# **Site Closure Checklist**

This checklist is to be used by Project Managers to summarize their thoughts on each closure decision. It can be used to assist in communicating site-specific questions necessary to facilitate closure decisions by management.

#### Purpose

This memorandum describes how the Contaminated Sites Program (CSP) will make closure determinations for sites regulated under Underground Storage Tank regulations, Title 18 Alaska Administrative Code 78 (18 AAC 78, Articles 2 and 6), and the Oil and Hazardous Substance Site Cleanup Rules (18 AAC 75.325 - 75.390). This document is intended to help ensure consistency in making site closure decisions under the Site Cleanup Rules and the UST regulations. It does not create any requirements, obligations or rights. CSP reserves the right to use discretion in making site-specific decisions that may differ from this memorandum.

## Summary

The site closure criteria for leaking underground storage tank (LUST) sites are provided in 18 AAC 78.276, *Final corrective action reporting requirements and site closure* and for non-LUST contaminated sites are in 18 AAC 75.380, *Final reporting requirements and site closure*. Under these sections the CSP makes a written determination that *corrective action is complete* (LUST sites) or *cleanup is complete* (contaminated site cleanup rules) when it finds that a site has achieved the regulatory criteria. For simplicity, the generic term "Cleanup Complete" will be applied to both LUST and non-LUST contaminated sites rather than using two designations (Corrective Action Complete and Cleanup Complete). The CSP will consider available site specific information, conditions and factors when reviewing a site for closure.

At sites where residual hazardous substances do not currently pose an unacceptable risk to human health, safety, welfare or to the environment, but where the CSP determines limitations on future land or water use are necessary to prevent activities that could result in exposure and increased risk or the spread of contaminants, institutional controls will be required (18 AAC 75.375(a) and 18 AAC 78.265(a)).

## **Site Closure Designations**

*Cleanup Complete:* Sites in this category meet approved cleanup levels that are protective for unrestricted residential land use, groundwater use as drinking water, and do not need institutional controls (ICs) to prevent unacceptable risk to human health, safety or welfare, or to the environment.

*Cleanup Complete with Institutional Controls:* ICs must be applied to sites where a cleanup complete decision is being made and current or potential future exposure to contaminated media (soil, groundwater, sediment, surface water and/or air) could pose an unacceptable risk to human health, safety or welfare, or to the environment. This includes sites where contamination remains in place above applicable cleanup levels in soil and/or groundwater; sites with approved alternative cleanup levels developed under methods 3 or 4 that are based on assumed limitations on future land or groundwater use; and sites where maintenance of engineering controls such as a cap over contaminated soil, signs or fencing are necessary. If ICs are required, the CSP must validate their effectiveness through periodic reporting by the responsible person or landowner.

**Reopener Provision:** The determination that a cleanup is complete may be subject to a future determination that the cleanup or applicable ICs are not protective of human health, safety or welfare, or of the environment, per 18 AAC 75.380(d)(2) and/or 18 AAC 78.276(±)(2). If the CSP makes a determination that conditions at a site are no longer protective, the site will be reopened and additional action will be necessary to meet the requirements of the UST regulations or site cleanup rules. Examples of conditions under which the CSP may reopen a site include, but are not limited to the following:

- Information becomes available that demonstrates that characterization or cleanup was incomplete, resulting in the presence of hazardous substances above applicable cleanup levels;
- The responsible person fails to maintain and enforce ICs restricting land use or requiring action by the current and future occupants of the site;
- The responsible person fails to meet standard conditions required for a *Cleanup Complete* determination (18 AAC 75.325(i) and other standard conditions);
- The responsible person violates any terms of a CSP decision document or agreement applicable to the site; or -
- New information (i.e., toxicological, chemical parameters, or exposure data) results in a regulatory update of applicable cleanup levels and hazardous substances are present above those levels and/or additional exposure pathways are found to be complete. For example, updated toxicological information may show that existing contaminant levels at a site pose a vapor intrusion risk, or are no longer safe for using groundwater for drinking, vegetable garden irrigation, aquaculture, or another beneficial use.

## **Site Closure Procedures and Criteria**

Project managers are to use the following procedures when closing a site: a) review the site for closure per 18 AAC 75.380 or 18 AAC 78.276 to ensure that regulatory requirements have been met; and b) conduct a final Exposure Tracking Model (ETM) evaluation. All potential exposure CSP Site Closure Memorandum 3 August 30, 2016 pathways should be in the ETM categories of "exposure controlled," "pathway incomplete," or "de-minimis exposure."

