



ALASKA CLEAN WATER FUND STATE REVOLVING FUND (SRF) PRIORITY CRITERIA FOR SFY17 POINT SOURCE PROJECTS

Alaska has established the following criteria to prioritize point source wastewater projects seeking funding from the Alaska Clean Water Fund, the Clean Water Act State Revolving Loan Fund. These criteria rank point source projects (CWA Sec.212) by their relative threats to public health and the environment. The results of the most recent 303(d) list priorities will be utilized for identifying important water quality issues.

<u>PUBLIC HEALTH CONSIDERATIONS (only one)</u>	Assigned Points
<p>1. A human disease event exists, documented by a recognized public health authority. Construction of this project will correct the problem.</p> <p><i>Examples include providing public health alert/notice(s) documentation from a recognized public health authority. Also, documentation that either requires construction of the project through a regulatory compliance order or by action from the community issuing an ordinance/resolution for proceeding with the project.</i></p>	350
<p>2. Current conditions are severe enough that a disease event can occur, but has not been reported. This project will resolve the problem.</p> <p><i>Examples include providing documentation such as multiple maintenance crew reports or study(s) that demonstrate current conditions are severe enough that a disease event can occur.</i></p>	300
<p>3. Conditions indicate that there is a high potential that a disease event could occur. This project will minimize potential future public health problems.</p> <p><i>Examples include providing documentation such as a maintenance crew report(s), study(s), or documented photographs that can demonstrate a need that current conditions indicate that there is a high potential that a disease event could occur.</i></p>	200
<p>4. Conditions are not probable that a disease event will occur. This project will minimize potential future public health problems.</p>	100
<p>5. This project has no significant health related issues</p>	0

WATER QUALITY CONSIDERATIONS (only one)

<p>1. This project will correct a documented pollution event in a:</p>	
Non-303 d listed Water	250
303(d) Category 4a Listed Water Body	240
303(d) Category 4b Listed Water Body	230
303(d) Category 4c Listed Water Body	230
303(d) Category 5 Listed Water Body	220

	Assigned Points
2. Current conditions are severe enough that a pollution event can occur, but has not been reported yet. This project will correct the problem in a:	
Non-303 d listed Water	230
303(d) Category 4a Listed Water Body	220
303(d) Category 4b Listed Water Body	210
303(d) Category 4c Listed Water Body	210
303(d) Category 5 Listed Water Body	200
3. This project will minimize the potential for future pollution events	100
4. This project has minimal impact on future pollution events	0

RECEIVING WATER USE (only one)

This project addresses adverse impacts to:

1. Freshwater/groundwater	
drinking or food processing	10
propagation of fish, shellfish, etc., as a food source	5
water contact recreation	2
2. Marine waters/estuaries	
propagation of fish, shellfish, etc., as a food source	5
water contact recreation	2
3. This project will not significantly address any adverse water quality impacts	0

LOCAL INITIATIVE (only one)

1. This project will complete a project that has already begun construction and has completed an environmental review.	50
2. This project has DEC approved engineered plans and has a prepared or completed environmental review.	40
3. At least 65% engineered plans have been prepared.	30
4. A feasibility study for this project has been prepared.	20
5. No planning or study document has been completed.	0

FUNDING COORDINATION (only one)

1. This project will use other state, federal or local funds.	15
2. Not yet determined.	0

ABILITY TO REPAY (only one)

- | | |
|---|----|
| 1. A viable repayment source has been identified. | 10 |
| 2. Not yet determined. | 0 |

SUSTAINABILITY PROJECTS (only one)

- | | |
|---------------------------------|----|
| 1. Fix It First Projects | 50 |
| 2. Effective Utility Management | 25 |
| 3. Planning | 25 |
| 4. Not Applicable | 0 |

OPERATOR CERTIFICATION (Only one)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. The system employs or has on contract the correct level of certified or qualified operators | 5 |
| 2. Not adequately certified/qualified. | 0 |

AFFORDABILITY CRITERIA (only one)

Loan cost to population benefiting ratio

- | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----|
| Cost/population ratio | \$0 - 400/person | 15 |
| Cost/population ratio | \$401 - 4,000/person | 10 |
| Cost/population ratio | > \$4,000/person | 5 |

GREEN PROJECT (determined by ADEC)

The applicant has sufficiently demonstrated Green eligible components under the project. Program guidance can be found at the following ADEC web site: http://dec.alaska.gov/water/muniloan/forms.htm	25
--	----