Alaska Home Solutions Inc., Release Investigation Fairbanks, Alaska November 2007

# ALASKA RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, LLC



SUBMITTED TO: Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Northern Regional Office Contaminated Sites Program 610 University Avenue Fairbanks, Alaska 99709-3643

BY:

Alaska Resources & Environmental Services, LLC 284 topside P.O. Box 83050 Fairbanks, Alaska 99708 (907) 374-3226 Fax (907) 374-3219

# **Executive Summary**

ARES was authorized to perform a release investigation at the request of David Cornwall, owner of Alaska Home Solutions associated with a UST release (100.38.216). The subject property is located at 297 Fifth Avenue, North Pole, Alaska.

# Well Survey

A well survey was conducted within a  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile radius of the subject property in order to identify potential receptors. Results of the well survey indicate that there were six (6) confirmed drinking water wells within the search radius and a potential of three (3) additional wells based on information obtained by North Pole City Utilities department. All wells were determined to lie cross-gradient from the source area. In addition, all wells were found to be  $\geq 30^{\circ}$  in depth. It is unlikely that drinking water wells found within the search area would be affected by the petroleum release occurring at the subject property.

# Groundwater

Groundwater monitoring wells were installed and samples collected at the source area and down-gradient in order to assess potential impacts to groundwater and to determine if contaminants were migrating off-site.

Analytical results indicate that DRO is above ADEC groundwater cleanup target levels in all monitoring wells. Benzene was found to be above cleanup levels in MW-1 and MW-3. Tolulene was found to be above groundwater cleanup levels in MW-1. Groundwater contamination was found to migrate off-site to the west – north. It is anticipated that the contaminant plume leading edge is to the northwest which is the groundwater flow direction. The lateral and horizontal extent of the contaminant plume is undetermined at this time.

A product recovery well was installed at the source area and approximately 75-gallons of free product have been recovered to date. Though collection of free product has diminished, free product is still visible on the water table in the recovery well.

# Indoor air

Indoor air samples were collected as part of the investigation to assess potential for indoor air vapor intrusion. Indoor air samples were collected in the building crawl space and interior of the building to obtain representative samples.

Analytical results indicate that vapor intrusion is occurring at the site. Mitigation measures appear to have been successful in reducing indoor air contaminants within the occupied building space to levels below the recommended EPA target levels.

Based on the above findings ARES recommends the following actions:

- The extent of the contaminant plume is undetermined at this time. Additional offsite groundwater grab samples would need to be collected in order to define the limits of the plume;
- It is recommended that additional on-site groundwater sampling be conducted to determine if the plume is increasing, decreasing, or has reached a state of equilibrium. It is recommended that semi-annual samples be collected during periods of low (spring) and high (fall) water table conditions until the trend can be established;
- Based on levels of benzene and DRO found in groundwater, it is recommended that groundwater cleanup options (both active remediation system and natural attenuation) be evaluated to assess clean up actions required to meet ADEC groundwater cleanup levels;
- Free product recovery should continue until all available free product has been recovered;
- Owner should continue to operate the ventilation system year-round in order to minimize effects of indoor air vapor intrusion;
- It is recommended that indoor air samples be collected following similar format when pressure differential gradient is greatest between the building and subsurface (January/February) to assess adequacy of ventilation system and to determine if further mitigation measures are necessary to reduce indoor air contaminant levels; and
- Contaminated soils still remain on-site. Any disturbance/excavation of soils on the subject property should be field screened and/or sampled to determine if contaminants exceed ADEC target cleanup levels for soil.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Purpose	1
	1.2	Project Organization/Personnel	1
	1.3	Scope of Work	2
2.0	SIT	E DESCRIPTION	2
	2.1	Location	2
	2.2	History	3
	2.3	Topography, Geology, and Hydrology	4
3.0	SOI	L SAMPLING.	4
4.0	WE	LL RECEPTOR SURVEY	4
	4.1	Scope of Work	4
	4.2	Results of Well Survey	5
5.0	PRC	DUCT RECOVERY WELL INSTALLATION	6
6.0	GRO	OUNDWATER SAMPLING	6
	6.1	Installation of Monitoring Wells	6
	6.2	Groundwater Sampling Method	6
	6.3	Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples	7
7.0	QUA	ALITY ASSURANCE and QUALITY CONTROL	8
8.0	IND	OOR AIR SAMPLING	9
9.0	CON	NCLUSIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS	11
10.0	LIN	/ITATIONS OF INVESTIGATION	12

# **TABLES**

Table 4.2	Well Receptor Survey Summary	5
Table 6.3	Groundwater Analytical Results Summary	6
Table 8.1	Air Quality Analytical Results Summary	9
Table 8.2	Air Quality Analytical Results Summary	10

# APPENDICES

Appendix A	Figures
Appendix B	Analytical Results
Appendix C	Qualifications

# ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ADEC	Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
ARES	Alaska Resources and Environmental Services, LLC
bgs	Below Ground Surface
BTEX	Benzene, Tolulene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes
COC	Chain of Custody
na	Not Analyzed
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
QA	Quality Assurance
QC	Quality Control
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
TB	Trip Blank

# UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

°C	Degrees Celsius
°F	Degrees Fahrenheit
mg/kg	Milligrams per Kilogram
ppm	Parts per Million
sf	Square Feet
μg/L	Micrograms/Liter

# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This report was prepared on behalf of Alaska Home Solutions who has contracted with Alaska Resources & Environmental Services (ARES) to perform a release investigation associated with the UST release (100.38.216). The work was conducted as detailed in the approved Work Plan submitted in August 2007. This report contains a summary of onsite work and includes field observations and analytical data from sampling activities.

# 1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this project was to investigate the subsurface conditions at the subject property and more specifically, to determine the extent of groundwater contamination and potential for indoor vapor intrusion associated with a known UST release. Groundwater and indoor air samples were collected as part of the investigation. In addition, a well survey was conducted to identify potential receptors in the vicinity of the release.

Fieldwork described in this report was conducted in accordance with 18 AAC 75 <u>Oil and</u> <u>Other Hazardous Substances Pollution Control</u>, as amended through December 30, 2006 and 18 AAC 78 <u>Underground Storage Tanks</u> as amended through October 27, 2006. ADEC's <u>UST Procedures Manual</u> as amended through January 30, 2003 was used as a guide for standard sampling procedures. Site characterization requirements are provided by ADEC in 18 AAC 75, Articles 3 and 9 <u>Discharge Reporting, Cleanup, and Disposal of</u> <u>Oil and Other Hazardous Substances and General Provisions</u> as amended through December 30, 2006. Soil and water cleanup levels are also provided according to 18 AAC 75. Mr. Lyle Gresehover, Principle Investigator for ARES, conducted the field investigation. Mr. Gresehover is listed as a Qualified Person by the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) under 18 AAC 78.

# **1.2 Project Organization/Personnel**

Mr. Lyle Gresehover is the point of contact for this project and may be contacted at Alaska Resources & Environmental Services, LLC, P.O. Box 83050 Fairbanks, Alaska 99708. The telephone number for Mr. Gresehover is (907) 374-3226.

Test America, of 2000 W International Airport Road Ste A10, Anchorage, Alaska 99502-1119 performed the laboratory analysis of water samples. Test America is an approved ADEC laboratory for providing analytical services of soil and water for hazardous substances and petroleum related contaminants.

Columbia Analytical of 2665 Park Center Suite D, Simi Valley, CA 93065 performed the laboratory analysis of indoor air samples.

The owner of the property of Mr. David Cornwall of 607 Old Steese Highway, Suite #146 Fairbanks, AK 99701. The telephone number for Mr. Cornwall is (907) 488-8994.

The release investigation was completed in November 2007, by Mr. Lyle Gresehover Principle Investigator/Geologist for ARES. Mr. Gresehover is listed as a Qualified Person by the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) under 18 AAC 78. Statement of Qualifications for Mr. Lyle Gresehover is included in Appendix C.

# **1.3 Scope of Work**

In summary Test America, performed the following activities:

• Conducted laboratory analysis of groundwater samples. All samples were analyzed for BTEX using method EPA 8021 B and DRO using method AK 102. Quality control and quality assurance samples were also analyzed.

In summary Columbia Analytical, performed the following activities:

• Conducted laboratory analysis of indoor air samples. All samples were analyzed for BTEX and naphalene using EPA Method TO15. Quality control and quality assurance samples were also analyzed.

In summary ARES performed the following activities:

- ADEC Work Plan submittal;
- Installed product recovery well;
- Installed and developed groundwater monitoring wells;
- Obtained water quality parameter measurements and well elevation data;
- Collection of groundwater samples for laboratory analysis including field duplicates;
- Conducted a well receptor survey;
- Collection of indoor air samples for laboratory analysis;
- Prepared Release Investigation report.

These activities are intended to satisfy requirements listed in 18 AAC 75 for site characterization.

