

Summary of Meeting

April 30, 2008 6:30 pm

State Agency Oversight Team, Nuka Research, Public

Department of Environmental
Conservation:
Larry Dietrick (SPAR)
Greg Gould (SPAR)
Betty Schorr (SPAR)
Sonya Love-Hestnes (Procurement)
Marit Carlson-Van Dort (Commissioner's
Office)

Alaska Oil and Gas Conservation
Commission: Cathy Foerster

Alaska Department of Natural Resources:
Kevin Banks

Public:
Susan Harvey, Harvey Consulting, LLC
Steve Catalano, Cook Inlet RCAC
Gabe Scott, Cascadia Wildlands Project
Brad Chastain, citizen
Bettina Chastain, Doyon
Gretchen Grekowitz, Doyon
Rebecca Noblin, Pacific Energy
Jason Bergerson, North Slope Borough
Lydia Miner, MWH Consulting
Craig Wilson, MWH Consulting
Richard Fineberg, citizen
Bill Schorr, citizen
Sami Glascott, AOGA

Nuka Research:
Tim Robertson
Amy Gilson
Cindy Sanguinetti

The purpose of this meeting was to brief the Public on the Alaska Risk Assessment of oil and gas infrastructure project via a power point presentation, to answer any questions and to seek their involvement in the project. The presentation may be found at the following link: <http://www.dec.state.ak.us/spar/ipp/ara/documents.htm>

Q & A

Q: If, during the course of the project, the contractor identifies substantial risks, perhaps requiring immediate action, what process will be followed?

A: Any imminent danger discovered through an inspection, an exercise or through this process, will be directed to the appropriate agency to be taken care of immediately.

Q: Could proposed developments currently in the permitting process be included?

A: The North Slope Borough will be asked for their input during Phase I, which will establish the scope of the project. DEC has only targeted existing infrastructure to be considered to establish a baseline due to limited time and funds, but the contractor will have to assess input received.

Q: What is the cut-off date for including infrastructure?

A: The RFP indicates infrastructure currently existing, though the scoping will be done in Phase I, so it is not set in stone.

Q: Have you established a review process for stakeholders?

A: The contractor will design those parameters, which may include established review periods per state protocol.

Q: Why does the project exclude tankers or terrorism and sabotage? What is the rationale for lumping sovereign tribes with local governments?

A: The scoping will be time and money driven. There will be a number of comments regarding the exclusion of tankers, which will have to be considered by the contractor during Phase I. Homeland Security is focused on terrorism and it is not the State's expertise. The tribes' land ownership and impacts need to be considered, and the state needs feedback regarding how they will fit into the process.

Nuka Research asked attendees for input as to how best to involve the public, whether a public advisory group would work. Attendees were invited to forward comments to Nuka Research.

Q: What is the mechanism for forwarding comments?

A: Nuka Research has been contracted to facilitate the SAOT; it will be most efficient to communicate through that contractor.

Public comment: A public advisory group is an obvious piece of the puzzle, but there needs to be at least a representative from each specific region to insure full representation, like the RCACs are set up.

Public attendees were encouraged to stay up to date via the main communication tool at the DEC website, which will be frequently updated.

Action Items:

Nuka: Post the Powerpoint presentation and the summary to the website.

Public: Forward contact information to amy@nukaresearch.com.

Public: Forward comments to Nuka Research.

This document was distributed to meeting participants in draft form for review. Requested revisions have been made.