

Notes

Places of Refuge

28 October 2003

1000 hrs

PWSRCAC Conference Room

Meeting called by: Places of Refuge Working Group
Type of meeting: Initial meeting of the working group
Facilitator: NA
Note taker: Rhonda Arvidson
Timekeeper: NA

Attendees: Kurt Hallier, Polar Tankers, Bill Conley, POVTS, Jim Rosenberg, USCG, Cliff Chambers, POVTS, Frosty Leonard, Crowley, Latarsha McQueen, USCG, Dan Gilson, PWSRCAC, Rhonda Arvidson, PWSRCAC

What are the goals of this working group for this project? RA

Discussion: Places of Refuge (POR) need to be valid and pre-established. There must be advanced discussion with stakeholder regarding POR. Economic impacts, not only environmental, must be realized and considered. Stakeholders must include more than just the agencies of the USCG, and ADEC- we need to work with BLM, DOI and so on. PWS should be clearly established, in advance as a Place of Refuge.

The end product for the COTP will be some sort of chart with overlays that mark the areas of the sound that could be potential places of refuge. Realizing that no place will be ideal.

It is not a goal of the work group to discuss scenarios and come up with pre-established responses.

Conclusions: The goal of the working group is “To provide the COTP information on potential places of refuge for a ship in need, through preplanned stakeholder input”

Action items: none

Person responsible:

Deadline:

Basic pre-existing documents to glean information from RA

Discussion: CIRCAC has done some place of refuge work.

BC/Pacific States taskforce has a working group on this topic.

GRS and ESI, IMO work, SERVS

Conclusions: There is a lot of already available information that needs to be brought together and viewed from the perspective of a POR.

Action items:

Person responsible:

Deadline:

Obtain copies of CIRCAC work

RA

Monitor BC/Pacific States work

RA/DG

ongoing

Who needs to be recognized/contacted for POR RA

Discussion: BLM, DOI, tribal governments. There are many agencies/organizations that would have legitimate interests in a multitude of areas throughout the sound. These people need to be identified and sought out for comment

Conclusions: The working group needs to explore what agencies/organizations have interests in various locations throughout PWS

Action items:

Person responsible:

Deadline:

Make sure people, not just environments are included in the final product.

Working group

ongoing

Special notes:

Next meeting date Nov. 18 1000 hours, PWSRCAC conference room