

BGES, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

FORMER JOHNSON NISSAN JEEP/EAGLE 4748 OLD SEWARD HIGHWAY ANCHORAGE, ALASKA

ADEC FILE NO. 2100.26.252

SUB-SLAB SOIL GAS CHARACTERIZATION REPORT

NOVEMBER 2013

Submitted to:

Richard Metcalf Six Robblee's, Inc. 11010 Tukwila International Blvd. Seattle, Washington 98168

Submitted by:

BGES, INC. 1042 East 6th Avenue Anchorage, Alaska 99501 Ph: (907) 644-2900 Fax: (907) 644-2901

www.BGESINC.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	
2.0 FIELD ACTIVITES1	
3.0 EVALUATION OF LABORATORY DATA	
4.0 LABORATORY DATA QUALITY REVIEW	
5.0 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL	•
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS4	
7.0 EXCLUSIONS AND CONSIDERATIONS	

FIGURES (Located at End of report)

Figure 1	Property Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Sub-Slab Sampling Point Location Map

TABLE (Located at End of Report)

Table 1Sub-Slab Soil Gas Sample Results

APPENDICES (Located at End of Report)

Appendix A	Updated Building Survey and Indoor Air Questionnaire
Appendix B	Field Notes and Soil Gas Sampling Data Log
Appendix C	Laboratory Analytical Data
Appendix D	Laboratory Analytical Data Quality Control Checklist

Air Toxics	_	Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.
ADEC	-	Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
BGES	-	Braunstein Geological and Environmental Services
CSM	-	Conceptual Site Model
MEK	-	methyl ethyl ketone
MIBK	-	4-methyl-2-pentanone
ml/min	-	milliliters per minute
NELAP	-	National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program
PCE	-	Tetrachloroethylene
PID	-	Photoionization Detector
QC	-	Quality Control
QP	-	Qualified Person
RPD	-	Relative Percent Difference

ACRONYMS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

BGES, Inc. (BGES) was retained by Six Robblee's, Inc., to collect soil gas samples to evaluate the potential indoor air pathway at the property located at 4748 Old Seward Highway in Anchorage, Alaska, hereafter referred to as the subject property (Figure 1). These activities were conducted in accordance with correspondence dated August 14, 2013 from Katrina Chambon, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) Project Manager.

2.0 FIELD ACTIVITES

All activities were completed by a Qualified Person (QP), as defined by the ADEC, and in general accordance with ADEC regulations and the ADEC Vapor Intrusion Guidance for Contaminated Sites (October 2012). These assessment activities were requested by the ADEC because of the elevated concentrations of contaminants identified in the groundwater on the southeastern side of the subject property and the potential to impact the indoor air in the building. A sub-slab soil gas sample was collected to evaluate the potential indoor air pathway and the potential human health risk. No modifications to the work plan occurred during these field activities.

Prior to the initiation of sampling activities, the ADEC Building Inventory & Indoor Air Sampling Questionnaire was updated by BGES personnel on October 4, 2013 (Appendix A). It was determined that all subsurface utilities enter the northwestern corner of the building. All photoionization detector (PID) readings for potential vapor entry points into the building were zero parts per million.

Collection of Sub-Slab Soil Gas Sample. On October 9, 2013, prior to the collection of sub-slab soil gas samples, BGES personnel installed a semi-permanent soil gas sampling point, which was advanced through the concrete slab and positioned in the southeastern portion of the building (Figure 2). One representative from the ADEC (Katrina Chambon) was onsite to observe the collection of the soil gas sample. The hole was drilled through the concrete foundation with a rotary hammer drill and a 1.5-inch diameter drill bit. The hole was cleared of any dust prior to installing the sampling point so that a good seal could be obtained and leakage of ambient air could be eliminated. The sampling point was constructed of stainless steel tubing (0.313-inch outer diameter by 0.183-inch inner diameter) and Swagelock compression fittings. The bottom of the sampling point was set so that it floated near the base of the slab without coming into direct contact with the soil beneath the concrete slab. To prevent/reduce the potential for cross-contamination of sub-slab vapors with ambient indoor air, the sampling point was completed by backfilling with sand, hydrated bentonite, and quick-drying cement. The sampling point was installed such that the sample point was completed flush with the surface of the concrete to allow for future use. After completion of the sampling point, the bentonite and cement were allowed to dry for approximately one hour so that a good seal could be

Sub-Slab Soil Gas Characterization Report 4748 Old Seward Highway; Anchorage, Alaska

established. However, the sampling point moved when setting up for the collection of the soil gas sample. Therefore, additional quick drying cement was added to the sampling point and the sampling point was allowed to dry overnight to make sure that there was a good seal around the sampling point.

On October 10, BGES personnel returned to the subject property to collect a sub-slab soil gas sample from the sampling point. Ms. Chambon, ADEC Project Manager, was onsite to observe the collection of the soil gas sample. A soil gas manifold system was attached to the sampling point and a summa canister was connected to the soil gas manifold system. The soil gas sampling manifold was obtained from the laboratory and consisted of a particulate filter, two vacuum gauges, a flow restrictor [preset and calibrated to 167 milliliters per minute (ml/min) at the laboratory], a manifold valve for the purge port, and a sample collection port; these items were connected with stainless steel tubing and Swagelok compression fittings. A vacuum gauge, located prior to the flow restrictor, was utilized to ensure that sufficient vapor was being collected from the subsurface. An additional vacuum gauge, located in line after the flow restrictor and prior to the purge port, was utilized to ensure that the summa canister was filling at the expected rate.

Prior to purging or collection of the soil gas samples, the aboveground sampling train was evaluated for leaks utilizing both a shut-in test (mechanical leak-check test) and a helium gas tracer test. For the shut-in test, the valves positioned between the sub-slab probe and the soil gas manifold and the summa canister were kept in the closed position. A peristaltic pump (vacuum pump) was then connected to the purge port of the manifold. The valve for the purge port was opened and a constant vacuum (approximately 26.5 inches of mercury) was applied to the above ground apparatus for a period of eight minutes. Vacuum placed on the sampling train was not lost over this time period. Then, the helium gas tracer test was set up to evaluate the sampling train for potential leaks. This test was performed by enclosing the entire sampling train inside a metal hood. Helium was then released into the hood via a built-in port, to completely fill the area under the hood to an approximate concentration of 49 percent. A Dielectric MGD-2002 helium detector was connected to the sampling train to evaluate the potential presence of helium for approximately four minutes. Helium was not detected in the sampling train during this time period. After successfully passing both sample train leak-check tests, and prior to sample collection, approximately three sample train volumes were purged from the sampling train to evacuate any stagnant or ambient air at an approximate rate of 167 ml/min. The purging and sampling rate was set at 167 ml/min in order to prevent stripping of volatiles and ambient air from diluting the soil gas samples.

The soil gas sample was collected in a 6-liter summa canister using a soil gas manifold obtained from the laboratory (Field Notes and a Soil Gas Sampling Data Log are included in Appendix B). One duplicate soil

gas sample was collected from the sub-slab probe, and submitted "blindly" to the laboratory to evaluate field sampling precision. After collection of the soil gas samples, the canisters were packaged in cardboard boxes and shipped via Federal Express under standard chain of custody protocol to Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. (Air Toxics) for analyses.

The sub-slab soil gas sample collected from beneath the concrete slab of the garage was numbered, for example, SG1-1010, where the acronym SG stands for soil gas and the adjoining number indicates the sub-slab probe number; and -1010 indicates the month and day the sample was collected.

3.0 EVALUATION OF LABORATORY DATA

The soil gas sample results are compared to the Target Levels for Shallow or Sub-slab Soil Gas for commercial properties listed in Appendix E in ADEC Vapor Intrusion Guidance for Contaminated Sites, dated October 2012.