# 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

## 2.1 Location

The subject property is situated on an approximate 0.30-acre site located west of the Old Richardson Highway and north of Fifth Avenue at 297 Fifth Avenue, North Pole, Alaska (Figure 1). The site is located in the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Fairbanks D-1 SW quadrangle. The legal description for the property is as follows: Tax Lot 3A Block 14

## Alaska Home Solutions Property Release Investigation November 2007

B.V. Davis Homestead out of Lot 3 Block 14 B.V. Davis Homestead, Township 2 South, Range 2 East, Fairbanks Meridian. There are a number of residences and small businesses located in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject property is zoned for commercial use.

# 2.2 History

ARES was authorized to perform a Site Characterization (groundwater and indoor air assessment) on behalf of Alaska Home Solutions, owner of the subject property. The ADEC file # for the site is 100.38.216.

Records indicate that in November 2006, a UST heating oil tank suspected of leaking was inadvertently filled. It was estimated that approximately 215 gallons of # 2 diesel fuel may have leaked from the tank before being pumped dry one week after filling. During excavation of the tank, approximately 5 gallons of fuel was recovered from the surface of the groundwater. According to NORTECH, who assisted with the tank removal, small holes were visible in the tank upon removal. One soil sample collected from the excavation pit indicated 9 mg/kg benzene, 65,000 mg/kg DRO, and 1,210 mg/kg GRO was present in the soil. There was no indication that contaminated soils were removed during tank removal.

It was also noted that the contaminants most likely extend underneath the building which is located approximately 6' from the source area. Diesel fuel odors were detected in portions of the interior of the building and crawl space during an inspection by ADEC staff and the owner.

The building was last used for commercial purposes of operating a day care center (Circle of Love Day Care).

# 2.3 Topography, Geology and Hydrogeology

# Topography

The United States Geological Survey (USGS) Fairbanks Quadrangle (D-1 SW) provides topographic map coverage of the site. North Pole is located in the northern part of the Tanana Basin, which is a relatively flat floodplain of the Tanana River. The subject property is situated approximately 1.0 miles northeast of the Tanana River. Based upon the topographic map of the Fairbanks Quadrangle, the site elevation is approximately 435 feet above the mean sea level.

# **Regional Soils/Geology**

Soils in the area are derived from the alluvial-plain deposits and generally consist of alternating layers and lenses of unconsolidated sandy gravels and gravely sands, overlain by silt. The well –drained Salchaket soils border the principle rivers in the area and are

# Alaska Home Solutions Property Release Investigation November 2007

the most extensive soils of the alluvial plains. The site sits on both Salchaket very fine sandy loam and Tanana silt loam soil. The Salchaket soils contain stratified sandy and silty soils over a substratum of water-laid gravel. Tanana soils consist of imperfectly drained soils dominated by silt but may contain lenses of sand at various depths. Discontinuous permafrost underlies the floodplain area and can extend to depths of 200 feet or more. The hills to the north of the site area are part of a metamorphic system that forms the Yukon – Tanana Upland. The basin uplands consist of fractured schist. Areas of discontinuous permafrost underlie north-facing slopes. Eolian silts of the Fairbanks Loess and reworked silt deposits cover the flanks of bedrock uplands in the proximity of the Tanana River. These deposits vary in thickness and grade into alluvial-fan deposits and the Chena Alluvium.

# Site Soils

Soils encountered during excavation and installation of product recovery well consisted primarily of light brown silt and silty gravels. No organic layers were observed during excavation activities.

# **Regional Hydrology**

The Tanana River is the dominant influence on ground-water flow in the subject area. Two discharge peaks characterize the Tanana River: spring snowmelt runoff and late summer precipitation. The depth to groundwater varies in response to these controlling factors. Based on interpretation of USGS data, regional groundwater flow direction is generally to the northwest.

Nearby surface water bodies include Beaver Creek (apx. 1,250 feet to the east) and the Tanana River (1.0 miles to the southwest).

# Site Hydrology

The groundwater table at the time of sampling was approximately 7' bgs. Well elevation measurements were collected and a closed loop survey conducted to determine groundwater flow direction at the site. It was determined that groundwater flow direction is to the northwest with a fairly flat gradient.

# 3.0 SOIL SAMPLING

No spoil samples were collected as part of the investigation.

# 4.0 WELL RECEPTOR SURVEY

# 4.1 Scope of Work

A well survey was conducted within a  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile radius of the subject property in order to identify potential receptors (Figure 2).

The following tasks were conducted as part of the well survey:

- Spoke to Mr. Paul Trissel of North Pole City Water Utilities in order to determine potential drinking well locations within a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile radius of the subject property. The phone number to reach Mr. Paul Trissel is 388-1907;
- Sent a well survey/ notice of possible contamination letter to all developed properties within a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile radius of the subject property. Property owners' addresses were gathered from the Fairbanks North Star Borough tax records; and
- ARES compiled and interpreted results from the well survey.

# 4.2 Results of Well Survey

Alaska Resources and Environmental Services found 267 properties within the radius search area. Of these properties, 110 properties had structures on them. ARES sent letters of a well survey/ notice of possible contamination to all 110 property owners. Of these, 50 were non-responsive, 9 were returned (incorrect address), and 51 well survey forms were returned. A sample well survey form is shown in Figure 3.

Based on the information provided by the well survey in addition to North Pole City Utilities, 6 drinking water well locations were discovered within the property search radius. These well locations are summarized in Table 4.2 below.

	1 0	le l	
Figure 3	Address	Well Depth	Position relative to
Map Identifier			subject property
Α	Intersection of 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and	180' bgs	Cross Gradient
	Snowman Lane. This is the source		
	water well for North Pole City		
	Water		
В	517 6th Avenue	40'	Cross Gradient
С	504 6th Avenue	40'	Cross Gradient
D	2638 Ford Street	40'	Cross Gradient
E	502 7th Avenue	30' - 40'	Cross Gradient
F	503 7th Avenue	40'	Cross Gradient

Table 4.2Well Receptor Survey Summary

Based on conversations with Mr. Paul Trissel manager of North Pole City Water Utilities, three properties were identified as likely having drinking water wells, however they were non-responsive to the well survey. All suspected drinking water wells were found to lie

## Alaska Home Solutions Property Release Investigation November 2007

cross-gradient from the subject property and were greater than 30' in depth. All known and suspected well locations are shown in Figure 2. According to Mr. Paul Tressel, all properties east of Ford Street within the <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile search radius should be on North Pole City water utilities.

# 5.0 PRODUCT RECOVERY WELL INSTALLATION

A product recovery well was installed to aid in collection of free product from the water table. The product recovery well consisted of a 12" slotted culvert and was installed to approximately 9' bgs using a backhoe. A heavy sheen was visible on the water table following installation.

Free product removal was accomplished using sorbant boom to manually remove contaminants from the water table. According to the property owner, approximately 75 gallons of free product have been recovered to date. The recovered material is currently being stored on-site in closed 55-gallon drums.

# 6.0 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

# 6.1 Installation of Monitoring Wells

In order to determine extent of contamination and assess potential impacts to groundwater at the site, ARES installed three permanent monitoring wells, MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3 at the subject property. The monitoring well MW-1 was installed near the source of contamination, MW-2 is located down-gradient on the west border of the property, MW-3 was placed down-gradient on the northwest corner of the property. Monitoring well locations are shown in Figure 4.

Groundwater monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3 were a direct-push well installed by ARES. Placement of the well screen was roughly centered at the soil/groundwater interface. The monitoring well was set above grade. Monitoring well design characteristics for monitoring wells are as follows:

Material = galvanized pipe Well screen = 5 ft Slot size = 0.010 in. Inside diameter = 1.75 in. Outside diameter = 2.0 in.

# 6.2 Groundwater Sampling Method

The monitoring wells were developed, purged and sampled in accordance with the <u>UST</u> <u>Procedures Manual</u> and standard procedures. Disposable polyethylene water bailers and

# Alaska Home Solutions Property Release Investigation November 2007

new nitrile gloves were used during the sampling event. Before sampling, the groundwater elevation was measured to 0.010 feet using a Heron Model D-T Interface Meter. Well volume was then calculated, and at least three times the well volume was purged prior to sampling. Recharge rates were observed during purging, and water levels measurements taken following sampling. Water parameters were recorded to include temperature, pH, conductivity, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, and salinity using a Horiba Water Meter Model U-10.

Once well was sufficiently recharged and groundwater parameters stabilized, samples were collected in order of decreasing volatility. The bailer was carefully lowered in to the well to avoid loss of volatiles and water collected from the bailer was placed directly into lab supplied sample bottles. Volatile samples were collected to avoid any headspace in the bottle. All bottles were labeled and placed in a pre-chilled cooler (at approximately 4°C) and submitted to ADEC approved laboratory following chain of custody (COC) procedures.

Groundwater samples were collected from MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3 on August 14, 2007 in general accordance with ADEC Oil and Other Hazardous Substances Pollution Control Regulations (18 AAC 75 – amended December 30, 2006). A blind duplicate sample was collected from monitoring well MW-2 for quality assurance/quality control purposes. Purge water was placed in labeled 55-gallon drums and stored on-site.