For sites to be eligible for a *Cleanup Complete*, with or without *Institutional Controls (ICs)*, the following conditions in this **Closure Checklist** must be met, except in rare instances where the CSP Program Manager (EPM III) makes a determination under 18 AAC 75.325(d)(I) that residual contaminants from the discharge or release do not pose a threat to human health, safety or welfare, or to the environment.

**Note:** compliance with the soil cleanup levels is evaluated using the maximum concentrations measured in samples representative of soil remaining at a site unless the CSP approves use of a mean soil concentration at the 95 percent upper confidence level (UCL) per 18 AAC 75.380(c). Compliance with groundwater cleanup levels is evaluated using the maximum concentrations detected in final confirmation samples (18 AAC 75.380(c)); groundwater cleanup levels must be attained throughout **all the groundwater** unless alternative points of compliance are approved, in which case the cleanup levels must be achieved at the alternative points of compliance.

## **Delegated Authorities for Closure Decisions**

#### EPM I

- Method I,II, or III soil cleanup levels and Table C groundwater cleanup levels achieved and site suitable for residential land use
- Alternative point of compliance for groundwater cleanup levels located on-site and ICs

#### EPM II

- Commercial / Industrial land use and ICs \*
- Migration to groundwater pathway incomplete or residual contaminants do not pose a migration to groundwater risk
- Alternative point of compliance for groundwater cleanup levels located off-site and ICs

#### EPM III

- Health-based soil cleanup levels not met throughout top 15' of soil but risk controlled through ICs\*
- Groundwater not a potential drinking water source (350 determination)
- Determination under 75.325(d) that site doesn't pose an unacceptable risk and cleanup levels do not need to be achieved

#### \* NOTE

• Written consent required from each affected landowner for Institutional Controls

# Closure Checklist

For ALL Sites

	Check ALL of the following	PM Comments
	The extent of hazardous substance contamination must be properly	Site Characterization took place in 2017, 2019, and 2020. Follow up
	characterized (18 AAC 75.335. Site characterization) and or adequate	sampling post remedial actions occurred in 2019 and 2020.
$\boxtimes$	characterization of the horizontal and vertical extent of petroleum	
	contamination in soil, groundwater, and surface water (18 AAC	
	78.235. Release investigation)	
$\square$	Free product must be recovered to the maximum extent	Free product is not present at the site.
	practicable (18AAC 75.325(f)(I)(B) and 18AAC 78.240(b))	
$\square$	Surface soil staining must be evaluated and cleaned up to the	No surface soil staining observed.
	maximum extent practicable (18 AAC 75.325(f)(l)(E))	
	The maximum allowable petroleum (GRO, DRO, RRO) cleanup	Human health cleanup levels were achieved but two soil samples
	levels for soil must be achieved unless the responsible party has	exceeded DRO Method Three Migration to Groundwater
	demonstrated the contaminants will not migrate and will not pose	(MTGW) Alternative Cleanup Levels (ACLs), adjacent samples
	an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment	were below MTGW CLs. Groundwater was insufficient for
$\boxtimes$		sampling however, analytical results from all surface water
		samples were non-detect for all analytes. The total remaining
		surface area with DRO contamination is approximately 0.02
		acres. The volume of remaining contamination does not pose a
		risk to human health or the environment.
$\boxtimes$	There are no unacceptable risks to sensitive subpopulations, if	Not applicable
	present.	

# **Cleanup Complete Without IC's is appropriate when the criteria below have been met:**

#### 1. Hazardous Substance Concentrations in Soil

	Check ONE of the following	PM Comments
	Method 2 or approved Method 3 alternative "migration to groundwater"	Method Three ACLs Cleanup have been achieved except
$\boxtimes$	cleanup levels have been achieved;	for a minimal volume and concentration of DRO which is
		unlikely to migrate.
	The migration to groundwater pathway is determined to be incomplete	
	because:	
	$\Box$ The site is in the arctic zone	
	$\Box$ A substantial thickness of permafrost overlies groundwater beneath the	
	site; OR	
	$\Box$ CSP determined the site is underlain by competent bedrock and there is	
	not a contaminant migration pathway to groundwater;	
	Sufficient site characterization has been completed and the CSP determines	
	that contaminants in soil have achieved steady-state equilibrium and will not	
	migrate to groundwater, this determination requires EPM II approval and	
	results in a decision that residual contaminants in soil do not pose an	
	unacceptable migration to groundwater risk.	

