Draft Report

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

Amendments to:
State Air Quality Control Plan

Volume II: Analysis of Problems, Control Actions
Section III. K: Areawide Pollutant Control Program for Regional Haze

Table of Contents

Page

SECTION III.K AREAWIDE POLLUTANT CONTROL PROGRAM FOR REGIONAL HAZE 1-1

III.K.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE ALASKA REGIONAL HAZE STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN 1-1

A. Overview 1-1

B. Why Visibility? 1-2

C. EPA's Visibility Regulations and the Regional Haze Rule 1-3

1. History of the Visibility Program 1-3
2. Summary of the 1999 Federal Regional Haze Rule 1-6
3. Elements of the Regional Haze Plan 1-7

III.K.2 VISIBILITY AND REGIONAL HAZE 2-1

A. Overview 2-1

B. Sources of Visibility Impairment 2-2

1. Natural Sources 2-2
2. Anthropogenic Sources 2-2

C. Measuring or Quantifying Visibility Impairment 2-3

D. Monitoring Visibility 2-4

1. Overview of the IMPROVE Program 2-4
2. IMPROVE Algorithms 2-7

III.K.3 OVERVIEW OF ALASKA AND AIR QUALITY 3-1

A. Overview of Alaska 3-1

1. Geography 3-1
2. Topography 3-3
3. Economy 3-3

B. Sources of Pollution 3-3
1. International Long-Range Transport of Aerosols to Alaska 3-4
2. Biogenic Aerosols 3-6
3. Sources of Visibility Impairment Summary 3-8
C. Monitoring Strategy and Air Quality Data 3-9
1. Statewide Pollutant Monitoring 3-9
2. Regional Haze Monitoring 3-10
III.K.4 CHARACTERIZATION OF MONITORED IMPACTS AT ALASKA CLASS I AREAS 4-1
A. Natural Conditions and Visibility Baselines 4-1
1. Determining Natural Conditions 4-1
2. Determining Baselines 4-2
3. Rates of Progress and Glideslopes 4-3
4. Alaska Class I Area Natural Conditions 4-3
5. Baseline 4-6
6. Change: Natural Conditions, Baselines, and Glideslopes for Alaska's Class I Areas 4-6
7. Choice of IMPROVE II Algorithm 4-18
8. Choice of Baseline Years 4-18
B. Simeonof Wilderness Area 4-20
1. Baseline Conditions 4-20
2. Origins of Aerosol Species Influencing Regional Haze at Simeonof Class I Area 4-20
3. Best Days and Worst Days, 2002-2004 4-22
4. Correlations Among Aerosol Species at Simeonof 4-40
5. Effects of Volcanism on Visibility at Simeonof 4-43
6. Evaluation of the Effects of Uncontrollable Processes on Species at Simeonof Class I Area 4-45
C. Denali National Park 4-47
1. Baseline Conditions 4-47
2. Origins of Aerosol Species Influencing Regional Haze at Denali Class I Area 4-49
3. Best Days and Worst Days, Baseline Years 4-50
4. Correlations Among IMPROVE Aerosols Monitored at DENA1 and TRCR1 4-65
5. Wildfire Impacts Within Denali National Park 4-68
6. Correlations Between the TRCR1 and DENA1 Air Monitoring Sites 4-77