A closed loop water elevation survey was conducted to determine groundwater flow direction. The groundwater was determined to flow in a northwest direction which is consistent with other regional data.

# 6.3 Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples

A strong petroleum odor and free product were observed from water purged during sampling activities from monitoring well MW-1. Slight petroleum odor and a light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) assumed to be fuel oil was observed in the purge material from monitoring wells MW-2 and MW-3. Groundwater was approximately 7' below ground surface at the time of sampling.

All monitoring wells were sampled and analyzed for DRO by method AK102 and BTEX by method EPA 8021B. A summary of current sample results are shown in Table 6.3. Complete laboratory results are included in Appendix A.

Table 6.3
Groundwater Analytical Results Summary
(Results shown as mg/L)

Summary of Constituents Detected in Groundwater						
Location	Date Sampled	DRO	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes
<b>MW-1</b>	8/14/2007	9190	.859	2.300	.655	4.560
<b>MW-2</b>	8/14/2007	2.34	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	8/14/2007	19.0	.00852	ND	ND	ND
DUP-C (Field Duplicate	8/14/2007	4.52	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sample to MW-2)						
ADEC Cleanup Level		1.5	0.005	1.0	0.7	10.0

mg/L – Milligrams/Liter (Equivalent to ppm) ND - Compound was not detected (less than the practical quantitation limit) Results above ADEC Regulatory Limit in **Bold**. N/A – Not Applicable

Dup – Duplicate field blank sample

Analytical results indicate that DRO is above ADEC groundwater cleanup target levels in all monitoring wells. Benzene was found to be above cleanup levels in MW-1 and MW-3. Tolulene was found to be above groundwater cleanup levels in MW-1.

# 7.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL

Field quality control (QC) procedures for this project included the collection and analysis of a field duplicate and trip blank, which accompanied the samples in the field. One field duplicate (DUP-C) was collected for quality control purposes. Sample DUP-C was a blind duplicate to MW-2. The QC sample was analyzed to assess the quality of sample collection and handling, as well as the accuracy and precision of the laboratory's analytical procedures.

Precision, expressed as the relative percent difference (RPD) between field duplicate sample results, is an indication of the consistency of sampling, sample handling, preservation, and laboratory analysis. As required by the 18AAC 78 and the <u>UST</u> <u>Procedures Manual</u>, field quality control sampling consisted of 10% field duplicates and 5% trip blanks. The RPD's for duplicates collected as part of this investigation fell

within our acceptable range or were not calculable. Analysis of the trip blanks showed no analytes above the practical quantitation limit (PQL). Thus, there is no indication that cross-contamination among samples occurred

The following blind field duplicates and associated RFD calculations are as follows: MW-2 and DUP-C (Field Duplicate) DRO (AK102) (4.52-2.34)/[( 4.52+2.34)/2] x 100 = 63.6 % BTEX compounds not calculable due to non-detect values for one or both samples.

Laboratory quality assurance included the procedures outlined in the laboratory's ADECapproved standard operating procedures documentation. As presented in the laboratory report's QC summary sheet, the laboratory QC parameters fell outside the acceptable limits (30%).

# 8.0 INDOOR AIR SAMPLING

Indoor air samples were collected as part of the investigation to assess potential for indoor air vapor intrusion. In August 2007, the owner installed a fan in the crawlspace which was vented to the outside air. The system operated for approximately two weeks and the system shut down for three days prior to sampling (September 12 sampling event). The samples consisted of a 24-hour time integrated samples and were collected using a 6-liter summa canisters and a flow controller. Samples were analyzed for BTEX compounds and naphalene using EPA Method TO15. A summary of analytical results for September 12 sampling event are show in Table 8.1. Complete analytical results are shown in Appendix B.

September 12 2007, Sampling Event							
Compound	Sample ID	Benzene ug/m <sup>3</sup>	Toluene ug/m <sup>3</sup>	Ethylbenzene ug/m³	m,p- Xylenes ug/m <sup>3</sup>	o- Xylene ug/m <sup>3</sup>	Naphalene ug/m³
Crawlspace	AH1- 92007	3.7	31	17	86	45	15
Bathroom	AH2- 92007	1.2	12	5.2	21	11	3.4
Classroom	AH3- 92007	1.4	13	6.7	28	14	5.2
Duplicate	AH3- 92007	1.4	13	6.7	28	14	5.0
Limits*		0.31	40	2.2	7000	7000	3.0

Table 8.1Air Quality Analytical Results SummarySeptember 12 2007, Sampling Event

Results above recommended indoor air target limits\* in Bold.

9 Alaska Resources and Environmental Services, LLC \* Recommended indoor air target limits are in accordance with EPA Table 2C (risk of 10<sup>-6</sup>) of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Draft Guidance for Evaluating the Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Pathway from Groundwater and Soils (Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Guidance), November 2002.

Following review of indoor air sample results, it was recommended that the property owner implement the following actions in order to reduce indoor air contaminant levels:

- Install a larger output fan to provide a positive pressure and increase air exchange:
- Run a heating vent into the crawl space to prevent freeze up;
- Seal any openings between crawl space and interior floor (i.e. crawl space hatch cover). Note: vents in classroom and bathroom are heating ducts and are not open to the crawl space; and
- Cover and seal the crawlspace floor (dirt) with visqueen to reduce vapor intrusion.

The recommendations were implemented and re-sampled again on October 23, 2007. The same sample collection procedure was used as the September sampling event with the exception that the system was in operation during the October sampling event. A summary of analytical results for October 23, sampling event are show in Table 8.2 Complete analytical results are shown in Appendix B.

Compound	Sample ID	Benzene ug/m <sup>3</sup>	Toluene ug/m <sup>3</sup>	Ethylbenzene ug/m³	m,p- Xylenes ug/m <sup>3</sup>	o- Xylene ug/m <sup>3</sup>	Naphalene ug/m <sup>3</sup>
Crawlspace	AH1- 1007	1.4	7.8	3.0	15	8.8	3.3
Bathroom	AH2- 1007	ND	5.7	1.5	6.7	3.7	1.9
Classroom	AH3- 1007	ND	5.3	ND	5.6	2.9	1.4
Limits*		0.31	40	2.2	7000	7000	3.0

Table 8.2Air Quality Analytical Results Summary<br/>October 23 2007, Sampling Event

Results above recommended indoor air target limits\* in Bold.

\* Recommended indoor air target limits are in accordance with EPA Table 2C (risk of 10<sup>-6</sup>) of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Draft Guidance for Evaluating the Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Pathway from Groundwater and Soils (Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Guidance), November 2002.

Sample results indicate that there was a reduction in contaminants in indoor air for all samples collected compared to September sampling event. The target levels for benzene,ethylbenzene, and naphalene in indoor air were above EPA recommended limits, however, this was only for the sample collected in the crawlspace. Samples collected in the occupied area were below EPA recommended limits.

# 9.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

# Well Survey

A well survey was conducted within a  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile radius of the subject property in order to identify potential receptors. Results of the well survey indicate that there were six (6) confirmed drinking water wells within the search radius and a potential of three (3) additional wells based on information obtained by North Pole City Utilities department. All wells were determined to lie cross-gradient from the source area. In addition, all wells were found to be  $\geq 30^{\circ}$  in depth. It is unlikely that drinking water wells found within the search area would be affected by the petroleum release occurring at the subject property.

# Groundwater

Groundwater monitoring wells were installed and samples collected at the source area and down-gradient in order to assess potential impacts to groundwater and to determine if contaminants were migrating off-site.

Analytical results indicate that DRO is above ADEC groundwater cleanup target levels in all monitoring wells. Benzene was found to be above cleanup levels in MW-1 and MW-3. Tolulene was found to be above groundwater cleanup levels in MW-1. Groundwater contamination was found to migrate off-site to the west – north. It is anticipated that the contaminant plume leading edge is to the northwest which is the groundwater flow direction. The lateral and horizontal extent of the contaminant plume is undetermined at this time.

A product recovery well was installed at the source area and approximately 75-gallons of free product have been recovered to date. Though collection of free product has diminished, free product is still visible on the water table in the recovery well.

## Indoor air

Indoor air samples were collected as part of the investigation to assess potential for indoor air vapor intrusion. Indoor air samples were collected in the building crawl space and interior of the building to obtain representative samples.

Analytical results indicate that vapor intrusion is occurring at the site. Mitigation measures appear to have been successful in reducing indoor air contaminants within the occupied building space to levels below the recommended EPA target levels.

