



ALASKA CLEAN WATER FUND STATE REVOLVING FUND (SRF) PRIORITY CRITERIA FOR SFY13 POINT SOURCE PROJECTS

Alaska has established the following criteria to prioritize point source wastewater projects seeking funding from the Alaska Clean Water Fund, the Clean Water Act State Revolving Loan Fund. These criteria rank point source projects (CWA Sec.212) by their relative threats to public health and the environment. The results of the most recent 303(d) list priorities will be utilized for identifying important water quality issues.

<u>PUBLIC HEALTH CONSIDERATIONS (only one)</u>	Assigned Points
1. A human disease event exists, documented by a recognized public health authority. Construction of this project will correct the problem.	350
2. Current conditions are severe enough that a disease event can occur, but has not been reported. This project will resolve the problem.	300
3. Conditions are not probable that a disease event will occur. This project will minimize potential future public health problems.	200
4. This project has no significant health related issues	0
<u>WATER QUALITY CONSIDERATIONS (only one)</u>	
1. This project will correct a documented pollution event in a:	
303 d listed High Priority Water	250
303 d listed Medium Priority Water	240
303 d listed Low Priority Water	230
Non-303 d listed Water	220
2. Current conditions are severe enough that a pollution event can occur, but has not been reported yet. This project will correct the problem in a:	
303 d listed High Priority Water	230
303 d listed Medium Priority Water	220
303 d listed Low Priority Water	210
Non-303 d listed Water	200
3. This project will minimize the potential for future pollution events	100
4. This project will minimal impact on future pollution events	0

RECEIVING WATER USE (only one)

This project addresses adverse impacts to:

- | | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1. | Freshwater/groundwater | |
| | drinking or food processing | 10 |
| | propagation of fish, shellfish, etc., as a food source | 5 |
| | water contact recreation | 2 |
| 2. | Marine waters/estuaries | |
| | propagation of fish, shellfish, etc., as a food source | 5 |
| | water contact recreation | 2 |
| 3. | This project will not significantly address any adverse water quality impacts | 0 |

LOCAL INITIATIVE (only one)

- | | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1. | This project will complete a project that has already begun construction and has completed an environmental review. | 50 |
| 2. | This project has approved engineered plans and has a prepared or completed environmental review. | 40 |
| 3. | Engineering plans have been prepared. | 30 |
| 4. | A feasibility study for this project has been prepared. | 20 |
| 5. | No planning or study document has been completed. | 0 |

FUNDING COORDINATION (only one)

- | | | |
|----|--|----|
| 1. | This project will use other state, federal or local funds. | 15 |
| 2. | Not yet determined. | 0 |

ABILITY TO REPAY (both possible)

- | | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1. | A viable repayment source has been identified. | 10 |
| 2. | Financial instruments, ordinances, agreement, etc., are in place to assure repayment. | 10 |
| 3. | Not yet determined. | 0 |

Assigned
Points

SUSTAINABILITY PROJECTS (only one)

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|----|
| 1. | Fix It First Projects | 50 |
| 2. | Effective Utility Management | 25 |
| 3. | Planning | 25 |
| 3. | Not Applicable | 0 |

AFFORDABILITY CRITERIA (only one)

- | | | | |
|----|--|-------------|----|
| 1. | Loan cost to population benefiting ratio | | |
| | Cost/population ratio | 0 - 400 | 15 |
| | Cost/population ratio | 401 - 4,000 | 10 |
| | Cost/population ratio | > 4,000 | 5 |