7. Light Extinction of Individual Species, Best/Worst Days, Seasonal Patterns 4-80
8. Evaluation of the Effects of Uncontrollable Processes on Species of Pollutants at Denali 4-94
D. Tuxedni (TUXE) 4-96
1. Baseline Conditions 4-96
2. Origins of Aerosol Species Influencing Regional Haze at Tuxedni Class I Area 4-97
3. Best Days and Worst Days, Baseline Years 4-99
4. Correlations Among IMPROVE Aerosols Monitored at TUXE1 4-109
5. Light Extinction of Individual Species: Best/Worst Days, Seasonal Patterns 4-112
6. Fire Impacts at Tuxedni 4-118
7. Evaluation of the Effects of Uncontrollable Processes 4-119
E. Bering Sea Wilderness Area 4-120
1. Origins of Aerosol Species Influencing Regional Haze at Bering Sea Class I Area 4-120
2. Influence of Wind and Weather on Visibility at the Bering Sea Class I Area 4-120
3. Potential for Oil Development 4-120
4. Future Visibility Impacts at Bering Sea Class I Area 4-121
III.K.5 EMISSION INVENTORY 5-1
A. Baseline and Future-Year Emissions Inventories for Modeling 5-1
B. 2002 Baseline Inventory 5-3
C. 2018 Future-Year Inventory 5-4
D. Inventories for Specific Source Categories 5-4
1. 2002-2018 Growth Revisions 5-6
2. Revisions to Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Juneau Emission Estimates 5-6
3. Revisions to the Mat-Su and Kenai Emission Estimates 5-7
4. Stationary Point Sources 5-8
5. Stationary Area Sources 5-9
6. Non-Road Mobile Sources 5-9
7. On-Road Mobile Sources 5-10
8. Biogenic Emissions Sources 5-10
9. Geogenic Emissions Sources 5-10
10. Wild and Other Fires 5-11
11. International Transported Emissions 5-11
E. Inventory Processing and Gridding 5-11

1. Grid Domain 5-11
2. Spatial Allocation 5-12
3. Gridded Emissions by Source Sector 5-13
F. Summary of Emission Inventories 5-23
III.K.6 BEST AVAILABLE RETROFIT TECHNOLOGY CONTROL PROGRAM (BART) 6-1
A. Alaska BART Regulations Overview and Public Process 6-1
1. Public Process for BART Determinations 6-1
2. BART Process in Regulations: 18A AAC 50.260 6-1
3. Identification of BART-Eligible Sources 6-3
4. Identification of BART Eligible Emission Units 6-3
B. BART Determination Process 6-4
1. Chugach Electric Association, Beluga River Power Plant 6-5
2. Alyeska Pipeline Service Company, Valdez Marine Terminal 6-5
3. Tesoro, Kenai Refinery 6-5
4. Anchorage Municipal Light & Power, Sullivan Plant 6-6
5. ConocoPhillips Alaska, Inc. Kenai LNG Plant 6-7
6. Agrium, Chem-Urea Plant 6-8
7. Golden Valley Electric Association, Healy Power Plant (GVEA) 6-9
C. BART Determination Summary 6-11
III.K.7 AIR QUALITY MODELING OF SOURCE REGIONS 7-1
A. Overview 7-1
B. Back Trajectory Analysis 7-2
C. Weighted Emissions Potential Analysis 7-7
1. Denali 7-11
2. Simeonof 7-11
3. Trapper Creek 7-11
4. Tuxedni 7-12
III.K.8 LONG-TERM STRATEGY 8-1
A. Overview of the Long-Term Strategy Development Process 8-1
1. Summary of Manmade Sources of Visibility Impairment Considered in the Long-Term Strategy 8-2
2. Technical Documentation 8-2
B. Long-Term Strategy Measures 8-3
1. Emission Reductions Due to Ongoing Air Pollution Programs 8-3

2. Measures to Mitigate Impacts of Construction Activities 8-9
3. Emission Limitations and Schedules for Compliance 8-9
4. Source Retirement and Replacement Schedules 8-9
5. Smoke Management Techniques for Agricultural and Forestry Burning 8-10
6. Enforceability of Emission Limitations and Control Measures 8-11
7. Anticipated Net Effect on Visibility Over the Period of the Long-Term Strategy 8-11

III.K.9 REASONABLE PROGRESS GOALS 9-1

A. Overview 9-1
B. Steps in Demonstrating Reasonable Progress 9-1
C. Summary of Four-Factor Analysis 9-2
1. Rationale and Scope of the Four-Factor Analysis 9-3
2. Identification of Sources for Four-Factor Analysis 9-4
D. Review of Additional Emission Reductions 9-33
E. Determination of Reasonable Progress Goals 9-36
F. Affirmative Demonstration of RPGs for 20% Worst Days 9-42

