were containers	Yes	No	N/A						
labelled appropriately? e.g. MI/ISM, foreign			\sim						
soils, lab filter, Ref Lab, limited volume			_						
For Rush/Short Holding time, was the lab	Yes	No	(N/A)						
notified?			\geq						
For any question answered "NO", was the	Yes	No	N/A/	PM Initials:					
Project Manager notified?	0								
Was Peer Review of sample	(Yes)	No	N/A	Reviewer Initials:					
Additional Notae/Clarification where Applicable inc		l	on of the						
Auditional Notes/Clarification where Applicable, Inc	auung r	esoluti	on or "N						
				bus.					

Page 17 of 18



Sample Containers and Preservatives

<u>Container Id</u>	<u>Preservative</u>	<u>Container</u> Condition	<u>Container Id</u>	<u>Preservative</u>	<u>Container</u> <u>Condition</u>
1232117001-A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117001 - В	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117002 - A	No Preservative Required	OK			
1232117002 - В	No Preservative Required	OK			
1232117003 - A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117003 - В	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117004 - A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117004 - B	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117005 - A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117005-B	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117006-A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117006 - B	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117007 - A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117007 - B	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117008-A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1232117008-B	No Preservative Required	OK			
1232117009-A	No Preservative Required	OK			
1232117009 - B	No Preservative Required	OK			

Container Condition Glossary

Containers for bacteriological, low level mercury and VOA vials are not opened prior to analysis and will be assigned condition code OK unless evidence indicates than an inappropriate container was submitted.

OK - The container was received at an acceptable pH for the analysis requested.

BU - The container was received with headspace greater than 6mm.

DM - The container was received damaged.

FR - The container was received frozen and not usable for Bacteria or BOD analyses.

IC - The container provided for microbiology analysis was not a laboratory-supplied, pre-sterilized container and therefore was not suitable for analysis.

NC- The container provided was not preserved or was under-preserved. The method does not allow for additional preservative added after collection.

PA - The container was received outside of the acceptable pH for the analysis requested. Preservative was added upon receipt and the container is now at the correct pH. See the Sample Receipt Form for details on the amount and lot # of the preservative added.

PH - The container was received outside of the acceptable pH for the analysis requested. Preservative was added upon receipt, but was insufficient to bring the container to the correct pH for the analysis

requested. See the Sample Receipt Form for details on the amount and lot # of the preservative added. QN - Insufficient sample quantity provided.

ADEC Contaminated Sites Program Laboratory Data Review Checklist

Completed By:	Sydney Souza	CS Site Name:	Swanson River Unit	Lab Name:	SGS North America Inc
Title:	Environmental Geologist	ADEC File No.:	2334.38.017	Lab Report No.:	1232117
Consulting Firm:	Stantec	Hazard ID No.:	452	Lab Report Date:	June 7, 2023

Note: Any N/A or No box checked must have an explanation in the comments box.

1. Laboratory

- a. Did an ADEC Contaminated Sites Laboratory Approval Program (CS-LAP) approved laboratory receive and perform all the submitted sample analyses? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- b. If the samples were transferred to another "network" laboratory or sub-contracted to an alternate laboratory, was the laboratory performing the analyses CS-LAP approved?

Yes \Box No \Box N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

2. Chain of Custody (CoC)

- a. Is the CoC information completed, signed, and dated (including released/received by)?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- b. Were the correct analyses requested? Yes ⋈ No □ N/A □
 Analyses requested: Click or tap here to enter text. Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

3. Laboratory Sample Receipt Documentation

a. Is the sample/cooler temperature documented and within range at receipt (0° to 6° C)?

Yes \boxtimes No \square N/A \square Cooler temperature(s): 4.0 ° C, 2.1 ° C Sample temperature(s): Click or tap here to enter text. Comments: Two coolers were sent to the lab for this event

- b. Is the sample preservation acceptable acidified waters, methanol preserved soil (GRO, BTEX, VOCs, etc.)?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- c. Is the sample condition documented broken, leaking, zero headspace (VOA vials); canister vacuum/pressure checked and no open valves, etc.?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- d. If there were any discrepancies, were they documented? For example, incorrect sample containers/preservation, sample temperature outside of acceptable range, insufficient or missing samples, canister not holding a vacuum, etc.?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- e. Is the data quality or usability affected?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

4. Case Narrative

- a. Is the case narrative present and understandable?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- b. Are there discrepancies, errors, or QC failures identified by the lab? Yes □ No ⊠ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- c. Were all the corrective actions documented? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- d. What is the effect on data quality/usability according to the case narrative? Comments: none

5. Sample Results

Are the correct analyses performed/reported as requested on CoC?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

b. Are all applicable holding times met?