The 6-liter summa canisters and soil gas manifold received from the laboratory were 100 percent certified clean by Air Toxics. The soil gas samples were analyzed at Air Toxics, a National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) laboratory, as required by the ADEC, by Modified Method TO-15. A duplicate soil gas sample was collected to evaluate sampling precision. Results of the laboratory analyses are discussed below.

Two sub-slab soil gas samples, SG1-1010 and SG2-1010 (duplicate sample of SG1-1010), were collected from beneath the concrete slab in the southeastern portion of the building on the subject property (Figure 2).

Soil Gas Samples SG1-1010 and/or SG2-1010 exhibited concentrations of acetone, benzene, carbon disulfide, dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12), 1,4-dioxane, ethanol, ethylbenzene, 4-ethyltoluene, hexane, methyl ethyl ketone (MEK), 4-methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK), tetrachloroethene (PCE), toluene, trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11), 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, and, total xylenes, which were all below ADEC target levels.

Analytical results for sub-slab soil gas samples are listed in Table 1, the laboratory results are included in Appendix C, and the sub-slab soil gas sampling location is shown on Figure 2.

4.0 LABORATORY DATA QUALITY REVIEW

Data quality was reviewed in accordance with ADEC guidance and standard industry practices. An ADEC laboratory data review checklist completed for the laboratory work order provides an overview of the

quality of the laboratory data and the checklist is attached in Appendix D. The following is a discussion of our evaluation of sample conditions and laboratory procedures during these field activities.

Work Order Number 1310351

The case narrative for Work Order Number 1310351 (soil gas samples collected on October 10, 2013) noted that there were not any quality control (QC) failures identified by Air Toxics.

The Soil Gas Sample SG2-1010 was a duplicate of the Soil Gas Sample SG1-1010 collected from the subslab probe SG1-1010 and was collected to evaluate sampling precision. This sample was submitted "blindly" to the laboratory. The Relative Percent Differences (RPDs) calculated utilizing duplicate sample (SG2-1010) collected in association with Field Sample SG1-1010 ranged between 0 percent and 109 percent. The RPDs between the reported concentrations of analytes within these samples were below the acceptable limit of 25 percent for the analytes dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12), trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11), acetone, 2-butanone, and PCE. The RPDs ranged from 0 percent to 12 percent for these analytes, which indicates a good measure of sampling precision. The analytes that did not have acceptable RPDs included ethanol, MIBK, toluene, total xylenes, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene. The RPDs for these analytes ranged from 32 percent to 109 percent. Because the field sample and associated field duplicate both exhibited concentrations of all analytes that are more than two orders of magnitude below the ADEC target levels, it is our opinion that these RPD exceedences do not affect the acceptability of the data for their intended use. The RPDs between the reported concentrations of numerous analytes could not be calculated, as they were not detected above the laboratory's method reporting limits.

5.0 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

As requested by Ms. Chambon, ADEC Project Manager, the conceptual site model (CSM) was not updated based on the results from the soil gas samples collected under the building on the subject property.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

As described above, sub-slab soil gas samples collected from beneath the concrete slab in the southeastern portion of the building did not exhibit any analyte concentrations above the ADEC target levels for sub-slab soil gas concentrations. It is recommended that a copy of this summary report be provided to the ADEC.

7.0 EXCLUSIONS AND CONSIDERATIONS

This report presents facts, observations, and inferences based on conditions observed during the period of our project activities, and only those conditions that were evaluated as part of our scope of work. Our conclusions are based solely on our observations made and work conducted, and only apply to the immediate vicinities of the locations where soil gas samples were collected. In addition, changes to site conditions may have occurred since the completion of our project activities. These changes may be from the actions of man or nature. Changes in regulations may also impact the interpretation of site conditions. BGES will not disclose our findings to any parties other than our client as listed above, except as directed by our client, or as required by law.

The field work was performed and this report was prepared by Jayne Martin, Senior Environmental Scientist of BGES. Ms. Martin is a QP as defined by the ADEC, and has more than 20 years of environmental consulting experience and has conducted and managed hundreds of site characterization and remediation efforts throughout Alaska and the lower 48 states. This report was reviewed and approved by Robert N. Braunstein, C.P.G., a Certified Professional Geologist, who has more than 30 years of professional geologic and environmental experience, and has performed or managed thousands of environmental site assessments in the lower 48-States and in Alaska. He has extensive knowledge and experience with contaminated sites and remediation.

Prepared by:

that

Jayne Martin Senior Environmental Scientist

Reviewed and Approved by:

Robert h. Broumstern

Robert N. Braunstein, C.P.G. Principal Geologist





TABLE 1 4748 OLD SEWARD HIGHWAY ANCHORAGE, ALASKA SUB-SLAB SOIL GAS SAMPLE RESULTS - OCTOBER 2013

Sample Name/Date		Results	Reporting Limit	Analytical	ADEC Target
Collected	Analyte	(ug/m ³)	(ug/m ³)	Method	Level (ug/m ³) ¹
SG1-1010	Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	2.4	0.78	Modified TO-15	4,400
	Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	1.3	0.89	Modified TO-15	30,700
	Ethanol	3.1	1.5	Modified TO-15	N/A
	Acetone	17	1.9	Modified TO-15	1,350,000
	Hexane	ND	0.56	Modified TO-15	30,700
	Carbon Disulfide	2.9	2.5	Modified TO-15	30,700
	2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	3.0	2.3	Modified TO-15	219,000
	Benzene	ND	0.50	Modified TO-15	160
	1,4-Dioxane	20	0.57	Modified TO-15	N/A
	4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	0.70	0.65	Modified TO-15	131,000
	Toluene	0.77	0.60	Modified TO-15	219,000
	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	4.6	1.1	Modified TO-15	1,800
	Ethylbenzene	ND	0.69	Modified TO-15	490
	Total Xylenes	3.6	0.69	Modified TO-15	4,400
	4-Ethyltoluene	ND	0.78	Modified TO-15	N/A
	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.81	0.78	Modified TO-15	310
	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.4	0.78	Modified TO-15	310
	All Other Analytes	ND	varies	Modified TO-15	varies
SG2-1010 (Duplicate of SG1-1010)					
RPD = 0%	Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	2.4	0.79	Modified TO-15	4,400
RPD = 8%	Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	1.2	0.90	Modified TO-15	30,700
RPD = 64%	Ethanol	1.6	1.5	Modified TO-15	N/A
RPD = 11%	Acetone	19	1.9	Modified TO-15	1,350,000
	Hexane	0.67	0.56	Modified TO-15	30,700
	Carbon Disulfide	ND	2.5	Modified TO-15	30,700
RPD = 7%	2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	2.8	2.4	Modified TO-15	219,000
	Benzene	0.56	0.51	Modified TO-15	160
	1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.58	Modified TO-15	N/A
RPD = 32%	4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	0.97	0.66	Modified TO-15	131,000
RPD = 109%	Toluene	2.6	0.60	Modified TO-15	219,000
RPD = 12%	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	5.2	1.1	Modified TO-15	1,800
	Ethylbenzene	0.85	0.69	Modified TO-15	490
RPD = 43%	Total Xylenes	5.6	0.69	Modified TO-15	4,400
	4-Ethyltoluene	1.4	0.79	Modified TO-15	N/A
RPD = 39%	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.2	0.79	Modified TO-15	310
RPD = 70%	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.9	0.79	Modified TO-15	310
	All Other Analytes	ND	varies	Modified TO-15	varies

Notes:

¹ = Soil Gas Target Levels were obtained from the ADEC Vapor Intrusion Guidance For Contaminated Sites, Appendix E

Target Levels for Commercial Shallow or Subslab Soil Gas dated October 2012.

 $\mu g/m^3$ = micrograms per cubic meter; N/A = Not Available; ND = Not Detected

BGES, INC.