III.K.10 COMMITMENT TO FUTURE 308 PLAN REVISIONS 10-1

III.K.11 CONSULTATION 11-1

A. FLM Consultation 11-1
B. Tribal Consultation 11-2
C. Inter-State Consultation/Coordination 11-2
D. Regional Planning Coordination 11-2
E. Public Participation and Review Process 11-3

III.K.12 REFERENCES 1

List of Figures

Page

Figure III.K.1-1 Mandatory Class I Areas 1-4
Figure III.K.1-2 Alaska Class I Areas 1-4
Figure III.K.2-3 Visibility Measurement Scale 2-3
Figure III.K.3-1 Climate Regions of Alaska 3-1
Figure III.K.3-2 Improve Visibility Data for Denali National Park 3-4
Figure III.K.3-3 Map of Denali National Park and Preserve 3-12

Figure III.K.3-4 Map of Simeonof Wilderness Area 3-13

Figure III.K.3-5 Map of Tuxedni National Wildlife Refuge and Surrounding Area 3-15

Figure III.K.3-6 Map of Bering Sea Wilderness Area 3-16

Figure III.K.4-1 Natural Haze Levels II 4-5

Figure III.K.4-2 Glideslopes 4-9

Figure III.K.4-3 Baseline and Glideslope for Visibility at Simeonof, in Extinction (Mm^{-1}) 4-11

Figure III.K.4-4 Baseline and Glideslope for Visibility at Denali in Extinction (Mm^{-1}) 4-13

Figure III.K.4-5 Baseline and Glideslope for Visibility at Denali, Trapper Creek, in Extinction (Mm^{-1}) 4-15

Figure III.K.4-6 Baseline and Glideslope for Visibility at Tuxedni, in Extinction (Mm^{-1}) 4-17

Figure III.K.4-7 Proportional Representation of IMPROVE Aerosols at Simeonof, Average of 2002-2004 4-21

Figure III.K.4-8 Proportional Representation of IMPROVE Aerosols at Simeonof, Best and Worst Days, 2002-2004 4-23

Figure III.K.4-9 Relative Contributions of Rayleigh Scattering to Visibility Impairment at Simeonof (SIME1), Best (56%) and Worst Days (18%) 4-24

Figure III.K.4-10 Proportional Representation of IMPROVE Aerosols at Simeonof for Each Calendar Quarter of Baseline Years 4-26

Figure III.K.4-11 2002-2005 Proportional Representation of Aerosol Species at Simeonof 4-28

Figure III.K.4-12 2002-2005 Proportional Representation of Aerosol Species Excluding Sea Salt, at Simeonof 4-29

Figure III.K.4-13 2002 IMPROVE Species Contributions to Visibility Impairment by Sampling Day, at Simeonof 4-31

Figure III.K.4-14 2002 IMPROVE Species Contributions to Visibility Impairment by Sampling Day, at Simeonof 4-32

Figure III.K.4-15 Sea Salt Contribution to Visibility Impairment by Sampling Day, at Simeonof 4-33

Figure III.K.4-16 Sulfate Contribution to Visibility Impairment at Simeonof, by Sampling Day 4-34

Figure III.K.4-17 Soil Contribution to Visibility Impairment by Sampling Day, at Simeonof 4-35

Figure III.K.4-18 Nitrate Contribution to Visibility Impairment by Sampling Day, at Simeonof 4-36

Figure III.K.4-19 Coarse Matter Contribution to Visibility Impairment by Sampling Day, at Simeonof 4-37

Figure III.K.4-20 Elemental Carbon Contribution to Visibility Impairment by Sampling Day, at Simeonof 4-38

Figure III.K.4-21 Organic Matter Contribution to Visibility Impairment by Sampling Day, at Simeonof 4-39

Figure III.K.4-22 Wind Speed and Direction Frequency at Cold Bay Airport, Alaska Energy Authority 42

Figure III.K.4-23 Monitoring Status of Active Volcanoes in Alaska (Alaska Volcano Observatory) 4-44

Figure III.K.4-24 Contrasting Natural Visibility Conditions at Simeonof with Baseline Impairment from Probable Anthropogenic and Non-Anthropogenic Aerosols 4-46