Yes \boxtimes No \square N/A \square Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

- c. Are all soils reported on a dry weight basis?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- d. Are the reported limits of quantitation (LoQ) or limits of detections (LOD), or reporting limits (RL) less than the Cleanup Level or the action level for the project?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

e. Is the data quality or usability affected? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

6. QC Samples

a. Method Blank

- i. Was one method blank reported per matrix, analysis, and 20 samples? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- ii. Are all method blank results less than LOQ (or RL)?
 Yes ⊠ No □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iii. If above LoQ or RL, what samples are affected? Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iv. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

v. Data quality or usability affected?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

CS Site Name: Swanson River Unit **Lab Report No.:** 1232117

- b. Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate (LCS/LCSD)
 - Organics Are one LCS/LCSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples? (LCS/LCSD required per AK methods, LCS required per SW846)
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

ii. Metals/Inorganics – Are one LCS and one sample duplicate reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?

Yes \Box No \Box N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

- iii. Accuracy Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? (AK Petroleum methods: AK101 60%-120%, AK102 75%-125%, AK103 60%-120%; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages)
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iv. Precision Are all relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? Was the RPD reported from LCS/LCSD, and or sample/sample duplicate? (AK Petroleum methods 20%; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages)
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

- v. If %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected? Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- vi. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes \Box No \Box N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

vii. Is the data quality or usability affected?
Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

c. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

i. Organics – Are one MS/MSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?

Yes \Box No \boxtimes N/A \Box Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. ii. Metals/Inorganics – Are one MS/MSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?

Yes \Box No \boxtimes N/A \Box Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

- iii. Accuracy Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iv. Precision Are all relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? RPD reported from MS/MSD, and or sample/sample duplicate.

Yes \square No \square N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

- v. If %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected? Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- vi. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes \Box No \Box N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

vii. Is the data quality or usability affected?
Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

d. Surrogates – Organics Only or Isotope Dilution Analytes (IDA) – Isotope Dilution Methods Only

Are surrogate/IDA recoveries reported for organic analyses – field, QC, and laboratory samples?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

 ii. Accuracy – Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? (AK Petroleum methods 50-150 %R for field samples and 60-120 %R for QC samples; all other analyses see the laboratory report pages) Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iii. Do the sample results with failed surrogate/IDA recoveries have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes \Box No \Box N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iv. Is the data quality or usability affected?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

e. Trip Blanks

- Is one trip blank reported per matrix, analysis, and for each cooler containing volatile samples? Yes □ No ⊠ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- ii. Are all results less than LoQ or RL?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iii. If above LoQ or RL, what samples are affected? Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iv. Is the data quality or usability affected?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

f. Field Duplicate

Is one field duplicate submitted per matrix, analysis, and 10 project samples?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

Was the duplicate submitted blind to lab?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

CS Site Name: Swanson River Unit **Lab Report No.:** 1232117

iii. Precision – All relative percent differences (RPD) less than specified project objectives? (Recommended: 30% water or air, 50% soil)

$$RPD(\%) = \left| \frac{R_1 - R_2}{\left(\frac{R_1 + R_2}{2}\right)} \right| X \ 100$$

Where $R_1 =$ Sample Concentration

R₂ = Field Duplicate Concentration

Is the data quality or usability affected? (Explain)

Yes \boxtimes No \square N/A \square Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iv. Is the data quality or usability affected? (Explain)
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

g. Decontamination or Equipment Blanks

- Were decontamination or equipment blanks collected? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- ii. Are all results less than LoQ or RL?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iii. If above LoQ or RL, specify what samples are affected. Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iv. Are data quality or usability affected?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Other Data Flags/Qualifiers (ACOE, AFCEE, Lab Specific, etc.)

a. Are they defined and appropriate?

Yes \Box No \Box N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.



Laboratory Report of Analysis

To: Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 725 East Fireweed Lane, #200 Anchorage, AK 99503 (907)266-1148

Report Number: 1235957

Client Project: 203723261 SRU Plant 10

Dear Douglas Quist,

Enclosed are the results of the analytical services performed under the referenced project for the received samples and associated QC as applicable. The samples are certified to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference Standards. Copies of this report and supporting data will be retained in our files for a period of ten years in the event they are required for future reference. All results are intended to be used in their entirety and SGS is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. Any samples submitted to our laboratory will be retained for a maximum of fourteen (14) days from the date of this report unless other archiving requirements were included in the quote.

If there are any questions about the report or services performed during this project, please call Justin at (907) 562-2343. We will be happy to answer any questions or concerns which you may have.

Thank you for using SGS North America Inc. for your analytical services. We look forward to working with you again on any additional analytical needs.

Sincerely, SGS North America Inc.

Justin Nelson 2023.10.31 14:30:37 -08'00'

Justin Nelson Project Manager Justin.Nelson@sgs.com Date

Print Date: 10/31/2023 1:42:21PM

SGS North America Inc.

200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518 t 907.562.2343 f 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com Results via Engage



Case Narrative

SGS Client: Stantec Consulting Services Inc. SGS Project: 1235957 Project Name/Site: 203723261 SRU Plant 10 Project Contact: Douglas Quist

Refer to sample receipt form for information on sample condition.

*QC comments may be associated with the field samples found in this report. When applicable, comments will be applied to associated field samples.

Print Date: 10/31/2023 1:42:22PM

SGS North America Inc.