Based on the above findings ARES recommends the following actions:

- The extent of the contaminant plume is undetermined at this time. Additional offsite groundwater grab samples would need to be collected in order to define the limits of the plume;
- It is recommended that additional on-site groundwater sampling be conducted to determine if the plume is increasing, decreasing, or has reached a state of equilibrium. It is recommended that semi-annual samples be collected during periods of low (spring) and high (fall) water table conditions until the trend can be established;
- Based on levels of benzene and DRO found in groundwater, it is recommended that groundwater cleanup options (both active remediation system and natural attenuation) be evaluated to assess clean up actions required to meet ADEC groundwater cleanup levels;
- Free product recovery should continue until all available free product has been recovered;
- Owner should continue to operate the ventilation system year-round in order to minimize effects of indoor air vapor intrusion;
- It is recommended that indoor air samples be collected following similar format when pressure differential gradient is greatest between the building and subsurface (January/February) to assess adequacy of ventilation system and to determine if further mitigation measures are necessary to reduce indoor air contaminant levels; and
- Contaminated soils still remain on-site. Any disturbance/excavation of soils on the subject property should be field screened and/or sampled to determine if contaminants exceed ADEC target cleanup levels for soil.

# **10.0 LIMITATIONS OF INVESTIGATION**

This report presents the analytical results from a limited number of groundwater and indoor air samples, and should not be construed as a comprehensive study of subsurface conditions at the site. The samples were intended to evaluate the presence or absence of contaminants at the locations selected. Detectable levels of petroleum hydrocarbons or other substances may be present at different locations. It was also not the intent of our sampling and testing to detect the presence of soil affected by contaminants other than those for which laboratory analysis were preformed. No conclusions can be drawn on the presence or absence of other contaminants. This is not a geotechnical study.

The data presented in this report should be considered representative of the time of our site observations and sample collection. Changes in site conditions can occur with time because of natural forces or human activity. ARES reserves the right to modify or alter conclusions and recommendations should additional data become available.

This report was prepared for the exclusive use of Alaska Home Solutions Inc., and their representatives. If it is made available to others, it should be for information on factual data only and not as a warranty of subsurface condition

# Appendix A





# ALASKA RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, LLC

PHONE: 907-374-3226 • FAX: 907-374-3219 • EMAIL: AK.RES.ENV.SVC@GCI.NET

## PUBLIC HEALTH ASSESSMENT

September 19, 2007

<Property Owner> <Property Address>

# SUBJECT: POTENTIAL GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION IN THE VICINITY OF <SUBDIVISION> SUBDIVISION

#### Dear <Property Owner>:

The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) has asked Alaska Resources and Environmental Services LLC, (ARES) to establish a list of potential receptors within a portion of the <SUBDIVISION> Subdivision that may have been affected due to a diesel fuel release to the groundwater. Fairbanks North Star Borough Records indicate that you are the owner of the property at <Property Address>. Please provide the information requested below in the space provided (if unknown, please indicate). Please complete Section A of the survey even if you do not have a well or a groundwater pumping sump so we can demonstrate to ADEC you have been contacted. If you own multiple lots within <SUBDIVISION> Subdivision, please designate lot(s) accordingly on the survey form.

The results of this assessment will be used to determine whether the water in your well or sump should be tested for the presence of diesel range organic compounds. If testing is recommended, ARES would perform the test free of charge, performed at your convenience, and you'll receive a copy of the laboratory report. Please contact Lyle Gresehover of Alaska Resources and Environmental Services, LLC (907-374-3226) if you have any questions or concerns regarding this assessment.

#### SECTION A

Name of property owner of subject site:
Owner address:
Owner telephone number (if a well or sump is present):
Name of tenant at subject site (if not owner occupied):
Tenant telephone number (if a well or sump is present):
Is the subject site used for commercial or residential purposes?
Does the subject site contain multiple tenants?
Is there a well at the subject site?
Is there a sump at the subject site that pumps groundwater?

#### **SECTION B** (complete if a well exists at the subject site)

Number of wells:	Well Diameter(s):	
Well Depth(s):	Pump Depth(s):	
Material used for the well casing	g:	
Date(s) the well(s) were installe	2d:	
How frequently are the well(s) u	used?	
Approximate gallons of water p	umped during each well cycle:	
What is the well water used for?	?	

#### **SECTION C** (complete if you have a sump which pumps groundwater)

Frequency of Use:

Approximate gallons of water pumped from the sump each day:

Where is the sump water discharged?

Please return this questionnaire in the enclosed self-addressed stamped envelope as soon as possible. A second questionnaire will be mailed to your attention if we do not receive a response within two weeks due to the importance of this issue. Again, please participate for your protection. Please include any comments you may have on the bottom of this page.

Sincerely,

Fill Ocuerepor

Lyle Gresehover Alaska Resources and Environmental Services, LLC

Additional Comments (if any): \_\_\_\_\_



# **Appendix B**



August 30, 2007

Lyle Gresehover Alaska Resources & Environmental Services P.O. Box 83050 Fairbanks, AK 99708

RE: Alaska Home Solutions Inc.

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 08/21/07 09:12. The following list is a summary of the Work Orders contained in this report, generated on 08/30/07 15:37.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Work Order	Project	ProjectNumber	
AQH0092	Alaska Home Solutions Inc.	[none]	

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Engst

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager





#### Alaska Resources & Environmental Services

P.O. Box 83050

Fairbanks, AK 99708

Project Name: Project Number: Project Manager:

Alaska Home Solutions Inc. [none] r: Lyle Gresehover

Report Created: 08/30/07 15:37

# ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
MW-1	AQH0092-01	Water	08/14/07 13:12	08/21/07 09:12
MW-2	AQH0092-02	Water	08/14/07 15:06	08/21/07 09:12
MW-3	AQH0092-03	Water	08/14/07 17:10	08/21/07 09:12
DUP-C	AQH0092-04	Water	08/14/07 19:14	08/21/07 09:12
Trip Blank	AQH0092-05	Water	08/14/07 08:00	08/21/07 09:12

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Tray DEngstro

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager





#### Alaska Resources & Environmental Services

P.O. Box 83050

Fairbanks, AK 99708

Project Name: Project Number: Project Manager:

e: Alaska Home Solutions Inc. per: [none] ger: Lyle Gresehover

Report Created: 08/30/07 15:37

	Di	esel Range Tes	<b>Organic</b> tAmerica	<b>cs (C10</b> - Anchor	<b>-C25) pe</b> rage, AK	er AK	102				
Analyte	Method	Result	MDL*	MRL	Units	Dil	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	N	otes
AQH0092-01 (MW-1)		Wa	ter		Sampl	ed: 08/1	4/07 13:12				
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	9190		197	mg/l	100x	7080133	08/24/07 11:49	08/29/07 21:57	R	L7
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane			351%		50 - 150 %	"			"	Z3	
AQH0092-02 (MW-2)		Wa	ter		Sampl	ed: 08/1	4/07 15:06				
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	2.34		0.391	mg/l	1x	7080133	08/24/07 11:49	08/27/07 22:26		
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane			85.0%		50 - 150 %	"			"		
AQH0092-03 (MW-3)		Wa	ter		Sampl	ed: 08/1	4/07 17:10				
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	19.0		0.391	mg/l	1x	7080133	08/24/07 11:49	08/27/07 23:00		
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane			90.0%		50 - 150 %	"			"		
AQH0092-04 (DUP-C)		Wa	ter		Sampl	ed: 08/1	4/07 19:14				
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	4.52		0.391	mg/l	1x	7080148	08/27/07 13:18	08/29/07 19:42		
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane			80.9%		50 - 150 %	"			"		

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Tray DEngster

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager





Alaska Resources & Environmental Services	Project Name:
P.O. Box 83050	Project Number:
Fairbanks, AK 99708	Project Manager:

Alaska Home Solutions Inc.

[none]