Figure III.K.4-25 Proportional Representation of IMPROVE Aerosols at Denali, Baseline Years 4-48

Figure III.K.4-26 Proportional Representation of IMPROVE Aerosols on Best and Worst Days at Denali, Baseline Years 4-52

Figure III.K.4-27 Relative Contributions of Rayleigh Scattering to Visibility Impairment at Denali on Best and Worst Days 4-53

Figure III.K.4-28 Proportional Representation of IMPROVE Aerosols for Days of Each Calendar Quarter at Denali, Baseline Years 4-55

Figure III.K.4-29 2002-2006 Proportional Representation of IMPROVE Aerosols on Best and Worst Days at Denali, Directly Comparable Years 4-57

Figure III.K.4-30 2002 Proportional Representation of IMPROVE Aerosols at Denali on Best and Worst Days 4-58

Figure III.K.4-31 2005 Proportional Representation of IMPROVE Aerosols at Denali on Best and Worst Days 4-59

Figure III.K.4-32 2002-2006 Contribution of Aerosol Species to Light Extinction at Denali on Best and Worst Days 4-60

Figure III.K.4-33 Contribution of Aerosol Species to Light Extinction on Best and Worst Days at Denali IMPROVE Sites, Individual Years 2000-2006 4-61

Figure III.K.4-34 Interannual Visibility Impairment by Nitrate and Sulfate at Denali, 2002-2006 4-67

Figure III.K.4-35 2002 Visibility Impairment by Nitrate and Sulfate at Denali 4-68

Figure III.K.4-36 2000-2006 Extinction Due to Organic Matter Carbon and Elemental Carbon Aerosols at Denali (Mm^{-1}) 4-69

Figure III.K.4-37 2002-2006 Yearly Histograms of Extinction Due to Organic Matter Carbon and Elemental Carbon Aerosols at Denali 4-70

Figure III.K.4-38 Best and Worst Days, Relative Contributions of Organic Matter Carbon and Elemental Carbon at Denali for 2000-2006, 2002-2006, 2002, 2006 4-72

Figure III.K.4-39 Typical Yearly Maps of Wildfires Surrounding Denali for 2002, 2004 4-73

Figure III.K.4-40 Compilation Map of Wildfires Surrounding Denali for 1990-2009 4-74

Figure III.K.4-41 Compilation Map of Wildfires Surrounding Denali for 2000-2006 4-75

Figure III.K.4-42 Seasonal Differences in the OMC/EC Ratios of Aerosols at Denali IMPROVE Sites 4-76

Figure III.K.4-43 2002-2006 Contribution of Sea Salt to Light Extinction at Denali 4-80

Figure III.K.4-44 2004 Contribution of Sea Salt to Light Extinction on Best and Worst Days at Denali 4-81

Figure III.K.4-45 2000-2006 Contributions of Sulfate at Denali 4-82

Figure III.K.4-46 2002 and 2005 Contributions of Sulfate at Denali 4-83

Figure III.K.4-47 2000-2006 contributions of Soil at Denali 4-85

Figure III.K.4-48 2002 Contributions of Soil at Denali 4-86

Figure III.K.4-49 2000-2006 Contributions of Nitrate at Denali 4-87

Figure III.K.4-50 2002 and 2004 Contributions of Nitrate at Denali 4-88

Figure III.K.4-51 2000-2006 Contributions of Coarse Mass at Denali 4-89

Figure III.K.4-52 2002 Contributions of Coarse Mass at Denali 4-90

Figure III.K.4-53 2000-2006 Contributions of Elemental Carbon at Denali 4-91

Figure III.K.4-54 2002 Contributions of Elemental Carbon at Denali 4-92

Figure III.K.4-55 2002-2004 Contributions of Organic Matter Carbon at Denali (TRCR1 Site) 4-93

Figure III.K.4-56 2002 Contributions of Organic Matter Carbon at Denali 4-94

Figure III.K.4-57 Contrasting Light Extinction of Alaskan Anthropogenic Aerosols at Denali with Extinction Due to Non-Anthropogenic and Overseas Aerosol Sources with Natural Conditions 4-95