200 West Potter Drive, Anchorage, AK 99518 t 907.562.2343 f 907.561.5301 www.us.sgs.com



Laboratory Qualifiers

Enclosed are the analytical results associated with the above work order. The results apply to the samples as received. All results are intended to be used in their entirety and SGS is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <<u>http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx></u>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indenmification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client and this document does not exonerate parties to a transaction from exercising all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the context or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

SGS maintains a formal Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) program. A copy of our Quality Assurance Plan (QAP), which outlines this program, is available at your request. The laboratory certification numbers are AK00971 (DW Chemistry & Microbiology) & 17-021 (CS) for ADEC and 2944.01 for DOD ELAP/ISO17025 (RCRA methods: 1020B, 1311, 3010A, 3050B, 3520C, 3550C, 5030B, 5035A, 6020B, 7470A, 7471B, 8015C, 8021B, 8082A, 8260D, 8270D, 8270D-SIM, 9040C, 9045D, 9056A, 9060A, AK101 and AK102/103). SGS is only certified for the analytes listed on our Drinking Water Certification (DW methods: 200.8, 2130B, 2320B, 2510B, 300.0, 4500-CN-C,E, 4500-H-B, 4500-NO3-F, 4500-P-E and 524.2) and only those analytes will be reported to the State of Alaska for compliance. Except as specifically noted, all statements and data in this report are in conformance to the provisions set forth by the SGS QAP and, when applicable, other regulatory authorities.

The following descriptors or qualifiers may be found in your report:

*	The analyte has exceeded allowable regulatory or control limits.
!	Surrogate out of control limits.
В	Indicates the analyte is found in a blank associated with the sample.
CCV/CVA/CVB	Continuing Calibration Verification
CCCV/CVC/CVCA/CVCB	Closing Continuing Calibration Verification
CL	Control Limit
DF	Analytical Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (i.e., maximum method detection limit)
E	The analyte result is above the calibrated range.
GT	Greater Than
IB	Instrument Blank
ICV	Initial Calibration Verification
J	The quantitation is an estimation.
LCS(D)	Laboratory Control Spike (Duplicate)
LLQC/LLIQC	Low Level Quantitation Check
LOD	Limit of Detection (i.e., 1/2 of the LOQ)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (i.e., reporting or practical quantitation limit)
LT	Less Than
MB	Method Blank
MS(D)	Matrix Spike (Duplicate)
ND	Indicates the analyte is not detected.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
Sample summaries which i	nclude a result for "Total Solids" have already been adjusted for moisture content.
All DRO/RRO analyses are	e integrated per SOP.

Print Date: 10/31/2023 1:42:25PM

Note:



Sample Summary								
Client Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Collected	Received	Matrix				
CP-C	1235957001	10/16/2023	10/20/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)				
CP-F	1235957002	10/16/2023	10/20/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)				
CP-A	1235957003	10/16/2023	10/20/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)				
CP-BR	1235957004	10/16/2023	10/20/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)				
Dup	1235957005	10/16/2023	10/20/2023	Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)				

<u>Method</u> SW8082A Method Description SW8082 PCB's

Print Date: 10/31/2023 1:42:27PM

SGS North America Inc.

SGS								
Results of CP-C Client Sample ID: CP-C Client Project ID: 203723261 Lab Sample ID: 1235957001 Lab Project ID: 1235957		Collectio Receive Matrix: V Solids (% Location	n Date: 10 d Date: 10 Vater (Surf 6):	0/16/23 1 /20/23 1: ace, Eff.,	3:40 3:09 Ground)			
Results by Polychlorinated I	Biphenyls							
Parameter Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248 Aroclor-1254 Aroclor-1260	Result Qual 0.0530 U 0.530 U 0.0530 U	LOQ/CL 0.106 1.06 0.106 0.106 0.106 0.106 0.106	<u>DL</u> 0.0330 0.330 0.0330 0.0330 0.0330 0.0330 0.0330	LOD 0.0530 0.0530 0.0530 0.0530 0.0530 0.0530	Units ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	DF 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>Allowable</u> <u>Limits</u>	Date Analyzed 10/25/23 23:13 10/25/23 23:13 10/25/23 23:13 10/25/23 23:13 10/25/23 23:13 10/25/23 23:13 10/25/23 23:13
Surrogates								
Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	90	40-135			%	1		10/25/23 23:13
Batch Information Analytical Batch: XGC11438 Analytical Method: SW8082A Analyst: BRP Analytical Date/Time: 10/25/2 Container ID: 1235957001-A	4 23 23:13		Prep Ba Prep Me Prep Da Prep Init Prep Ex	tch: XXX48 ethod: SW3 te/Time: 10 tial Wt./Vol.: tract Vol: 1	3910 520C 0/23/23 10 940 mL mL	:25		