APPENDIX A

UPDATED BUILDING SURVEY AND INDOOR AIR QUESTIONNAIRE

ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENAL CONSERVATION BUILDING INVENTORY AND INDOOR AIR SAMPLING QUESTIONNAIRE

This form should be prepared by a person familiar with indoor air assessments with assistance from a person knowledgeable about the building. Complete this form for each building where interior samples (e.g., indoor air, crawl space, or subslab soil gas samples) will be collected. Section I of this form should be used to assist in choosing an investigative strategy during workplan development. Section II should be used to assist in identification of complicating factors during a presampling building walk-through.

Preparer's Name JAYNE MARTIN	Date/Time Prepared 5/10/13 \$ 10/4/13
Preparer's Affiliation BGES	Phone No. 644-2900
Purpose of Investigation Building EVALUATION	
SECTION I: BUILDING INVENTORY	10/4/13- No changes to the building
Interviewed (2/ N	
Last Name Pucket7	First Name LARRy
Address 4748 OLD DEWARD HWY	0
city Avenantee, AK 99503	
Phone No. (107) 354 - 2516 cell ;	(907) 344-7497 (store)
Number of Occupants/people at this location NST KANS	Age of Occupants NOT KNOWN
2. OWNER or LANDLORD: (Check if same as occupant	.)
Interviewed: Y /N	
Last Name ROBBLEE	First Name Anoy
Address 11010 TUKWILA INTERNA	FTIDNAL READ
City SEATTLE, WA 98168	
- Phone No.	
3. BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS	
Type of Building: (Circle appropriate response.)	
Residential School C Industrial Church C	ommercial2Multi-use
If the property is residential, what type? (Circle appropri-	ate response.)

Ranch Raised Ranch Cape Cod Duplex Modular	2-Family Split Level Contemporary Apartment House Log Home	3-Family Colonial Mobile Home Townhouse/Condo Other AN APARTMEN WAS PRESENT IN THE
If multiple units, how n	uany? NA	SOUTHERSTERN PORTION OF THE BUILDING
If the property is comm	ercial, what type?	
Business types(s)	DALE OF TRUL	in Accessories
Does it include resid	ences (i.e., multi-use)	Southerny Portion on Second Front w
Other characteristics:	1-	
Number of floors	APT. ON SOCOND ST. IN SOUTH	Building age 1968 \$ 1990 (45 \$ 23 year)
Is the building insula	ted? Y/O PMT a	Bib. How airtight? Tight / Average / Not Tight
Have occupants noticed	chemical odors in the buildi	ing? Y
If yes, please describe:		

4. AIRFLOW

Use air current tubes, tracer smoke, or knowledge about the building to evaluate airflow patterns and qualitatively describe:

Airflow between floors 157 FLOOR & ATTIC - MOVEMONT BETWEEN SHOW ROOM & ATTIC 1ST FLOR & APT. - THRONCH STATEWELL, NOT INTOLCONNERTON WITH AM DUCTS

Airflow in building near suspected source OPEN SPACE WITH HEATER SUSPENDED FROM CELLING (HUNTER IS FUELD BY NATURAL CAR) SLAB-ON GRODE CONSTRUCTION - NO CRANE SPACE HIGH CEILINGS

Outdoor air infiltration YENTS FROM NOR CEILING INTO BUILDING FROM OYTSIDE

NO AIR DYCIS PRESENT

5. BASEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION CHARACTERISTICS (Circle all that apply.)

- SOME ME FIRE RESUSTANT

a. Above-grade construction:	wood frame	log	concrete	brick
	constructed or with enclosed	pilings air space	constructed on with open air s	pilings space
b. Basement type: NA	full	crawlspace	slab-on-grade	other
c. Basement floor: NA	concrete	dirt	stone	other
d. Basement floor: NA	unseated	sealed	sealed with	
e. Foundation walls: ドハ	poured	block	stone	other
f. Foundation walls: $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}$	unscaled	sealed	sealed with	
g. The basement is: \mathcal{N}	wet	damp	dry	
h. The basement is: NA	finished	unfinished	partially finishe	ed
i. Sump present?	Y 😥			
j. Water in sump?	Y / N / not app	licable		
	1			

Basement or lowest level depth below grade SLAS-ON GRADE (feet).

Identify potential soil vapor entry points and approximate size (e.g., cracks, utility ports, and drains).

CRACK BETWEEN EAST & WEST GARAGE ARONS (~ 1 to Z inches wide) (APPROX. 1 to 2 INCHOR WIDE)

6. HEATING, VENTING, and AIR CONDITIONING (Circle all that apply.)

Type of heating system(s) u	used in this building: (Ci	rele all that apply-not just pr	rimary.)	
Hot air circulation Space heaters Electric baseboard NATMERC GAS Of The primary type of fuel us	Heat pump Stream radiation Wood stove ced is:	Hot water baseboard Radiant floor Outdoor wood boiler	Borcal NO CONGAL USOD	
Natural gass Electric Wood	Fuel oil Propane Coal	Kerosene Solar		
Domestic hot water tank is	fueled by: AHOM	NOT KNOWN		
Boiler/furnace is located in:	Basement	Outdoors Main	floor Other SEPARATE ROOM	
Do any of the heating appliances have cold-air intakes? Y NW BOC CORNER Type of air conditioning or ventilation used in this building:				
Central air	Window units	Open windows	None	
Commercial HVAC	Heat-recovery system	Passive air system		
Are there air distribution do Try or - / And D	ucts present? れモ VEN7S BC 7HE SHOWR	ETWEW THE AT	THE OVER THE OFFICES	

Describe the ventilation system in the building, its condition where visible, and the tightness of duct joints. Indicate the location of air supply and exhaust points on the floor plan.

	THERE IS NOT A VENTILATION SYSTEM IN THE BUILDING.
	THERE ARE SPACE HEATONS AND FROSH AIR VENTS SCATTORED
	THRONGHOUT BUILDING NOT REILINGS, DURING EN MANY
	DMS - THE GARAGE DOORS ME OPENED FOR VONTILATION,
	Is there a radon mitigation system for the building/structure? Y Date of Installation
	Is the system active or passive? NA Active/Passive
7.	OCCUPANCY Is basement/lowest level occupied? Full-time Occasionally Seldom Almost never
	Level General Use of Each Floor (e.g., family room, bedroom, laundry, workshop, or storage).
	Basement NA
	1" Floor SHOWROOM, OFFICES, WATTING AROA, GARAGE WORK AROAS
	2nd Floor APARTMENT (KITCHEN, BEDROOM & FAMLLY ROOM)
	3 rd Floor NA
8.	WATER AND SEWAGE LOCATOD SW OF BLDG.
	Water supply: Public water Drilled well Driven well Dug well Other WATOR FOR Emerger
	Sewage disposal: Public sewer Septic tank Leach field Dry well Other

8.

9. FLOOR PLANS No PID readings were collected

Draw a plan view sketch of the basement and first floor of the building. Indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources and PID meter readings. If the building does not have a basement, please note that.

Basement: NA First Floor: (SEE ADRIA PHOTO) No ODORS WERE NOTOS INSIDE OUTSIDE OR THE BUILDING

10. OUTDOOR PLOT

Draw a sketch of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on spill locations, potential air contamination sources (e.g., industries, gas stations, repair shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling locations and PID meter readings.

Also indicate compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the location of the well and septic system, if applicable, and a qualifying statement to help locate the site on a topographic map.