Figure III.K.4-58 Proportional Representation of IMPROVE Aerosols at Tuxedni, 2002-2004 Average 4-97

Figure III.K.4-59 Proportional Representation of IMPROVE Aerosols on Best and Worst Days at Tuxedni, 2002-2004 4-100

Figure III.K.4-60 Relative Contributions of Rayleigh Scattering to Visibility Impairment at Tuxedni on Best (80%) and Worst days (28%) 4-100

Figure III.K.4-61 Proportional Representation of IMPROVE Aerosols at Tuxedni for Best and Worst Days of Each Calendar Quarter, 2002-2004 4-102

Figure III.K.4-62 Proportional Representation of Aerosol Species at Tuxedni, Yearly Summaries Best and Worst Days, 2002-2006 4-104

Figure III.K.4-63 2002-2004 Proportional Representation of Aerosol Species at Tuxedni, Excluding Sea Salt, Organic Matter, Coarse Matter, and Elemental Carbon 4-105

Figure III.K.4-64 Contribution of Aerosol Species to Light Extinction at Tuxedni on Best and Worst Days, 2002-2006 4-106

Figure III.K.4-64 (continued) Contribution of Aerosol Species to Light Extinction at Tuxedni on Best and Worst Days, 2002-2006 4-108

Figure III.K.4-65 2005 IMPROVE Species Contribution to Visibility Impairment at Tuxedni Sampling Day 4-109

Figure III.K.4-66 Sea Salt at Tuxedni for 2004, 2005 4-112

Figure III.K.4-67 Organic Matter Carbon at Tuxedni for 2002-2006 4-113

Figure III.K.4-68 Organic Matter Carbon at Tuxedni for 2005, 2006 4-113

Figure III.K.4-69 Elemental Carbon at Tuxedni for 2002-2006 4-114

Figure III.K.4-70 Elemental Carbon at Tuxedni for 2005 4-114

Figure III.K.4-71 Sulfate at Tuxedni for 2002-2006 4-115

Figure III.K.4-72 Sulfate at Tuxedni for 2005 4-115

Figure III.K.4-73 Nitrate at Tuxedni for 2002-2006 4-116

Figure III.K.4-74 Nitrate at Tuxedni for 2003 4-116

Figure III.K.4-75 Soil at Tuxedni for 2002-2005 4-117

Figure III.K.4-76 Coarse Mass at Tuxedni for 2002-2005 4-118

Figure III.K.4-77 Contrasting Natural Visibility Conditions at Tuxedni with Baseline Impairment from Probable Anthropogenic and Non-Anthropogenic Aerosols 4-119

Figure III.K.4-78 Alaska Program Areas Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Leasing Program 4-121

Figure III.K.5-1 Areal Extent of Alaska Regional Haze Modeling Domain 5-2

Figure III.K.5-2 Alaska Regional Haze Inventory 45 Km Grid Structure 5-12

Figure III.K.5-3 Baseline 2002 $PM_{2.5}$ Gridded Area Source Emissions (tons/year) 5-15

Figure III.K.5-4 Baseline 2002 $PM_{2.5}$ Gridded Non-Road Mobile Source (tons/year) 5-16

Figure III.K.5-5 Baseline 2002 $PM_{2.5}$ Gridded On-Road Mobile Source Emissions (tons/year) 5-17

Figure III.K.5-6 Baseline 2002 $PM_{2.5}$ Gridded Point Source Emissions (tons/year) 5-18

Figure III.K.5-7 Baseline 2002 $PM_{2.5}$ Gridded Commercial Marine Vessel Emissions (tons/year) 5-19

Figure III.K.5-8 Baseline 2002 $PM_{2.5}$ Gridded Aviation Source Emissions (tons/year) 5-20

Figure III.K.5-9 Baseline 2002 $PM_{2.5}$ Gridded Anthropogenic Fire Emissions (tons/year) 5-21