SGS								
Results of CP-F								
Client Sample ID: CP-F Client Project ID: 203723261 Lab Sample ID: 1235957002 Lab Project ID: 1235957	Client Sample ID: CP-F Client Project ID: 203723261 SRU Plant 10 Lab Sample ID: 1235957002 Lab Project ID: 1235957			n Date: 10 d Date: 10 Vater (Surf %): :	0/16/23 1 0/20/23 13 ace, Eff.,	4:32 3:09 Ground)		
Results by Polychlorinated I	Biphenyls							
Parameter Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248 Aroclor-1254	Result Qual 0.0515 U 0.515 U 0.0515 U	LOQ/CL 0.103 1.03 0.103 0.103 0.103 0.103	<u>DL</u> 0.0320 0.320 0.0320 0.0320 0.0320 0.0320	LOD 0.0515 0.515 0.0515 0.0515 0.0515 0.0515	Units ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	<u>DF</u> 1 1 1 1 1	<u>Allowable</u> <u>Limits</u>	Date Analyzed 10/25/23 23:23 10/25/23 23:23 10/25/23 23:23 10/25/23 23:23 10/25/23 23:23
Aroclor-1260	0.0515 U	0.103	0.0320	0.0515	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:23
Surrogates Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	90	40-135			%	1		10/25/23 23:23
Analytical Batch: XGC11438 Analytical Method: SW8082A Analyst: BRP Analytical Date/Time: 10/25/2 Container ID: 1235957002-A	23 23:23		Prep Ba Prep Me Prep Da Prep Init Prep Ex	tch: XXX48 ethod: SW3 te/Time: 10 tial Wt./Vol.: tract Vol: 1	8910 520C 0/23/23 10 970 mL mL	:25		

Client Sample ID: CP-A Client Project ID: 203723261 SRU Plant 10 Lab Sample ID: 1235957003 Lab Project ID: 1235957)/16/23 14 /20/23 13 ace, Eff.,	4:35 9:09 Ground)	I	
ohenyls							
<u>Result</u> <u>Qual</u> 0.0520 U	<u>LOQ/CL</u> 0.104	<u>DL</u> 0.0323	<u>LOD</u> 0.0520	<u>Units</u> ua/L	<u>DF</u> 1	<u>Allowable</u> <u>Limits</u>	<u>Date Analyzed</u> 10/25/23 23:33
0.520 U	1.04	0.323	0.520	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:33
0.0520 U	0.104	0.0323	0.0520	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:33
0.0520 U	0.104	0.0323	0.0520	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:33
0.0520 U	0.104	0.0323	0.0520	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:33
0.0520 U	0.104	0.0323	0.0520	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:33
0.0520 U	0.104	0.0323	0.0520	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:33
97.5	40-135			%	1		10/25/23 23:33
23-33		Prep Ba Prep Me Prep Da Prep Init	tch: XXX48 ethod: SW3 te/Time: 10				
	RU Plant 10 bhenyls Result Qual 0.0520 U 0.5200 U 0.05200 U	RU Plant 10 Denyls Result Qual LOQ/CL 0.0520 0 0.104 0.520 U 1.04 0.0520 U 0.104 U U U	RU Plant 10 Collection Received Matrix: V Solids (% Location ohenyls DL 0.0520 U 0.104 0.0323 0.520 U 1.04 0.323 0.0520 U 0.104 0.0323 97.5 40-135 Prep Ba 23:33 Prep Initi	RU Plant 10 Collection Date: 10 Received Date: 10 Matrix: Water (Surf. Solids (%): Location: bhenyls Image: Collection Date: 10 Received Date: 10 Matrix: Water (Surf. Solids (%): Location: bhenyls Image: Collection Date: 10 Matrix: Water (Surf. Solids (%): Location: 0.0520 U 0.104 97.5 40-135 Prep Batch: XXX48 Prep Date/Time: 10 Prep Initial Wt./Vol.:	RU Plant 10 Collection Date: 10/16/23 14 Received Date: 10/20/23 13 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Solids (%): Location: Location: bhenyls LOQ/CL DL LOD Units 0.0520 U 0.104 0.0323 0.0520 ug/L 0.520 U 1.04 0.323 0.520 ug/L 0.0520 U 0.104 0.0323 0.0520 ug/L 97.5 40-135 % Prep Batch: XXX48910 Prep Method: SW3520C Prep Date/Time: 10/23/23 10: Prep Initial Wt./vol.: 960 mL 23:33	RU Plant 10 Collection Date: 10/16/23 14:35 RU Plant 10 Received Date: 10/20/23 13:09 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Ground) Solids (%): Location: Location: bhenyls LoQ/CL DL LOD Units DF 0.0520 U 0.104 0.0323 0.0520 ug/L 1 0.520 U 1.04 0.323 0.0520 ug/L 1 0.0520 U 0.104 0.0323 0.0520 ug/L 1 97.5 40-135 % 1 97.5 40-135 % 1 Prep Batch: XXX48910 Prep Method: SW3520C Prep Date/Time: 10/23/23 10:25 Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: 960 mL	RU Plant 10 Collection Date: 10/16/23 14:35 Received Date: 10/20/23 13:09 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Ground) Solids (%): Location: bhenyls

