# **DEC Request for Adjudicatory Hearing Form** pursuant to 18 AAC 15.200

A request for adjudicatory hearing must be submitted using this form and timely served upon the Commissioner by e-mail or U.S. mail (see 18 AAC 15.200(a), (c) and (e)), as well as on the division that issued the decision and the permittee.

### Commissioner's Office

Dept. of Env. Conservation P.O. Box 111800 Juneau, AK 99811-1800 Fax: (907) 465-5070 DEC.Commissioner@alaska.gov

# **Air Quality**

Jason Olds, Director Dept. of Env. Conservation P.O. Box 111800 Juneau, AK 99811-1800 Fax: (907) 465-5129 Jason.Olds@alaska.gov

## **Spill Prevention & Response**

Teresa Melville, Director Dept. of Env. Conservation 555 Cordova Street Anchorage, AK 99501 Fax: (907) 269-7654 Teresa.Melville@alaska.gov

## **Environmental Health**

Shaundy Perry, Director Dept. of Env. Conservation 555 Cordova Street Anchorage, AK 99501 Fax: (907) 269-7509 Shaundy.Perry@alaska.gov

### Water

Gene McCabe, Director Dept. of Env. Conservation 555 Cordova Street Anchorage, AK 99501 Fax: (907) 269-7509 Gene.McCabe@alaska.gov

# **Requestor Contact Information**

Name*		Telephone*	
Address*		Fax	
		Email Address*	
l		Date*	
	de the name(s), mailing address(es), e-mail address( vard this request for adjudicatory hearing (see 18 A		
Identifica	ition of Represented Parties		
	uester named above that is a member organization, posteriors in the decision who are being represented by the organ		

made the decision presenting evidence opportunity for	on, the title of the document with ence in the hearing request. <b>Pleas</b>	in which the decision is cont se provide a copy of the de pproval, or decision, please p	tained or the permit number cision document at issue.	n such as the date of the decision, wh The requester bears the burden of If the Department provided an comments. If you did not comment
to be reviewed as pa				contested issues") you are asking g to raise more than three issues

Contested Issue 1: Page 3 of 6

ontested Issue and Location of the Issue	i
xplanation and reasons the contested issue is relevant to the decision	
tplanation and reasons the contested issue is relevant to the decision	
	1
	1
	j
	1
	i
	i
ow are requesters directly and substantively affected?	
	i (
	i
	I
	r
	a 1
	1
y suggested terms or conditions?	
	Ī
	1
	j
	:
y should your request be granted?	:
ry should your request be grainted:	
	•
	i

### **Contested Issue 1**

- a) A concise statement of the contested issue proposed for hearing (see 18 AAC 15.200(c)(4)(C))
- b) The location(s) in the permit, or other decision where the specific terms or conditions appear, that you are contesting (e.g. page, paragraph or other identifying description)
- c) An explanation of how the decision was in error with respect to the contested issue
- d) The reason(s) you believe the contested issue you are raising is relevant to the Division's decision (why you believe resolving the contested issue in your favor will materially change the Division's decision)
- e) How each requester (including represented parties if the requester is a member organization representing them in this matter) is directly and substantively affected by the contested decision to justify review; more specifically, please include a discussion of:
- 1) the nature of the interest of the requester or represented party who is impacted by the contested decision(s);
- 2) whether that interest is one that the department's applicable statutes and regulations intend to protect; and
- 3) the extent to which the Division's decision relating to this contested issue directly and substantively impairs the interest described in (2) above.
- (f) Identify when and where you raised this issue in testimony or comments you provided to DEC. if your comments or testimony were submitted to DEC in writing, please provide a reference to the page and paragraph where they appear. (see 18 AAC 15.200(a) and 18 AAC 15.245)\*\*
- (g) Suggested alternative terms and conditions that in your judgement are required for the Division's decision to be in accord with the facts or law applicable to the issue you are raising.
- (h) A discussion of any other reasons you believe your request for an adjudicatory hearing should be granted. Please include a concise summary of the facts and laws that you believe support your request.
- (i) If you believe a provision of the final decision or permit you are challenging was not in the draft decision or permit that was subject to the public notice or comment process, please explain the basis of your claim (see 18 AAC 15.200(a)).
- \*\* this requirement does not apply to a person challenging an Air Quality Division Stationary Source Emission Control permit under AS 46.15.2200 either (1) on the basis of a private, substantive legally protective interest under state law that may be adversely affected by the permit action, or (2) as the owner or operator of the stationary air source

NOTE: If you did not raise your issue before the Division's issuance of the permit or contested decision, 18 AAC 15.245 requires you to show "good cause" for the failure to raise the issue for it to be considered. You should include this information in your response to (h) above.

Contested Issue 2 Page 4 of 6

Contested Issue and location of the Issue					
Explanation and reasons the contested issue is relevant to the decision					
How are requesters directly and substantively affected?					
Any suggested terms or conditions?					
,,,,					
147 1 11					
Why should your request be granted?					

#### Contested Issue 2

- a) A concise statement of the contested issue proposed for hearing (see  $18\,\text{AAC}$  15.200(c)(4)(C))
- b) The location(s) in the permit, or other decision where the specific terms or conditions appear, that you are contesting (e.g. page, paragraph or other identifying description)
- c) An explanation of how the decision was in error with respect to the contested issue
- d) The reason(s) you believe the contested issue you are raising is relevant to the Division's decision (why you believe resolving the contested issue in your favor will materially change the Division's decision)
- e) How each requester (including represented parties if the requester is a member organization representing them in this matter) is directly and substantively affected by the contested decision to justify review; more specifically, please include a discussion of:
- 1) the nature of the interest of the requester or represented party who is impacted by the contested decision(s);
- 2) whether that interest is one that the department's applicable statutes and regulations intend to protect; and
- 3) the extent to which the Division's decision relating to this contested issue directly and substantively impairs the interest described in (2) above.
- (f) Identify when and where you raised this issue in testimony or comments you provided to DEC. if your comments or testimony were submitted to DEC in writing, please provide a reference to the page and paragraph where they appear. (see 18 AAC 15.200(a) and 18 AAC 15.245)\*\*
- (g) Suggested alternative terms and conditions that in your judgement are required for the Division's decision to be in accord with the facts or law applicable to the issue you are raising.