Figure III.K.5-10 5-22

Baseline 2002 $PM_{2.5}$ Gridded Natural Fire Emissions (tons/year) 5-22

Figure III.K.7-1 Denali National Park, AK – Normalized Back-Trajectory Residence Time 20% Worst Visibility Days 7-3

Figure III.K.7-2 Denali National Park, AK – 20% Best Visibility Days 7-3

Figure III.K.7-3 Trapper Creek Wilderness, AK – Normalized Back-Trajectory Residence Time 20% Worst Visibility Days 7-4

Figure III.K.7-4 Trapper Creek Wilderness, AK – Normalized Back-Trajectory Residence Time 20% Best Visibility Days 7-4

Figure III.K.7-5 Simeonof Wilderness, AK – Normalized Back-Trajectory Residence Time 20% Worst Visibility Days 7-5

Figure III.K.7-6 Simeonof Wilderness, AK – Normalized Back-Trajectory Residence Time 20% Best Visibility Days 7-5

Figure III.K.7-7 Tuxedni – Normalized Back-Trajectory Residence Time 20% Worst Visibility Days 7-6

Figure III.K.7-8 Tuxedni – Normalized Back-Trajectory Residence Time 20% Best Visibility Days 7-6

Figure III.K.7-9 Denali National Park, AK – Normalized Weighted Emission Potential (WEP) for Fine Particulate Matter ($PM_{2.5}$) 2002-04 Baseline, 20% Worst Visibility Days 7-10

Figure III.K.7-10 Denali National Park, AK – Normalized Weighted Emission Potential (WEP) for Fine Particulate Matter ($PM_{2.5}$) 2018 Base Case, 20% Worst Visibility Days 7-10

Figure III.K.9-1 Review of URP Glide path and WEP Trend, Baseline to 2018 for 20% Worst Days, Denali 9-38

Figure III.K.9-2 Review of URP Glide path and WEP Trend, Baseline to 2018 for 20% Worst Days, Simeonof 9-38

Figure III.K.9-3 Review of URP Glide path and WEP Trend, Baseline to 2018 for 20% Worst Days, Trapper Creek 9-39

Figure III.K.9-4 Review of URP Glide path and WEP Trend, Baseline to 2018 for 20% Worst Days, Tuxedni 9-39

List of Tables

Page

Table III.K.2-1 IMPROVE Parameters Contributing to Regional Haze, Algorithms and Descriptions 2-5

Table III.K.2-2 Key to Haze Pollutant Species and Their Abbreviations 2-7

As Used Throughout This Document 2-7

Table III.K.4-1 Natural Condition Estimates by Aerosol Species for Alaska's Four Class I Areas Using the IMPROVE II Algorithm 4-4

Table III.K.4-2 Baseline Estimates, by aerosol species for Alaska's Four Class I Areas Using the IMPROVE II Algorithm 4-7

Table III.K.4-3 Worst Day Visibilities for Natural Conditions and Baseline Estimates Using the IMPROVE II Algorithm, and the Resulting 10-Year Glide Slope Estimates 4-8

Table III.K.4-4 Baseline, Natural Conditions, Interim Glideslope, and Yearly Summaries at Simeonof, in Extinction (Mm^{-1}) 4-10

Table III.K.4-5 Baseline, Natural Conditions, Interim Glideslope, and Yearly Summaries at Denali in Extinction (Mm^{-1}) 4-12

Table III.K.4-6 Baseline, Natural Conditions, Interim Glideslope, and Yearly Summaries at Denali, Trapper Creek, in Extinction (Mm^{-1}) 4-14

Table III.K.4-7 Baseline, Natural Conditions, Interim Glideslope, and Yearly Summaries at Tuxedni, in Extinction (Mm^{-1}) 4-16

Table III.K.4-8 Algorithm Comparison, Worst Days Natural Conditions and Glide Slopes from Baseline to Natural Conditions in 2064 4-18

Table III.K.4-9 Three- and Five-Year Baseline Averages for Best and Worst Days, in Deciviews 4-19

Table III.K.4-10 Average Light Extinctions at Simeonof on Best and Worst Days, 2002-2004 4-23

Table III.K.4-11 Incidence of Best Days and Worst Days for Simeonof, Totaled by Month, 2002-2004 4-25