Results of CP-BR								
Client Sample ID: CP-BR Client Project ID: 20372326 Lab Sample ID: 1235957004 Lab Project ID: 1235957		Collection Date: 10/16/23 15:18 Received Date: 10/20/23 13:09 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Ground) Solids (%): Location:						
Results by Polychlorinated	Biphenyls							
Parameter	Result Qual	100/01			l Inite	DE	<u>Allowable</u>	Date Analyzer
Aroclor-1016	0.0530 U	0.106	0.0330	0.0530	ug/L	1	Linita	10/25/23 23:44
Aroclor-1221	0.530 U	1.06	0.330	0.530	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:44
Aroclor-1232	0.0530 U	0.106	0.0330	0.0530	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:44
Aroclor-1242	0.0530 U	0.106	0.0330	0.0530	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:44
Aroclor-1248	0.0530 U	0.106	0.0330	0.0530	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:44
Aroclor-1254	0.0530 U	0.106	0.0330	0.0530	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:44
Aroclor-1260	0.0530 U	0.106	0.0330	0.0530	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:44
Surrogates								
Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	97.5	40-135			%	1		10/25/23 23:44

Analytical Method: SW8082A Analyst: BRP Analytical Date/Time: 10/25/23 23:44 Container ID: 1235957004-A Prep Batch: XXX48910 Prep Method: SW3520C Prep Date/Time: 10/23/23 10:25 Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: 940 mL Prep Extract Vol: 1 mL

Print Date: 10/31/2023 1:42:30PM

SGS North America Inc.

J flagging is activated

Results of Dup										
Client Sample ID: Dup Client Project ID: 203723261 SRU Plant 10 Lab Sample ID: 1235957005 Lab Project ID: 1235957			Collection Date: 10/16/23 14:40 Received Date: 10/20/23 13:09 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Ground) Solids (%): Location:							
Results by Polychlorinated Bi	phenyls									
Parameter	<u>Result</u> Qual	LOQ/CL	DL	LOD	<u>Units</u>	DF	<u>Allowable</u> Limits	Date Analyze		
Aroclor-1016	0.0525 U	0.105	0.0326	0.0525	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:54		
Aroclor-1221	0.525 U	1.05	0.326	0.525	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:54		
Aroclor-1232	0.0525 U	0.105	0.0326	0.0525	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:54		
Aroclor-1242	0.0525 U	0.105	0.0326	0.0525	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:54		
Aroclor-1248	0.0525 U	0.105	0.0326	0.0525	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:54		
Aroclor-1254	0.0525 U	0.105	0.0326	0.0525	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:54		
Aroclor-1260	0.0525 U	0.105	0.0326	0.0525	ug/L	1		10/25/23 23:54		
Surrogates										
Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	103	40-135			%	1		10/25/23 23:54		
Batch Information										
Analytical Batch: XGC11438 Analytical Method: SW8082A Analyst: BRP Analytical Date/Time: 10/25/23 23:54			Prep Batch: XXX48910 Prep Method: SW3520C Prep Date/Time: 10/23/23 10:25 Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: 950 mL							

Print Date: 10/31/2023 1:42:30PM



Method Blank

Blank ID: MB for HBN 1866367 [XXX/48910] Blank Lab ID: 1742283 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)

QC for Samples:

1235957001, 1235957002, 1235957003, 1235957004, 1235957005

Results by SW8082A					
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Results</u>	LOQ/CL	<u>DL</u>	LOD	<u>Units</u>
Aroclor-1016	0.0500U	0.100	0.0310	0.0500	ug/L
Aroclor-1221	0.500U	1.00	0.310	0.500	ug/L
Aroclor-1232	0.0500U	0.100	0.0310	0.0500	ug/L
Aroclor-1242	0.0500U	0.100	0.0310	0.0500	ug/L
Aroclor-1248	0.0500U	0.100	0.0310	0.0500	ug/L
Aroclor-1254	0.0500U	0.100	0.0310	0.0500	ug/L
Aroclor-1260	0.0500U	0.100	0.0310	0.0500	ug/L
Surrogates					
Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	85	40-135		0	%

Batch Information

Analytical Batch: XGC11436 Analytical Method: SW8082A Instrument: Agilent 7890B GC ECD SW R Analyst: BRP Analytical Date/Time: 10/25/2023 9:20:00PM Prep Batch: XXX48910 Prep Method: SW3520C Prep Date/Time: 10/23/2023 10:25:00AM Prep Initial Wt./Vol.: 1000 mL Prep Extract Vol: 1 mL

Print Date: 10/31/2023 1:42:33PM

Blank Spike Summary

Blank Spike ID: LCS for HBN 1235957 [XXX48910] Blank Spike Lab ID: 1742284 Date Analyzed: 10/25/2023 21:30 Spike Duplicate ID: LCSD for HBN 1235957 [XXX48910] Spike Duplicate Lab ID: 1742285 Matrix: Water (Surface, Eff., Ground)

QC for Samples: 1235957001, 1235957002, 1235957003, 1235957004, 1235957005

Tana and a second s									
		Blank Spike	ə (ug/L)	S	Spike Dupli	cate (ug/L)			
Parameter	<u>Spike</u>	Result	<u>Rec (%)</u>	Spike	Result	<u>Rec (%)</u>	CL	<u>RPD (%)</u>	RPD CL
Aroclor-1016	1	0.860	86	1	0.820	82	(46-129)	4.76	(< 30)
Aroclor-1260	1	1.12	112	1	0.980	98	(45-134)	13.30	(< 30)
urrogates									
Decachlorobiphenyl (surr)	0.400		98	0.400		90	(40-135)	8.00	