Lyle Gresehover

Report Created: 08/30/07 15:37

			BTE: Te:	<b>X by EP</b> A stAmerica	A Metl - Ancho	<b>10d 8021</b> rage, AK	B				
Analyte		Method	Result	MDL*	MRL	Units	Dil	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes
AQH0092-01	(MW-1)		Wa	nter		Samp	led: 08/1	4/07 13:12			RL7
Benzene		EPA 8021B	859		500	ug/l	1000x	7080130	08/24/07 09:57	08/26/07 13:16	
Toluene		"	2300		500	"	"			"	
Ethylbenzene		"	655		500	"	"	"	"	"	
Xylenes (total)		"	4560		1500	"	"		"	"	
Surrogate(s):	a,a,a-TFT (PID)			121%		50 - 150 %	<i>1x</i>			"	
AQH0092-02	(MW-2)		Wa	nter		Samp	led: 08/1	4/07 15:06			
Benzene		EPA 8021B	ND		0.500	ug/l	1x	7080130	08/24/07 09:57	08/26/07 13:50	
Toluene		"	ND		0.500	"	"	"		"	
Ethylbenzene		"	ND		0.500	"	"	"	"	"	
Xylenes (total)		"	ND		1.50	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate(s):	a,a,a-TFT (PID)			114%		50 - 150 %	"			"	
AQH0092-03	(MW-3)		Wa	nter		Samp	led: 08/1	4/07 17:10			
Benzene		EPA 8021B	8.52		0.500	ug/l	1x	7080130	08/24/07 09:57	08/26/07 14:23	
Toluene		"	ND		0.500	"	"	"		"	
Ethylbenzene		"	ND		0.500	"	"	"	"	"	
Xylenes (total)		"	ND		1.50	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate(s):	a,a,a-TFT (PID)			111%		50 - 150 %	"			"	
AQH0092-04	(DUP-C)		Wa	nter		Samp	led: 08/1	4/07 19:14			
Benzene		EPA 8021B	ND		0.500	ug/l	1x	7080130	08/24/07 09:57	08/26/07 14:57	
Toluene		"	ND		0.500	"	"	"	"	"	
Ethylbenzene		"	ND		0.500	"	"	"	"	"	
Xylenes (total)		"	ND		1.50	"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate(s):	a,a,a-TFT (PID)			111%		50 - 150 %	"			"	
AQH0092-05	(Trip Blank)		Wa	iter		Samp	led: 08/1	4/07 08:00			
Benzene		EPA 8021B	ND		0.500	ug/l	1x	7080130	08/24/07 09:57	08/26/07 03:52	
Toluene		"	ND		0.500	"	"	"		"	
Ethylbenzene		"	ND		0.500	"	"	"		"	
Xylenes (total)		"	ND		1.50	"	"	"		"	

50 - 150 %

"

Surrogate(s): a,a,a-TFT (PID)

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK Engsta hay a

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

"



104%



Alaska Resources & Enviro	nmental Serv	vices		Project Nan	ne:	Alaska	Home S	olutior	is Inc					
P.O. Box 83050				Project Nun	nber:	[none]							Report Create	ed:
Fairbanks, AK 99708				Project Mar	nager:	Lyle Gr	esehover						08/30/07 15:	37
	Diesel Ra	nge Organ	ics (C10-C2	5) per Ak	(102 - L	aborat	ory Qual	lity Co	ntrol	Results				
			Test	America - P	Inchorage	, ак								
QC Batch: 7080133	Water	Preparation	Method: E	EPA 3510										
Analyte	Method	Result	MDL*	MRL	Units	Dil	Source Result	Spike Amt	% REC	(Limits)	% RPD	(Limits)	) Analyzed	Notes
Blank (7080133-BLK1)								Extr	acted:	08/24/07 1	1:49			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	ND		0.500	mg/l	1x							08/27/07 21:19	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane		Recovery:	102%	Lin	nits: 50-150	% "							08/27/07 21:19	
LCS (7080133-BS1)								Extr	acted:	08/24/07 1	1:49			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	10.9		0.500	mg/l	lx		10.1	108%	(75-125)			08/27/07 21:53	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane		Recovery:	101%	Lin	nits: 60-120	% "							08/27/07 21:53	
LCS Dun (7080133-BSD1)								Extr	acted:	08/24/07 1	1:49			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	10.9		0.500	mg/l	1x		10.1	108%	(75-125)	0.325	% (20)	08/27/07 22:26	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane		Recovery:	101%	Lin	nits: 60-120	% "							08/27/07 22:26	
Duplicate (7080133-DUP1)				OC Source:	AOH0070	-01		Ext	acted:	08/24/07 1	1.49			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	ND		0.413	mg/l	lx	ND				0.158	% (20)	08/27/07 21:19	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane		Recovery:	83.5%	Lin	nits: 50-150	% "							08/27/07 21:19	
QC Batch: 7080148	Water	Preparation	Method: F	EPA 3510										
Analyte	Method	Result	MDL*	MRL	Units	Dil	Source Result	Spike Amt	% REC	(Limits)	% RPD	(Limits)	) Analyzed	Notes
Blank (7080148-BLK1)								Extr	acted:	08/27/07 13	3:18			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	ND		0.500	mg/l	1x							08/28/07 11:43	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane		Recovery:	98.1%	Lin	nits: 50-150	% "							08/28/07 11:43	
LCS (7080148-BS1)								Extr	acted:	08/27/07 1	3:18			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	10.4		0.500	mg/l	lx		10.1	103%	(75-125)			08/28/07 12:16	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane		Recovery:	101%	Lin	nits: 60-120	% "							08/28/07 12:16	
LCS Dup (7080148-BSD1)								Extr	acted:	08/27/07 13	3:18			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	9.73		0.500	mg/l	1x		10.1	96.3%	(75-125)	6.25%	% (20)	08/28/07 16:48	
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane		Recovery:	91.3%	Lin	nits: 60-120	% "							08/28/07 16:48	
Duplicate (7080148-DUP1)				OC Source:	AQH0079	-06		Ext	acted:	08/27/07 1	3:18			
Diesel Range Organics	AK 102	11.4		0.403	mg/l	1x	7.93				36.3%	% (20)	08/28/07 11:43	R2
Surrogate(s): 1-Chlorooctadecane		Recovery:	88.1%	Lin	nits: 50-150	% "							08/28/07 11:43	

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Tray DEngstro

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager



Alaska Resources & Enviro	onmental Serv	vices		Project Nar	ne:	Alaska	Home S	olution	ıs Inc	•				
P.O. Box 83050				Project Nur	nber:	[none]							Report Create	ed:
Fairbanks, AK 99708				Project Mar	nager:	Lyle Gr	esehover						08/30/07 15	:37
	B	FEX by EI	PA Method	8021B -	Labora	tory Qua	ality Con	trol R	esults					
			Test	America - A	Апспогаз	ge, AK								
QC Batch: 7080130	Water	Preparation	Method: H	EPA 5030B	•									
Analyte	Method	Result	MDL*	MRL	Units	Dil	Source Result	Spike Amt	% REC	(Limits)	<sup>%</sup> ∕ (I RPD (I	Limits)	Analyzed	Notes
Blank (7080130-BLK1)								Ext	racted:	08/24/07 09	9:57			
Benzene	EPA 8021B	ND		0.500	ug/l	1x							08/26/07 02:45	
Toluene		ND		0.500	"									
Ethylbenzene	"	ND		0.500	"	"							"	
Xylenes (total)		ND		1.50	"	"							"	
Surrogate(s): a,a,a-TFT (PID)		Recovery:	99.1%	Lii	mits: 50-1.	50% "							08/26/07 02:45	
LCS (7080130-BS1)								Ext	racted:	08/24/07 09	:57			
Benzene	EPA 8021B	18.7		0.500	ug/l	1x		20.6	90.9%	(80-120)			08/26/07 01:38	
Toluene		19.9		0.500	"			19.7	101%					
Ethylbenzene	"	21.7		0.500	"			19.8	110%	(80-126)				
Xylenes (total)	"	61.8		1.50	"			59.6	104%	(80-127)			"	
Surrogate(s): a,a,a-TFT (PID)		Recovery:	100%	Lii	mits: 60-1.	20% "							08/26/07 01:38	
LCS Dup (7080130-BSD1)								Ext	racted:	08/24/07 09	:57			
Benzene	EPA 8021B	19.9		0.500	ug/l	1x		20.6	96.8%	(80-120)	6.25% (1	3.8)	08/26/07 08:50	
Toluene		20.5		0.500				19.7	104%		3.19% (1	0.4)		
Ethylbenzene	"	22.1		0.500	"			19.8	111%	(80-126)	1.65% (1	1.8)		
Xylenes (total)		62.9		1.50	"	"		59.6	106%	(80-127)	1.78% (1	1.2)	"	
Surrogate(s): a,a,a-TFT (PID)		Recovery:	107%	Lii	nits: 60-1.	20% "							08/26/07 08:50	
Matrix Snike (7080130-MS1)				QC Source	: AQH01	08-02		Ext	racted:	08/24/07 09	9:57			
Benzene	EPA 8021B	20.7		0.500	ug/l	1x	0.213	20.6	99.7%	(69-124)			08/27/07 14:05	
Toluene		21.0		0.500	"		0.109	19.7	106%	(80-126)				
Ethylbenzene		22.3		0.500	"	"	0.0970	19.8	112%	(77.3-143)			"	
Xylenes (total)		62.3		1.50	"		0.609	59.6	103%	(67.5-140)			"	
Surrogate(s): a,a,a-TFT (PID)		Recovery:	114%	Lii	nits: 50-1.	50% "							08/27/07 14:05	
Matrix Spike Dup (7080130-MS	5 <b>D</b> 1)			QC Source	AQH01	08-02		Ext	racted:	08/24/07 09	9:57			
Benzene	EPA 8021B	21.1		0.500	ug/l	1x	0.213	20.6	101%	(69-124)	1.49% (	10)	08/27/07 14:38	
Toluene	"	21.1		0.500	"		0.109	19.7	106%	(80-126)	0.342%	"	"	
Ethylbenzene		22.5		0.500	"		0.0970	19.8	113%	(77.3-143)	1.10%		"	
Xylenes (total)	"	62.5		1.50	"		0.609	59.6	104%	(67.5-140)	0.407%		"	