Not	APPLICABLE	DURING	BLDG.	SURVEY
				0
			-	
1.1.1.1				



SITE EVALUATION 5/10/13

SECTION II: INDOOR AIR SAMPLING QUESTIONNAIRE

This section should be completed during a presampling walk-through. If indoor air sources of COCs are identified and removed, consider ventilating the building prior to sampling. However, ventilation and heating systems should be operating normally for 24 hours prior to sampling.

a) 1. FACTORS THAT MAY INFLUENCE INDOOR AIR QUALITY

Is there an attached garage?	(Ŷ) N
Does the garage have a separate heating unit?	(Y) N / NA
Are petroleum-powered machines or vehicles stored in the garage (e.g., lawnmower, ATV, or car)	Please specify INTO THE GARAGE FOR INSTALLATION OF
Has the building ever had a fire?	Y / N When?
Is a kerosene or unvented gas space heater present?	Y / N Where?
Is there a workshop or hobby/craft area?	(V) N Where and type INSTALLAJION OF TRUCK ACCORDENCE
Is there smoking in the building?	Y 🚯 How frequently?
Has painting/staining been done in the last six months?	Y Where and when?
Is there new carpet, drapes or other textiles?	Y Where and when?
Is there a kitchen exhaust fan?	Y/N If yes, where is it vented? N/A
Is there a bathroom exhaust fan?	Y / N If yes, where is it vented?
Is there a clothes dryer?	Y / N If yes, is it vented outside? Y / N
Are cleaning products, cosmetic products, or pesticides u	used that could interfere with indoor air sampling? Y 🕥
If yes, please describe	
Do any of the building occupants use solvents at work?	Y (N)
(For example, is the building used for chemical manufacturi shop, fuel oil delivery area, or do any of the occupants work	ng or a laboratory, auto mechanic or auto body shop, painting as a boiler mechanic, pesticide applicator, or cosmetologist?)
If yes, what types of solvents are used?	
If yes, are his/her/their clothes washed at work? Y	/ N
Do any of the building occupants regularly use or work a	at a dry-cleaning service? (Circle appropriate response)
Yes, use dry cleaning regularly (weekly)	No
Yes, use dry cleaning infrequently (monthly or less)	Uňknown
Yes, work at a dry cleaning services	
1-7	7

2. PRODUCT INVENTORY FORM (For use during building walk-through.)

Make and model of field instrument used:_	BW TEHNOLOGIES	, PHOTO IONIZATION	DETETAL

List specific products found in the residence that have the potential to affect indoor air quality:

Location	Product Description	Site (units)	Condition ¹	Chemical Ingredients	Field Instrument Reading (units)	Photo ² Y/N
GARGE	PROTZTINE COSTING	55-6n	6-00	44 - DI PHENGLMEDAA	M O	Y
	X-3			U.ISUCY MUSTE		
CANSES	Hypericic De	5-GAL	6000		0	¥
GARACE	Armar Arc -	0.56pc	6000	BONZONE SULFONICA SODIUM ALPHA-OUTE	cus, O	0
	HE TRA SHINE			SULFONATE,	1	
GARACE	WINSON CLOTHE		600)	Non Mine In Cruby	JG P I	N

ghe GARAGE AKOA

¹ Describe the condition of the product containers as **Unopened (UO)**, **Used (U)**, or **Deteriorated (D)**.

² Photographs of the front and back of product containers can replace the handwritten list of chemical ingredients. However, the photographs must be of good quality and ingredient labels must be legible.

This form was modified from:

ITRC (Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council). 2007. Vapor Intrusion Pathway: A Practical Guideline. VI-1. Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council, Vapor Intrusion Team. Available at: <u>www.itrcweb.org.</u>

The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation's Contaminated Sites Program protects human health and the environment by managing the cleanup of contaminated soil and groundwater in Alaska.For more information, please contact our staff at the Contaminated Sites Program closest to you: Juneau: 907-465-5390 / Anchorage: 907-269-7503 Fairbanks: 907-451-2153 / Kenai: 907-262-5210

I-8

BGES, INC.

APPENDIX B

FIELD NOTES AND SOIL GAS SAMPLING DATA LOG



WHEN PREPARING TO ATTACH SOMPLING	0825 BEES ONSITE TO SAT NO & COLOR
TRAIN TO SUB-SUMB PROBUT	SOL GAS SIMPLE.
THE PROBE MOVED; EVEN THOMEN	08 40 Set ap & Rand MEDINICA SHUT-
17 HID BOON APPRIXINGTON / Made	7457.
SINCE INSTACCING PRODE	São Freizo Dorra Syret rac
	DETAILS of THE SOIL GAS
KATRING CHAMBOIL, ADEC PROJETS MANDEON	Stine werden set uige
ON SITE FROM APARA 2: 10 M-3:34m	0950 KATRINS CHAMBIN, AD EC ENSITE
	Set wergen
MYDE DECISION TO LET PROGE SIT OVOL	Could' Sole GAS Stander,
NGM7 SO IT COULD SEM PRACKLY	KATAWA ALEO CULLECTE A
BE THE COLOCTING SOLOGAS	WATER STANLE JORN AJE WOND
Strup cc.	12474920M SUNK BET MASE OND
	of THE ENPLOYEE'S INDICATED
3:30 pm BGES & ADEC OFFEITE	THAT FIT BEN A STAN ON
	THE WARD FROM THE AMO
	1040 16+721×13 OBTS172
	Camples: Sour CAS SAMPLING
	1145 BGES SFEDJE

SOIL GAS SAMPLING DATA

Date:	10/10/13	Sampler(s):	J. MARTIN & J. BARSIS
Client:	SIX Robbles's	Project #:	
Project Location:	4748 OLD SEWA	KU)	
			-
Container Type:	6-LITER SUMMA	Container ID:	1052
Sample ID:	561-1010	Sample Location:	56-1
Weather Conditions:	CLEADE & COLD	Precipitation:	Nome
Temperature:	31%	Barometric Pressure:	29.73 , of Hu
	FOUIPMENT	INFORMATION	0
Holium Dotector Calibration	TTT		11.3 ml land Q LAR
Nervin Detector Calibration:	1.0000-7000	Flow regulator calibrated:	101m/ min e Lib
vacuum Gauge Calibrated:	Ensorer Jorg		# 105)
Canister Certification Label:	CANH 1052	Manifold Certification Label:	1050
Sampling Equipment:	soil gas manifold, peristaltic p	oump, hood, helium specialty gas, MGD-20	002 detector;
	teflon-lined tubing; 6-liter sur	mma canister	
	PRE-TEST	LEAK CHECKS	
Mechanical Leak Testing Completed:		E = 2(C"1)	
Mechanical Leak Test Start Time:	0946	Bange @ CG. > Mg	1954
	0110	Mechanical Leak rest stop rime:	
Helium Tracer Test Completed:		Helium Concentration Under Hood:	49%
Helium Test Start Time:	10:03	Helium Test End Time: 101	02
	SAMPLE TRAIN VO	LUME CALCULATIONS	
Probe Depth (feet):	8,5 incher	Sample Train Volume (ml):	39,72m)
Elow Pata (ml/min):	117. Slowed		
Flow Rate (my mm):	16) ws//mir		
Subsiab conditions:	Stud		-
One Purge Volume (ml):	39-72	Time to Purge 1 Volume (sec):	14 sec
Three Purge Volumes (ml)	119.16	Time to Purge 3 Volumes (secs):	42 500
Purge Start Time:	1009	Purge End Time:	(0)0
	SOIL GAS SAM	PLE COLLECTION	
Sample Collection Start Time:	1017	Sample Collection End Time:	1139
Canister Start Vacuum (units):	30" Har	Canister End Vacuum (units):	6.5" Ha
control otare radiatin (anto).		cannot and a deducin (diffes).	8
Comments:			
DUPLICATE STMPLE	562-1010	30" Hg 6.5"	Hg
CANISTER # 30842	FILE # 92889	TIME 1945	0

BGES, INC.