Analytical Batch: XGC11436 Analytical Method: SW8082A Instrument: Agilent 7890B GC ECD SW R Analyst: BRP Prep Batch: XXX48910 Prep Method: SW3520C Prep Date/Time: 10/23/2023 10:25 Spike Init Wt./Vol.: 1 ug/L Extract Vol: 1 mL Dupe Init Wt./Vol.: 1 ug/L Extract Vol: 1 mL

Print Date: 10/31/2023 1:42:36PM

SGS		СН	SGS No AIN OF (rth An CUST(th America Inc. USTODY RECORD Profile #: 362427 Int.: エロ Instructions: Sections 1 - 5 must be filled out.							1235957		
CLIENT:				. IT 16	Inst	tructi	ons:	Sectio	ns 1 - 5	mus	t be fill	ied out	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Stantec	DUONT #				0	missi	ons	may de	lay the c	onset	of ana	lysis.	3 3 3	Page _L=
CONTACT:	907-220	9-1514		Sect	ion 3				F	Preserv	ative			
SRU Plant 10	Project/Permit Num 20372 NPDL Number(DOD	iber: 23261		# C		/	1/		//	- /	//		//	
REPORTS TO:	E-MAIL:	- od	les con	N	Sample	-	-			nalysis*	T	T 1		NOTE:
INVOICE TO:	QUOTE #:	UCA COTAN	rec.con	Т	Comp	2								specific method and/or
Stantec	P.O. #: 203-	72326	(î	Grab	2								compound list: BTEX, Metals,
			MATRIX/	N	м	d		*						PFAS
RESERVED SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	DATE mm/dd/vv	TIME HH:MM	MATRIX	R		8								
and rough a float			CODE	s		à								REMARKS/LOC ID
AB CP-C	10/14/3	13:40	Gw	2	G	X	ļ							
24B CP-F		14:32		2		x				-				-
3AB CP-A		19:35		2	<u>.</u>	X						-		
E 4AB CP-BR		15:18		2		×				_				
5 5AB DUP		14:40	d/	2	V	X	<u> </u>			_	_			Duplicate
a station of the				1						_				1
lad ministra di sua di sua Na sua di sua					×.	110				_	_			
					-		<u> </u>			-	_			
											_			
				•										
					2		1					la Dagai	int (Lab Lloo	Only)
DOD Project? YES NO		Turi		me Keqi	lested		Delive	ry Method:	Client	200	ao oam		Chain of Cu	Istody Seal Condition
Data View SEDD EQU	JIS	Rush					Denve	Did ea	ch cooler hav			n de set		TACT BROKEN ABSEN
C Level 4 ERPIMS Oth	er:	Requeste	d Rush Re	port Dat	:e:			corre	sponding CC) °20	Yes	No	COC Seal L	.ocation(s):
RELINQUISHED BY:	DATE:	TIME:	REC	CEIVED	BY:			Cool	er ID	Т	emperat	ure (°C)	Therm. ID	
16-06	10/10/23 11	:50	\$				1.				1.4		063	If more than three coolers are
5	1,0,00	-		$\overline{}$			2.							non-compliant coolers, use form FS-
					- <u>- 1.</u>									0029.
le l			1				3.		ga jà			ua lla lla		E Contraction of the second se
	ishohz i	304	Ð.	EIVE		$\left\{ \right.$	Note: waste to proc	If terms is ou samples, C ceed with ar	utside 0-6° an lient or PM sh nalysis. If ice is	d sample ould initia s present	s were not il here or al note on to erms-an	taken <8 h ttach an en F102B.	ours ago OR are nail change order	Intials:
	a fan her fan de staat de staa	Laporato	se Uni	<u>x</u> ,		/	na 19	111	D.11 444444.50	0.0011/1	sino-all	a conditio	0110	12 of 14