  (h) A discussion of any other reasons you believe
- (h) A discussion of any other reasons you believe your request for an adjudicatory hearing should be granted. Please include a concise summary of the facts and laws that you believe support your request.
- (i) If you believe a provision of the final decision or permit you are challenging was not in the draft decision or permit that was subject to the public notice or comment process, please explain the basis of your claim (see 18 AAC 15.200(a)).
- \*\* this requirement does not apply to a person challenging an Air Quality Division Stationary Source Emission Control permit under AS 46.15.2200 either (1) on the basis of a private, substantive legally protective interest under state law that may be adversely affected by the permit action, or (2) as the owner or operator of the stationary air source

NOTE: If you did not raise your issue before the Division's issuance of the permit or contested decision, 18 AAC 15.245 requires you to show "good cause" for the failure to raise the issue for it to be considered. You should include this information in your response to (h) above.

Contested Issue 3 Page 5 of 6

Contested issue and location of the issue
Explanation and reasons the contested issue is relevant to the decision
How are requesters directly and substantively affected?
Any suggested terms or conditions?
Vhy should your request be granted?

#### **Contested Issue 3**

- a) A concise statement of the contested issue proposed for hearing (see 18 AAC 15.200(c)(4)(C))
- b) The location(s) in the permit, or other decision where the specific terms or conditions appear, that you are contesting (e.g. page, paragraph or other identifying description)
- c) An explanation of how the decision was in error with respect to the contested issue
- d) The reason(s) you believe the contested issue you are raising is relevant to the Division's decision (why you believe resolving the contested issue in your favor will materially change the Division's decision)
- e) How each requester (including represented parties if the requester is a member organization representing them in this matter) is directly and substantively affected by the contested decision to justify review; more specifically, please include a discussion of:
- 1) the nature of the interest of the requester or represented party who is impacted by the contested decision(s);
- 2) whether that interest is one that the department's applicable statutes and regulations intend to protect; and
- 3) the extent to which the Division's decision relating to this contested issue directly and substantively impairs the interest described in (2) above.
- (f) Identify when and where you raised this issue in testimony or comments you provided to DEC. if your comments or testimony were submitted to DEC in writing, please provide a reference to the page and paragraph where they appear. (see 18 AAC 15.200(a) and 18 AAC 15.245)\*\*
- (g) Suggested alternative terms and conditions that in your judgement are required for the Division's decision to be in accord with the facts or law applicable to the issue you are raising. (h) A discussion of any other reasons you believe your request for an adjudicatory hearing should be granted. Please include a concise summary of the facts and laws that you believe support your request.
- (i) If you believe a provision of the final decision or permit you are challenging was not in the draft decision or permit that was subject to the public notice or comment process, please explain the basis of your claim (see 18 AAC 15.200(a)).
- \*\* this requirement does not apply to a person challenging an Air Quality Division Stationary Source Emission Control permit under AS 46.15.2200 either (1) on the basis of a private, substantive legally protective interest under state law that may be adversely affected by the permit action, or (2) as the owner or operator of the stationary air source

NOTE: If you did not raise your issue before the Division's issuance of the permit or contested decision, 18 AAC 15.245 requires you to show "good cause" for the failure to raise the issue for it to be considered. You should include this information in your response to (h) above.

Request for Evidentiary Hearing With reference to the number of issues listed in your response to "Issues to be Decided" above, please list the number of the issues for which you are requesting an evidentiary hearing that may involve the testimony of factual witnesses, expert witnesses or the offering of additional documents or other evidence not already in the existing agency record.
Description of Question of Fact to be Raised at an Evidentiary Hearing
With reference to the number of issues listed in your response to "Request for Evidentiary Hearing" above, please describe each of the factual issues you want considered in an evidentiary hearing. You may reference your answers in your response above if they describe all the questions of fact that you want considered at an evidentiary hearing
Estimated Time for an Evidentiary Hearing Please provide your estimate of the time you think will be needed to conduct the evidentiary hearing you are requesting.

# IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS

If you have questions regarding what information needs to be included in this form or questions about the process for requesting an adjudicatory hearing, you may find help by:

- 1) Reviewing the department's regulations, many of which are referenced in this form. The Administrative Procedures regulations at 18 AAC 15 are available on the Internet at https://dec.alaska.gov/commish/regulations/. The definitions of key terms may be found at 18 AAC 15.920;
- 2) Reviewing the guidance documents posted by the department at https://dec.alaska.gov/commish/review-guidance/; or
- 3) Contacting the department's adjudicatory hearing liaison, Jessalynn Rintala, in the Commissioner's Office at (907) 465-5061 or at Jessalynn.Rintala@alaska.gov

Please be aware that failing to comply with the requirements for filing and serving a request for adjudicatory hearing could result in all or a portion of your request being denied.

# **APPLICABLE DEADLINES**

Requests for an adjudicatory hearing must be made not later than 30 days after the issuance of the department's decision or permit, or not later than 30 days after the issuance of a decision on a request for informal review under 18 AAC 15.185, whichever is later (see 18 AAC 15.200(a)).