APPENDIX C

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL DATA



11/1/2013 Ms. Jayne Martin BGES, Inc. 1042 E. 6th Ave

Anchorage AK 99501

Project Name: OLD SEWARD Project #: Workorder #: 1310351

Dear Ms. Jayne Martin

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 10/15/2013 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Air Toxics Ltd. for your air analysis needs. Air Toxics Ltd. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Kyle Vagadori at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Kga Vych

Kyle Vagadori Project Manager

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



WORK ORDER #: 1310351

Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Ms. Jayne Martin BGES, Inc. 1042 E. 6th Ave Anchorage, AK 99501	BILL TO:	Ms. Jayne Martin BGES, Inc. 1042 E. 6th Ave Anchorage, AK 99501
PHONE:	907-644-2900	P.O. #	
FAX:		PROJECT #	OLD SEWARD
DATE RECEIVED: DATE COMPLETED:	10/15/2013 11/01/2013	CONTACT:	Kyle Vagadori

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	PRESSURE
01A	SG1-1010	Modified TO-15	4.5 "Hg	5.1 psi
02A	SG2-1010	Modified TO-15	4.7 "Hg	5.1 psi
03A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
04A	CCV	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
05A	LCS	Modified TO-15	NA	NA
05AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

Lau

DATE: <u>11/01/13</u>

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, CA NELAP - 12282CA, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704434-13-6, UT NELAP CA009332013-4, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accrediting Agency: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2013, Expiration date: 10/17/2014. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 9563 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020



LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 BGES, Inc. Workorder# 1310351

Two 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified) samples were received on October 15, 2013. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
Initial Calibration	=30% RSD with 2<br compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD	=30% RSD with 4 compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Blank and standards	Zero Air	UHP Nitrogen provides a higher purity gas matrix than zero air

Receiving Notes

🛟 eurofins

There were no receiving discrepancies.

Analytical Notes

There were no analytical discrepancies.

Definition of Data Qualifying Flags

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



Summary of Detected Compounds MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client Sample ID: SG1-1010

Lab ID#: 1310351-01A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.16	0.48	0.78	2.4
Freon 11	0.16	0.23	0.89	1.3
Ethanol	0.79	1.7	1.5	3.1
Acetone	0.79	7.3	1.9	17
Carbon Disulfide	0.79	0.93	2.5	2.9
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	0.79	1.0	2.3	3.0
1,4-Dioxane	0.16	5.6	0.57	20
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.16	0.17	0.65	0.70
Toluene	0.16	0.20	0.60	0.77
Tetrachloroethene	0.16	0.67	1.1	4.6
m,p-Xylene	0.16	0.59	0.69	2.6
o-Xylene	0.16	0.24	0.69	1.0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.16	0.16	0.78	0.81
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.16	0.28	0.78	1.4

Client Sample ID: SG2-1010

Lab ID#: 1310351-02A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.16	0.49	0.79	2.4
Freon 11	0.16	0.22	0.90	1.2
Ethanol	0.80	0.83	1.5	1.6
Acetone	0.80	8.2	1.9	19
Hexane	0.16	0.19	0.56	0.67
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	0.80	0.93	2.4	2.8
Benzene	0.16	0.18	0.51	0.56
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.16	0.24	0.66	0.97
Toluene	0.16	0.70	0.60	2.6
Tetrachloroethene	0.16	0.77	1.1	5.2
Ethyl Benzene	0.16	0.20	0.69	0.85
m,p-Xylene	0.16	0.86	0.69	3.7
o-Xylene	0.16	0.43	0.69	1.9
4-Ethyltoluene	0.16	0.29	0.79	1.4



Summary of Detected Compounds MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Client Sample ID: SG2-1010 Lab ID#: 1310351-02A 0.16 0.25 0.79 1.2 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 0.16 0.58 0.79 2.9



Client Sample ID: SG1-1010 Lab ID#: 1310351-01A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name:	v102415	Date of Collection: 10/10/13 11:39:00 A		
Dil. Factor:	1.58	Date	of Analysis: 10/24	4/13 08:53 PM
	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.16	0.48	0.78	2.4
Freon 114	0.16	Not Detected	1.1	Not Detected
Chloromethane	0.79	Not Detected	1.6	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	0.16	Not Detected	0.40	Not Detected
1,3-Butadiene	0.16	Not Detected	0.35	Not Detected
Bromomethane	0.79	Not Detected	3.1	Not Detected
Chloroethane	0.79	Not Detected	2.1	Not Detected
Freon 11	0.16	0.23	0.89	1.3
Ethanol	0.79	1.7	1.5	3.1
Freon 113	0.16	Not Detected	1.2	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.63	Not Detected
Acetone	0.79	7.3	1.9	17
2-Propanol	0.79	Not Detected	1.9	Not Detected
Carbon Disulfide	0.79	0.93	2.5	2.9
3-Chloropropene	0.79	Not Detected	2.5	Not Detected
Methylene Chloride	0.32	Not Detected	1.1	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.16	Not Detected	0.57	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.63	Not Detected
Hexane	0.16	Not Detected	0.56	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.16	Not Detected	0.64	Not Detected
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	0.79	1.0	2.3	3.0
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.63	Not Detected
Tetrahydrofuran	0.79	Not Detected	2.3	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.16	Not Detected	0.77	Not Detected
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.16	Not Detected	0.86	Not Detected
Cyclohexane	0.16	Not Detected	0.54	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.16	Not Detected	0.99	Not Detected
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	0.79	Not Detected	3.7	Not Detected
Benzene	0.16	Not Detected	0.50	Not Detected
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.16	Not Detected	0.64	Not Detected
Heptane	0.16	Not Detected	0.65	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.85	Not Detected
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.16	Not Detected	0.73	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	0.16	5.6	0.57	20
Bromodichloromethane	0.16	Not Detected	1.0	Not Detected
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.16	Not Detected	0.72	Not Detected
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.16	0.17	0.65	0.70
Toluene	0.16	0.20	0.60	0.77
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.16	Not Detected	0.72	Not Detected
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.16	Not Detected	0.86	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.16	0.67	1.1	4.6
2-Hexanone	0.79	Not Detected	3.2	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: SG1-1010 Lab ID#: 1310351-01A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	v102415 1.58	Date of Collection: 10/10/13 11:39:00 A Date of Analysis: 10/24/13 08:53 PM		
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Dibromochloromethane	0.16	Not Detected	1.3	Not Detected
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.16	Not Detected	1.2	Not Detected
Chlorobenzene	0.16	Not Detected	0.73	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.16	Not Detected	0.69	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	0.16	0.59	0.69	2.6
o-Xylene	0.16	0.24	0.69	1.0
Styrene	0.16	Not Detected	0.67	Not Detected
Bromoform	0.16	Not Detected	1.6	Not Detected
Cumene	0.16	Not Detected	0.78	Not Detected
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.16	Not Detected	1.1	Not Detected
Propylbenzene	0.16	Not Detected	0.78	Not Detected
4-Ethyltoluene	0.16	Not Detected	0.78	Not Detected
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.16	0.16	0.78	0.81
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.16	0.28	0.78	1.4
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.16	Not Detected	0.95	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.16	Not Detected	0.95	Not Detected
alpha-Chlorotoluene	0.16	Not Detected	0.82	Not Detected
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.16	Not Detected	0.95	Not Detected
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.79	Not Detected	5.9	Not Detected
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.79	Not Detected	8.4	Not Detected

Container Type: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	99	70-130
Toluene-d8	97	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	70-130