		-	U 1		
F083-Blank	COC	20	181	22	8



1235957

SAMPLE RECEIPT FORM

	Projec	t Mana	ant C	
Was all necessary information recorded on the	Yes	No.	N//	sinpledon
COC upon receipt? (temperature, COC seals,	6	-1	19/7	
etc.?)				
vvas temperature between 0-6° C?	Yes	D No	N/A	If "No" and the
	2	1	1.07	hours price the samples either exempt* or sampled <8
Mars - II - I				hours prior to receipt?
were all analyses received within holding time*?	Yes	No	N/A	
Man a method in the state	1	1		·
where ameliachies is a method specified for each analysis,	(Yes	No	N/A	
methods	2	-	1.44	
Are compound lists				a
For project specific as an acid, where applicable?	Yes	No	N/A	
please note correct analysis and		1	X	1
If rush was requested by the allocation	1			
requested TAT approved?	Yes	No	N/A	If "NO", what is the approved TAT2
If SEDD Deliverables are required				
Location ID's and an NPDL Number	Yes	No	N/A	If "NO", contact client for information
and an NFDL Number provided?	L			
Do ID's on sample containers match 0000	Sampl	e Logi	in Com	pletion
containers match COC?	(Yes)	No	N/A	
If provided on containers, do dates the				
collected match COC2	Yes	No	N/A	Note: If times differ <1 hr record details holes and
Were all sample containers received in and				login per COC.
condition?	Yes	No	N/A	
Were proper containers				
(type/mass/volume/preservative) received for all	Yes	No	N/A	Note: If 200.8/6020 Total Metals are received uppresented
samples?	\cup			preserve and note HIVO3 lot here:
*See form F-083 "Sample Guide"	(÷			in for (ABEII TED and d Metals are received unpreserved, log
1				For all non-metals methodo inform Dational
				and the metals metalous, inform Project Manager.
Were Trip Blanks (VOC, GRO Low-Level Hg	Ver	-		
etc.) received with samples, where applicable*?	res	NO	(TyA	
Were all VOA vials free of headspace >6mm2	Van	Nia	-	
	res	NO	ATT?	
Were all soil VOA samples received field	Vac	Nia	600	
extracted with Methanol?	163	IND	(1)	
Did all soil VOA samples have an	Ves	Mo	MA	
accompanying unpreserved container for %	163	NU		
Solids?		7.		
It special handling is required, were containers	Ves	No	MTO	
soils lob filter Dati	D		Ŵ	
For Pueb (Sheet He Lab, limited volume			100	REFT
of Rush/Short Holding time, was the lab	Yes	No	NTA	
For any question			\mathcal{O}	
Project Manager notifie to	Yes	No	MA	PM Initials:
Nas Peer Review of served			0	, in made.
Sumbering/labelling complete to	N-es	No	N/A	Reviewer Initiale
Additional Notes/Clarification uter A	\smile			MAC
inclusion where Applicable, inclu	ding rea	solution	n of "No	p" answers when a change order is not at
				endinge order is not attached:



Sample Containers and Preservatives

<u>Container Id</u>	<u>Preservative</u>	<u>Container</u> Condition	<u>Container Id</u>	<u>Preservative</u>	<u>Container</u> Condition
1235957001 - A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1235957001 - B	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1235957002 - A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1235957002-B	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1235957003 - A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1235957003-B	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1235957004-A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1235957004 - B	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1235957005-A	No Preservative Required	ОК			
1235957005 - В	No Preservative Required	ОК			

Container Condition Glossary

Containers for bacteriological, low level mercury and VOA vials are not opened prior to analysis and will be assigned condition code OK unless evidence indicates than an inappropriate container was submitted.

OK - The container was received at an acceptable pH for the analysis requested.

BU - The container was received with headspace greater than 6mm.

DM - The container was received damaged.

FR - The container was received frozen and not usable for Bacteria or BOD analyses.

IC - The container provided for microbiology analysis was not a laboratory-supplied, pre-sterilized container and therefore was not suitable for analysis.

NC- The container provided was not preserved or was under-preserved. The method does not allow for additional preservative added after collection.

PA - The container was received outside of the acceptable pH for the analysis requested. Preservative was added upon receipt and the container is now at the correct pH. See the Sample Receipt Form for details on the amount and lot # of the preservative added.

PH - The container was received outside of the acceptable pH for the analysis requested. Preservative was added upon receipt, but was insufficient to bring the container to the correct pH for the analysis requested. See the Sample Receipt Form for details on the amount and lot # of the preservative added. ON - Insufficient sample quantity provided.

10/20/2023

ADEC Contaminated Sites Program Laboratory Data Review Checklist

Completed By:	Sydney Souza	CS Site Name:	Swanson River Unit	Lab Name:	SGS North America Inc
Title:	Environmental Geologist	ADEC File No.:	2334.38.017	Lab Report No.:	1235957
Consulting Firm:	Stantec	Hazard ID No.:	452	Lab Report Date:	October 31, 2023

Note: Any N/A or No box checked must have an explanation in the comments box.

1. Laboratory

- a. Did an ADEC Contaminated Sites Laboratory Approval Program (CS-LAP) approved laboratory receive and perform all the submitted sample analyses? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- b. If the samples were transferred to another "network" laboratory or sub-contracted to an alternate laboratory, was the laboratory performing the analyses CS-LAP approved?

Yes \Box No \Box N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

2. Chain of Custody (CoC)

- a. Is the CoC information completed, signed, and dated (including released/received by)?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- b. Were the correct analyses requested? Yes ⋈ No □ N/A □
 Analyses requested: Click or tap here to enter text. Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

3. Laboratory Sample Receipt Documentation

a. Is the sample/cooler temperature documented and within range at receipt (0° to 6° C)?