#### AND

	Check ALL of the Following	PM Comments:
	Method 2 "human health," "ingestion," and "inhalation" cleanup levels, or	Method Two and Method Three Cleanup levels have been achieved.
	approved Method 3 or 4 site-specific residential land use cleanup levels	
	protective for these exposure pathways have been achieved throughout the	
	top fifteen feet of soil, unless site conditions prevent exposure, which	
	requires Program Manager EPM III approval and consent from the	
	landowner.	
	The maximum allowable cleanup levels for GRO, DRO and RRO have been	
	achieved.	

	Check ALL of the Following	PM Comments:
	There is no unacceptable vapor intrusion risk.	No buildings are present within 30 feet of the site. Cape Prominence
		is located in the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge and no
$\boxtimes$		buildings are currently present or planned for the site. Sub-Surface
		contamination of of DRO remains but is below inhalation and
		ingestion human health cleanup levels.
	There are no unacceptable ecological risks.	The remaining contamination from DRO is of a minimal volume and
$\boxtimes$		concentration that is unlikely to adversely impact ecological
		receptors.
	There are no concerns over the potential for contaminant migration from	Surface water is not used as a drinking water source in the vicinity of
	polluted soil to surface water that could result in a violation of the water	the site. Contaminants were not detected in site surface water in
	quality standards (18 AAC 70).	2019 or 2020, and harmful concentrations of contaminants in the
		source areas have been removed.

#### 2. Hazardous Substance Concentrations in Groundwater

	Check ALL of the Following	PM Comments
	Table C groundwater cleanup levels, or site-specific calculated cleanup levels	Samples collected in 2019 were all below 18 AAC 75 Table C
$\boxtimes$	for contaminants not listed in Table C, have been achieved throughout the	groundwater cleanup levels. Sufficient groundwater was not found
	groundwater beneath the site.	in 2020 to allow for sample collection.
	Residual contaminants in groundwater do not currently, and are not	Not applicable
	expected to cause a violation of the water quality standards in nearby	
	surface waters nor pose an unacceptable ecological risk, nor pose an	
	unacceptable vapor intrusion risk.	
	Cumulative risk standards defined in 18 AAC 75.325(g) and 18 AAC 78.600(d)	Not applicable
	have been met for an unrestricted residential land use scenario.	

# Cleanup Complete With IC's is appropriate when the criteria below have been met:

#### 1. Hazardous Substances in Soil

Check ONE of the Following	PM Comments
Approved migration to groundwater cleanup levels have been achieved	
CSP has determined that the contaminant plume has achieved a point of steady state equilibrium and that additional soil cleanup is not necessary to facilitate groundwater cleanup nor to prevent leaching to groundwater. <b>This</b> <b>determination requires EPM II approval</b> and results in a decision that residual contaminants in soil do not pose an unacceptable migration to groundwater risk.	
CSP has determined that groundwater beneath the site is not a current, nor reasonably expected potential future, source of drinking water (18 AAC 75.350) and that the migration to groundwater cleanup levels are not applicable. <b>Requires EMP III approval.</b>	

## AND

	Check ONE of the Following	PM Comments
	Risk-based (human health, ingestion, inhalation) residential use cleanup	
	levels have been achieved to a depth of fifteen (15) below the ground	
	surface, but some other limitation triggers the need for ICs.	
	Site specific risk-based (human health, ingestion, inhalation) alternative	
	cleanup levels based on a commercial/industrial or other non-residential	
	land use have been approved under Methods 3 or 4 and have been achieved	
	within fifteen (15) feet below the ground surface and residential use of the	
	site can be prevented through ICs.	
	Risk-based (human health, ingestion, inhalation) cleanup levels have not	
	been achieved in soil within 15' below the ground surface, but CSP has	
	determined the cleanup has been conducted to the maximum extent	
	practicable or necessary and that potential exposure to remaining	
	subsurface contaminants can be prevented through ICs.	