Client Sample ID: SG2-1010 Lab ID#: 1310351-02A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name:	v102416	Date	of Collection: 10/	10/13 11:45:00 A
Dil. Factor:	1.60	Date	of Analysis: 10/24	1/13 09:31 PM
	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.16	0.49	0.79	2.4
Freon 114	0.16	Not Detected	1.1	Not Detected
Chloromethane	0.80	Not Detected	1.6	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	0.16	Not Detected	0.41	Not Detected
1,3-Butadiene	0.16	Not Detected	0.35	Not Detected
Bromomethane	0.80	Not Detected	3.1	Not Detected
Chloroethane	0.80	Not Detected	2.1	Not Detected
Freon 11	0.16	0.22	0.90	1.2
Ethanol	0.80	0.83	1.5	1.6
Freon 113	0.16	Not Detected	1.2	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.63	Not Detected
Acetone	0.80	8.2	1.9	19
2-Propanol	0.80	Not Detected	2.0	Not Detected
Carbon Disulfide	0.80	Not Detected	2.5	Not Detected
3-Chloropropene	0.80	Not Detected	2.5	Not Detected
Methylene Chloride	0.32	Not Detected	1.1	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.16	Not Detected	0.58	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.63	Not Detected
Hexane	0.16	0.19	0.56	0.67
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.16	Not Detected	0.65	Not Detected
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	0.80	0.93	2.4	2.8
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.63	Not Detected
Tetrahydrofuran	0.80	Not Detected	2.4	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.16	Not Detected	0.78	Not Detected
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.16	Not Detected	0.87	Not Detected
Cyclohexane	0.16	Not Detected	0.55	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.16	Not Detected	1.0	Not Detected
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	0.80	Not Detected	3.7	Not Detected
Benzene	0.16	0.18	0.51	0.56
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.16	Not Detected	0.65	Not Detected
Heptane	0.16	Not Detected	0.66	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.86	Not Detected
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.16	Not Detected	0.74	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	0.16	Not Detected	0.58	Not Detected
Bromodichloromethane	0.16	Not Detected	1.1	Not Detected
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.16	Not Detected	0.73	Not Detected
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.16	0.24	0.66	0.97
Toluene	0.16	0.70	0.60	2.6
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.16	Not Detected	0.73	Not Detected
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.16	Not Detected	0.87	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.16	0.77	1.1	5.2
2-Hexanone	0.80	Not Detected	3.3	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: SG2-1010 Lab ID#: 1310351-02A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil Factor:	v102416	Date	of Collection: 10/	10/13 11:45:00 A
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Dibromochloromethane	0.16	Not Detected	1.4	Not Detected
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.16	Not Detected	1.2	Not Detected
Chlorobenzene	0.16	Not Detected	0.74	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.16	0.20	0.69	0.85
m,p-Xylene	0.16	0.86	0.69	3.7
o-Xylene	0.16	0.43	0.69	1.9
Styrene	0.16	Not Detected	0.68	Not Detected
Bromoform	0.16	Not Detected	1.6	Not Detected
Cumene	0.16	Not Detected	0.79	Not Detected
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.16	Not Detected	1.1	Not Detected
Propylbenzene	0.16	Not Detected	0.79	Not Detected
4-Ethyltoluene	0.16	0.29	0.79	1.4
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.16	0.25	0.79	1.2
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.16	0.58	0.79	2.9
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.16	Not Detected	0.96	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.16	Not Detected	0.96	Not Detected
alpha-Chlorotoluene	0.16	Not Detected	0.83	Not Detected
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.16	Not Detected	0.96	Not Detected
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.80	Not Detected	5.9	Not Detected
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.80	Not Detected	8.5	Not Detected

Container Type: 6 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98	70-130	
Toluene-d8	98	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	70-130	



Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1310351-03A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil Factor:	v102406	Date	of Collection: NA	1/13 02·03 PM
		Americat		America 1.0 UZ.UJ FIVI
Compound	Kpt. Limit	Amount (ppby)	Kpt. Limit	Amount (ug/m3)
	(pppv)		(ug/iii3)	(ug/iiis)
Freon 12	0.10	Not Detected	0.49	Not Detected
Freon 114	0.10	Not Detected	0.70	Not Detected
Chloromethane	0.50	Not Detected	1.0	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	0.10	Not Detected	0.26	Not Detected
1,3-Butadiene	0.10	Not Detected	0.22	Not Detected
Bromomethane	0.50	Not Detected	1.9	Not Detected
Chloroethane	0.50	Not Detected	1.3	Not Detected
Freon 11	0.10	Not Detected	0.56	Not Detected
Ethanol	0.50	Not Detected	0.94	Not Detected
Freon 113	0.10	Not Detected	0.77	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.10	Not Detected	0.40	Not Detected
Acetone	0.50	Not Detected	1.2	Not Detected
2-Propanol	0.50	Not Detected	1.2	Not Detected
Carbon Disulfide	0.50	Not Detected	1.6	Not Detected
3-Chloropropene	0.50	Not Detected	1.6	Not Detected
Methylene Chloride	0.20	Not Detected	0.69	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.10	Not Detected	0.36	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	Not Detected	0.40	Not Detected
Hexane	0.10	Not Detected	0.35	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.10	Not Detected	0.40	Not Detected
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	0.50	Not Detected	1.5	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	Not Detected	0.40	Not Detected
Tetrahydrofuran	0.50	Not Detected	1.5	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.10	Not Detected	0.49	Not Detected
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.10	Not Detected	0.54	Not Detected
Cyclohexane	0.10	Not Detected	0.34	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.10	Not Detected	0.63	Not Detected
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	0.50	Not Detected	2.3	Not Detected
Benzene	0.10	Not Detected	0.32	Not Detected
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.10	Not Detected	0.40	Not Detected
Heptane	0.10	Not Detected	0.41	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	0.10	Not Detected	0.54	Not Detected
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.10	Not Detected	0.46	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	0.10	Not Detected	0.36	Not Detected
Bromodichloromethane	0.10	Not Detected	0.67	Not Detected
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.10	Not Detected	0.45	Not Detected
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.10	Not Detected	0.41	Not Detected
Toluene	0.10	Not Detected	0.38	Not Detected
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.10	Not Detected	0.45	Not Detected
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.10	Not Detected	0.54	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	Not Detected	0.68	Not Detected
2-Hexanone	0.50	Not Detected	2.0	Not Detected



Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1310351-03A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	v102406 1.00	Date Date	of Collection: NA of Analysis: 10/24	4/13 02:03 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Dibromochloromethane	0.10	Not Detected	0.85	Not Detected
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.10	Not Detected	0.77	Not Detected
Chlorobenzene	0.10	Not Detected	0.46	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.10	Not Detected	0.43	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	0.10	Not Detected	0.43	Not Detected
o-Xylene	0.10	Not Detected	0.43	Not Detected
Styrene	0.10	Not Detected	0.42	Not Detected
Bromoform	0.10	Not Detected	1.0	Not Detected
Cumene	0.10	Not Detected	0.49	Not Detected
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.10	Not Detected	0.69	Not Detected
Propylbenzene	0.10	Not Detected	0.49	Not Detected
4-Ethyltoluene	0.10	Not Detected	0.49	Not Detected
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.10	Not Detected	0.49	Not Detected
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.10	Not Detected	0.49	Not Detected
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.10	Not Detected	0.60	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.10	Not Detected	0.60	Not Detected
alpha-Chlorotoluene	0.10	Not Detected	0.52	Not Detected
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.10	Not Detected	0.60	Not Detected
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	Not Detected	3.7	Not Detected
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	Not Detected	5.3	Not Detected

Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	85	70-130	
Toluene-d8	95	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	104	70-130	



Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 1310351-04A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	v102402a 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 10/24/13 11:26 AM
Compound	%Recover	у
Freon 12	99	-
Freon 114	104	
Chloromethane	96	
Vinvl Chloride	96	
1,3-Butadiene	91	
Bromomethane	92	
Chloroethane	94	
Freon 11	96	
Ethanol	98	
Freon 113	92	
1,1-Dichloroethene	88	
Acetone	88	
2-Propanol	98	
Carbon Disulfide	113	
3-Chloropropene	117	
Methylene Chloride	88	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	97	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	108	
Hexane	87	
1,1-Dichloroethane	91	
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	99	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	96	
Tetrahydrofuran	90	
Chloroform	96	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	100	
Cyclohexane	94	
Carbon Tetrachloride	114	
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	89	
Benzene	100	
1,2-Dichloroethane	97	
Heptane	92	
Trichloroethene	107	
1,2-Dichloropropane	99	
1,4-Dioxane	103	
Bromodichloromethane	102	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	107	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	93	
Toluene	100	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	110	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	100	
Tetrachloroethene	106	
2-Hexanone	97	



Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 1310351-04A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