Yes \boxtimes No \square N/A \square Cooler temperature(s): 1.4° C Sample temperature(s): Click or tap here to enter text. Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

- b. Is the sample preservation acceptable acidified waters, methanol preserved soil (GRO, BTEX, VOCs, etc.)?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: No preservatives
- c. Is the sample condition documented broken, leaking, zero headspace (VOA vials); canister vacuum/pressure checked and no open valves, etc.?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- d. If there were any discrepancies, were they documented? For example, incorrect sample containers/preservation, sample temperature outside of acceptable range, insufficient or missing samples, canister not holding a vacuum, etc.?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- e. Is the data quality or usability affected?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

4. Case Narrative

- a. Is the case narrative present and understandable?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- b. Are there discrepancies, errors, or QC failures identified by the lab? Yes □ No ⊠ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- c. Were all the corrective actions documented? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- d. What is the effect on data quality/usability according to the case narrative? Comments: none

5. Sample Results

Are the correct analyses performed/reported as requested on CoC?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

b. Are all applicable holding times met? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

- c. Are all soils reported on a dry weight basis?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- d. Are the reported limits of quantitation (LoQ) or limits of detections (LOD), or reporting limits (RL) less than the Cleanup Level or the action level for the project?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

e. Is the data quality or usability affected? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

6. QC Samples

a. Method Blank

- i. Was one method blank reported per matrix, analysis, and 20 samples? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- ii. Are all method blank results less than LOQ (or RL)?
 Yes ⊠ No □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iii. If above LoQ or RL, what samples are affected? Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iv. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

v. Data quality or usability affected?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

CS Site Name: Swanson River Unit **Lab Report No.:** 1235957

- b. Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate (LCS/LCSD)
 - Organics Are one LCS/LCSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples? (LCS/LCSD required per AK methods, LCS required per SW846)
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

ii. Metals/Inorganics – Are one LCS and one sample duplicate reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?

Yes \Box No \Box N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

- iii. Accuracy Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? (AK Petroleum methods: AK101 60%-120%, AK102 75%-125%, AK103 60%-120%; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages)
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iv. Precision Are all relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? Was the RPD reported from LCS/LCSD, and or sample/sample duplicate? (AK Petroleum methods 20%; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages)
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

- v. If %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected? Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- vi. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes \Box No \Box N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

vii. Is the data quality or usability affected?
Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

c. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

i. Organics – Are one MS/MSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?

Yes \Box No \boxtimes N/A \Box Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. ii. Metals/Inorganics – Are one MS/MSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?

Yes \Box No \boxtimes N/A \Box Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

- iii. Accuracy Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iv. Precision Are all relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? RPD reported from MS/MSD, and or sample/sample duplicate.

Yes \square No \square N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

- v. If %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected? Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- vi. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes \Box No \Box N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

vii. Is the data quality or usability affected?
Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

d. Surrogates – Organics Only or Isotope Dilution Analytes (IDA) – Isotope Dilution Methods Only

Are surrogate/IDA recoveries reported for organic analyses – field, QC, and laboratory samples?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

 ii. Accuracy – Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? (AK Petroleum methods 50-150 %R for field samples and 60-120 %R for QC samples; all other analyses see the laboratory report pages) Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iii. Do the sample results with failed surrogate/IDA recoveries have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes \Box No \Box N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iv. Is the data quality or usability affected?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

e. Trip Blanks

- Is one trip blank reported per matrix, analysis, and for each cooler containing volatile samples? Yes □ No ⊠ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- ii. Are all results less than LoQ or RL?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iii. If above LoQ or RL, what samples are affected? Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iv. Is the data quality or usability affected?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

f. Field Duplicate

Is one field duplicate submitted per matrix, analysis, and 10 project samples?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

Was the duplicate submitted blind to lab?
 Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

CS Site Name: Swanson River Unit **Lab Report No.:** 1235957

iii. Precision – All relative percent differences (RPD) less than specified project objectives? (Recommended: 30% water or air, 50% soil)

$$RPD(\%) = \left| \frac{R_1 - R_2}{\left(\frac{R_1 + R_2}{2}\right)} \right| X \ 100$$

Where $R_1 =$ Sample Concentration

R₂ = Field Duplicate Concentration

Is the data quality or usability affected? (Explain)

Yes \boxtimes No \square N/A \square Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

iv. Is the data quality or usability affected? (Explain)
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

g. Decontamination or Equipment Blanks

- Were decontamination or equipment blanks collected? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- ii. Are all results less than LoQ or RL?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iii. If above LoQ or RL, specify what samples are affected. Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
- iv. Are data quality or usability affected?
 Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠
 Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Other Data Flags/Qualifiers (ACOE, AFCEE, Lab Specific, etc.)

a. Are they defined and appropriate?

Yes \Box No \Box N/A \boxtimes Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. December 22, 2023 Jason Michelson Page 6 of 6

Reference: Chevron Swanson River Plant 10 and SCU 14-3 PCB Monitoring Report for 2023ADEC File Number 2334.38.016, Hazard ID 1303

Attachment C Figures



FILEPATH:V:\1826\resource\AutoCAD\other_offices\salt_lake_ut\203721237_swanson\figures\dwg_203721237_swanson_2019.dwg| JOpalekOpsahl | Jul 23, 2019 at 11:02 | Layout: fig1_slm_10



FILEPATH:V:\1826\resource\AutoCAD\other_offices\salt_lake_ut\203721237_swanson\figures\dwg_203721237_swanson_2019.dwg | JOpalekOpsahl | Jul 23, 2019 at 11:02 | Layout: fig2_sp_10