Surrogate(s): a,a,a-TFT (PID)

Recovery: 119%

Limits: 50-150% "

08/27/07 14:38

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Tray DEngstro

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager





#### Alaska Resources & Environmental Services

P.O. Box 83050

Project Name: Project Number: Project Manager:

Alaska Home Solutions Inc. [none] Lyle Gresehover

Report Created: 08/30/07 15:37

Fairbanks, AK 99708

#### **Notes and Definitions**

#### Report Specific Notes:

R2	-	The RPD exceeded the acceptance limit.
RL7	-	Sample required dilution due to high concentrations of target analyte.
Z3	-	The sample required a dilution due to the nature of the sample matrix. Because of this dilution, the surrogate spike concentration in the sample was reduced to a level where the recovery calculation does not provide useful information.
Laborato	ry Re	eporting Conventions:
DET	-	Analyte DETECTED at or above the Reporting Limit. Qualitative Analyses only.
ND	-	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit (MDL or MRL, as appropriate).
NR/NA	-	Not Reported / Not Available
dry	-	Sample results reported on a Dry Weight Basis. Results and Reporting Limits have been corrected for Percent Dry Weight.
wet	-	Sample results and reporting limits reported on a Wet Weight Basis (as received). Results with neither 'wet' nor 'dry' are reported on a Wet Weight Basis.
RPD	-	RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE (RPDs calculated using Results, not Percent Recoveries).
MRL	-	METHOD REPORTING LIMIT. Reporting Level at, or above, the lowest level standard of the Calibration Table.
MDL*	-	METHOD DETECTION LIMIT. Reporting Level at, or above, the statistically derived limit based on 40CFR, Part 136, Appendix B. *MDLs are listed on the report only if the data has been evaluated below the MRL. Results between the MDL and MRL are reported as Estimated Results.
Dil	-	Dilutions are calculated based on deviations from the standard dilution performed for an analysis, and may not represent the dilution found on the analytical raw data.
Penorting		

Reporting -Reporting limits (MDLs and MRLs) are adjusted based on variations in sample preparation amounts, analytical dilutions and Limits percent solids, where applicable.

- Electronic Signature added in accordance with TestAmerica's Electronic Reporting and Electronic Signatures Policy. Electronic Application of electronic signature indicates that the report has been reviewed and approved for release by the laboratory. Signature Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

TestAmerica - Anchorage, AK

Troy J. Engstrom, Manager

hay Engite

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Page 7 of 7

# **Test**America ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

11720 North Creek Pkwy N Suite 400, Bothell, WA 98011-8244 425-420-9200 FAX 420-9210 11922 E. First Ave, Spokane, WA 99206-5302 509-924-9200 FAX 924-9290 9405 SW Nimbus Ave, Beaverton, OR 97008-714 2000 W International Airport Rd Ste A10, Anchorage, AK 99502-111

2	509-924-9200	FAX 924-9290	
5	503-906-9200	FAX 906-9210	
9	907-563-9200	FAX 563-9210	

#### CHAIN OF CUSTODY REPORT

Work Order #: AQHOO92

CLIENT: Alaska Resources a	and Environmental Services	<u></u>			E TO:										TURNA	OUND REQUEST	r l
REPORT TO: Email: Lyl Address: Mailing: P. Fe	le@ak-res.com .O. Box 83050 airbanks, Alaska 99708			P.O. Fairt	5 Box 8: banks,	3050 Alaska	997	08							in i Organic J	Business Days *	הם
PHONE: (907) 488-4899	FAX; (907) 488-4823			P.O. NUN	ABER;							·		570.	Petroleum	Hydrocarbon Analyses	1면
PROJECT NAME: Alaska	Home Solutions Inc.					PRE	SERVAT	nve I I I I		······				X		3210	
PROJECT NUMBER:		HCL				PEOLIES'	TET) A 1							5117			
SAMPLED BY: Lyle Gres	sehover	<u> </u>	8			KEQUES	LEDAN				·			* Turnaround	Requests less	ipecify: than standard may incur h	hush Charges.
CLIENT SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	SAMPLING DATE/TIME	BTEX EPA 802	AK 10										ĺ	MATRIX (W, S, O)	#OF CONT.	LOCATION / COMMENTS	TA WO ID
MW-1	8/14/2007 1312	X	X											W	5		01
, MW-2	8/14/2007 1506	X	X								-			W	5		02
, MW-3	8/14/2007 1710	X	X											W	5		03
, DUP-C	8/14/2007 1914	X	X											W	5		04
, Trip Blank														W	3		05
7																	
8																	
9 10	Gulia								- <u>A</u> -1								
RELEASED BY:	Mechine ARE	S		DATE	9	20/02	7	RECRIVED B	N: Y	Unn	- k	Jul- Dreh	 	FIRM:	TAL		38/21/01
RELEASED BY:				DATE				RECEIVED B	IY:							DATE;	
PRINT NAME: ADDITIONAL REMARKS; COC. REV 85/806	se II level repoi	rting	, requ	iested	•			PRINT NAMI	B:					FIRM:			∎ 1₀ <sub>F</sub> 1

Note: By relinquishing samples to TestAmerica, client agrees to pay for the services requested on this chain of custody form and for any additional analyses performed on this project.

Payment for services is due within 30 days from the date of invoice unless otherwise contracted. Sample(s) will be disposed of after 30 days unless otherwise contracted.

	-
	<b>•</b> ••
ł	115
1	S I
Í	<b>~</b>
ł	h.,
I	
ł	<b>E</b> .
I	Ξ.
I	3
I	Ξ.
I	CD -
I	<u> </u>
1	п.
i	he we
1	<b>^</b>
ł	14
ł	ື
I	
I	-
ľ	<b>C</b>
Į	<u> </u>
1	0
1	Ξ.
ł	0
l	. seed
ļ	<u>`</u>
l	QP .
L	Het I
l	•
l	
l	ж.
l	<u> </u>
L	ΩD.
L	0
ł	<u> </u>
L	n –
l	й.
Ł	_
I	σ
Į	<u>م</u>
l	( F
L	
l	<b>T</b>
l	<u> </u>
Í	0
ĺ	ũ.
ſ	
Í	3
l	<b>=</b>
1	

Test America Cool	er Recei	pt Form
WORK ORDER # AQHOO92 CLIENT:	ARES	PROJECT: aleaste Home,
Date /Time Cooler Arrived 08 /21 /07 09:12	Cooler signed	for by Jahren Veletions, he
Preliminary Examination Phase:		(Fring Dame)
Date cooler opened: A same as date received or /	7	
1. Delivered by ALASKA AIRLINES Fed-Ex UPS	TINAC ALY	NDEN LICLIENT Other:
Shipment Tracking # if applicable PRU 415777	(include copy	of shipping papers in file)
2. Number of Custody Seals \Signed by Signed by	Gresche	xe-Date 08 / 20/ 07
. Were custody seals unbroken and intact on arrival?	<b>K</b> Yes	□ N₀
3. Were custody papers sealed in a plastic bag?	X Yes	□ No
4. Were custody papers filled out properly (ink, signed, etc.)?	XYes	
5. Did you sign the custody papers in the appropriate place?	Yes	
6. Was ice used? ∭Yes □No Type of ice: □ <u>blue ice</u> A <u>ffel</u>	ice Ireal ice	dry ice Condition of Ice: Solt.)
Temperature by Digi-Thermo Probe <u>2.</u> \_°C Ther	nometer #	1 1 1 1
7. Packing in Cooler: A tubble wrap Astrofoam Acardboard [	] Other:	
8. Did samples arrive in plastic bags?	☐ Yes	ANO.
9. Did all bottles arrive unbroken, and with labels in good condition	? 📐 Yes	□ Nº
10. Are all bottle labels complete (ID, date, time, etc.)	X Yes	
11. Do bottle labels and Chain of Custody agree?	<b>X</b> Yes	□N₀
12. Are the containers and preservatives correct for the tests indicate	d? 🛛 Yes	No
13. Is there adequate volume for the tests requested?	Yes	No
<ol> <li>Were VOA vials free of bubbles?</li> <li>If "NO" which containers contained "head space" or bubble</li> </ol>	x? Yes	
Log-in Phase: Date of sample log-in $08 / 21 / 07$ Samples logged in by (print) Race Grunton	(ngis)	aie Sorinston
1. Was project identifiable from custody papers?	Yes	∏ No
2. Do Turn Around Times and Due Dates agree?	<b>A</b> Yes	□ No
3. Was the Project Manager notified of status?	Yes	
4. Was the Lab notified of status?	¥Yes	
5. Was the COC scanned and copied?	<b>X</b> Yes	

#### **RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

Page 1 of 1

Client:Alaska Resources & Environmental Services LLCClient Sample ID:AH1-92007Client Project ID:Alaska Home Solutions Inc.