1

File Name: Dil. Factor:	v102402a 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 10/24/13 11:26 AM
Compound		%Recovery
Dibromochloromethane		111
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		113
Chlorobenzene		105
Ethyl Benzene		104
m,p-Xylene		102
o-Xylene		101
Styrene		104
Bromoform		115
Cumene		98
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		109
Propylbenzene		93
4-Ethyltoluene		90
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		96
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		94
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		97
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		91
alpha-Chlorotoluene		90
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		94
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		91
Hexachlorobutadiene		82

Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	93	70-130	
Toluene-d8	99	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	70-130	



Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 1310351-05A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name:	v102403	Date of Collection: NA
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 10/24/13 12:14 PM
		Method
Compound	%Recover	ry Limits
Freon 12	97	70-130
Freon 114	104	70-130
Chloromethane	95	70-130
Vinyl Chloride	98	70-130
1,3-Butadiene	91	70-130
Bromomethane	94	70-130
Chloroethane	99	70-130
Freon 11	94	70-130
Ethanol	92	70-130
Freon 113	101	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene	99	70-130
Acetone	87	70-130
2-Propanol	93	70-130
Carbon Disulfide	117	70-130
3-Chloropropene	111	70-130
Methylene Chloride	94	70-130
Methyl tert-butyl ether	98	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	108	70-130
Hexane	86	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethane	91	70-130
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	99	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	99	70-130
Tetrahydrofuran	86	70-130
Chloroform	95	70-130
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	95	70-130
Cyclohexane	96	70-130
Carbon Tetrachloride	79	70-130
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	88	70-130
Benzene	98	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane	92	70-130
Heptane	90	70-130
Trichloroethene	104	70-130
1,2-Dichloropropane	92	70-130
1,4-Dioxane	100	70-130
Bromodichloromethane	98	70-130
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	98	70-130
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	89	70-130
Toluene	96	70-130
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	97	70-130
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	94	70-130
Tetrachloroethene	103	70-130
2-Hexanone	94	70-130



Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 1310351-05A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

File Name:	v102403	Date of Collection: NA	
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 10/24/13 12:14	
			Method
Compound		%Recovery	Limits
Dibromochloromethane		104	70-130
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		105	70-130
Chlorobenzene		98	70-130
Ethyl Benzene		100	70-130
m,p-Xylene		100	70-130
o-Xylene		97	70-130
Styrene		101	70-130
Bromoform		104	70-130
Cumene		99	70-130
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		100	70-130
Propylbenzene		96	70-130
4-Ethyltoluene		94	70-130
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		91	70-130
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		86	70-130
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		93	70-130
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		87	70-130
alpha-Chlorotoluene		86	70-130
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		92	70-130
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		81	70-130
Hexachlorobutadiene		79	70-130

Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90	70-130	
Toluene-d8	99	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	70-130	



Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 1310351-05AA MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name:	e Name: v102404 Date of Collection:		
Dil. Factor:	1.00 Date of Analysis: 10/24/13 12:5		
		Method	
Compound	%Recover	y Limits	
Freon 12	98	70-130	
Freon 114	107	70-130	
Chloromethane	94	70-130	
Vinyl Chloride	96	70-130	
1,3-Butadiene	91	70-130	
Bromomethane	96	70-130	
Chloroethane	96	70-130	
Freon 11	96	70-130	
Ethanol	92	70-130	
Freon 113	105	70-130	
1,1-Dichloroethene	101	70-130	
Acetone	88	70-130	
2-Propanol	91	70-130	
Carbon Disulfide	120	70-130	
3-Chloropropene	113	70-130	
Methylene Chloride	96	70-130	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	98	70-130	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	112	70-130	
Hexane	86	70-130	
1,1-Dichloroethane	93	70-130	
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	99	70-130	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	99	70-130	
Tetrahydrofuran	86	70-130	
Chloroform	96	70-130	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	97	70-130	
Cyclohexane	96	70-130	
Carbon Tetrachloride	80	70-130	
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	89	70-130	
Benzene	94	70-130	
1,2-Dichloroethane	88	70-130	
Heptane	88	70-130	
Trichloroethene	104	70-130	
1,2-Dichloropropane	91	70-130	
1,4-Dioxane	100	70-130	
Bromodichloromethane	96	70-130	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	97	70-130	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	86	70-130	
Toluene	94	70-130	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	102	70-130	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	96	70-130	
Tetrachloroethene	103	70-130	
2-Hexanone	98	70-130	



Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 1310351-05AA MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

-

File Name: Dil. Factor:	v102404 1.00	Date of Collec Date of Analys	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 10/24/13 12:51 PM		
Compound		%Recovery	Method Limits		
Dibromochloromethane		106	70-130		
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		107	70-130		
Chlorobenzene		100	70-130		
Ethyl Benzene		102	70-130		
m,p-Xylene		100	70-130		
o-Xylene		100	70-130		
Styrene		102	70-130		
Bromoform		106	70-130		
Cumene		105	70-130		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		102	70-130		
Propylbenzene		98	70-130		
4-Ethyltoluene		98	70-130		
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		97	70-130		
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		88	70-130		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		97	70-130		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		89	70-130		
alpha-Chlorotoluene		88	70-130		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		94	70-130		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		83	70-130		
Hexachlorobutadiene		81	70-130		

Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90	70-130
Toluene-d8	96	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	70-130

SAMPLE RESULTS/SAMPLE RESULTS DUPLICATE

Lab Name: Air Toxics Ltd.

Lab Sample ID: &

Client Sample ID: LCS & LCSD

Lab File ID: v102404.d & v102403.d

Dilution: 1.00 & 1.00

Date Analyzed: 10/24/13 & 10/24/13

		Origin	Original		Duplicate		Result Less Than	
CAS Number	Compound	Amount	Flags	Amount	Flags	RPD	5X RL	
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	95		97		2.1		
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	100		102		2.0		
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	94		96		2.1		
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	91		93		2.2		
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	99		101		2.0		
120-82-1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	81		83		2.4		
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	86		88		2.3		
106-93-4	1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	105	3	107		1.9		
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	92		94		2.2		
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	92		88		4.4		
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	92		91		1.1		
108-67-8	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	91		97		6.4		
106-99-0	1,3-Butadiene	91		91		0		
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	93		97		4.2		
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	87		89		2.3		
123-91-1	1.4-Dioxane	100		100		0		
540-84-1	2.2.4-Trimethylpentane	88		89		1.1		
78-93-3	2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	99		99		0		
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	94		98		4.2		
67-63-0	2-Propanol	93		91		2.2		
107-05-1	3-Chloropropene	111		113		1.8		
622-96-8	4-Ethyltoluene	94		98		4.2		
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	89		86		3.4		
67-64-1	Acetone	87		88		1.1		
100-44-7	aipha-Chlorotoluene	86		88		2.3		
71-43-2	Benzene	98		94		4.2		
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	98		96		2.1		
75-25-2	Bromoform	104		106		1.9		
74-83-9	Bromomethane	94		96		2.1		
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide	117		120		2.5		
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	79		80		1.2		
108-90-7	Chlorobenzepe			100		2.0		
75-00-3	Chloroethane	99		96		3,1		
67-66-3	Chloroform	95		96		1.0		
74 87 3	Chloromethane	95		94		1.0		
156-59-2	cis-1 2-Dickloroathene	99		99		0		
100-39-2	cis-1,2-Dichloropropene	98		97		1.0		
0001-01-0	Cumene	99		105		5.9		
90-02-0	Curlebeyano	96		96		0		
110-02-1	Dibromochloromothana	104		106		1.9		
124-40-1	Ethonol	92		92		0		
64-17-5		52 100		102		2.0		
100-41-4		100 100		96		2.0		
70-09-4		277 10.1		105		20		
70-13-1		101		103		0.0 2 R		
70-14-2		104		101		1.0		
/5-/1-8	Freon 12	97		30		1.0		

Note: The results appearing in the Amount columns are the raw, unrounded numbers acquired from the instrument.

