| Date | Meeting or Teleconference | Agenda |
| --- | --- | --- |
| August 20 | Meeting/Teleconference | **HHC 101: Role and Formula (DEC Staff)**   * + EPA 2015 Recommended National Criteria   + What is going on in other states interested in this issue?   **Issue 1: What information about fish consumption and fish consumption rate is available to inform HHC process?** (DEC Staff)   * + What do we know from the Literature Review?   + What did the reviewers tell us?   + What role should the state play in helping to develop Alaska specific data?     - Should the state take a prescriptive approach and establish accepted dietary survey protocols for tribes and parties seeking site-specific criteria?     - Food Frequency Questionnaires v. Recall Method   + Can we extrapolate FCR data from ADF&G harvest data?\*     - Review *Wolfe and Utermohle* (2000) |
| Sept 30 | Teleconference/Webinar | **Issue 3: What is the appropriate Level of Protection for Alaska to consider?**   * + FCR: Consumers v. consumers and non-consumers   + FCR: General v. high exposed population   + Other Exposure Factors (DWI, BW, Relative Source Contribution)   + Approaches used by other states |
| Oct 30 | Meeting  Note that this meeting will take place immediately following the Public Workshop in Anchorage | **Issue 4a: What should Alaska include when deriving a Fish Consumption Rate?**   * + Sources of fish and shellfish   + Local v. commercial   + Role of salmon- what OR/WA/ID did and didn’t do   + Role of marine mammals- *May be tabled for a later discussion*   + Approach(es) used by other states |
| December | Teleconference/Webinar | **Issue 4b: What is the role of Relative Source Contribution (RSC) and what are Alaska’s options?**   * + Description of RSC   + Approaches used by other states   + Opportunities for DEC to consider |
| January | Teleconference/Webinar | **Issue 2: What options does Alaska have for developing criteria on a statewide/regional/site-specific basis**   * + pros/cons   + Sources of information   + Potential issues for DEC to explore further   + Does ADF&G harvest data demonstrate regional trends in FCRs? |
| February | Teleconference/Webinar | **Issue 2a: Modeling of the Criteria**   * + Deterministic v. Probabilistic (Arcadis Presentation?)   + The Florida/Idaho example (FL DEQ) |
| March | Teleconference/Webinar | **Issue 3 (revisit): What is the appropriate Level of Protection for Alaska to consider?**   * + Bioconcentration v. Bioaccumulation- how does it factor into the HHC process and what flexibility does that process have?     - Idaho approach (maybe Washington if they have something)   + Carcinogenic Risk Factor     - Controversy in Washington     - DEC regulations – 18 AAC 70, 18 AAC 75 |
| April | Meeting or Teleconference/Webinar? | **Issue 5: What are Alaska’s options for implementing the proposed criteria?**   * + General Implementation     - Compliance Schedules     - Intake Credits     - Variances     - Other: SSC/Designated Use revision (Subsistence fishing)   + Problematic Discharges\*     - Arsenic     - PCBs     - Mercury     - Other….   + Detection Limit issues- set criterion at MDL or…   + Toxics in the larger context   + Washington example |
| May | Teleconference/Webinar | **First Draft Workgroup Report** |
| July | Teleconference/Webinar | **Draft Final Workgroup Report** |

\*May required additional discussion and representation from other programs