Table III.K.4-12 Pearson Correlation Coefficients Between Aerosol Species Mass at Simeonof 4-41

Table III.K.4-13 Average Light Extinctions on Best and Worst Days for Baseline Years at Denali 4-51

Table III.K.4-14 Incidence of Best Days and Worst Days, Totaled by Month at Denali, Baseline Years 4-54

Table III.K.4-15 Pearson Correlation Coefficients Between Aerosol Species at Denali 4-65

Table III.K.4-16 Aerosol Species' Pearson Correlations Between Denali Monitoring Sites for 2002-2006 4-77

Table III.K.4-17 Correspondence of Worst Days at Denali IMPROVE Monitoring Sites 4-78

Table III.K.4-18 Aerosol Compositions at Denali of 2003 Days for Which Only One Monitoring Site Recorded a Worst Day 4-79

Table III.K.4-19 Annual Variability in Sulfate Aerosols at Denali, Peak Months and Light Extinction 4-84

Table III.K.4-20 Annual Variability in Soil Aerosols at Denali, Peak Months and Light Extinction 4-86

Table III.K.4-21 Average Light Extinctions on Best and Worst Days, for 2002-2004 Baseline Years at Tuxedni, in Mm^{-1} 4-99

Table III.K.4-22 Incidence of Best Days and Worst Days, Totaled by Month at Tuxedni, 2002-2004 Baseline Years 4-101

Table III.K.4-23 Contrasting Extinctions in Years with Different Relative Proportions of Aerosol Species at Tuxedni, 2002-2005 vs. 2006 4-103

Table III.K.4-24 Patterns of Extinction Among Worst Days at Tuxedni, Seasons and Aerosol Species 4-108

Table III.K.4-25 Pearson Correlation Coefficients Between Aerosol Species at Tuxedni for All Days and for Worst Days 4-110

Table III.K.5-1 Summary of Regional Haze Emission Inventory Components 5-5

Table III.K.5-2 Changes in 2005 Population Estimates and 2018 Forecasts 5-6

Table III.K.5-3 Changes in 2003 Population Estimates and 2018 Forecasts 5-7

Table III.K.5-4 2002 Alaska Statewide Regional Haze Inventory Summary 5-23

Table III.K.5-5 2018 Alaska Statewide Regional Haze Inventory Summary 5-24

Table III.K.5-6 Relative Change in Alaska Regional Haze Emissions from 2002 to 2018 5-24

Table III.K.6-1 Summary of BART-Eligible Facility Analysis 6-12

Table III.K.6-2 Summary of BART Determination Findings, 98th Percentile Delta-Deciview, 2002-2004 6-12

Table III.K.7-1 Summary of Boroughs With Highest Weighted Emission Potential, Impacting Denali Monitoring Site on 20% Worst Days 7-13

Table III.K.7-2 Summary of Boroughs With Highest Weighted Emission Potential, Impacting Simeonof Monitoring Site on 20% Worst Days 7-14

Table III.K.7-3 Summary of Boroughs With Highest Weighted Emission Potential, Impacting Trapper Creek Monitoring Site on 20% Worst Days 7-15

Table III.K.7-4 Summary of Boroughs With Highest Weighted Emission Potential, Impacting Tuxedni Monitoring Site on 20% Worst Days 7-16

Table III.K.7-5 Summary of Total Weighted Emission Potential From All Boroughs Impacting Each Site on 20% Worst Days 7-17

Table III.K.9-1 Total Change in WEP Values for NOx, SOx, and $PM_{2.5}$ at Each Class I Area Monitoring Site 9-6

Table III.K.9-2 Distribution of WEP Values for Point Source Categories With the Potential to Significantly Impact Each Class I Area 9-6

Table III.K.9-3 Distribution of WEP Values for Area Source Categories With the Potential to Significantly Impact Each Class I Area 9-8