CAS Project ID: P2702859 CAS Sample ID: P2702859-001

Test Code:	EPA TO-15			Date Collected: 9/12/07			
Instrument ID:	Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Binert/6890N/MS13			Date Received: 9/17/	07		
Analyst:	Chaney Humphrey			Date(s) Analyzed: 9/20/	07		
Sampling Media:	Summa Canister			Volume(s) Analyzed:	0.30 Liter(s)		
Test Notes:							
Container ID:	AC01251						
		D: 1	2.2	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{f} = 1$			

Pi 1 = -2.2 Pf 1 = 3.6

Can D.F. = 1.46

CAS #	Compound	Result µg/m³	MRL µg/m³	Result ppbV	MRL ppbV	Data Qualifier
71-43-2	Benzene	3.7	2.4	1.2	0.76	
108-88-3	Toluene	31	2.4	8.3	0.65	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	17	2.4	4.0	0.56	
179601-23-1	<i>m</i> , <i>p</i> -Xylenes	86	4.9	20	1.1	
95-47-6	o-Xylene	45	2.4	10	0.56	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	15	2.4	2.8	0.46	

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the **laboratory reporting limit**.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.

#### **RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

Page 1 of 1

Client:Alaska Resources & Environmental Services LLCClient Sample ID:AH2-92007Client Project ID:Alaska Home Solutions Inc.

CAS Project ID: P2702859 CAS Sample ID: P2702859-002

Test Code:	EPA TO-15		Date Collected: 9/12/07			
Instrument ID:	Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Binert/6890N/MS13			Date Receive	ed: 9/17/07	
Analyst:	Chaney Humphrey			Date(s) Analyze	ed: 9/20/07	
Sampling Media:	Summa Canister			Volume(s) Analyze	ed:	1.00 Liter(s)
Test Notes:						
Container ID:	AC00605					
		D: 1	0.1	Df 1 2	7	

Pi 1 = -2.1 Pf 1 = 3.7

Can D.F. = 1.46

CAS #	Compound	Result µg/m <sup>3</sup>	MRL µg/m³	Result ppbV	MRL ppbV	Data Qualifier
71-43-2	Benzene	1.2	0.73	0.38	0.23	
108-88-3	Toluene	12	0.73	3.2	0.19	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5.2	0.73	1.2	0.17	
179601-23-1	<i>m</i> , <i>p</i> -Xylenes	21	1.5	4.9	0.34	
95-47-6	o-Xylene	11	0.73	2.4	0.17	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	3.4	0.73	0.65	0.14	

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the **laboratory reporting limit**.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.

Verified By:\_\_\_\_\_

#### **RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

Page 1 of 1

Client:Alaska Resources & Environmental Services LLCClient Sample ID:AH3-92007Client Project ID:Alaska Home Solutions Inc.

CAS Project ID: P2702859 CAS Sample ID: P2702859-003

Test Code:	EPA TO-15			Date Collected: 9/12/07			
Instrument ID:	Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Binert/6890N/MS13		Date Received: 9/17/07				
Analyst:	Chaney Humphrey			Date(s) Analyzed: 9/20/	/07		
Sampling Media:	Summa Canister			Volume(s) Analyzed:	1.00 Liter(s)		
Test Notes:							
Container ID:	AC01000						
		D: 1 _	0.5	Df 1 - 25			

Pi 1 = 0.5 Pf 1 = 3.5

Can D.F. = 1.20

CAS #	Compound	Result µg/m <sup>3</sup>	MRL µg/m³	Result ppbV	MRL ppbV	Data Qualifier
71-43-2	Benzene	1.4	0.60	0.45	0.19	
108-88-3	Toluene	13	0.60	3.5	0.16	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	6.7	0.60	1.5	0.14	
179601-23-1	<i>m</i> , <i>p</i> -Xylenes	28	1.2	6.5	0.28	
95-47-6	o-Xylene	14	0.60	3.3	0.14	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	5.2	0.60	0.99	0.11	

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the **laboratory reporting limit**.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.

Verified By:\_\_\_\_\_

#### **RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

Page 1 of 1

Client:Alaska Resources & Environmental Services LLCClient Sample ID:AH3-92007Client Project ID:Alaska Home Solutions Inc.

CAS Project ID: P2702859 CAS Sample ID: P2702859-003DUP

Test Code:	EPA TO-15		Date Colle	ected: 9/12/07	
Instrument ID:	Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Binert/6890N/MS13		Date Rece	eived: 9/17/07	
Analyst:	Chaney Humphrey		Date(s) Anal	yzed: 9/20/07	
Sampling Media:	Summa Canister	Vo	lume(s) Anal	yzed:	1.00 Liter(s)
Test Notes:					
Container ID:	AC01000				
		 ~ -	DC 1	a	

Pi 1 = 0.5 Pf 1 = 3.5

Can D.F. = 1.20

CAS #	Compound	Result µg/m³	MRL µg/m³	Result ppbV	MRL ppbV	Data Qualifier
71-43-2	Benzene	1.4	0.60	0.45	0.19	
108-88-3	Toluene	13	0.60	3.4	0.16	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	6.7	0.60	1.5	0.14	
179601-23-1	<i>m</i> , <i>p</i> -Xylenes	28	1.2	6.4	0.28	
95-47-6	o-Xylene	14	0.60	3.2	0.14	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	5.0	0.60	0.95	0.11	

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the **laboratory reporting limit**.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.

#### **RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

Page 1 of 1

Client:Alaska Resources & Environmental Services LLCClient Sample ID:Method BlankClient Project ID:Alaska Home Solutions Inc.

CAS Project ID: P2702859 CAS Sample ID: P070920-MB

Test Code:	EPA TO-15	Date Collected: NA	
Instrument ID:	Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Binert/6890N/MS13	Date Received: NA	
Analyst:	Chaney Humphrey	Date(s) Analyzed: 9/20	/07
Sampling Media:	Summa Canister	Volume(s) Analyzed:	1.00 Liter(s)
Test Notes:			

D.F. = 1.00

CAS #	Compound	Result µg/m³	$\frac{MRL}{\mu g/m^3}$	Result ppbV	MRL ppbV	Data Qualifier
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.50	ND	0.16	
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.50	ND	0.13	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	ND	0.12	
179601-23-1	<i>m</i> , <i>p</i> -Xylenes	ND	1.0	ND	0.23	
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.50	ND	0.12	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.50	ND	0.095	

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the **laboratory reporting limit**.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.

# RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Page 1 of 1

# Client:Alaska Resources & Environmental Services LLCClient Project ID:Alaska Home Solutions Inc.

CAS Project ID: P2702859

#### **Surrogate Spike Recovery Results**

Test Code:EPA TO-15Instrument ID:Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Binert/6890N/MS13Analyst:Chaney HumphreySampling Media:Summa Canister(s)Test Notes:Feature Content of the second seco

Date Collected: 9/12/07 Date Received: 9/17/07 Date Analyzed: 9/20/07

		1,2-Dichlor	oethane-d4	Tolue	ne-d8	Bromofluo	robenzene	Data
Client Sample ID	CAS Sample ID	%	Acceptance	%	Acceptance	%	Acceptance	Qualifier
		Recovered	Limits	Recovered	Limits	Recovered	Limits	
Method Blank	P070920-MB	94	70-130	108	70-130	112	70-130	
Lab Control Sample	P070920-LCS	98	70-130	110	70-130	113	70-130	
AH1-92007	P2702859-001	94	70-130	105	70-130	111	70-130	
AH2-92007	P2702859-002	92	70-130	106	70-130	111	70-130	
AH3-92007	P2702859-003	97	70-130	106	70-130	111	70-130	
AH3-92007	P2702859-003DUP	93	70-130	105	70-130	110	70-130	

#### **RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

Page 1 of 1

Client:	Alaska Resources & Environmental Services LLC	
Client Sample ID:	Lab Control Sample	CAS Project ID: P2702859
<b>Client Project ID:</b>	Alaska Home Solutions Inc.	CAS Sample ID: P070920-LCS

# Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Summary

Test Code:	EPA TO-15	Date Collected:	NA
Instrument ID:	Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Binert/6890N/MS13	Date Received:	NA
Analyst:	Chaney Humphrey	Date Analyzed:	9/20/07
Sampling Media:	Summa Canister	Volume(s) Analyzed:	NA Liter
Test Notes:			

CAS #	Compound	Amount Spiked ng	Amount Recovered ng	% Recovery	CAS Acceptance Limits	Data Qualifier
71-43-2	Benzene	26.3	21.3	81	73-111	
108-88-3	Toluene	26.0	24.2	93	76-116	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	25.8	24.7	96	79-116	
179601-23-1	m,p-Xylenes	61.5	59.7	97	80-117	
95-47-6	o-Xylene	29.0	28.0	97	80-116	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	26.0	24.5	94	76-143	

#### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Page 1 of 1

Client: Client Sample ID: Client Project ID:	Alaska Res AH1-1007 Alaska Hom	ources & Environmer e Solutions Inc.	ntal Servic	es LLC	CAS Project ID CAS Sample ID	: P2703322 : P2703322-	001
Test Code: Instrument ID: Analyst: Sampling Media: Test Notes: Container ID:	EPA TO-15 Tekmar AUT Chaney Hum Summa Canis	OCAN/Agilent 5975Bine phrey ster	ert/6890N/M	IS13	Date Collected Date Received Date Analyzed Volume(s) Analyzed	: 10/23/07 : 10/30/07 : 11/1/07 : 1.00 I	Liter(s)
	11000210	Initial Pressure (psig):	0.3	Final Pressure (ps	ig): 3.5		
					Canist	er Dilution F	actor: 1.21
CAS #	Compound		Result µg/m³	MRL µg/m³	Result ppbV	MRL ppbV	Data Qualifier
71-43-2	Benzene		1.4	1.2	0.44	0.38	
108-88-3	Toluene		7.8	1.2	2.1	0.32	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	;	3.0	1.2	0.69	0.28	
179601-23-1	m,p-Xylenes		15	1.2	3.3	0.28	

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.