#### AND

Check All of the Following	PM Comments
If a cleanup level less stringent than a level protective of residential land use	
is being considered for approval, written consent has been obtained from	
each affected landowner (18 AAC 75.340(e) and (f)).	
Any potential vapor intrusion risks have been addressed.	
There are no unacceptable ecological risks.	
There are no concerns over the potential for contaminant migration from	
polluted soil to surface water that could result in a violation of the water	
quality standards or pose an ecological risk.	

#### 2. Hazardous Substances in Groundwater

	Check ONE of the Following	PM Comments
	Contaminant concentrations in groundwater meet applicable cleanup levels	
	throughout the groundwater beneath the site.	
	Contaminant concentrations in groundwater meet applicable cleanup levels	
	at alternative points of compliance approved by CSP in accordance with 18	
	AAC 75.345(e) and ICs can prevent groundwater use as drinking water within	
	the up gradient, impacted area.	
	CSP determined that groundwater beneath the site is not a current source of	
	drinking water nor a reasonably expected potential future drinking water	
	source (18 AAC 75.350) and that ICs can prevent such use. Requires EPM III	
	approval	

#### AND

Check All of the Following		PM Comments
	The groundwater contaminant plume is shown to be steady state or shrinking (if alternative points of compliance have been approved, this applies to water up-gradient to the points of compliance).	

	Check All of the Following	PM Comments
	Groundwater contaminant concentrations are decreasing (if alternative	
	points of compliance have been approved, this applies to water up-gradient	
	to the points of compliance).	
	All other potentially complete human health exposure pathways (e.g.,	
	vapor intrusion) have been addressed.	
	Residual contaminants in groundwater do not currently, and are not	
	expected to, cause a violation of the water quality standards in nearby	
	surface waters, nor pose an unacceptable ecological risk.	
	The CSP determined the residual contamination does not pose a current	
	unacceptable risk to human health, safety or welfare, or to the environment	
	and that potential future risk can be mitigated through institutional	
	controls.	
	Cumulative risk standards in 18 AAC 75.325(g) or 18 AAC 78.600(d) have	
	been achieved for the current and intended future land use scenarios, or	
	institutional controls are in place to prevent exposure to contaminants that	
	pose potential risk above the standards.	
	The CSP has consulted with each landowner of the site on the need for and	
	provisions in any institutional controls (note, landowner consent is needed	
	to approve cleanup levels that are not protective of residential land use).	

#### Additional Project Manager notes and comments:

# **Standard Site Closure Provisions**

The standard conditions which apply to all site closure decisions include the following:

- 1. Any proposal to transport soil or groundwater off-site requires CSP approval in accordance with [18 AAC 75.325(i) or 18 AAC 78.600(h)]. A "site" [as defined by 18 AAC 75.990 (115) or 18 AAC 78.995(134)] means an area that is contaminated, including areas contaminated by the migration of hazardous substances from a source area, regardless of property ownership.
- 2. Movement or use of contaminated material in a manner that results in a violation of 18 AAC 70 water quality standards is prohibited.

Groundwater throughout Alaska is protected for use as a water supply for drinking, culinary, and food processing; agriculture, including irrigation and stock watering; aquaculture and industrial uses, unless it has been reclassified in a specific area (18 AAC 70.050). Contaminated site cleanup complete determinations are based on groundwater being considered a potential drinking water source. If groundwater is to be used for other purposes in the future, for example aquaculture, additional testing and cleanup may be required to ensure the water is suitable for its intended use. {Note, this text would need to be revised for any site closure decisions where a groundwater use determination was made under 18 AAC 75.350.

#### Institutional Control Provisions

Project managers should consult the CSP guidance, Using Institutional Controls in Oil and Other Hazardous Substance Cleanups, to determine the appropriate IC mechanism and reporting requirements for sites where ICs are necessary to meet regulatory requirements to ensure:

- 1. Compliance with an applicable cleanup level;
- 2. Protection of human health, safety, or welfare, or the environment; or

The integrity of site cleanup activities or improvements.

#### Enforcement

Failure to comply with ICs or conditions identified in the *Cleanup Complete* determination letter may result in reopening of the site and potential enforcement actions.

#### Removal of Institutional Controls

Requirements for terminating conditions or I Cs shall be included in the *Cleanup Complete* determination letter.

If the concentrations of all residual hazardous substances remaining at the site are subsequently determined to be below the levels that allow for unrestricted use and that the site does not pose a potential unacceptable risk to human health, safety or welfare, or to the environment, the CSP will approve the elimination of the I Cs.