Table III.K.9-4 Alaska Industrial Boiler Emissions 9-9

Table III.K.9-5 Control Options for Coal-Fired Industrial Boilers 9-10

Table III.K.9-6 Control Options for Natural Gas-Fired Industrial Boilers 9-10

Table III.K.9-7 Control Options for Oil-Fired Industrial Boilers 9-11

Table III.K.9-8 Estimated Costs for Control of Coal-Fired Industrial Boilers 9-12

Table III.K.9-9 Estimated Costs for Control of Natural Gas-Fired Industrial Boilers 9-12

Table III.K.9-10 Estimated Costs for Control of Oil-Fired Industrial Boilers 9-13

Table III.K.9-11 Estimated Energy and Non-Air Environmental Impacts of Potential Control Measures for Coal-Fired Industrial Boilers 9-14

Table III.K.9-12 Estimated Energy and Non-Air Environmental Impacts of Potential Control Measures For Natural Gas-Fired Industrial Boilers 9-15

Table III.K.9-13 Estimated Energy and Non-Air Environmental Impacts of Potential Control Measures for Oil-Fired Industrial Boilers 9-15

Table III.K.9-14 Alaska Petroleum Refinery Emissions 9-17

Table III.K.9-15 Alaska Petroleum Refinery Emissions 9-17

Table III.K.9-16 Control Options for Petroleum Refineries 9-18

Table III.K.9-17 Estimated Costs for Control of Petroleum Refineries 9-19

Table III.K.9-18 Estimated Energy and Non-Air Environmental Impacts of Potential Control Measures For Petroleum Refineries 9-21

Table III.K.9-19 Alaska Industrial Boiler Emissions 9-24

Table III.K.9-20 Control Options for Turbines 9-24

Table III.K.9-21 Control Options for Reciprocating Engines with Gaseous Fuels 9-25

Table III.K.9-22 Control Options for Reciprocating Engines with Diesel and Other Liquid Fuels 9-25

Table III.K.9-23 Estimated Costs for Control of Turbines 9-26

Table III.K.9-24 Estimated Costs for Control of Reciprocating Engines with Gaseous Fuels 9-26

Table III.K.9-25 Estimated Costs for Control of Reciprocating Engines with Diesel and Other Liquid Fuel 9-27

Table III.K.9-26 Estimated Energy and Non-Air Environmental Impacts of Potential Control Measures For Turbines 9-29

Table III.K.9-27 Estimated Energy and Non-Air Environmental Impacts of Potential Control Measures For Reciprocating Engines with Gaseous Fuels 9-30

Table III.K.9-28 Estimated Energy and Non-Air Environmental Impacts of Potential Control Measures For Reciprocating Engines with Diesel and Other Liquid Fuels 9-32

Table III.K.9-29 Change in Anthropogenic Share of WEP Forecast of Individual Pollutants for Each Class I Area Between Baseline and 2018 for 20% Worst Days (% Share of All Anthropogenic and Nonanthropogenic Sources) 9-34

Table III.K.9-30 Calculation of Uniform Rate of Progress Target Reduction for 2018, 20% Worst Days (deciview) 9-36

Table III.K.9-31 Comparison Between % Change in WEP Forecast of Individual Pollutants and..... 9-36

Glide Path Reduction Targets Between Baseline and 2018 for 20% Worst Days As Indicator of "Reasonable Progress" (all sources) 9-36

List of Appendices

APPENDIX III.K.2
IMPROVE Algorithms

APPENDIX III.K.3
Overview of Alaska and Air Quality

APPENDIX III.K.4.a
Volcanic Activity Reports for Baseline Years

APPENDIX III.K.4.b
Maps of Wildfires affecting Alaska's Class 1 Areas

APPENDIX III.K.5
Emission Inventory

APPENDIX III.K.6
BART Documentation

APPENDIX III.K.7
Air Quality Modeling of Source Regions

APPENDIX III.K.8
Alaska Enhanced Smoke Management Plan

APPENDIX III.K.9
Reasonable Progress Goals

APPENDIX III.K.11.a
Consultation: Regional Planning, WRAP Meetings and Conference Calls

APPENDIX III.K.11.b
Consultation: Federal Land Manager Review

APPENDIX III.K.11.c
Consultation: Public Participation and Review