8.8

3.3

1.2

1.2

2.0

0.62

0.28

0.23

o-Xylene

Naphthalene

95-47-6

91-20-3

Verified By:\_

#### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Page 1 of 1

Client: Client Sample ID: Client Project ID:	Alaska Resources & Environme AH2-1007 Alaska Home Solutions Inc.	ntal Service	es LLC	CAS Project ID: CAS Sample ID:	P2703322 P2703322-0	02
Test Code:	EPA TO-15		812	Date Collected:	10/23/07	
A polyot	Changy Humphrey	left/08901N/1VI	515	Date Received:	10/30/07	
Analyst:	Chaney Humphrey			Date Analyzed:	11/1/07	
Sampling Media:	Summa Canister			Volume(s) Analyzed:	1.00 L1	ter(s)
Test Notes:						
Container ID:	AC00930					
	Initial Pressure (psig):	-2.4	Final Pressure (ps	sig): 3.5		
				Canister	Dilution Fac	ctor: 1.48
CAS #	Compound	Result	MRL	Result	MRL	Data
		μg/m <sup>3</sup>	$\mu g/m^3$	ppbV	ppbV	Qualifier
71-43-2	Benzene	N	D 1.5	ND	0.46	

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.

5.7

1.5

6.7

3.7

1.9

1.5

1.5

1.5

1.5

1.5

1.5

0.34

1.5

0.84

0.37

0.39

0.34

0.34

0.34

0.28

Toluene

Ethylbenzene

m,p-Xylenes

Naphthalene

o-Xylene

108-88-3

100-41-4

95-47-6

91-20-3

179601-23-1

Verified By:\_

#### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Page 1 of 1

Client: Client Sample ID: Client Project ID:	Alaska Resources & Environme AH3-1007 Alaska Home Solutions Inc.	ental Service	s LLC	CAS Project ID: F CAS Sample ID: F	2703322 2703322-003	
Test Code: Instrument ID: Analyst:	EPA TO-15 Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Bin Chaney Humphrey	nert/6890N/MS	513	Date Collected: 1 Date Received: 1 Date Analyzed: 1	.0/23/07 .0/30/07 1/1/07	
Sampling Media: Test Notes:	Summa Canister			Volume(s) Analyzed:	1.00 Liter	(s)
Container ID:	AC01068					
	Initial Pressure (psig):	-1.4	Final Pressure (ps	sig): 3.5		
				Canister	Dilution Factor	r: 1.37
CAS #	Compound	Result µg/m³	MRL µg/m³	Result ppbV	MRL ppbV	Data Qualifier
71-43-2	Benzene	NI	D 1.4	ND	0.43	

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.

5.3

5.6

2.9

1.4

ND

1.4

1.4

1.4

1.4

1.4

108-88-3

100-41-4

95-47-6

91-20-3

179601-23-1

Toluene

o-Xylene

Ethylbenzene

m,p-Xylenes

Naphthalene

Verified By:\_

0.36

0.32

0.32

0.32

0.26

1.4

1.3

0.67

0.27

ND

## RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Page 1 of 1

Client:	Alaska Resources & Environmental Services LLC				
Client Sample ID:	Method Blank	CAS Project ID: P2703322			
Client Project ID:	Alaska Home Solutions Inc.	CAS Sample ID:	P071101-MB		
Test Code:	EPA TO-15	Date Collected:	NA		
Instrument ID:	Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Binert/6890N/MS13	Date Received:	NA		
Analyst:	Chaney Humphrey	Date Analyzed:	11/1/07		
Sampling Media: Test Notes:	Summa Canister	Volume(s) Analyzed:	1.00 Liter(s)		

CAS #	Compound	Result	MRL	Result	MRL	Data
		μg/m³	$\mu g/m^3$	ppbV	ppbV	Qualifier
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	1.0	ND	0.31	
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	1.0	ND	0.27	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	ND	0.23	
179601-23-1	m,p-Xylenes	ND	1.0	ND	0.23	
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	1.0	ND	0.23	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	1.0	ND	0.19	

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.

#### SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERY RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

# Client:Alaska Resources & Environmental Services LLCClient Project ID:Alaska Home Solutions Inc.

CAS Project ID: P2703322

Test Code:	EPA TO-15
Instrument ID:	Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Binert/6890N/MS13
Analyst:	Chaney Humphrey
Sampling Media:	Summa Canister(s)
Test Notes:	

Date(s) Collected: 10/23/07 Date(s) Received: 10/30/07 Date(s) Analyzed: 11/1/07

		1,2-Dichlor	oethane-d4	Tolue	ene-d8	Bromofluo	robenzene	
Client Sample ID	CAS Sample ID	%	Acceptance	%	Acceptance	%	Acceptance	Data
		Recovered	Limits	Recovered	Limits	Recovered	Limits	Qualifier
Method Blank	P071101-MB	90	70-130	104	70-130	98	70-130	
Lab Control Sample	P071101-LCS	94	70-130	103	70-130	100	70-130	
AH1-1007	P2703322-001	93	70-130	99	70-130	96	70-130	
AH2-1007	P2703322-002	91	70-130	101	70-130	<b>98</b>	70-130	
AH3-1007	P2703322-003	92	70-130	102	70-130	99	70-130	

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY

Page 1 of 1

Client:	Alaska Resources & Environmental Services LLC		
Client Sample ID:	Lab Control Sample	CAS Project ID: P27	03322
<b>Client Project ID:</b>	Alaska Home Solutions Inc.	CAS Sample ID: P07	'1101-LCS
Test Code:	EPA TO-15	Date Collected: NA	
Instrument ID:	Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Binert/6890N/MS13	Date Received: NA	
Analyst:	Chaney Humphrey	Date Analyzed: 11/01/07	
Sampling Media:	Summa Canister	Volume(s) Analyzed:	NA Liter(s)
Test Notes:			

					CAS	
CAS #	Compound	Spike Amount	Result	% Recovery	Acceptance	Data
		ng	ng		Limits	Qualifier
71-43-2	Benzene	27.0	26.4	98	73-111	
108-88-3	Toluene	26.5	26.3	99	76-116	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	26.3	25.9	98	79-116	
179601-23-1	m,p-Xylenes	62.5	61.3	98	80-117	
95-47-6	o-Xylene	29.8	28.7	96	80-116	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	26.3	28.8	110	76-143	

# **Appendix C**

# STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

# Lyle Gresehover

Education	Bachelor of Science – Geology					
	University of Alaska Fairbanks					
Certifications	OSHA 40-Hour HAZWOPER training					
	OSHA 8-Hour HAZWOPER refresher course					
	EPA/AHERA 40-Hour Asbestos Abatement Contractors & Supervisors					
	OSHA On-Site Manager/Supervisor training					
	Confined Space Training					
	Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Certified Sanitary Survey Inspector/Public water systems					
	USACE Wetlands Delineation certification					
	Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Qualified Person					
	AK Class A Commercial Drivers License with Hazardous Materials endorsement					
Employment	1982 – Present					
	Wray Petroleum Company – Exploration Geologist					
	University of Alaska Fairbanks – Project manager/Superintendent					
	Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation – Environmental Specialist III					
	ENSR Environmental and Engineering – Environmental Geologist					
	Lifewater Engineering – Environmental Geologist/Project Manager					
	Boreal Environmental Services and Technology – Project Manager					
	Alaska Resources and Environmental Services – Owner/Consultant					

**Technical Specialties** 

Project Management Environmental Compliance Air, water, and solid waste permitting Multimedia sampling (Air, Groundwater, Surface Water, Soil) NEPA Environmental Impact Studies and Documentation Environmental Baseline Surveys Wetlands delineations and permitting Pollution prevention Phase I and II Environmental Site Assessments Sampling and Analysis Plans Field Screening/Contaminated Sites Site Characterizations and Release Investigations Groundwater and natural attenuation studies Groundwater monitoring well development Risk Assessment Soil Logging/Sieve Analysis Hazardous waste identification and compliance