SAMPLE RESULTS/SAMPLE RESULTS DUPLICATE

Lab Name: Air Toxics Ltd.

Lab Sample ID: &

Client Sample ID: LCS & LCSD

Lab File ID: v102404.d & v102403.d

Dilution: 1.00 & 1.00

Date Analyzed: 10/24/13 & 10/24/13

		Original		Duplicate		Result Less Than	
CAS Number	Compound	Amount	Flags	Amount	Flags	RPD	5X RL
142-82-5	Heptane	90		88		2.2	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	79		81		2.5	
110-54-3	Hexane	86		86		0	
108-38-3	m,p-Xylene	100		100		0	
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-butyl ether	98		98		0	
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	94		96		2.1	
95-47-6	o-Xylene	97		100		3.0	
103-65-1	Propylbenzene	96		98		2.1	
100-42-5	Styrene	101		102		0.98	
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	103		103		0	
109-99-9	Tetrahydrofuran	86		86		0	
108-88-3	Toluene	96		94		2.1	
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	108		112		3.6	
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	97		102		5.0	
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	104		104		0	
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	98		96		2.1	

Note: The results appearing in the Amount columns are the raw, unrounded numbers acquired from the instrument.

BGES, INC.

APPENDIX D

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL DATA QUALITY CONTROL CHECKLIST

Contaminated Sites Program Spill Prevention and Response Division Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

Laboratory Data Review Checklist for Air Samples

Completed by:	Jayne Martin			
Title:	Senior Environmental Scientist Date: November 1, 2013			
CS Report Name:	Sub-Slab Sampling Report Date: NA			
Consultant Firm:	BGES, Inc.			
Laboratory Name:	Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. Laboratory Report Number: 1310351			
DEC File Number:	2100.26.252 DEC Haz ID: 23658			
1. <u>Laboratory</u> a. Did a NE Yes Comments:	ELAP-certified laboratory receive and <u>perform</u> all of the submitted sample analyses? No N/A (Please explain.)			
b. If the san laborator Yes Comments:	nples were transferred to another "network" laboratory or sub-contracted to an alternate y, was the laboratory performing the analyses NELAP-approved? S No N/A (Please explain.)			
The sample alternate la	es were not transferred to another "network" laboratory or subcontracted to an boratory.			
 2. <u>Chain of Custody (COC)</u> a. Was the COC information completed, signed and dated (including released/received by)? Yes No N/A (Please explain.) Comments: 				
L				
b. Was the Yes	correct analyses requested? No N/A (Please explain.)			
Comments:				

- 3. Laboratory Sample Receipt Documentation
 - a. Was the sample condition documented? Were samples collected in gas-tight, opaque/dark Summa canisters or other DEC-approved containers? Was the canister vacuum/pressure checked, recorded upon receipt and were there no open valves?

(Yes) No

No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

b. If there were any discrepancies, were they documented? Examples include incorrect sample containers/preservation, sample temperature outside of acceptable range, insufficient or missing samples, canister not holding a vacuum, etc.

Yes No (N/A) (Please explain.)

Comments:

The samples were received at the laboratory in good condition and no discrepancies were noted.

c. Was the data quality or usability affected? (Please explain.)

Comments:

There were no sample discrepancies identified by the laboratory.

- 4. <u>Case Narrative</u>
 - a. Is there a case narrative and is it understandable? Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

b. Were there any discrepancies, errors or QC failures identified by the lab? Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

c. Were all corrective actions documented? Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

There were no data quality control failures identified by the lab.

d. What is the effect on data quality/usability according to the case narrative?

Comments:

There were no data quality control failures identified by the lab.

5. <u>Sar</u>

5	Samples Results
J. <u>1</u>	a. Was the correct analyses performed/reported as requested on COC? Yes No N/A (Please explain.)
	Comments:
	b. Were the samples analyzed within 30 days of collection or within the time required by the method? Yes No N/A (Please explain.)
	Comments:
	 c. Are the reported PQLs less than the Target Screening Level or the minimum required detection level for the project? Yes No N/A (Please explain.)
	Comments:
	d. Was the data quality or usability affected?
	Comments:
	N/A
6.	<u>QC Samples</u> a Method Blank
	i. Was one method blank reported per analysis and 20 samples? Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

ii. Were all method blank results less than PQL? N/A (Please explain.) No Yes

Comments:

iii. If above PQL, what samples are affected?

Comments:

N/A

detection level

iv. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags and, if so, are the data flags clearly defined? Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

There were no data QC failures for the method blank.

v. Was the data quality or usability affected? (Please explain.)

Comments:

There were no data QC failures for the method blank.

- b. Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate (LCS/LCSD)
 - i. Was there one LCS/LCSD or one LCS and a sample/sample duplicate pair reported per analysis and 20 samples?

Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

- ii. Accuracy Were all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits? What were the project specified DQOs, if applicable?
- Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

iii. Precision – Were all relative percent differences (RPD) reported and were they less than method or laboratory limits? What were the project-specified DQOs, if applicable.
 Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

All RPDs were less than the method limits.

iv. If the %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected?

Comments:

N/A

v. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined? Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

N/A

vi. Is the data quality or usability affected? (Please explain.)

Comments:

N/A		

c. Surrogates

i. Are surrogate recoveries reported for field, QC and laboratory samples? N/A (Please explain.) No Yes

Comments:

ii. Accuracy – Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits? What were the project-specified DQOs, if applicable?

Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

iii. Do the sample results with failed surrogate recoveries have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Comments:

There were no failed surrogate recoveries.

iv. Was the data quality or usability affected? (Please explain.)

Comments:

There were no failed surrogate recoveries.

- d. Field Duplicate
 - i. Was one field duplicate submitted per analysis and 10 type (soil gas, indoor air, etc.) samples?

Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

ii. Were they or was it submitted blind to the lab? Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

iii. Precision – Were all relative percent differences (RPD) less than the specified DQOs? (Recommended: 25 %)

RPD (%) = Absolute value of: $\frac{(R_1-R_2)}{((R_1+R_2)/2)} \ge 100$ Where $R_1 =$ Sample Concentration $R_2 =$ Field Duplicate Concentration

Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

The soil gas sample SG2 was a duplicate of the soil gas sample collected from the sub-slab probe SG1 and was collected to evaluate sampling precision. The Relative Percent Differences (RPDs) calculated utilizing duplicate sample (SG2) collected in association with Field Sample SG1 ranged between 0 percent and 109 percent. The RPDs between the reported concentrations of analytes within these samples were below the acceptable limit of 25 percent for the analytes dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12), trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11), acetone, 2-butanone, and tetrachloroethene (PCE). The RPDs ranged from 0 percent to 12 percent, which indicates a good measure of sampling precision. The analytes that did not have acceptable RPDs included ethanol, 4methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK), toluene, total xylenes, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, and 1.2.4trimethylbenzene. The RPDs for these analytes ranged from 32 percent to 109 percent. Because the field sample and associated field duplicate both exhibited concentrations of all analytes that are more than two orders of magnitude below the ADEC target levels, it is our opinion that these RPD exceedences do not affect the acceptability of the data for their intended use. The RPDs between the reported concentrations of numerous analytes could not be calculated, as they were not detected above the laboratory's method reporting limits.

iv. Was the data quality or usability affected? (Please explain.)

Comments:

See Section 6, iii above.

e. Field Blank (If not used, explain why.)

Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

Collection of a field blank was not part of the approved scope of work.

i. Were all results less than the PQL?

Yes No N/A (Please explain.)

Comments:

Collection of a field blank was not part of the approved scope of work.

ii. If above PQL, what samples are affected?

Comments:

Collection of a field blank was not part of the approved scope of work.

iii. Was the data quality or usability affected? (Please explain.)

Comments:

N/A

7. Other Data Flags/Qualifiers

a. Were other data flags/qualifiers defined and appropriate?

Yes	No	(N/A)	(Please explain.)
-----	----	-------	-------------------

Comments: