

7-Eleven Store #46745  
(Speedway Store 5314 - TNS #76)

ADEC File #2265.26.037

3Q - August 2022 GWM Event Report

Prepared For



## AUTHORIZATION TO SUBMIT REPORT

Stantec has been authorized by the client, 7-Eleven (representative Paula Sime, PG, Manager – Environmental Services) to submit the enclosed report to the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation. If you have any questions or need additional information concerning this groundwater monitoring report, please contact me at (907) 227-9883 or via email at [bob.gilfilian@stantec.com](mailto:bob.gilfilian@stantec.com).

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES, INC.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Robert Gilfilian".

Robert (Bob) Gilfilian, P.E.

Project Technical Lead

Principal Senior Civil Engineer

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

---

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS .....	II
1.0 SITE BACKGROUND .....	1
2.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES .....	1
3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS .....	1
3.1 GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS .....	1
3.2 INTRINSIC WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS .....	3
3.3 ANALYTICAL WATER QUALITY DATA.....	3
3.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA)/ QUALITY CONTROL (QC) REVIEW .....	5
4.0 REMEDIATION SYSTEM.....	6
5.0 DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS .....	6
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	7
7.0 LIMITATIONS.....	7

## **LIST OF TABLES**

---

---

Table 1	Groundwater Elevations .....	2
Table 2	Historical Groundwater Direction of Flow and Gradient .....	2
Table 3	Intrinsic Water Quality Parameters.....	3
Table 4a	Groundwater Analytical Results for BTEX, GRO, and DRO .....	4
Table 4b	Groundwater Analytical Results for Naphthalene, TMB and Sodium .....	4
Table 5	Laboratory Quality Control Objectives .....	5

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

---

---

Figure 1	Location and Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Site Plan with Analytical Results
Figure 3	Groundwater Elevations Contours

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

---

---

Appendix A	Site Background
Appendix B	Field Methods and Procedures
Appendix C	Field Measurements
Appendix D	Tables of Historical Monitoring Data
Appendix E	Laboratory Analytical Report and ADEC Laboratory Data Review Checklist

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

---

AAC	Alaska Administrative Code
ADEC	Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
AK	Alaska Test Method
amsl	above mean sea level
BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes
Chemox	chemical oxidation
DO	dissolved oxygen
DRO	diesel range organics
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
GCL	groundwater cleanup level
gpm	gallons per minute
GRO	gasoline range organics
Klozur <sup>®</sup> One	Trademarked chemical oxidizer developed by PeroxyChem
mg/L	milligrams per liter
MW	monitoring well
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PQL	practical quantitation limit
ORP	oxidation-reduction potential
QA	quality assurance
QC	quality control
RW	remediation well
Speedway	Speedway, LLC
Stantec	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
Tesoro	Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company
TMB	Trimethylbenzene
UST	underground storage tank
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds

## 1.0 SITE BACKGROUND

Background information for this site is summarized in **Appendix A**.

## 2.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

On August 19, 2022, Stantec completed the following field activities as part of this groundwater monitoring event:

- Measured the depth to groundwater in Monitoring Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-4. In addition, the pumping water level in the remediation well RW 19-1 was measured. Groundwater depth measurements were used by the SampleServe™ program to calculate the hydraulic gradient and direction of flow of the groundwater table.
- Measured the following intrinsic water quality parameters in all five monitoring/remediation wells: pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), and specific conductance.
- Collected groundwater samples from all five monitoring/remediation wells and submitted them for laboratory analysis of: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 8260C for petroleum fuel associated volatile organic compounds including benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX), as well as 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (TMB) and 1,3,5-TMB; Alaska Test Method (AK)101 for GRO; AK102 for DRO, EPA Method 8270D-SIM for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) and metals (ICP) by Method 6010C for sodium.

Prior to conducting the August 19 groundwater monitoring event, on August 16 Stantec completed the monthly injection of chemical oxidizer (chemox) treatment with Klozur One® into the 3 remediation wells (RW-1, RW-2 and RW-3). Field methods and procedures are provided in **Appendix B**. Field measurements and notes are provided in **Appendix C**.

## 3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS

### 3.1 GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS

**Table 1** presents groundwater elevations at this site based on the depths to static groundwater levels measured during this monitoring event. Flow from Remediation Well RW 19-1 was discharging on a continuous basis at an approximate flow rate of 1 gallon per minute (gpm) into injection well RW-2 located in the “footprint” of the former underground storage tank (UST) shown on the site plan presented on **Figure 2**. Between June 23 and July 20 of this year, the pump was turned off to protect the pump from low to intermittent flow during low groundwater elevation conditions due to low rainfall in the early to mid-summer.

**Table 1 Groundwater Elevations**

Measured on August 19, 2022

Monitoring Well Identification	Top of Casing Elevation (feet relative to datum) <sup>1</sup>	Depth to Groundwater (feet btoc)	Groundwater Elevation (feet relative to datum) <sup>1</sup>
MW-1	94.73	19.01	75.72
MW-2	95.04	17.30	77.74
MW-3	94.52	16.56	77.96
MW-4	95.01	17.29	77.72
RW19-1	95.73	26.00	69.73

Key:

1 – Based on a vertical control survey of May 12, 2022, using an elevation datum of 100.00 feet established on the benchmark on the concrete base of the existing on-site drinking water well.

2 – Measured on August 19, with the pump running.

feet btoc – feet below top of monitoring well casing

The hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.020 feet per foot directed northwest at 298 degrees. The calculation of groundwater hydraulic flow was based on the static water levels in the five on-site wells measured with the groundwater recirculation pump on during the monitoring event on August 19. The groundwater flow direction is more westerly than in past monitoring events, while the gradient is generally consistent. A plot of groundwater elevation contours generated by SampleServe software program is included in **Figure 3**. The program uses a combination of kriging and nearest-neighbor analyses to generate the contours.

**Table 2 Historical Groundwater Direction of Flow and Gradient**

Date	Groundwater Flow Direction (azimuth)	Gradient (ft/ft)
10/26/2018	358°	0.03
2/25/2019	66°	0.03
4/25/2019	290°	0.04
7/25/2019	22°	0.013
10/18/2019	353°	0.013
8/11/2020	47°	0.025
3/23/2021	340°	0.024
5/19/2021	59°	0.027
7/14/2021	59°	0.027
10/14/2021	105°	0.04
3/17/2021	312°	0.019
6/22/2022	343°	0.078
8/19/2022	298°	0.020

### 3.2 INTRINSIC WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

Intrinsic water quality data collected during this monitoring event is presented in **Table 3**. ORP measurements ranged from 104.7 millivolts (mV) to 253.0 mV, overall higher than past events. The pH values in all the wells were noted to be slightly below neutral. Specific conductance readings ranged from 700.7 micro-Siemens per centimeter ( $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ ) to 2233  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$  which are higher than typical historical values measured at this site. High conductivity and more oxidizing ORP measurements may be indicative of the short period of time (three days) between the August 19 monitoring event and the previous chemox event.

**Table 3 Intrinsic Water Quality Parameters**  
Measurements taken on August 19, 2022

Well ID	Volume Purged (gallons)	Sheen/Odor	Temp. ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Specific Conductance ( $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm } ^{\circ}\text{C}$ )
MW-1	2.7	N/N	9.3	6.50	1.53	104.7	2233
MW-2	4.8	N/N	8.3	6.65	2.19	231.6	1042
MW-3	3.6	N/Y	7.7	6.74	0.78	253.0	1338
MW-4	5.4	N/N	8.1	6.59	0.37	142.0	1355
RW19-1	NA	N/N	7.8	6.72	6.95	143.7	700.7

Key:

$^{\circ}\text{C}$  – degrees Celsius

$\mu\text{S}/\text{cm } ^{\circ}\text{C}$  – microSiemens per centimeter  $^{\circ}\text{C}$

mg/L – milligrams per liter

mV – millivolts

N – no

NA – not applicable

ORP – oxidation-reduction potential

pH –  $-\log [\text{H}^+]$

SC – specific conductance at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$

Temp. – temperature

Y – yes

NM – Not Measured

### 3.3 ANALYTICAL WATER QUALITY DATA

Historical monitoring data for this site are tabulated in **Appendix D**. Laboratory analytical results for BTEX, GRO, DRO, sodium, naphthalene, 1,2,4-TMB and 1,3,5-TMB detected in groundwater samples collected during this monitoring event are summarized in **Tables 4a and 4b**. The complete laboratory analytical report and laboratory data review checklist is provided in **Appendix E**.

**Table 4a Groundwater Analytical Results for BTEX, GRO, and DRO**

Samples collected on August 19, 2022

Sample Identification	Benzene (mg/L)	Toluene (mg/L)	Ethylbenzene (mg/L)	Xylenes (mg/L)	GRO (mg/L)	DRO (mg/L)
MW-1	<b>0.00606</b>	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	0.000456 J (0.00300)	0.0509 J (0.100)	U (0.800) J4
MW-2	<b>0.0230</b>	0.00171	0.00641	0.00775	0.137	0.198 J, J4
MW-3	0.00389 J ( <b>0.00500</b> )	U (0.00500)	0.00312 J (0.00500)	0.00342 J (0.0150)	0.304 B	1.00
MW-4	<b>0.0921</b>	U (0.00500)	<b>0.0237</b>	0.00253 J (0.0150)	0.638	1.29
RW 19-1	<b>0.0107</b>	0.00104	0.00838	0.02244	0.210	0.443 B, J (0.800)
DUP01 (dup. of MW-3)	<b>0.0119</b>	0.000389 J (0.00100)	0.0106	0.02237	0.559	1.49 B
Trip Blank	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00300)	NM	NM
<b>GCLs</b>	<b>0.0046</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>0.015</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.5</b>

**Table 4b Groundwater Analytical Results for Naphthalene, Trimethylbenzene (TMB) and Sodium**

Samples collected on August 19, 2022

Sample Identification	Naphthalene <sup>1</sup> (mg/L)	1,2,4-TMB (mg/L)	1,3,5-TMB (mg/L)	Sodium (mg/L)
MW-1	U 0.000250)	U (0.00100)	0.000106 J (0.00100)	85.3
MW-2	U (0.000250)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	86.3
MW-3	<b>0.00253</b>	0.00367 J (0.00500)	0.00143 J (0.00500)	68.9
MW-4	<b>0.00657</b>	U (0.00500)	U (0.00500)	104
RW 19-1	0.000186 J (0.000250)	0.00173	0.000659 J (0.00100)	36.9
DUP01 (dup. of MW-3)	<b>0.00315</b>	0.0280	0.00707	68.0
Trip Blank	NM	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	NM
<b>GCLs</b>	<b>0.0017</b>	<b>0.056</b>	<b>0.060</b>	<b>NA</b>



Key:

- 1 – Analyzed by EPA Method 8270D-SIM
- B – The same analyte is found in the associated blank.
- BTEX – benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes
- DRO – Diesel range organics, analyzed by AK102
- GCLs – Groundwater cleanup levels, per ADEC 18 AAC 75.345, Table C, updated September 29, 2018.
- GRO – Gasoline range organics, analyzed by AK101
- J – The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.
- J4 – The associated batch QC was outside the established quality control range for accuracy.
- NA – Not applicable.
- TMB – Trimethylbenzene.
- U – Undetected above laboratory reporting limits shown in parentheses.

AK – Alaska Test Method

DUP – Duplicate

mg/L – milligrams per liter

NM – Not measured.

**Bold** indicates the concentration exceeds the GCL or, if not detected, the practical quantitation limit exceeds the GCL.

### 3.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA)/ QUALITY CONTROL (QC) REVIEW

Pace analytical performed all analysis of groundwater samples for this sampling event. **Table 5** provides a summary of the laboratory QC objectives and outcomes for this monitoring event. Laboratory QC data and the ADEC Laboratory Data Review Checklist are included with the laboratory report in **Appendix E**.

A duplicate sample set was collected to determine the precision of the field collection and laboratory analyses for this sampling event. Sample DUP01 is a duplicate of sample MW-3. The data presented in **Table 5** shows that the precision for the duplicate sample set (analytes that were detected above the practical quantitation limit [PQL] and exceeded GCLs) was outside the established QA criteria for BTEX, GRO, and DRO. The lab flagged sample foaming in BTEX samples from monitoring wells MW-3 and MW-4, and the cooler was opened at the lab outside the accepted temperature range due to sitting unrefrigerated over the weekend.

**Table 5 Laboratory Quality Control Objectives**

Quality Control Designation	Tolerance	Results for this Event
<b>Holding Times</b>		
DRO/Water/to analyze	40 days	10-13 days
DRO/Water/to extract	14 days	8-13 days
GRO/Water/to analyze	14 days	7-11 days
BTEX/Water/to analyze	14 days	6-10 days
<b>Field Duplicates – Precision</b>		
Benzene/Water	± 30%	<b>101%</b>
Toluene/Water	± 30%	NC
Ethylbenzene/Water	± 30%	<b>109%</b>
Xylenes/Water	± 30%	<b>147%</b>
GRO/Water	± 30%	<b>59.1%</b>
DRO/Water	± 30%	<b>39.4%</b>

Key:

% – percent

± – plus or minus

BTEX – benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes

DRO – diesel range organics

GRO – gasoline range organics

NC – Not computed due to non-detectable levels in original and/or duplicate samples

## 4.0 REMEDIATION SYSTEM

Upon arrival to the site on June 22, 2022, the well pump in the on-site recirculation well RW 19-1 was discharging at a measured flow rate of approximately 1.5 gallons per minute (gpm) with pressure fluctuating as the well pumped dry due to low rainfall in the region early in the summer. The well pump was turned off on June 23 to avoid damage to the system. During the chemox injection event on July 20, the pump was restarted and began discharging approximately 1 gallon of water per minute into the on-site treatment/remediation (injection) well RW-2 that is located within the footprint of the former UST (**Figure 2**). The submersible pump in the recirculation well was set up to operate on a continuous basis (24 hours each day).

The re-circulation of pumped groundwater from RM 19-1 is coupled with periodic injection (typically on a quarterly basis and a monthly basis during the non-freeze time of year) of a chemox product that is injected into the three remediation wells. On July 20 and August 16, 2022, Stantec completed groundwater remediation event that included the injection of chemox solution into the three treatment/remediation wells. The injection process involved the manual injection of a mixture of two 55-pound bags of Klozur One<sup>®</sup> product and 50 gallons of tap water into each of the three remediation wells (RW-1, RW-2 and RW-3) for a total of 100 gallons per well and 300 gallons of chemox solution total. It was noted that the chemox solution was accepted less readily in well RW-2 than the other wells. Following the injection of the chemox solution, Stantec injected an additional 100-200 gallons of tap water into each remediation well to hydraulically push the chemox mixture into the subsurface formation. Upon completion of the chemox injection process, the flow from the on-site recirculation well (RW 19-1) was reconnected to discharge constant flow into RW-2. The next scheduled monthly injection of chemox into the treatment wells is planned for the last week of September 2022.

## 5.0 DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Historical results for the current and previous monitoring events are presented in **Appendix D**. The laboratory analytical sample results showed petroleum associated analytes were present at concentrations exceeding ADEC groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) as listed in Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) 18AAC 75.345 Table C (9/18/2019) for the following monitoring wells:

- Monitoring Well MW-1: Benzene.
- Monitoring Well MW-2: Benzene.
- Monitoring well MW-3: Naphthalene, as well as benzene and naphthalene in the duplicate sample.
- Monitoring well MW-4: Benzene, ethylbenzene, and naphthalene.
- Remediation Well RW 19-1: Benzene.

The hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.020 feet per foot directed north-northwest at 298 degrees.

## **6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

No anomalies were found during the 3Q August 2022 monitoring event at this site that would require additional corrective action or changes to the ADEC-approved year 2022 Corrective Action Work Plan for this site.

## **7.0 LIMITATIONS**

Stantec conducted this monitoring event in accordance with the 2022 Corrective Action Work Plan approved by ADEC, and in a manner consistent with the level of skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing under similar conditions. All sampling activities were completed in accordance with the ADEC *Underground Storage Tanks Procedures Manual – Standard Sampling Procedures* (March 22, 2017). The conclusions in this report are Stantec’s professional opinion, as of the time of the report, and concerning the scope described in the report. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the scope of work was conducted and do not take into account any subsequent changes. This report relates solely to the specific project for which Stantec was retained and the stated purpose for which the report was prepared. The report is not to be used or relied on for any variation or extension of the project, or for any other project or purpose, and any unauthorized use or reliance is at the recipient’s own risk.


This report is intended solely for use by the client in accordance with Stantec’s contract with the client. While the report may be provided to applicable authorities having jurisdiction and others for whom the client is responsible, Stantec does not warrant the services to any third party. The report may not be relied upon by any other party without the express written consent of Stantec, which may be withheld at Stantec’s discretion.


---

## **FIGURES**


---

- Figure 1 Location and Vicinity Map  
Figure 2 Site Plan with Analytical Results  
Figure 3 Groundwater Elevation and Contours
-





SEWARD MERIDIAN PKWY



SITE DATA COMPARED TO ADEC Groundwater Cleanup Levels (GCLs)			
ND	NOT DETECTED	124-TMB	0.056 mg/L
--	NOT SAMPLED	135-TMB	0.06 mg/L
50	SAMPLED & UNDER GCL	BENZENE	0.0046 mg/L
100	SAMPLED & OVER GCL	DRO	1.5 mg/L
EP	FREE PRODUCT	ETHYLBENZENE	0.015 mg/L
DISPLAYED IN mg/L		GRO	2.2 mg/L
		NAPHTHALENE	0.0017 mg/L
		SODIUM	
		TOLUENE	1.1 mg/L
		XYLENES	0.19 mg/L

MW-1	8/19/22
124-TMB	U(0.00100)
135-TMB	0.000106
BENZENE	<b>0.00606</b>
DRO	U(0.800)
ETHYLBENZENE	U(0.00100)
GRO	0.0509
NAPHTHALENE	U(0.000250)
SODIUM	85.3
TOLUENE	U(0.00100)
XYLENES	0.000456

RW19-1	8/19/22
124-TMB	0.00173
135-TMB	0.000659
BENZENE	<b>0.0107</b>
DRO	0.443
ETHYLBENZENE	0.00838
GRO	0.21
NAPHTHALENE	0.000186
SODIUM	36.9
TOLUENE	0.00104
XYLENES	0.02244

MW-4	8/19/22
124-TMB	U(0.00500)
135-TMB	U(0.00500)
BENZENE	<b>0.0921</b>
DRO	1.29
ETHYLBENZENE	<b>0.0237</b>
GRO	0.638
NAPHTHALENE	<b>0.00657</b>
SODIUM	104
TOLUENE	U(0.00500)
XYLENES	0.00253

MW-2	8/19/22
124-TMB	U(0.00100)
135-TMB	U(0.00100)
BENZENE	<b>0.023</b>
DRO	0.198
ETHYLBENZENE	0.00641
GRO	0.137
NAPHTHALENE	U(0.000250)
SODIUM	86.3
TOLUENE	0.00171
XYLENES	0.00775

MW-3	8/19/22
124-TMB	0.028
135-TMB	0.00707
BENZENE	<b>0.0119</b>
DRO	1.49
ETHYLBENZENE	0.0106
GRO	0.559
NAPHTHALENE	<b>0.00315</b>
SODIUM	68.9
TOLUENE	U(0.00500)
XYLENES	<b>0.2237</b>

- LEGEND:**
- F-UST FORMER UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK
  - ▲ MONITORING WELL LOCATION
  - REMEDIATION WELL LOCATION
  - DRO DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS
  - GRO GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS
  - GW Elev GROUNDWATER ELEVATION IN FEET
  - RW REMEDIATION WELL
  - TMB TRIMETHYLBENZENE
  - U UNDETECTED ABOVE PRACTICAL QUANTITATION LIMITS SHOWN IN PARENTHESES
  - W DRINKING WATER WELL

CAMERON ACRES  
BLOCK 1  
LOT 7



---

**APPENDIX A**

---

*Site Background*

---



---

## APPENDIX A – SITE BACKGROUND

**Speedway Store 5314 (former Tesoro 2 Go Mart #76)** located at 3600 Palmer-Wasilla Highway, Wasilla, Alaska  
**ADEC File #100.26.159**

Speedway Store 5314 (former Tesoro 2 Go Mart #76) is a retail fuel and convenience store facility located at 3600 Palmer-Wasilla Highway, Wasilla, Alaska (Figure 1). The legal description for the property is Lot 7, Block 1, Cameron Acres Subdivision, Matanuska-Susitna Borough.

Two 15,000-gallon underground storage tanks (USTs) were installed at the site in 1995. Based on historical records, this is the first retail fuel convenience store to occupy this location. The site is covered with asphalt paving with concrete in the area over the USTs and fuel dispenser islands. The former UST system and dispensing components were removed from September to October 2014 and replaced with a new UST fueling system. The new UST fueling system consists of two 15,000-gallon fiberglass-reinforced plastic, double-walled USTs installed on January 29, 2015, and seven fuel dispensers (six gasoline and one diesel). Distribution piping consists of 2-inch fiberglass primary and 3-inch fiberglass secondary.

In addition, the former convenience store was demolished and replaced with a new convenience store that was constructed at a different location on the property. The property is over 1 acre in size and is served with an on-site drinking water well and on-site septic tank and drainfield system.

**October 2014.** During the 2014 Site Assessment of the UST closure, a petroleum fuel release was discovered in the subsurface soils partially surrounding and underlying the USTs. At that time, a very deep test pit was excavated beneath the former USTs to the groundwater table. Field screening with a photoionization detector (PID) indicated that petroleum contamination was present throughout the vadose zone and extended to the underlying groundwater table. Due to site safety concerns with sloughing soils, it was not feasible to excavate all of the contaminated soil below the former USTs.

A Release Investigation (RI) was conducted by MWH Americas, Inc. (MWH) subsequent to the closure of the former USTs. The RI included the installation of a soil vapor extraction (SVE) remediation well and several groundwater monitoring wells. MWH completed a groundwater monitoring event after the monitoring wells were installed. Follow-up water samples were collected from the onsite drinking water well for appropriate laboratory analyses.

The findings of the RI indicated a significant amount of petroleum contamination had impacted the subsurface soils and shallow groundwater table at the site. The soil samples collected indicate higher concentrations of gasoline range organics (GRO) and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) constituents directly below the location occupied by the former USTs at Remediation Wells RW-2 and RW-3. Benzene was detected above Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) groundwater cleanup level (GCL) in groundwater at monitoring wells installed at the site. GRO contaminants have also impacted the groundwater table. The system has been monitored on a quarterly basis since the completion of the RI.

---

**February 2015.** Benzene exceeded the GCL in Monitoring Well MW-2. BTEX, GRO, and diesel range organics (DRO) exceeded GCLs in Monitoring Well MW-3. Benzene, toluene, and GRO exceeded GCLs in Monitoring Well MW-4.

**June 2015.** MWH installed and placed into operation a SVE system at the site. Early results indicate that the system is effectively removing petroleum-related vapors from the subsurface. Additionally, a surface water sample was collected from an on-site nearby wetland surface water area. Xylenes and DRO were detected in the water sample; however, the concentrations were below the ADEC groundwater and surface water cleanup levels.

**September 2015.** Benzene and DRO exceeded GCLs in Monitoring Well MW-2. BTEX and DRO exceeded GCLs in Monitoring Well MW-3. Benzene exceeded GCL in Monitoring Well MW-4. The SVE remediation system blower was offline, requiring maintenance.

**November 2015.** Benzene exceeded GCL in Monitoring Well MW-1. Benzene, GRO, and DRO exceeded the GCL in Monitoring Well MW-2. Benzene, toluene, and GRO all remained above their GCLs, consistent with the past five monitoring events, at Monitoring Well MW-3.

**December 2015.** Maintenance was performed on the SVE system on December 31, 2015. A replacement SVE system blower was installed. The system was brought back online on the date of the replacement blower installation. A PID was used to monitor the system effluent after the initial 15 minutes of operation and indicated that 424 parts per million by volume were being removed by the system.

**January 2016.** Benzene exceeded the GCL in Monitoring Well MW-1. Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and DRO exceeded their GCLs in Monitoring Well MW-2; and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, GRO, and DRO exceeded their GCLs in Monitoring Well MW-3. The laboratory did not provide results for requested GRO analyses for samples from Monitoring Wells MW-2 and MW-4.

**May 2016.** In Monitoring Wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-4, only benzene exceeded GCL. MW-3 exceeded GCLs for all analytes tested. There were no detections in the Carmen Lot 7 drinking water sample. An SVE effluent sample was collected to monitor SVE performance.

**October 2016.** In Monitoring Well MW-1, only benzene exceeded GCL. In Monitoring Well MW-2, all analytes but toluene and DRO exceeded GCLs. Monitoring Well MW-3 exceeded GCLs for all analytes tested. Monitoring Well MW-4 had no exceedances. There were no detections in the Carmen Lot 7 drinking water sample. An SVE effluent sample was collected to monitor SVE performance.

**December 2016.** In Monitoring Well MW-1, only benzene exceeded GCL. In Monitoring Well MW-2, all analytes but toluene exceeded GCLs. Monitoring Well MW-3 exceeded GCLs for benzene, GRO, and DRO. Monitoring Well MW-4 and the Carmen Lot 7 drinking water sample had no exceedances. Both Monitoring Wells MW-3 and MW-4 had insufficient sample volumes to complete all analytical testing. The SVE system observed for operation and performance.

---

**February 2017.** Benzene was the only analyte to exceed the GCL in Monitoring Wells MW-1 and MW-4. Benzene and ethylbenzene exceeded GCLs in Monitoring Well MW-2, and all analytes exceeded their GCLs in Monitoring Well MW-3. The SVE system was frozen due to record cold temperatures experienced during January 2017. A subsequent site visit on February 16, 2017, was made to thaw and restore the SVE system to normal operation.

**April 2017.** In addition to testing for BTEX, DRO, and GRO, expanded testing for volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) were conducted on all monitoring wells. Benzene was the only analyte to exceed the GCL in Monitoring Wells MW-1 and MW-4. BTEX (minus toluene) and GRO exceeded their GCLs in Monitoring Well MW-2, consistent with previous monitoring events. The expanded testing found 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene and naphthalene to also exceed GCLs. In Monitoring Well MW-3, BTEX and DRO exceeded their GCLs, also consistent with previous monitoring events. The expanded testing found 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, and naphthalene to also exceed GCLs. Pilot Testing (conducted in May 2017) of air injection into remediation wells to volatilize groundwater and smear zone contaminants indicated a slight increase of volatilization when air is injected into RW-2, and RW-3.

**September 2017.** Except for the following, all analytes were below GCLs in the wells sampled:

- Monitoring Well MW-1 – benzene exceeded the GCL.
- Monitoring Well MW-2 – benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes and GRO exceeded their GCLs.
- Monitoring Well MW-3 – BTEX, GRO, and DRO were above their GCLs. The MW-3 duplicate sample provided results within established Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) standards.
- Monitoring Well MW-4 – benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and GRO exceeded their GCLs.

The SVE contaminant vapor mass removal was less than observed during pilot test in May 2017 and requires additional optimization.

**February 2018.** Except for the following, all analytes were below GCLs in the wells sampled:

- Monitoring Well MW-1 – benzene.
- Monitoring Well MW-2 – benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and GRO (GRO was not detected, but the Reporting Limit exceeded the GCL).
- Monitoring Well MW-3 – BTEX and GRO (GRO was not detected, but the Reporting Limit exceeded the GCL). The MW-3 duplicate sample provided results within established QA/QC standards.
- Monitoring Well MW-4 – benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and GRO.

The SVE contaminant vapor mass removal was less than previously observed on site. In addition, the field work included an assessment of the buried piping systems for the air sparging (AS) and SVE systems. The assessment was performed with a downhole camera capable of recording

---

photographs and video of the interior conditions of the piping system. The findings of the downhole camera assessment of the buried piping system was inconclusive.

**June 2018.** The results from the June 29, 2018, monitoring event supports the continued pattern that GRO contamination persists on site and is observed in Monitoring Wells MW-2 and MW-3. In addition, Monitoring Well MW-3 is consistently the most contaminated well. In summary, the results of the groundwater analytical sampling showed that analytes detected above the GCLs were:

- Monitoring Well MW-1: Benzene.
- Monitoring Well MW-2: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, GRO, and naphthalene.
- Monitoring Well MW-3: BTEX, GRO and naphthalene. Except for GRO, the duplicate sample provided results within established QA/QC standards.
- Monitoring Well MW-4: Benzene, ethylbenzene, and naphthalene.

A representative water sample from the on-site drinking water well serving the Tesoro 2 Go Mart was sampled and tested for VOCs. The water sample was found to have no detectable levels of contaminants of concern, except the laboratory reporting limits were over the GCLs for 1,1,2-trichloroethane (TCA) and vinyl chloride.

The SVE contaminant vapor mass removal is very low and based on the recent pattern of decline suggest that the SVE system performance requires additional optimization. Alternative treatment options are currently being evaluated and, if determine feasible, a new work plan will be presented to ADEC for review and approval prior to making any changes.

**September 2018.** Results of the groundwater analytical sampling showed that analytes detected above ADEC GCLs were:

- Monitoring Well MW-1: Benzene.
- Monitoring Well MW-2: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, GRO, naphthalene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene.
- Monitoring Well MW-3: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, GRO, naphthalene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene.
- Monitoring Well MW-4: Benzene, and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene.

Several analytes for VOCs were reported as undetected but had laboratory reporting limits that equaled or exceeded their corresponding GCLs. The results from this monitoring event supports the continued pattern that GRO contamination persists at the site and is observed in Monitoring Wells MW-2 and MW-3. In addition, Monitoring Well MW-3 is consistently the most contaminated well.

The approximate hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.03 feet per foot directed toward the north-northeast at 14 degrees. The groundwater flow direction and gradient are consistent with past monitoring events.

---

The SVE contaminant vapor mass removal is very low and, based on the recent pattern of decline, suggests that the SVE system performance requires additional optimization. Alternative treatment options are currently being evaluated and, if determine feasible, a new work plan will be presented to ADEC for review and approval prior to making any changes.

**October 2018.** Results of the groundwater analytical sampling showed that analytes detected above ADEC GCLs were:

- Monitoring Well MW-1: Benzene.
- Monitoring Wells MW-2 and MW-3: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, GRO, naphthalene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene.
- Monitoring Well MW-4: Benzene.

Several VOCs were reported as undetected but had laboratory reporting limits that equaled or exceeded their corresponding GCLs. The results from this October 26, 2018, monitoring event supports the continued pattern that GRO contamination persists at the site and is observed in Monitoring Wells MW-2 and MW-3. In addition, Monitoring Well MW-3 is consistently the most contaminated on-site monitoring well.

The approximate hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.03 feet per foot directed toward the north at 358 degrees. The groundwater flow direction and gradient are consistent with past monitoring events.

The SVE contaminant vapor mass removal is very low and, based on the recent pattern of decline, suggests that the SVE system performance requires additional optimization. Alternative treatment options are currently being evaluated and, if determined to be feasible, a new work plan will be presented to ADEC in 2019 for review and approval prior to making any changes.

**February 2019.** Results of the groundwater analytical sampling showed that analytes detected above ADEC GCLs were:

- Monitoring Well MW-2: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and GRO.
- Monitoring Well MW-3: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and DRO.
- Monitoring Well MW-4: Benzene

The existing bio-sparge treatment system is not functional and will be replaced. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter of 2019, Stantec plans to install a groundwater recirculation system based on pump and treat technology. The SVE contaminant vapor mass removal is very low and, based on the recent pattern of decline, suggests that the SVE system performance requires additional optimization. Alternative treatment options are currently being evaluated and, if determine feasible, a new work plan will be presented to ADEC for review and approval prior to making any changes.

**April 2019.** The monitoring event included: measuring the depth to groundwater; measuring water quality parameters; and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-4, as well as the on-site drinking water well.

---

Results of the groundwater analytical sampling showed that analytes detected above ADEC GCLs in the primary samples were:

- Monitoring Well MW-2: Benzene, xylenes, GRO, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, and naphthalene.
- Monitoring Well MW-3: Benzene, GRO, and naphthalene.

A representative water sample from the on-site drinking water well serving the Tesoro 2Go Mart was sampled and tested for VOCs. The water sample was found to have no detectable levels of contaminants of concern, except the laboratory reporting limits were over the GCLs for 1,1,2-TCA, 1,2,3-trichloropropane, 1,2-dibromoethane, and vinyl chloride.

The groundwater hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.04 feet per foot directed toward the west-northwest at 290 degrees. The groundwater flow direction and gradient are inconsistent with past monitoring events in that the direction of flow is to the west rather than historically to the north with a slightly higher gradient.

**July 2019.** The monitoring event included: measuring the depth to groundwater; measuring water quality parameters; and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-4.

Results of the groundwater analytical sampling showed that analytes detected above ADEC GCLs in the primary samples were:

- Monitoring Well MW-1: Benzene.
- Monitoring Well MW-2: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and GRO.
- Monitoring Well MW-3: BTEX, GRO, and DRO.
- Monitoring Well MW-4: Benzene.

The groundwater hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.013 feet per foot directed toward the north-northeast at 22 degrees. The groundwater flow direction and gradient are consistent with past monitoring events.

Stantec plans to drill a new 4" diameter remediation well and repurpose the current bio-sparg system. The new well and bio-sparg system will be converted into a groundwater recirculation system to allow injection of chemical oxidation products. The implementation of this change in the remediation system will occur in the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of 2019.

**October 2019.** The monitoring event included: measuring the depth to groundwater; measuring water quality parameters; and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-4.

Results of the groundwater analytical sampling showed that analytes detected above ADEC GCLs in the primary samples were:

- Monitoring Well MW-2: Benzene and ethylbenzene.

- 
- Monitoring Well MW-3: benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and GRO.
  - Monitoring Well MW-4: Benzene.

The groundwater hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.013 feet per foot directed toward the north at 350 degrees. The groundwater flow direction and gradient are consistent with past monitoring events.

Stantec plans to drill a new 4" diameter remediation well (RW 19-1) and repurpose the current bio-sparg system. The new well and bio-sparg system will be converted into a groundwater recirculation system to allow injection of chemical oxidation products. The implementation of this change in the remediation system will occur in the 2nd quarter of 2020.

**August 2020.** The 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter groundwater monitoring event included: measuring the depth to groundwater; measuring water quality parameters; and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, and Remediation Well RW19-1.

Results of the groundwater analytical sampling showed that analytes detected above ADEC groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) in the primary samples were:

- Monitoring Well MW-2: Benzene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes.
- Monitoring Well MW-3: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, gasoline range organics (GRO), and diesel range organics (DRO).
- Monitoring Well MW-4: Benzene.

The hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.025 feet per foot directed toward the north at 47 degrees. The groundwater flow direction and gradient are consistent with past monitoring events. A historical summary of the groundwater flow for the last 10 monitoring events is shown in the "rose diagram" presented on the Site Plan drawing.

In 2019 Stantec installed a groundwater recirculation system based on pump and treat technology. The 4" diameter remediation well (RW 19-1) that was installed in October 2019, is connected to the existing underground piping system (formerly used for the bio-sparg system) consisting of 3 vertical injection wells located under the northeast portion of the existing store building. Chemical oxidation injection of Klozur One<sup>®</sup> product directly into the 3 vertical injection wells was conducted during this monitoring event. A total of 330 pounds of Klozur One<sup>®</sup> and 750 gallons of water pumped from RW19-1 was injected into the in-situ groundwater treatment system.

**October 2020.** The 4<sup>th</sup> quarter groundwater monitoring event included: measuring the depth to groundwater; measuring intrinsic water quality parameters; and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from monitoring wells MW-01, MW-02, MW-03, MW-04 and remediation well RW19-1.

Results of the groundwater analytical sampling showed that analytes detected above ADEC GCLs in the primary samples were:

- Monitoring well MW-1: Benzene

- 
- Monitoring well MW-2: Benzene, ethylbenzene, and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene.
  - Monitoring well MW-3: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, gasoline range organics (GRO), diesel range organics (DRO), naphthalene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene.
  - Monitoring well MW-4: Benzene.

No contaminants were detected in the drinking water sample collected from the water spigot in the store's utility sink.

The hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.032 feet per foot directed toward the north-east at 28 degrees. The groundwater flow direction and gradient are consistent with past monitoring events. A historical summary of the groundwater flow for the last 11 monitoring events is shown in the "rose diagram" presented on the Site Plan drawing.

On September 3, 2020 - prior to the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter groundwater monitoring event, Stantec completed an injection of chemox products. A chemox solution consisting of two 55-pound bags of Klozur One<sup>®</sup> product mixed with 50 gallons of water was injected into each of the three remediation wells of the former bio-sparg system (RW-1, RW-2, and RW-3). An additional 200 gallons of water from RW19-1 was injected directly into each remediation well (RW-1, RW-2, and RW-3) immediately after the injection of the chemox solution. In summary, a total of 330 pounds of Klozur One<sup>®</sup> and 750 gallons of water pumped from RW19-1 was injected into the in-situ groundwater treatment system.

**March 2021.** The 1st quarter 2021 groundwater monitoring event included: measuring the depth to groundwater; measuring intrinsic water quality parameters; and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from monitoring wells MW-01, MW-02, MW-03, MW-04 and remediation well RW19-1.

Results of the groundwater analytical sampling showed that analytes detected above ADEC GCLs in the primary samples were:

- Monitoring well MW-2: Benzene.
- Monitoring well MW-3: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, toluene, gasoline range organics (GRO), and diesel range organics (DRO).
- Monitoring well MW-4: Benzene.

The hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.024 feet per foot directed toward the northwest at 340 degrees. The calculated groundwater gradient and flow direction do not account for the water table drawdown associated with remediation well RW19-1. The groundwater gradient and flow direction are consistent with past monitoring events.

The operation of the groundwater recirculation well (RW 19-1) was checked and noted to be operating within normal range. The submersible pump runs on a continuous basis and observed to discharge approximately a total flow rate of 1.5 gallons per minute into the three on-site injection wells (RW-1, RW-2 and RW-3) that are located within the "footprint" of the former underground storage tank (UST).



---

**May 2021.** The 2nd quarter 2021 groundwater monitoring event included: measuring the depth to groundwater; measuring intrinsic water quality parameters; and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from monitoring wells MW-01, MW-02, MW-03, MW-04 and remediation well RW19-1.

Results of the groundwater analytical sampling showed that analytes detected above ADEC GCLs in the primary samples were:

- Monitoring well MW-2: Benzene.
- Monitoring well MW-3: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, toluene, GRO, DRO, naphthalene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene.
- Monitoring well MW-4: Benzene.

The approximate hydraulic gradient and direction of groundwater flow across the site was found to be approximately 0.027 feet per foot directed toward the northeast at 59 degrees. The calculated groundwater gradient and flow direction do not account for the water table drawdown associated with remediation well RW19-1. The groundwater gradient and flow direction are generally consistent with past monitoring events. The gradient and direction of flow was graphically calculated by triangulation method.

The operation of the groundwater recirculation well (RW 19-1) was checked and noted to be operating within normal range. The submersible pump runs on a continuous basis and observed to discharge approximately a total flow rate of 1 to 2 gallons per minute into the three on-site injection wells (RW-1, RW-2 and RW-3) that are located within the “footprint” of the former underground storage tank (UST).

Chemox injection via the three remediation wells took place on May 19, 2021, during the completion of the groundwater monitoring event. Stantec completed an injection of two 55-pound bags of Klozur One<sup>®</sup> product mixed with 50 gallons of water was injected into each of the three remediation wells of the former bio-sparg system (RW-1, RW-2, and RW-3) for a total 330 pounds of Klozur One<sup>®</sup> and 750 gallons of water pumped from RW19-1 was injected into the in-situ groundwater treatment system. The next scheduled injection of chemox into the treatment wells is planned for the third quarter of 2021.

**July 2021.** The 2nd quarter 2021 groundwater monitoring event included: measuring the depth to groundwater; measuring intrinsic water quality parameters; and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from monitoring wells MW-01, MW-02, MW-03, MW-04 and remediation well RW19-1.

Results of the groundwater analytical sampling showed that analytes detected above ADEC GCLs in the samples were:

- Monitoring well MW-3: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, gasoline range organics (GRO), diesel range organics (DRO), 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (TMB) and 1,3,5-TMB.

- 
- Monitoring well MW-4: Benzene.

However, the laboratory reported the test results for naphthalene in all of the wells were non-detect but all of them were above the ADEC GCL for naphthalene. Consequently, are shown in this report as exceedance of the naphthalene GCL.

The hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.027 feet per foot directed toward the northeast at 59 degrees. The calculation by triangulation of groundwater hydraulic flow was based on the static water levels in the four on-site monitoring wells and the pumping water level in “pump and treat” well (RW 19-1). The groundwater gradient and flow direction are generally consistent with past monitoring events.

The operation of the groundwater recirculation “pump and treat” well (RW 19-1) was checked and noted to be operating within normal range. The well’s submersible pump runs on a continuous basis (24 hours each day). Upon arrival to the site on July 28, 2021, the well pump was discharging approximately 1.4 gallons per minute (gpm) into the three on-site treatment/remediation (injection) wells (RW-1, RW-2 and RW-3) that are located within the “footprint” of the former underground storage tank (UST). The pumped groundwater is treated in-situ with a chemical oxidation (chemox) injection process.

On July 28, 2021, Stantec completed groundwater remediation event that included the injection of chemical oxidation (chemox) solution into the three treatment/remediation wells. The injection process involved the manual injection of a mixture of two 55-pound bags of Klozur One<sup>®</sup> product and 50 gallons of tap water into each of the three remediation wells. Following the injection of the chemox solution, Stantec injected additional 250 to 300 gallons of tap water to “hydraulically push” the chemox mixture into each remediation well.

**October 2021:** The fourth quarter 2021 monitoring event was conducted on October 14, 2021, and included the following field activities: measuring the depth to groundwater; measuring water quality parameters; and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, and Remediation Well RW19-1. In addition, a representative water sample was collected for analysis for appropriate drinking water parameters from the store’s on-site drinking water well. The laboratory analytical sample results showed petroleum associated analytes were present at concentrations exceeding ADEC groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) for the following monitoring wells:

- Monitoring well MW-1: Benzene
- Monitoring well MW-2: Benzene and ethylbenzene.
- Monitoring well MW-3: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, gasoline range organics (GRO), diesel range organics (DRO), naphthalene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (TMB) and 1,3,5-TMB.
- Monitoring well MW-4: Benzene.

No contaminants of concern were detected in the drinking water sample collected from the store.

The hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.04 feet per foot directed toward the west-northwest at 285 degrees. The calculation of groundwater hydraulic flow was performed by the “Surfer<sup>®</sup>” modeling software in conjunction with the static water levels in the four on-site monitoring wells and the pumping water level in “pump and treat” recirculation well (RW 19-1). Due to the operation of the recirculation well RW-19-1, the groundwater flow direction

---

was slightly altered to the west and the gradient was slightly higher compared to past monitoring events.

The well pump in RW-19-1 was discharging approximately 1.4 gallons per minute (gpm) into the three on-site treatment/remediation (injection) wells (RW-1, RW-2 and RW-3) that are located within the footprint of the former underground storage tank (UST). The well's submersible pump runs on a continuous basis (24 hours each day). The pumped groundwater is treated in-situ with the periodic dosing/injection of a chemical oxidant (chemox) product.

On October 1, 2021, Stantec completed groundwater remediation event that included the injection of chemox solution into the three treatment/remediation wells. The injection process involved the Speedway Store 5314 (former Tesoro 2 Go Mart #76) Page 2 October 2021 4Q Monitoring Event Report November 2021 manual injection of a mixture of two 55-pound bags of Klozur One® product and 50 gallons of tap water into each of the three remediation wells. Following the injection of the chemox solution, Stantec injected additional 250 to 300 gallons of tap water into each remediation well to hydraulically push the chemox mixture into the subsurface formation.

**March 2022:** This first quarter 2022 monitoring event report was conducted on March 17, 2022 and included the following field activities: measuring the depth to groundwater; measuring water quality parameters; and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, and Remediation Well RW19-1.

The laboratory analytical sample results showed petroleum associated analytes were present at concentrations exceeding ADEC groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) as listed in Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) 18AAC 75.345 Table C (9/18/2019) for the following monitoring wells:

- Monitoring Well MW-2: Benzene.
- Monitoring well MW-3: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, gasoline range organics (GRO), diesel range organics (DRO), naphthalene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (TMB), and 1,3,5-TMB.
- Monitoring well MW-4: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, gasoline range organics (GRO), diesel range organics (DRO), naphthalene, 1,2,4-TMB, and 1,3,5-TMB.
- Remediation Well RW19-1: Benzene.

The hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.019 feet per foot directed northwest at 312 degrees. The calculation of groundwater hydraulic flow was based on the static water levels in the five on-site wells measured during the monitoring event on March 17. The groundwater gradient and flow direction are generally consistent with past monitoring events.

On March 25, 2022, Stantec completed groundwater remediation event that included the injection of chemical oxidation (chemox) solution into the three treatment/remediation wells. The injection process involved the manual injection of a mixture of two 55-pound bags of Klozur One® product and 50 gallons of tap water into each of the three remediation wells for a total of 100 gallons per well and 300 gallons of chemox solution total. Following the injection of the chemox solution,

---

Stantec injected an additional 100 gallons of tap water into each remediation well to hydraulically push the chemox mixture into the subsurface formation.

**June 2022:** This second quarter 2022 monitoring event report was conducted on June 22 and 23, 2022 and included the following field activities: measuring the depth to groundwater; measuring water quality parameters; and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, and Remediation Well RW19-1.

The laboratory analytical sample results showed petroleum associated analytes were present at concentrations exceeding ADEC groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) as listed in Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) 18AAC 75.345 Table C (9/18/2019) for the following monitoring wells:

- Monitoring Well MW-1: Benzene.
- Monitoring Well MW-2: Benzene.
- Monitoring well MW-3: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, gasoline range organics (GRO), diesel range organics (DRO), naphthalene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (TMB), and 1,3,5-TMB.
- Monitoring well MW-4: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, GRO, naphthalene, 1,2,4-TMB, and 1,3,5-TMB.
- Remediation Well RW19-1: Benzene, ethylbenzene and 1,2,4-TMB.

The hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.078 feet per foot directed north-northwest at 343 degrees.

During the 2Q 2022, Stantec completed two groundwater remediation events that included the monthly injection of chemical oxidation (chemox) solution into the three treatment/remediation wells. The chemox was injected on May 16 and June 16, 2022. The chemox injection process involved the manual injection of a mixture of two 55-pound bags of Klozur One<sup>®</sup> product and 50 gallons of tap water into each of the three remediation wells (RW-1, RW-2 and RW-3) for a total of 100 gallons per well and 300 gallons of chemox solution total. Following the injection of the chemox solution, Stantec injected an additional one to two hundred gallons of tap water into each remediation well to hydraulically push the chemox mixture into the subsurface formation.

**August 2022:** This third quarter 2022 monitoring event report was conducted on August 19, 2022, and included the following field activities: measuring the depth to groundwater; measuring water quality parameters; and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, and Remediation Well RW19-1.

The laboratory analytical sample results showed petroleum associated analytes were present at concentrations exceeding ADEC GCLs for the following monitoring wells:

- Monitoring Well MW-1: Benzene.
- Monitoring Well MW-2: Benzene.
- Monitoring well MW-3: Naphthalene, as well as benzene and naphthalene in the duplicate sample.

- 
- Monitoring well MW-4: Benzene, ethylbenzene, and naphthalene.
  - Remediation Well RW19-1: Benzene.

The hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.020 feet per foot directed northwest at 298 degrees. The calculation of groundwater hydraulic flow was based on the static water levels in the five on-site wells measured with the groundwater recirculation pump on during the monitoring event on August 19. The groundwater flow direction is more westerly than in past monitoring events, while the gradient is generally consistent.

Flow from RW 19-1 was discharged at approximately 1 gpm on a continuous basis into injection well RW-2 located in the footprint of the former UST. Between June 23 and July 20 of this year, the pump was turned off to protect the pump during low groundwater elevation conditions due to low rainfall in the early to mid-summer.

---

## **APPENDIX B**

---

### *Field Methods & Procedures*

---

## APPENDIX B – FIELD METHODS AND PROCEDURES

**7-11 Store 46745 (Speedway Store 5314 - former Tesoro 2 Go Mart 76)** located at 3600 Palmer-Wasilla Highway, Fairbanks, Alaska

**Lot 7, Block 1, Cameron Acres Subdivision, Matanuska-Susitna Borough**

**ADEC File #2265.26.037**

The following table presents the proposed tasks for the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC)-approved 2022 Corrective Action Plan (CAP). The scope of these tasks is based on the results and findings of the monitoring and remediation completed to date at the site.

### 2022 Work Plan Schedule for 7-11 Store 46745 (Speedway Store 5314 - Former T2GM 76)

Work Plan Task		1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter
Task 1	Monitoring Wells: MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-4 including Remediation/Recirculation Well RW 19-1	V, G, D, P, S & I	V, G, D, P, S & I	V, G, D, P, S & I	V, G, D, P, S & I
	On-site Domestic Drinking Water Well				D & E
Task 2	O&M Recirculation Groundwater Treatment System	✓	✓	✓	✓
Task 3	Chemical Oxidation Treatment	✓	✓	✓	✓

**Key:**

AK – Alaska Test Method

D – Diesel range organics by AK102.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

E – Drinking Water parameters by EPA Test Method 524.2.

G – Gasoline range organics by AK101.

I – Indicators, parameters tested include dissolved oxygen, specific conductance, oxygen-reduction potential, pH, and temperature.

O&M – Operation and Maintenance

V – Volatile organic compounds by EPA Test Method 8260C.

S – Sodium analyzed by Metals (ICP) Method 6010C.

P - Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), i.e., semi-volatile organic compounds, by EPA Test Method 8270D Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM).

The CAP for the year 2022 will be implemented by Stantec on behalf of Speedway. Groundwater monitoring will be conducted to track migration and trends of contaminants that are present at the site. All sampling activities will be completed in accordance with ADEC’s *Underground Storage Tanks Procedures Manual– Standard Sampling Procedures* (March 22, 2017). The methods that will be used for conducting a monitoring event, unless otherwise noted in the monitoring report, will include:

- The static water levels in the monitoring wells will be measured with respect to the top of each well casing. The elevation of the static water level will be based on an arbitrary datum established on-site during a vertical control survey that will be completed by Stantec on an annual basis. The survey will be performed during the summer after the seasonal frost layer thaws.
- The monitoring wells will be purged of a minimum of three well bore volumes prior to collecting the water samples. A new, disposable, Teflon<sup>®</sup> bailer will be used to sample each well. The first bail of water removed from each well will be examined for petroleum odor, sheen, and any other unique physical features.
- Water and vapor samples will be collected in laboratory-supplied sample containers. The samples will be delivered to an ADEC-approved laboratory in accordance with standard chain-of-custody procedures.
- Additional water samples will be collected from the monitoring wells after the well has been purged, as described above, and tested in the field for chemical and physical intrinsic parameters listed in the 2022 Schedule shown above.



---

## **APPENDIX C**

---

### *Field Measurements*

---





Speedway 5314 TNS  
Site Name: 76

Date: 08/19/2022, 4:46 PM

Name(s): Remi Malenfant

Well ID	Free Product (ft)	Water (ft)	Bottom (ft)
MW-2	N/A	17.3	
TOC	Well Dia. (in)	Screen Length (ft)	Well Material
95.07	2.0		PVC
Latitude (decimal)		Longitude (decimal)	
61.5843106137		-149.358489851	

Analytical Parameters	Bottles to be filled
PAH	2 X 40 mL Amber VOAs ✓
DRO	2 X 100 mL Amber Glass ✓
BTEX	3 X 40 mL Amber VOAs ✓
GRO	3 X 40 mL Amber VOAs ✓
Sodium	1 X 250 mL Poly ✓

Purge water disposal: Pour on ground

Type/Model Meter Used: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Calibrated: (date) \_\_\_\_\_ (time) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Cell Vol: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Type/Model Pump Used: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Pump Intake? \_\_\_\_\_ ft  
 Above / Below \_\_\_\_\_ Bottom / TOC

Time	Depth to Water (ft)	Flow Rate (ml/Min)	pH		Conductivity (ms/cm)		Turbidity (NTU)		Dissolved O2 (mg/l)		Temp. (Celsius)		Oxygen Reduction Potential (ORP) mv	
			Reading	Change* (±0.1)	Reading	Change* (±3%)	Reading	Change* (±10% or <5)	Reading	Change* (±10% or <0.5)	Reading	Change* (±3%)	Reading	Change* (±10mv)
10:36	17.3	X												

Sample Collected? Yes Time 16:46 Total Pumped from Well? 0 Gal

NOTES / COMMENTS:  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

\*Minimum pumping time 15 Mins. - Collect data every 3 mins once flow through cell is full or once every volume of the flow through cell based on flow rate, which ever is longest. Indicator Parameters Have Stabilized When 3 Consecutive Readings Are Within: ± 0.1 for pH; ± 3% for Specific Conductivity and Temperature; ± 10 mv for ORP; and ± 10% for Turbidity (when Turbidity is above 5 NTUs) or 3 readings less than 5.0 NTUs; ± 10% mg/l Dissolved Oxygen (when Dissolved Oxygen is above 0.5mg/l) or 3 readings less than 0.5 mg/l.

Speedway 5314 TNS

Date: 08/19/2022, 12:51 PM

Name(s): Remi Malenfant

Site Name: 76

Well ID	Free Product (ft)	Water (ft)	Bottom (ft)	
MW-3	N/A	16.56		
TOC	Well Dia. (in)	Screen Length (ft)	Well Material	
94.52	2.0		PVC	
Latitude (decimal)		Longitude (decimal)		Weather
61.5842287396		-149.358589014		

Analytical Parameters	Bottles to be filled
BTEX	3 X 40 mL Amber VOAs ✓
PAH	2 X 40 mL Amber VOAs ✓
Sodium	1 X 250 mL Poly ✓
GRO	3 X 40 mL Amber VOAs ✓
DRO	2 X 100 mL Amber Glass ✓

Type/Model Meter Used: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Calibrated: (date) \_\_\_\_\_ (time) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Cell Vol: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Type/Model Pump Used: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Pump Intake? \_\_\_\_\_ ft  
 Above / Below Bottom / TOC

Purge water disposal: Pour on ground QA/QC:  
 Duplicate #1

Time	Depth to Water (ft)	Flow Rate (ml/Min)	pH		Conductivity (ms/cm)		Turbidity (NTU)		Dissolved O2 (mg/l)		Temp. (Celsius)		Oxygen Reduction Potential (ORP) mv	
			Reading	Change* (±0.1)	Reading	Change* (±3%)	Reading	Change* (±10% or <5)	Reading	Change* (±10% or <0.5)	Reading	Change* (±3%)	Reading	Change* (±10mv)
12:26	16.56	X												

Sample Collected? Yes Time 12:51 Total Pumped from Well? 0 Gal

NOTES / COMMENTS:

\*Minimum pumping time 15 Mins. - Collect data every 3 mins once flow through cell is full or once every volume of the flow through cell based on flow rate, which ever is longest. Indicator Parameters Have Stabilized When 3 Consecutive Readings Are Within: ± 0.1 for pH; ± 3% for Specific Conductivity and Temperature; ± 10 mv for ORP; and ± 10% for Turbidity (when Turbidity is above 5 NTUs) or 3 readings less than 5.0 NTUs; ± 10% mg/l Dissolved Oxygen (when Dissolved Oxygen is above 0.5mg/l) or 3 readings less than 0.5 mg/l.

Speedway 5314 TNS  
Site Name: 76

Date: 08/19/2022, 4:44 PM

Name(s): Remi Malenfant

Well ID	Free Product (ft)	Water (ft)	Bottom (ft)
MW-4	N/A	17.29	
TOC	Well Dia. (in)	Screen Length (ft)	Well Material
95.01	2.0		PVC
Latitude (decimal)		Longitude (decimal)	Weather
61.5842637859		-149.358822557	

Analytical Parameters	Bottles to be filled
DRO	2 X 100 mL Amber Glass ✓
BTEX	3 X 40 mL Amber VOAs ✓
Sodium	1 X 250 mL Poly ✓
PAH	2 X 40 mL Amber VOAs ✓
GRO	3 X 40 mL Amber VOAs ✓

Type/Model Meter Used: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Calibrated: (date) \_\_\_\_\_ (time) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Cell Vol: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Type/Model Pump Used: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Pump Intake? \_\_\_\_\_ ft  
 Above / Below Bottom / TOC

Purge water disposal: Pour on ground

Time	Depth to Water (ft)	Flow Rate (ml/Min)	pH		Conductivity (ms/cm)		Turbidity (NTU)		Dissolved O2 (mg/l)		Temp. (Celsius)		Oxygen Reduction Potential (ORP) mv	
			Reading	Change* (±0.1)	Reading	Change* (±3%)	Reading	Change* (±10% or <5)	Reading	Change* (±10% or <0.5)	Reading	Change* (±3%)	Reading	Change* (±10mv)
11:07	17.29	<del> </del>												

Sample Collected? Yes Time 16:44 Total Pumped from Well? 0 Gal

**NOTES / COMMENTS:**  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

\*Minimum pumping time 15 Mins. - Collect data every 3 mins once flow through cell is full or once every volume of the flow through cell based on flow rate, which ever is longest. Indicator Parameters Have Stabilized When 3 Consecutive Readings Are Within: ± 0.1 for pH; ± 3% for Specific Conductivity and Temperature; ± 10 mv for ORP; and ± 10% for Turbidity (when Turbidity is above 5 NTUs) or 3 readings less than 5.0 NTUs; ± 10% mg/l Dissolved Oxygen (when Dissolved Oxygen is above 0.5mg/l) or 3 readings less than 0.5 mg/l.

Speedway 5314 TNS

Date: 08/19/2022, 4:46 PM

Name(s): Remi Malenfant

Site Name: 76

Well ID	Free Product (ft)	Water (ft)	Bottom (ft)
RW19-1	N/A	26.0	
TOC	Well Dia. (in)	Screen Length (ft)	Well Material
95.73			
Latitude (decimal)		Longitude (decimal)	Weather
61.5843002		-149.3588681	

Analytical Parameters	Bottles to be filled
GRO	3 X 40 mL Amber VOAs ✓
PAH	2 X 40 mL Amber VOAs ✓
Sodium	1 X 250 mL Poly ✓
DRO	2 X 100 mL Amber Glass ✓
BTEX	3 X 40 mL Amber VOAs ✓

--

Type/Model Meter Used: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Calibrated: (date) \_\_\_\_\_ (time) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Cell Vol: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Type/Model Pump Used: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Pump Intake? \_\_\_\_\_ ft  
 Above / Below Bottom / TOC

Purge water disposal: Pour on ground

Time	Depth to Water (ft)	Flow Rate (ml/Min)	pH		Conductivity (ms/cm)		Turbidity (NTU)		Dissolved O2 (mg/l)		Temp. (Celsius)		Oxygen Reduction Potential (ORP) mv	
			Reading	Change* (±0.1)	Reading	Change* (±3%)	Reading	Change* (±10% or <5)	Reading	Change* (±10% or <0.5)	Reading	Change* (±3%)	Reading	Change* (±10mv)
11:40	26.0	<del>X</del>												

Sample Collected? Yes Time 16:46 Total Pumped from Well? 0 Gal

**NOTES / COMMENTS:**

\*Minimum pumping time 15 Mins. - Collect data every 3 mins once flow through cell is full or once every volume of the flow through cell based on flow rate, which ever is longest. Indicator Parameters Have Stabilized When 3 Consecutive Readings Are Within: ± 0.1 for pH; ± 3% for Specific Conductivity and Temperature; ± 10 mv for ORP; and ± 10% for Turbidity (when Turbidity is above 5 NTUs) or 3 readings less than 5.0 NTUs; ± 10% mg/l Dissolved Oxygen (when Dissolved Oxygen is above 0.5mg/l) or 3 readings less than 0.5 mg/l.

Speedway 5314 TNS

Date: 08/19/2022, 4:45 PM

Name(s): Remi Malenfant

Site Name: 76

Location ID	GPS Latitude (decimal)	GPS Longitude (decimal)
MW-1	61.5845298133	-149.358577633
<b>Field Data</b>		
Sampler Names:		Sheen/Odor?: N/n
pH: 6.5		Specific Conductance: 2233
DO: 1.53		Temperature (C): 9.3
ORP: 104.7		Purge Volume (gal): 2.7
Notes: Transparent light orange		





**Speedway 5314 TNS**
**Date: 08/19/2022, 4:46 PM**
**Name(s): Remi Malenfant**
**Site Name: 76**

Location ID	GPS Latitude (decimal)	GPS Longitude (decimal)
MW-2	61.5843106137	-149.358489851
<b>Field Data</b>		
Sampler Names:	Sheen/Odor?: N/N	
pH: 6.65	Specific Conductance: 1042	
DO: 2.19	Temperature (C): 8.3	
ORP: 231.6	Purge Volume (gal): 4.8	
Notes: Transparent light grey		


Speedway 5314 TNS

Date: 08/19/2022, 12:51 PM

Name(s): Remi Malenfant

Site Name: 76

Location ID	GPS Latitude (decimal)	GPS Longitude (decimal)
MW-3	61.5842287396	-149.358589014
<b>Field Data</b>		
Sampler Names:	Sheen/Odor?: N/Y	
pH: 6.74	Specific Conductance: 1338	
DO: 0.78	Temperature (C): 7.7	
ORP: 253	Purge Volume (gal): 3.6	
Notes: Transparent grey		



**Speedway 5314 TNS**
**Date: 08/19/2022, 4:44 PM**
**Name(s): Remi Malenfant**
**Site Name: 76**

Location ID	GPS Latitude (decimal)	GPS Longitude (decimal)
MW-4	61.5842637859	-149.358822557
<b>Field Data</b>		
Sampler Names:	Sheen/Odor?: N/N	
pH: 6.59	Specific Conductance: 1355	
DO: 0.37	Temperature (C): 8.1	
ORP: 142	Purge Volume (gal): 5.4	
Notes: Transparent orange		

**Speedway 5314 TNS**
**Date: 08/19/2022, 4:46 PM**
**Name(s): Remi Malenfant**
**Site Name: 76**

Location ID	GPS Latitude (decimal)	GPS Longitude (decimal)
RW19-1	61.5843002	-149.3588681
<b>Field Data</b>		
Sampler Names:	Sheen/Odor?: N o	
pH: 6.72	Specific Conductance: 700.7	
DO: 6.95	Temperature (C): 7.8	
ORP: 143.7	Purge Volume (gal):	
Notes: Sampled from spigot		

---

## **APPENDIX D**

### *Tables of Historical Monitoring Data*

---

## Analytical Data Results Table

Speedway 5314 TNS 76  
 7-Eleven - Paula Sime  
 3600 E. Palmer Wasilla Highway  
 Wasilla, Alaska 99654

Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
	Well Screen Interval	Ground Water Elevation	124-TMB	135-TMB	Benzene	DRO	Ethylbenzene	GRO	Naphthalene	Sodium	Toluene	Xylenes
<b>GW Human Health Cleanup</b>			<u>0.056</u>	<u>0.06</u>	<u>0.0046</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>0.0017</u>		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>
<b>MW-1</b>												
11/06/2014	--	--	—	—	<u>0.027</u>	0.36	U (0.0005)	0.067	—	—	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)
02/25/2015	--	--	—	—	0.0013	U (0.41)	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	—	—	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)
06/10/2015	--	--	—	—	U (0.002)	0.5	U (0.003)	U (0.060)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.002)
09/02/2015	--	--	—	—	0.0011	U (0.40)	U (0.001)	U (0.1)	—	—	U (0.001)	U (0.003)
11/12/2015	--	--	—	—	<u>0.029</u>	U (0.21)	U (0.003)	0.14	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.002)
01/20/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>0.071</u>	0.22	U (0.003)	0.18	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.002)
05/09/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>0.026</u>	U (0.45)	U (0.001)	0.1	—	—	U (0.001)	U (0.003)
10/13/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>0.053</u>	0.36	U (0.001)	0.84	—	—	U (0.001)	U (0.003)
12/09/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>0.027</u>	0.67	U (0.002)	0.067	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.003)
02/08/2017	--	--	—	—	<u>0.01</u>	0.27	U (0.003)	0.057	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.002)
04/24/2017	--	--	—	—	<u>0.0096</u>	U (0.0003)	U (0.003)	U (0.001)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.003)
09/01/2017	--	--	—	—	<u>0.0068</u>	0.25	U (0.003)	U (1.0)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.002)
02/15/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.012</u>	U (0.13)	U (0.003)	U (1.0)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.003)
06/29/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.026</u>	0.3	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.003)
09/11/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.01</u>	U (0.27)	U (0.001)	U (0.15)	—	—	U (0.001)	U (0.002)
10/26/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.015</u>	0.31	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.003)
02/25/2019	--	--	—	—	0.0037	0.19	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.003)
04/25/2019	--	--	—	—	U (0.003)	U (0.27)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.003)
07/25/2019	--	--	—	—	<u>0.0071</u>	0.27	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.003)
10/18/2019	--	--	—	—	U (0.003)	0.16	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.003)
08/11/2020	--	73.27	—	—	0.00262	U (0.808)	U (0.001)	U (0.1)	—	35.8	U (0.001)	U (0.003)
10/12/2020	--	72.88	U (0.001)	U (0.001)	<u>0.00548</u>	0.369	U (0.001)	0.011	U (0.000250)	43.6	U (0.001)	U (0.002)
03/23/2021	--	73.38	—	—	0.000526	U (0.840)	U (0.001)	0.013	—	33.2	U (0.001)	U (0.001)
05/19/2021	--	73.17	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	<u>0.00481</u>	U (0.840)	U (0.001)	0.0302	U (0.00500)	35	U (0.001)	U (0.002)
07/14/2021	--	72.93	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	0.00177	0.317	U (0.001)	U (0.1)	U (0.00500)	32.2	U (0.001)	U (0.003)
10/14/2021	--	75.24	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	<u>0.0167</u>	0.427	U (0.001)	0.0669	U (0.000250)	59.7	U (0.001)	U (0.002)
03/17/2022	--	75.93	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	0.000111	0.263	U (0.00100)	U (0.100)	U (0.000250)	133	U (0.00100)	U (0.00300)
06/22/2022	--	73.67	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	<u>0.00975</u>	U (0.800)	U (0.00100)	0.0375	U (0.000250)	49.2	U (0.00100)	U (0.00300)
08/19/2022	--	75.72	U (0.00100)	0.000106	<u>0.00606</u>	U (0.800)	U (0.00100)	0.0509	U (0.000250)	85.3	U (0.00100)	0.000456
<b>MW-2</b>												
11/06/2014	--	--	—	—	<u>0.067</u>	0.19	<u>0.016</u>	0.68	—	—	0.026	0.13
02/25/2015	--	--	—	—	<u>0.022</u>	U (0.41)	0.0034	0.13	—	—	0.0045	0.02
06/10/2015	--	--	—	—	U (0.002)	1.1	U (0.003)	<u>6.1</u>	—	—	U (0.002)	<u>1.82</u>
09/02/2015	--	--	—	—	<u>0.089</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>0.065</u>	U (10)	—	—	0.056	<u>1.4</u>
11/12/2015	--	--	—	—	<u>0.091</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>0.13</u>	<u>22</u>	—	—	0.11	0.179
01/20/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>0.52</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>0.83</u>	—	—	—	<u>1.5</u>	<u>5.1</u>
05/09/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>0.41</u>	0.95	<u>0.35</u>	U (10)	—	—	0.37	<u>2.8</u>
10/13/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>0.42</u>	0.98	<u>0.48</u>	<u>9.2</u>	—	—	0.63	<u>2.62</u>
12/09/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>0.57</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>0.5</u>	<u>11</u>	—	—	0.17	<u>1.01</u>

## Analytical Data Results Table

Speedway 5314 TNS 76  
 7-Eleven - Paula Sime  
 3600 E. Palmer Wasilla Highway  
 Wasilla, Alaska 99654

	Well Screen Interval	Ground Water Elevation	124-TMB	135-TMB	Benzene	DRO	Ethylbenzene	GRO	Naphthalene	Sodium	Toluene	Xylenes
Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
<b>GW Human Health Cleanup</b>			<u>0.056</u>	<u>0.06</u>	<u>0.0046</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>0.0017</u>		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>
02/08/2017	--	--	—	—	<u>0.053</u>	0.2	<u>0.021</u>	0.58	—	U (0.002)	—	0.096
04/24/2017	--	--	—	—	<u>0.036</u>	0.94	<u>0.035</u>	<u>2.6</u>	—	0.012	—	<u>0.66</u>
09/01/2017	--	--	—	—	<u>0.083</u>	1.3	<u>0.45</u>	<u>9.7</u>	—	0.026	—	<u>2.33</u>
02/15/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.067</u>	0.98	<u>0.14</u>	U (10)	—	0.02	—	<u>0.97</u>
06/29/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.17</u>	1.2	<u>0.59</u>	<u>6</u>	—	0.25	—	<u>3.3</u>
09/11/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.094</u>	0.74	<u>0.18</u>	<u>4.8</u>	—	0.13	—	<u>1.08</u>
10/26/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.17</u>	1	<u>0.48</u>	<u>11</u>	—	0.28	—	<u>3.01</u>
02/25/2019	--	--	—	—	<u>0.092</u>	1.2	<u>0.18</u>	<u>5.4</u>	—	0.22	—	<u>1.41</u>
04/25/2019	--	--	—	—	<u>0.051</u>	0.93	U (0.003)	<u>3.6</u>	—	0.13	—	<u>1.28</u>
07/25/2019	--	--	—	—	<u>0.079</u>	0.89	<u>0.2</u>	<u>5.4</u>	—	0.13	—	<u>1.47</u>
10/18/2019	--	--	—	—	<u>0.025</u>	0.24	<u>0.022</u>	0.74	—	0.0065	—	0.101
08/11/2020	--	74.49	—	—	<u>0.0599</u>	0.553	<u>0.0759</u>	0.921	—	33.2	0.0107	<u>0.465</u>
10/12/2020	--	74.58	<u>0.109</u>	0.0329	<u>0.16</u>	0.409	<u>0.0455</u>	0.755	0.000405	55.2	U (0.001)	0.168
03/23/2021	--	73.53	—	—	<u>0.00542</u>	U (0.840)	U (0.001)	0.0227	—	48.1	U (0.001)	U (0.003)
05/19/2021	--	73.57	0.00278	0.0012	0.00338	U (0.840)	0.000461	0.0374	U (0.00500)	25.4	U (0.001)	0.00501
07/14/2021	--	73.97	0.00487	0.00107	0.00399	0.272	0.00193	0.0504	U (0.00500)	32.8	U (0.001)	0.00465
10/14/2021	--	76.78	<u>0.0706</u>	0.0185	<u>0.0292</u>	0.589	<u>0.0176</u>	0.628	0.000277	50.3	0.0109	0.1308
03/17/2022	--	76.98	0.0113	0.00335	<u>0.0189</u>	0.288	0.00723	0.249	U (0.000250)	180	0.000395	0.02313
06/22/2022	--	74.73	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	<u>0.0203</u>	0.38	0.00583	0.327	U (0.000250)	87.7	0.00567	0.00454
08/19/2022	--	77.77	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	<u>0.023</u>	0.198	0.00641	0.137	U (0.000250)	86.3	0.00171	0.00775
<b>MW-3</b>												
11/06/2014	--	--	—	—	<u>5</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>240</u>	—	—	<u>7.4</u>	<u>39</u>
02/25/2015	--	--	—	—	<u>2.9</u>	<u>8.6</u>	<u>6.7</u>	<u>180</u>	—	—	<u>34</u>	<u>37</u>
06/10/2015	--	--	—	—	<u>5.2</u>	<u>9.5</u>	<u>8.2</u>	<u>210</u>	—	—	<u>38</u>	<u>48</u>
09/02/2015	--	--	—	—	<u>3.7</u>	<u>5.1</u>	<u>4.4</u>	U (200)	—	—	<u>24</u>	<u>28</u>
11/12/2015	--	--	—	—	<u>1.3</u>	<u>3.6</u>	<u>0.21</u>	<u>87</u>	—	—	<u>2.1</u>	<u>1.69</u>
01/20/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>3.8</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>120</u>	—	—	<u>13</u>	<u>25.3</u>
05/09/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>2.1</u>	1.5	<u>2.2</u>	<u>69</u>	—	—	<u>21</u>	<u>33</u>
10/13/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>1.2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2.9</u>	<u>46</u>	—	—	<u>4.2</u>	<u>14.6</u>
12/09/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>0.17</u>	<u>3.3</u>	—	<u>100</u>	—	—	—	<u>0.54</u>
02/08/2017	--	--	—	—	<u>39</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>98</u>	—	—	<u>99</u>	<u>103</u>
04/24/2017	--	--	—	—	<u>2.5</u>	<u>6.7</u>	<u>5.2</u>	U (200)	—	—	<u>14</u>	<u>28.9</u>
09/01/2017	--	--	—	—	<u>0.61</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>75</u>	—	—	<u>9.3</u>	<u>21.4</u>
02/15/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.3</u>	1.3	<u>2.9</u>	U (100)	—	—	<u>3.8</u>	<u>15.6</u>
06/29/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.28</u>	1.1	<u>1.7</u>	<u>23</u>	—	—	1.1	<u>8.2</u>
09/11/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.29</u>	0.91	<u>1</u>	<u>14</u>	—	—	0.53	<u>5.6</u>
10/26/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.32</u>	0.93	<u>0.89</u>	<u>15</u>	—	—	0.36	<u>4.3</u>
02/25/2019	--	--	—	—	<u>0.95</u>	<u>4.6</u>	—	U (1.3)	—	—	0.69	<u>11.4</u>
04/25/2019	--	--	—	—	<u>0.14</u>	0.64	U (1.5)	<u>11</u>	—	—	0.13	U (1.5)
07/25/2019	--	--	—	—	<u>0.68</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>41</u>	—	—	<u>1.2</u>	<u>11.6</u>

## Analytical Data Results Table

Speedway 5314 TNS 76  
 7-Eleven - Paula Sime  
 3600 E. Palmer Wasilla Highway  
 Wasilla, Alaska 99654

Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
	Well Screen Interval	Ground Water Elevation	124-TMB	135-TMB	Benzene	DRO	Ethylbenzene	GRO	Naphthalene	Sodium	Toluene	Xylenes
<b>GW Human Health Cleanup</b>			<u>0.056</u>	<u>0.06</u>	<u>0.0046</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>0.0017</u>		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>
10/18/2019	--	--	—	—	<u>0.21</u>	1.2	<u>1.7</u>	<u>21</u>	—	—	0.66	<u>9.7</u>
08/11/2020	--	75.6	—	—	<u>0.737</u>	<u>4.89</u>	<u>2.99</u>	<u>32.8</u>	—	52.4	1.05	<u>17</u>
10/12/2020	--	76.2	<u>2.91</u>	<u>0.764</u>	<u>0.32</u>	<u>5.22</u>	<u>2.46</u>	<u>29.4</u>	<u>0.0489</u>	66.1	0.868	<u>14.89</u>
03/23/2021	--	75.12	—	—	<u>0.45</u>	U (0.840)	<u>3.73</u>	<u>54.3</u>	—	U(3.00)	<u>1.21</u>	<u>21.6</u>
05/19/2021	--	76.08	<u>2.24</u>	<u>0.631</u>	<u>0.473</u>	<u>5.08</u>	<u>2.04</u>	<u>31.1</u>	U(1.00)	47	0.186	<u>11.1</u>
07/14/2021	--	75.93	<u>2.16</u>	<u>0.594</u>	<u>0.581</u>	<u>3.87</u>	<u>2.65</u>	<u>30.3</u>	U (1.00)	49.8	0.156	<u>12.87</u>
10/14/2021	--	77.13	<u>1.31</u>	<u>0.33</u>	<u>0.084</u>	<u>2.11</u>	<u>0.741</u>	<u>15.8</u>	<u>0.0109</u>	41.2	0.13	<u>4.147</u>
03/17/2022	--	76.99	<u>1.49</u>	<u>0.46</u>	<u>0.0642</u>	<u>3.44</u>	<u>0.0764</u>	<u>13.9</u>	<u>0.0238</u>	110	0.0104	<u>4.351</u>
06/22/2022	--	77.52	<u>1.9</u>	<u>0.62</u>	<u>0.0923</u>	<u>3.24</u>	<u>0.739</u>	<u>10.2</u>	<u>0.0262</u>	74.8	U(0.0336)	<u>3.776</u>
08/19/2022	--	77.96	0.028	0.00707	<u>0.0119</u>	1.49	0.0106	0.559	<u>0.00315</u>	68.9	U(0.00500)	<u>0.2237</u>
<b>MW-4</b>												
11/06/2014	--	--	—	—	<u>0.94</u>	0.45	<u>0.3</u>	<u>13</u>	—	—	<u>1.9</u>	<u>1.5</u>
02/25/2015	--	--	—	—	<u>3.7</u>	1	<u>0.56</u>	<u>29</u>	—	—	<u>6.6</u>	<u>2.7</u>
06/10/2015	--	--	—	—	<u>1.1</u>	0.99	<u>0.54</u>	<u>14</u>	—	—	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.7</u>
09/02/2015	--	--	—	—	<u>0.026</u>	U (0.40)	0.007	0.3	—	—	U (0.001)	0.03
11/12/2015	--	--	—	—	—	U (0.21)	—	U (0.050)	—	—	—	—
01/20/2016	--	--	—	—	0.0043	0.15	U (0.003)	—	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.002)
05/09/2016	--	--	—	—	<u>0.0092</u>	U (0.42)	U (0.001)	U (0.1)	—	—	U (0.001)	U (0.003)
10/13/2016	--	--	—	—	U (0.00020)	0.18	U (0.001)	U (0.1)	—	—	U (0.001)	U (0.003)
12/09/2016	--	--	—	—	—	0.18	—	U (0.05)	—	—	—	—
02/08/2017	--	--	—	—	<u>0.017</u>	0.18	U (0.003)	U (0.05)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.002)
04/24/2017	--	--	—	—	<u>0.012</u>	U (0.0003)	0.0049	U (0.001)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.003)
09/01/2017	--	--	—	—	<u>0.55</u>	0.48	<u>0.38</u>	<u>5.1</u>	—	—	U (0.050)	<u>0.74</u>
02/15/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.19</u>	0.29	<u>0.26</u>	<u>3.3</u>	—	—	U (0.10)	<u>0.438</u>
06/29/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.09</u>	0.19	<u>0.022</u>	0.52	—	—	U (0.002)	0.027
09/11/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.0086</u>	U (0.28)	0.0052	U (0.15)	—	—	U (0.001)	0.0062
10/26/2018	--	--	—	—	<u>0.013</u>	0.15	0.0045	U (0.25)	—	—	U (0.002)	0.0089
02/25/2019	--	--	—	—	<u>0.026</u>	0.2	0.0034	U (0.25)	—	—	U (0.002)	0.0089
04/25/2019	--	--	—	—	U (0.003)	U (0.27)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	—	—	U (0.002)	U (0.003)
07/25/2019	--	--	—	—	<u>0.051</u>	0.16	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	—	—	U (0.002)	0.0078
10/18/2019	--	--	—	—	<u>0.02</u>	U (0.12)	0.0059	U (0.25)	—	—	0.015	0.0277
08/11/2020	--	75.74	—	—	<u>0.054</u>	U (0.800)	0.000455	0.084	—	58.4	U (0.001)	0.00933
10/12/2020	--	76.05	0.0112	0.00174	<u>0.129</u>	U (0.800)	0.00699	0.313	0.000465	36.2	U (0.001)	0.0264
03/23/2021	--	73.83	—	—	<u>0.079</u>	0.266	<u>0.0178</u>	0.274	—	47.1	U (0.001)	0.0345
05/19/2021	--	75.89	0.0171	0.00423	<u>0.0307</u>	U (0.840)	0.00328	0.153	U(0.00500)	67.5	U (0.001)	0.0123
07/14/2021	--	75.81	0.00374	0.000529	<u>0.0176</u>	0.371	0.000375	0.0682	U (0.00500)	76.7	U (0.001)	0.00383
10/14/2021	--	75.05	0.00561	0.000233	<u>0.00564</u>	0.521	0.00318	0.105	0.000209	63.4	U (0.001)	0.00788
03/17/2022	--	76.92	<u>0.273</u>	<u>0.106</u>	<u>0.214</u>	0.683	<u>0.186</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>0.00334</u>	41.6	0.168	<u>0.857</u>
06/22/2022	--	76.2	<u>0.401</u>	<u>0.128</u>	<u>0.409</u>	0.816	<u>0.373</u>	<u>4.88</u>	<u>0.00941</u>	91	U(0.0500)	<u>1.49</u>
08/19/2022	--	77.72	U(0.00500)	U(0.00500)	<u>0.0921</u>	1.29	<u>0.0237</u>	0.638	<u>0.00657</u>	104	U(0.00500)	0.00253



## Analytical Data Results Table

Speedway 5314 TNS 76  
 7-Eleven - Paula Sime  
 3600 E. Palmer Wasilla Highway  
 Wasilla, Alaska 99654

Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
<b>GW Human Health Cleanup</b>			<u>0.056</u>	<u>0.06</u>	<u>0.0046</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>0.0017</u>		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>
<b>RW19-1</b>												
08/11/2020	--	73.12	—	—	0.00126	U (0.848)	U (0.001)	U (0.100)	—	28.8	U (0.001)	0.000489
10/12/2020	--	70.87	U (0.001)	U (0.001)	0.000609	U (0.800)	U (0.001)	U (0.100)	U (0.000250)	28.6	U (0.001)	U (0.002)
03/23/2021	--	--	—	—	U (0.001)	U (0.840)	U (0.001)	0.0119	—	25.9	U (0.001)	U (0.003)
05/19/2021	--	--	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U (0.001)	U (0.800)	U (0.001)	0.0158	U(0.00500)	28.8	U (0.001)	U (0.002)
07/14/2021	--	70.48	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.001)	0.297	U (0.001)	U (0.100)	U (0.00500)	28.8	U (0.001)	U (0.003)
10/14/2021	--	72.83	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	0.000506	0.387	U (0.001)	0.0426	U(0.000250)	32.3	U (0.001)	U (0.002)
03/17/2022	--	75.68	0.00702	0.00388	<u>0.00488</u>	U(0.888)	0.00311	0.147	0.000108	48.2	U(0.00100)	0.02812
06/23/2022	--	73.55	0.0169	0.00547	<u>0.0257</u>	U(0.800)	<u>0.019</u>	0.223	0.000452	36.9	0.00166	0.0822
08/19/2022	--	69.73	0.00173	0.000659	<u>0.0107</u>	0.443	0.00838	0.21	0.000186	36.9	0.00104	0.02244

---

## **APPENDIX E**

---


*Laboratory Analytical Report and  
ADEC Laboratory Data Review  
Checklist*

---

**Stantec - Anchorage, AK**

Sample Delivery Group: L1528203  
Samples Received: 08/22/2022  
Project Number: 185705773  
Description: Speedway 5314  
Site: 0005314  
Report To: Mr. John Marshall  
725 E Fireweed Lane  
Suite 200  
Anchorage, AK 99503

Entire Report Reviewed By:




Craig Cothron  
Project Manager

Results relate only to the items tested or calibrated and are reported as rounded values. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of the laboratory. Where applicable, sampling conducted by Pace Analytical National is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures ENV-SOP-MTJL-0067 and ENV-SOP-MTJL-0068. Where sampling conducted by the customer, results relate to the accuracy of the information provided, and as the samples are received.

**Pace Analytical National**12065 Lebanon Rd Mount Juliet, TN 37122 615-758-5858 800-767-5859 [www.pacenational.com](http://www.pacenational.com)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Cp: Cover Page</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Tc: Table of Contents</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Ss: Sample Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Cn: Case Narrative</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Sr: Sample Results</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>MW-01 L1528203-01</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>MW-02 L1528203-02</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>MW-03 L1528203-03</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>MW-04 L1528203-04</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>RW19-01 L1528203-05</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>DUP1 L1528203-06</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>TRIP BLANK L1528203-07</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Qc: Quality Control Summary</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Gl: Glossary of Terms</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Al: Accreditations &amp; Locations</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Sc: Sample Chain of Custody</b>	<b>34</b>



# SAMPLE SUMMARY

## MW-01 L1528203-01 GW

Collected by: Remi Malenfant  
 Collected date/time: 08/19/22 10:14  
 Received date/time: 08/22/22 09:30

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG1914389	1	08/23/22 20:45	08/24/22 16:52	ABL	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG1916805	1	08/26/22 20:44	08/26/22 20:44	ACG	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG1916578	1	08/25/22 19:08	08/25/22 19:08	ADM	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	WG1914590	1	08/27/22 05:18	08/29/22 18:03	TJD	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG1915419	1	08/24/22 07:29	08/24/22 17:39	AGW	Mt. Juliet, TN



## MW-02 L1528203-02 GW

Collected by: Remi Malenfant  
 Collected date/time: 08/19/22 10:48  
 Received date/time: 08/22/22 09:30

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG1914389	1	08/23/22 20:45	08/24/22 17:00	ABL	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG1916805	1	08/26/22 21:11	08/26/22 21:11	ACG	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG1916578	1	08/25/22 19:29	08/25/22 19:29	ADM	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	WG1914590	1	08/27/22 05:18	08/29/22 19:12	TJD	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG1915419	1	08/24/22 07:29	08/24/22 17:59	AGW	Mt. Juliet, TN



## MW-03 L1528203-03 GW

Collected by: Remi Malenfant  
 Collected date/time: 08/19/22 12:51  
 Received date/time: 08/22/22 09:30

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG1914389	1	08/23/22 20:45	08/24/22 17:02	ABL	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG1918210	1	08/30/22 07:18	08/30/22 07:18	MGF	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG1917301	5	08/26/22 23:00	08/26/22 23:00	ADM	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	WG1918400	1	08/27/22 05:18	08/30/22 09:14	TJD	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG1915419	1	08/24/22 07:29	08/24/22 18:19	AGW	Mt. Juliet, TN



## MW-04 L1528203-04 GW

Collected by: Remi Malenfant  
 Collected date/time: 08/19/22 11:28  
 Received date/time: 08/22/22 09:30

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG1914389	1	08/23/22 20:45	08/24/22 17:05	ABL	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG1916805	1	08/26/22 21:59	08/26/22 21:59	ACG	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG1917301	5	08/26/22 23:21	08/26/22 23:21	ADM	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	WG1918400	1	08/27/22 05:18	08/30/22 09:37	TJD	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG1915419	1	08/24/22 07:29	08/24/22 18:39	AGW	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG1915419	20	08/24/22 07:29	08/26/22 01:59	AMG	Mt. Juliet, TN

## RW19-01 L1528203-05 GW

Collected by: Remi Malenfant  
 Collected date/time: 08/19/22 11:41  
 Received date/time: 08/22/22 09:30

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG1914389	1	08/23/22 20:45	08/24/22 17:08	ABL	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG1916805	1	08/27/22 00:12	08/27/22 00:12	ACG	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG1918159	1	08/29/22 14:50	08/29/22 14:50	ACG	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	WG1919771	1	09/01/22 04:20	09/01/22 14:04	TJD	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG1915419	1	08/24/22 07:29	08/24/22 18:59	AGW	Mt. Juliet, TN

# SAMPLE SUMMARY

## DUP1 L1528203-06 GW

Collected by: Remi Malenfant  
 Collected date/time: 08/19/22 12:54  
 Received date/time: 08/22/22 09:30

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG1914389	1	08/23/22 20:45	08/24/22 17:11	ABL	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG1916805	1	08/27/22 00:59	08/27/22 00:59	ACG	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG1918159	1	08/29/22 15:12	08/29/22 15:12	ACG	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	WG1919771	1.11	09/01/22 04:20	09/01/22 14:27	TJD	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG1915419	1	08/24/22 07:29	08/24/22 19:19	AGW	Mt. Juliet, TN

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

## TRIP BLANK L1528203-07 GW

Collected by: Remi Malenfant  
 Collected date/time: 08/19/22 00:00  
 Received date/time: 08/22/22 09:30

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG1918159	1	08/29/22 13:45	08/29/22 13:45	ACG	Mt. Juliet, TN

5 Sr

6 Qc


7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

# CASE NARRATIVE

All sample aliquots were received at the correct temperature, in the proper containers, with the appropriate preservatives, and within method specified holding times, unless qualified or notated within the report. Where applicable, all MDL (LOD) and RDL (LOQ) values reported for environmental samples have been corrected for the dilution factor used in the analysis. All Method and Batch Quality Control are within established criteria except where addressed in this case narrative, a non-conformance form or properly qualified within the sample results. By my digital signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data have been identified by the laboratory, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.



Craig Cothron  
Project Manager

<sup>1</sup> Cp

<sup>2</sup> Tc

<sup>3</sup> Ss

<sup>4</sup> Cn

<sup>5</sup> Sr

<sup>6</sup> Qc

<sup>7</sup> Gl

<sup>8</sup> Al

<sup>9</sup> Sc

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	85.3		0.504	3.00	1	08/24/2022 16:52	<a href="#">WG1914389</a>

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	0.0509	J	0.0287	0.100	1	08/26/2022 20:44	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	95.7			50.0-150		08/26/2022 20:44	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	101			79.0-125		08/26/2022 20:44	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>

6 Qc

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	0.00606		0.0000941	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000137	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000105	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500	1	08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.000106	J	0.000104	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
m&p-Xylene	0.000456	J	0.000430	0.00200	1	08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
(S) Toluene-d8	95.4			80.0-120		08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103			77.0-126		08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	115			70.0-130		08/25/2022 19:08	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	U	J4	0.170	0.800	1	08/29/2022 18:03	<a href="#">WG1914590</a>
(S) o-Terphenyl	80.8			50.0-150		08/29/2022 18:03	<a href="#">WG1914590</a>

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Fluorene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Naphthalene	U		0.0000917	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>




Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

Analyte	Result mg/l	Qualifier	MDL mg/l	RDL mg/l	Dilution	Analysis date / time	Batch
1-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000687	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
2-Methylnaphthalene	U	<a href="#">J4</a>	0.0000674	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
<i>(S)</i> Nitrobenzene-d5	109			31.0-160		08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
<i>(S)</i> 2-Fluorobiphenyl	98.4			48.0-148		08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
<i>(S)</i> p-Terphenyl-d14	114			37.0-146		08/24/2022 17:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>

- 1 Cp
- 2 Tc
- 3 Ss
- 4 Cn
- 5 Sr
- 6 Qc
- 7 Gl
- 8 Al
- 9 Sc

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	86.3		0.504	3.00	1	08/24/2022 17:00	<a href="#">WG1914389</a>



Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	0.137		0.0287	0.100	1	08/26/2022 21:11	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	95.7			50.0-150		08/26/2022 21:11	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	102			79.0-125		08/26/2022 21:11	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	0.0230		0.0000941	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
n-Butylbenzene	0.000157	J	0.000157	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
sec-Butylbenzene	0.000180	J	0.000125	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
Ethylbenzene	0.00641		0.000137	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
Isopropylbenzene	0.00114		0.000105	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500	1	08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
Toluene	0.00171		0.000278	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
m&p-Xylene	0.00509		0.000430	0.00200	1	08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
o-Xylene	0.00266		0.000174	0.00100	1	08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
(S) Toluene-d8	94.8			80.0-120		08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103			77.0-126		08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	118			70.0-130		08/25/2022 19:29	<a href="#">WG1916578</a>

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	0.198	J J4	0.170	0.800	1	08/29/2022 19:12	<a href="#">WG1914590</a>
(S) o-Terphenyl	50.9			50.0-150		08/29/2022 19:12	<a href="#">WG1914590</a>

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Fluorene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Naphthalene	U		0.0000917	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

Analyte	Result mg/l	Qualifier	MDL mg/l	RDL mg/l	Dilution	Analysis date / time	Batch
1-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000687	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
2-Methylnaphthalene	U	<a href="#">J4</a>	0.0000674	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
<i>(S)</i> Nitrobenzene-d5	113			31.0-160		08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
<i>(S)</i> 2-Fluorobiphenyl	101			48.0-148		08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
<i>(S)</i> p-Terphenyl-d14	109			37.0-146		08/24/2022 17:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>

- 1 Cp
- 2 Tc
- 3 Ss
- 4 Cn
- 5 Sr
- 6 Qc
- 7 Gl
- 8 Al
- 9 Sc

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	68.9		0.504	3.00	1	08/24/2022 17:02	<a href="#">WG1914389</a>

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	0.304	<u>B</u>	0.0287	0.100	1	08/30/2022 07:18	<a href="#">WG1918210</a>
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	89.3			50.0-150		08/30/2022 07:18	<a href="#">WG1918210</a>
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	99.3			79.0-125		08/30/2022 07:18	<a href="#">WG1918210</a>

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	0.00389	<u>J</u>	0.000471	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000785	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000625	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000635	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
Ethylbenzene	0.00312	<u>J</u>	0.000685	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
Isopropylbenzene	0.000712	<u>J</u>	0.000525	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
Naphthalene	U		0.00500	0.0250	5	08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
Toluene	U		0.00139	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.00367	<u>J</u>	0.00161	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.00143	<u>J</u>	0.000520	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
m&p-Xylene	0.00342	<u>J</u>	0.00215	0.0100	5	08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
o-Xylene	U		0.000870	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
(S) Toluene-d8	98.0			80.0-120		08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103			77.0-126		08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	117			70.0-130		08/26/2022 23:00	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>

Sample Narrative:

L1528203-03 WG1917301: Lowest possible dilution due to sample foaming.

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	1.00		0.170	0.800	1	08/30/2022 09:14	<a href="#">WG1918400</a>
(S) o-Terphenyl	99.6			50.0-150		08/30/2022 09:14	<a href="#">WG1918400</a>

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Acenaphthene	0.0000434	<u>J</u>	0.0000190	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Acenaphthylene	0.0000758		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Fluorene	0.000109		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

Analyte	Result mg/l	Qualifier	MDL mg/l	RDL mg/l	Dilution	Analysis date / time	Batch
Naphthalene	0.00253		0.0000917	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Phenanthrene	0.0000339	J	0.0000180	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.00109		0.0000687	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.00137	J4	0.0000674	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5	86.8			31.0-160		08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	105			48.0-148		08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14	119			37.0-146		08/24/2022 18:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>

- 1 Cp
- 2 Tc
- 3 Ss
- 4 Cn
- 5 Sr
- 6 Qc
- 7 Gl
- 8 Al
- 9 Sc

## Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	104		0.504	3.00	1	08/24/2022 17:05	<a href="#">WG1914389</a>

## Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	0.638		0.0287	0.100	1	08/26/2022 21:59	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>
(S)							
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	86.9			50.0-150		08/26/2022 21:59	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>
(S)							
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	97.0			79.0-125		08/26/2022 21:59	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>

## Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	0.0921		0.000471	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000785	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000625	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000635	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
Ethylbenzene	0.0237		0.000685	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
Isopropylbenzene	0.00276	J	0.000525	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
Naphthalene	U		0.00500	0.0250	5	08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
Toluene	U		0.00139	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.00161	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000520	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
m&p-Xylene	0.00253	J	0.00215	0.0100	5	08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
o-Xylene	U		0.000870	0.00500	5	08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
(S) Toluene-d8	95.3			80.0-120		08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	101			77.0-126		08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	116			70.0-130		08/26/2022 23:21	<a href="#">WG1917301</a>

## Sample Narrative:

L1528203-04 WG1917301: Lowest possible dilution due to sample foaming.

## Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	1.29		0.170	0.800	1	08/30/2022 09:37	<a href="#">WG1918400</a>
(S) o-Terphenyl	76.4			50.0-150		08/30/2022 09:37	<a href="#">WG1918400</a>

## Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Fluorene	0.0000637		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

Analyte	Result mg/l	Qualifier	MDL mg/l	RDL mg/l	Dilution	Analysis date / time	Batch
Naphthalene	0.00657		0.00183	0.00500	20	08/26/2022 01:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Phenanthrene	0.0000379	J	0.0000180	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.00160	J	0.00137	0.00500	20	08/26/2022 01:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.00171	J J4	0.00135	0.00500	20	08/26/2022 01:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5	98.9	J7		31.0-160		08/26/2022 01:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5	36.1			31.0-160		08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	98.9			48.0-148		08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	98.4	J7		48.0-148		08/26/2022 01:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14	110			37.0-146		08/24/2022 18:39	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14	104	J7		37.0-146		08/26/2022 01:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Sample Narrative:

L1528203-04 WG1915419: IS/SURR failed on lower dilution.

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	36.9		0.504	3.00	1	08/24/2022 17:08	<a href="#">WG1914389</a>

- 1 Cp
- 2 Tc
- 3 Ss
- 4 Cn
- 5 Sr
- 6 Qc
- 7 Gl
- 8 Al
- 9 Sc

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	0.210		0.0287	0.100	1	08/27/2022 00:12	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	84.2			50.0-150		08/27/2022 00:12	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	100			79.0-125		08/27/2022 00:12	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	0.0107		0.0000941	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
Ethylbenzene	0.00838		0.000137	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
Isopropylbenzene	0.000598	J	0.000105	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500	1	08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
Toluene	0.00104		0.000278	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.00173		0.000322	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.000659	J	0.000104	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
m&p-Xylene	0.0186		0.000430	0.00200	1	08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
o-Xylene	0.00384		0.000174	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
(S) Toluene-d8	114			80.0-120		08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103			77.0-126		08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	103			70.0-130		08/29/2022 14:50	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	0.443	B_J	0.170	0.800	1	09/01/2022 14:04	<a href="#">WG1919771</a>
(S) o-Terphenyl	73.3			50.0-150		09/01/2022 14:04	<a href="#">WG1919771</a>

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Fluorene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Naphthalene	0.000186	J	0.0000917	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>



Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

Analyte	Result mg/l	Qualifier	MDL mg/l	RDL mg/l	Dilution	Analysis date / time	Batch
1-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000687	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.0000800	<a href="#">JJ4</a>	0.0000674	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
<i>(S)</i> Nitrobenzene-d5	98.4			31.0-160		08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
<i>(S)</i> 2-Fluorobiphenyl	107			48.0-148		08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
<i>(S)</i> p-Terphenyl-d14	121			37.0-146		08/24/2022 18:59	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	68.0		0.504	3.00	1	08/24/2022 17:11	<a href="#">WG1914389</a>

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	0.559		0.0287	0.100	1	08/27/2022 00:59	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	84.3			50.0-150		08/27/2022 00:59	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	98.6			79.0-125		08/27/2022 00:59	<a href="#">WG1916805</a>

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	0.0119		0.0000941	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
n-Butylbenzene	0.000898	J	0.000157	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
sec-Butylbenzene	0.000604	J	0.000125	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
Ethylbenzene	0.0106		0.000137	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
Isopropylbenzene	0.00236		0.000105	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
Naphthalene	0.00165	J	0.00100	0.00500	1	08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
Toluene	0.000389	J	0.000278	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.0280		0.000322	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.00707		0.000104	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
m&p-Xylene	0.0186		0.000430	0.00200	1	08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
o-Xylene	0.00377		0.000174	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
(S) Toluene-d8	111			80.0-120		08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	104			77.0-126		08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	105			70.0-130		08/29/2022 15:12	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	1.49	B	0.189	0.888	1.11	09/01/2022 14:27	<a href="#">WG1919771</a>
(S) o-Terphenyl	81.1			50.0-150		09/01/2022 14:27	<a href="#">WG1919771</a>

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Acenaphthene	0.0000545		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Acenaphthylene	0.0000874		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Fluorene	0.000110		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Naphthalene	0.00315		0.0000917	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Phenanthrene	0.0000486	J	0.0000180	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

Analyte	Result mg/l	Qualifier	MDL mg/l	RDL mg/l	Dilution	Analysis date / time	Batch
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.00126		0.0000687	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.00170	<u>J4</u>	0.0000674	0.000250	1	08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
<i>(S)</i> Nitrobenzene-d5	97.9			31.0-160		08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
<i>(S)</i> 2-Fluorobiphenyl	106			48.0-148		08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>
<i>(S)</i> p-Terphenyl-d14	116			37.0-146		08/24/2022 19:19	<a href="#">WG1915419</a>

- 1 Cp
- 2 Tc
- 3 Ss
- 4 Cn
- 5 Sr
- 6 Qc
- 7 Gl
- 8 Al
- 9 Sc

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.0000941	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000137	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000105	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500	1	08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
m&p-Xylene	U		0.000430	0.00200	1	08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100	1	08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
(S) Toluene-d8	116			80.0-120		08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	105			77.0-126		08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	108			70.0-130		08/29/2022 13:45	<a href="#">WG1918159</a>

- 1 Cp
- 2 Tc
- 3 Ss
- 4 Cn
- 5 Sr
- 6 Qc
- 7 Gl
- 8 Al
- 9 Sc

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3830148-1 08/24/22 15:54

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL
Sodium	U		0.504	3.00

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3830148-2 08/24/22 15:56

Analyte	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier
Sodium	10.0	9.86	98.6	80.0-120	

4 Cn

5 Sr

L1526481-04 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1526481-04 08/24/22 15:59 • (MS) R3830148-4 08/24/22 16:05 • (MSD) R3830148-5 08/24/22 16:08

Analyte	Spike Amount	Original Result	MS Result	MSD Result	MS Rec.	MSD Rec.	Dilution	Rec. Limits	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
Sodium	10.0	247	253	250	58.7	31.4	1	75.0-125	V	V	1.08	20

6 Qc

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3831551-3 08/26/22 15:42

Analyte	MB Result mg/l	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/l	MB RDL mg/l
TPHGAK C6 to C10	U		0.0287	0.100
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	89.3			60.0-120
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	102			79.0-125

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R3831551-2 08/26/22 14:33 • (LCSD) R3831551-9 08/27/22 08:10

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/l	LCS Result mg/l	LCSD Result mg/l	LCS Rec. %	LCSD Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
TPHGAK C6 to C10	5.00	4.38	4.22	87.6	84.4	60.0-120			3.72	20
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)				100	99.7	60.0-120				
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)				116	116	79.0-125				

L1527196-03 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1527196-03 08/27/22 01:25 • (MS) R3831551-6 08/27/22 06:24 • (MSD) R3831551-7 08/27/22 06:51

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/l	Original Result mg/l	MS Result mg/l	MSD Result mg/l	MS Rec. %	MSD Rec. %	Dilution	Rec. Limits %	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
TPHGAK C6 to C10	125	0.780	93.9	92.7	74.5	73.5	25	70.0-130			1.29	20
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)					103	103		50.0-150				
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)					113	113		79.0-125				

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3831803-2 08/30/22 05:59

Analyte	MB Result mg/l	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/l	MB RDL mg/l
TPHGAK C6 to C10	0.0323	↓	0.0287	0.100
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	87.5			60.0-120
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	101			79.0-125

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R3831803-1 08/30/22 04:46 • (LCSD) R3831803-3 08/30/22 08:38

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/l	LCS Result mg/l	LCSD Result mg/l	LCS Rec. %	LCSD Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
TPHGAK C6 to C10	5.00	4.95	4.86	99.0	97.2	60.0-120			1.83	20
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)				101	97.2	60.0-120				
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)				115	116	79.0-125				

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3830911-2 08/25/22 14:26

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l
Benzene	U		0.0000941	0.00100
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000137	0.00100
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000105	0.00100
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100
m&p-Xylenes	U		0.000430	0.00200
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100
(S) Toluene-d8	94.6			80.0-120
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	101			77.0-126
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	115			70.0-130

<sup>1</sup>Cp

<sup>2</sup>Tc

<sup>3</sup>Ss

<sup>4</sup>Cn

<sup>5</sup>Sr

<sup>6</sup>Qc

<sup>7</sup>Gl

<sup>8</sup>Al

<sup>9</sup>Sc

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3830911-1 08/25/22 13:45

Analyte	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier
	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	
Benzene	0.00500	0.00541	108	70.0-123	
n-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00470	94.0	73.0-125	
sec-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00474	94.8	75.0-125	
tert-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00442	88.4	76.0-124	
Ethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00455	91.0	79.0-123	
Isopropylbenzene	0.00500	0.00455	91.0	76.0-127	
Naphthalene	0.00500	0.00440	88.0	54.0-135	
Toluene	0.00500	0.00466	93.2	79.0-120	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00444	88.8	76.0-121	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00447	89.4	76.0-122	
m&p-Xylenes	0.0100	0.00924	92.4	80.0-122	
o-Xylene	0.00500	0.00448	89.6	80.0-122	
(S) Toluene-d8			97.4	80.0-120	
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene			103	77.0-126	
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4			118	70.0-130	



L1528379-01 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1528379-01 08/25/22 19:50 • (MS) R3830911-3 08/25/22 23:17 • (MSD) R3830911-4 08/25/22 23:38

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/l	Original Result mg/l	MS Result mg/l	MSD Result mg/l	MS Rec. %	MSD Rec. %	Dilution	Rec. Limits %	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
Benzene	0.00500	0.000445	0.00497	0.00463	90.5	83.7	1	17.0-158			7.08	27
n-Butylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00400	0.00401	80.0	80.2	1	31.0-150			0.250	30
sec-Butylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00416	0.00402	83.2	80.4	1	33.0-155			3.42	29
tert-Butylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00394	0.00394	78.8	78.8	1	34.0-153			0.000	28
Ethylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00389	0.00374	77.8	74.8	1	30.0-155			3.93	27
Isopropylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00410	0.00367	82.0	73.4	1	28.0-157			11.1	27
Naphthalene	0.00500	U	0.00358	0.00388	71.6	77.6	1	12.0-156			8.04	35
Toluene	0.00500	U	0.00386	0.00355	77.2	71.0	1	26.0-154			8.37	28
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00388	0.00373	77.6	74.6	1	26.0-154			3.94	27
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00385	0.00372	77.0	74.4	1	28.0-153			3.43	27
m&p-Xylenes	0.0100	U	0.00821	0.00735	82.1	73.5	1	43.0-146			11.1	26
o-Xylene	0.00500	U	0.00387	0.00356	77.4	71.2	1	45.0-144			8.34	26
(S) Toluene-d8					95.8	93.6		80.0-120				
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene					103	100		77.0-126				
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					119	117		70.0-130				

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3831107-5 08/26/22 15:45

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l
Benzene	U		0.0000941	0.00100
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000137	0.00100
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000105	0.00100
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100
m&p-Xylenes	U		0.000430	0.00200
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100
(S) Toluene-d8	96.7			80.0-120
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	102			77.0-126
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	117			70.0-130

<sup>1</sup> Cp

<sup>2</sup> Tc

<sup>3</sup> Ss

<sup>4</sup> Cn

<sup>5</sup> Sr

<sup>6</sup> Qc

<sup>7</sup> Gl

<sup>8</sup> Al

<sup>9</sup> Sc

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R3831107-1 08/26/22 14:02 • (LCSD) R3831107-2 08/26/22 14:22

Analyte	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	%			%	%
Benzene	0.00500	0.00513	0.00518	103	104	70.0-123			0.970	20
n-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00466	0.00508	93.2	102	73.0-125			8.62	20
sec-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00453	0.00482	90.6	96.4	75.0-125			6.20	20
tert-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00447	0.00466	89.4	93.2	76.0-124			4.16	20
Ethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00415	0.00443	83.0	88.6	79.0-123			6.53	20
Isopropylbenzene	0.00500	0.00431	0.00436	86.2	87.2	76.0-127			1.15	20
Naphthalene	0.00500	0.00403	0.00417	80.6	83.4	54.0-135			3.41	20
Toluene	0.00500	0.00437	0.00448	87.4	89.6	79.0-120			2.49	20
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00434	0.00454	86.8	90.8	76.0-121			4.50	20
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00431	0.00449	86.2	89.8	76.0-122			4.09	20
m&p-Xylenes	0.0100	0.00854	0.00888	85.4	88.8	80.0-122			3.90	20
o-Xylene	0.00500	0.00422	0.00423	84.4	84.6	80.0-122			0.237	20
(S) Toluene-d8				96.4	96.5	80.0-120				
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene				100	102	77.0-126				
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4				119	118	70.0-130				

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3832023-3 08/29/22 09:34

Analyte	MB Result mg/l	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/l	MB RDL mg/l
Benzene	U		0.0000941	0.00100
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000137	0.00100
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000105	0.00100
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100
m&p-Xylenes	U		0.000430	0.00200
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100
(S) Toluene-d8	113			80.0-120
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	102			77.0-126
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	110			70.0-130

<sup>1</sup> Cp

<sup>2</sup> Tc

<sup>3</sup> Ss

<sup>4</sup> Cn

<sup>5</sup> Sr

<sup>6</sup> Qc

<sup>7</sup> Gl

<sup>8</sup> Al

<sup>9</sup> Sc

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R3832023-1 08/29/22 08:28 • (LCSD) R3832023-2 08/29/22 08:50

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/l	LCS Result mg/l	LCSD Result mg/l	LCS Rec. %	LCSD Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
Benzene	0.00500	0.00541	0.00492	108	98.4	70.0-123			9.49	20
n-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00532	0.00449	106	89.8	73.0-125			16.9	20
sec-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00562	0.00475	112	95.0	75.0-125			16.8	20
tert-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00548	0.00474	110	94.8	76.0-124			14.5	20
Ethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00549	0.00471	110	94.2	79.0-123			15.3	20
Isopropylbenzene	0.00500	0.00526	0.00471	105	94.2	76.0-127			11.0	20
Naphthalene	0.00500	0.00498	0.00462	99.6	92.4	54.0-135			7.50	20
Toluene	0.00500	0.00534	0.00483	107	96.6	79.0-120			10.0	20
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00537	0.00486	107	97.2	76.0-121			9.97	20
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00571	0.00478	114	95.6	76.0-122			17.7	20
m&p-Xylenes	0.0100	0.0106	0.00955	106	95.5	80.0-122			10.4	20
o-Xylene	0.00500	0.00513	0.00473	103	94.6	80.0-122			8.11	20
(S) Toluene-d8				109	114	80.0-120				
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene				100	105	77.0-126				
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4				108	107	70.0-130				

L1528758-88 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1528758-88 08/29/22 17:01 • (MS) R3832023-4 08/29/22 21:00 • (MSD) R3832023-5 08/29/22 21:22

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/l	Original Result mg/l	MS Result mg/l	MSD Result mg/l	MS Rec. %	MSD Rec. %	Dilution	Rec. Limits %	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
Benzene	0.00500	U	0.00520	0.00514	104	103	1	17.0-158			1.16	27
n-Butylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00534	0.00488	107	97.6	1	31.0-150			9.00	30
sec-Butylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00543	0.00516	109	103	1	33.0-155			5.10	29
tert-Butylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00539	0.00528	108	106	1	34.0-153			2.06	28
Ethylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00524	0.00486	105	97.2	1	30.0-155			7.52	27
Isopropylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00505	0.00502	101	100	1	28.0-157			0.596	27
Naphthalene	0.00500	U	0.00492	0.00459	98.4	91.8	1	12.0-156			6.94	35
Toluene	0.00500	U	0.00513	0.00504	103	101	1	26.0-154			1.77	28
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00520	0.00496	104	99.2	1	26.0-154			4.72	27
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	U	0.00537	0.00511	107	102	1	28.0-153			4.96	27
m&p-Xylenes	0.0100		0.00990	0.00985	99.0	98.5	1	43.0-146			0.506	26
o-Xylene	0.00500		0.00484	0.00462	96.8	92.4	1	45.0-144			4.65	26
(S) Toluene-d8					110	113		80.0-120				
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene					102	104		77.0-126				
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					108	108		70.0-130				

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3831695-1 08/29/22 05:58

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l
AK102 DRO C10-C25	U		0.170	0.800
<i>(S) o-Terphenyl</i>	86.9			60.0-120

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R3831695-2 08/29/22 06:21 • (LCSD) R3831695-3 08/29/22 06:44

Analyte	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	%			%	%
AK102 DRO C10-C25	6.00	6.92	7.54	115	126	75.0-125		J4	8.58	20
<i>(S) o-Terphenyl</i>				93.9	101	60.0-120				

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

L1527335-01 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1527335-01 08/29/22 14:58 • (MS) R3831695-8 08/29/22 16:08 • (MSD) R3831695-9 08/29/22 16:31

Analyte	Spike Amount	Original Result	MS Result	MSD Result	MS Rec.	MSD Rec.	Dilution	Rec. Limits	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%		%			%	%
AK102 DRO C10-C25	6.00	0.221	6.35	6.49	102	104	1	75.0-125			2.18	20
<i>(S) o-Terphenyl</i>					104	107		50.0-150				

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3831856-1 08/30/22 08:05

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l
AK102 DRO C10-C25	U		0.170	0.800
<i>(S) o-Terphenyl</i>	107			60.0-120

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R3831856-2 08/30/22 08:28 • (LCSD) R3831856-3 08/30/22 08:51

Analyte	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	%			%	%
AK102 DRO C10-C25	6.00	7.46	7.45	124	124	75.0-125			0.134	20
<i>(S) o-Terphenyl</i>				129	112	60.0-120	J1			

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3832874-1 09/01/22 08:38

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL
	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l
AK102 DRO C10-C25	0.358	↓	0.170	0.800
(S) o-Terphenyl	87.4			60.0-120

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R3832874-2 09/01/22 09:01 • (LCSD) R3832874-3 09/01/22 09:24

Analyte	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	%			%	%
AK102 DRO C10-C25	6.00	5.82	6.33	97.0	105	75.0-125			8.40	20
(S) o-Terphenyl				97.8	103	60.0-120				

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

L1527696-01 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1527696-01 09/01/22 09:47 • (MS) R3832874-4 09/01/22 10:10 • (MSD) R3832874-5 09/01/22 10:33

Analyte	Spike Amount	Original Result	MS Result	MSD Result	MS Rec.	MSD Rec.	Dilution	Rec. Limits	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%		%			%	%
AK102 DRO C10-C25	6.18	1.21	6.85	7.31	91.3	91.6	1.03	75.0-125			6.50	20
(S) o-Terphenyl					100	114		50.0-150				

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

L1528742-02 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1528742-02 09/01/22 15:13 • (MS) R3832874-6 09/01/22 12:55 • (MSD) R3832874-7 09/01/22 13:18

Analyte	Spike Amount	Original Result	MS Result	MSD Result	MS Rec.	MSD Rec.	Dilution	Rec. Limits	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%		%			%	%
AK102 DRO C10-C25	6.60	0.747	7.35	4.92	100	69.6	1.1	75.0-125		J3 J6	39.6	20
(S) o-Terphenyl					123	72.9		50.0-150				

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3830512-3 08/24/22 15:59

Analyte	MB Result mg/l	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/l	MB RDL mg/l
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100
Fluorene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500
Naphthalene	U		0.0000917	0.000250
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500
1-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000687	0.000250
2-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000674	0.000250
<i>(S) Nitrobenzene-d5</i>	112			31.0-160
<i>(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl</i>	109			48.0-148
<i>(S) p-Terphenyl-d14</i>	117			37.0-146

<sup>1</sup>Cp

<sup>2</sup>Tc

<sup>3</sup>Ss

<sup>4</sup>Cn

<sup>5</sup>Sr

<sup>6</sup>Qc

<sup>7</sup>Gl

<sup>8</sup>Al

<sup>9</sup>Sc

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R3830512-1 08/24/22 15:19 • (LCSD) R3830512-2 08/24/22 15:39

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/l	LCS Result mg/l	LCSD Result mg/l	LCS Rec. %	LCSD Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
Anthracene	0.00200	0.00224	0.00207	112	103	67.0-150			7.89	20
Acenaphthene	0.00200	0.00246	0.00229	123	114	65.0-138			7.16	20
Acenaphthylene	0.00200	0.00226	0.00204	113	102	66.0-140			10.2	20
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.00200	0.00261	0.00233	131	117	61.0-140			11.3	20
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.00200	0.00274	0.00256	137	128	60.0-143			6.79	20
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.00200	0.00261	0.00224	131	112	58.0-141			15.3	20
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.00200	0.00238	0.00217	119	108	52.0-153			9.23	20
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.00200	0.00243	0.00229	122	114	58.0-148			5.93	20
Chrysene	0.00200	0.00254	0.00219	127	109	64.0-144			14.8	20
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.00200	0.00251	0.00233	125	117	52.0-155			7.44	20
Fluoranthene	0.00200	0.00243	0.00227	122	114	69.0-153			6.81	20
Fluorene	0.00200	0.00242	0.00229	121	114	64.0-136			5.52	20



Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R3830512-1 08/24/22 15:19 • (LCSD) R3830512-2 08/24/22 15:39

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/l	LCS Result mg/l	LCSD Result mg/l	LCS Rec. %	LCSD Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	<u>LCS Qualifier</u>	<u>LCSD Qualifier</u>	RPD %	RPD Limits %
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.00200	0.00277	0.00252	138	126	54.0-153			9.45	20
Naphthalene	0.00200	0.00247	0.00231	123	115	61.0-137			6.69	20
Phenanthrene	0.00200	0.00219	0.00208	109	104	62.0-137			5.15	20
Pyrene	0.00200	0.00256	0.00223	128	111	60.0-142			13.8	20
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.00200	0.00278	0.00254	139	127	66.0-142			9.02	20
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.00200	0.00292	0.00273	146	137	62.0-136	J4	J4	6.73	20
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5				127	121	31.0-160				
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl				116	102	48.0-148				
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14				126	114	37.0-146				

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

7 Gl

8 Al

9 Sc

# GLOSSARY OF TERMS

## Guide to Reading and Understanding Your Laboratory Report


The information below is designed to better explain the various terms used in your report of analytical results from the Laboratory. This is not intended as a comprehensive explanation, and if you have additional questions please contact your project representative.

Results Disclaimer - Information that may be provided by the customer, and contained within this report, include Permit Limits, Project Name, Sample ID, Sample Matrix, Sample Preservation, Field Blanks, Field Spikes, Field Duplicates, On-Site Data, Sampling Collection Dates/Times, and Sampling Location. Results relate to the accuracy of this information provided, and as the samples are received.

### Abbreviations and Definitions

MDL	Method Detection Limit.
RDL	Reported Detection Limit.
Rec.	Recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group.
(S)	Surrogate (Surrogate Standard) - Analytes added to every blank, sample, Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate and Matrix Spike/Duplicate; used to evaluate analytical efficiency by measuring recovery. Surrogates are not expected to be detected in all environmental media.
U	Not detected at the Reporting Limit (or MDL where applicable).
Analyte	The name of the particular compound or analysis performed. Some Analyses and Methods will have multiple analytes reported.
Dilution	If the sample matrix contains an interfering material, the sample preparation volume or weight values differ from the standard, or if concentrations of analytes in the sample are higher than the highest limit of concentration that the laboratory can accurately report, the sample may be diluted for analysis. If a value different than 1 is used in this field, the result reported has already been corrected for this factor.
Limits	These are the target % recovery ranges or % difference value that the laboratory has historically determined as normal for the method and analyte being reported. Successful QC Sample analysis will target all analytes recovered or duplicated within these ranges.
Original Sample	The non-spiked sample in the prep batch used to determine the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) from a quality control sample. The Original Sample may not be included within the reported SDG.
Qualifier	This column provides a letter and/or number designation that corresponds to additional information concerning the result reported. If a Qualifier is present, a definition per Qualifier is provided within the Glossary and Definitions page and potentially a discussion of possible implications of the Qualifier in the Case Narrative if applicable.
Result	The actual analytical final result (corrected for any sample specific characteristics) reported for your sample. If there was no measurable result returned for a specific analyte, the result in this column may state "ND" (Not Detected) or "BDL" (Below Detectable Levels). The information in the results column should always be accompanied by either an MDL (Method Detection Limit) or RDL (Reporting Detection Limit) that defines the lowest value that the laboratory could detect or report for this analyte.
Uncertainty (Radiochemistry)	Confidence level of 2 sigma.
Case Narrative (Cn)	A brief discussion about the included sample results, including a discussion of any non-conformances to protocol observed either at sample receipt by the laboratory from the field or during the analytical process. If present, there will be a section in the Case Narrative to discuss the meaning of any data qualifiers used in the report.
Quality Control Summary (Qc)	This section of the report includes the results of the laboratory quality control analyses required by procedure or analytical methods to assist in evaluating the validity of the results reported for your samples. These analyses are not being performed on your samples typically, but on laboratory generated material.
Sample Chain of Custody (Sc)	This is the document created in the field when your samples were initially collected. This is used to verify the time and date of collection, the person collecting the samples, and the analyses that the laboratory is requested to perform. This chain of custody also documents all persons (excluding commercial shippers) that have had control or possession of the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the laboratory for analysis.
Sample Results (Sr)	This section of your report will provide the results of all testing performed on your samples. These results are provided by sample ID and are separated by the analyses performed on each sample. The header line of each analysis section for each sample will provide the name and method number for the analysis reported.
Sample Summary (Ss)	This section of the Analytical Report defines the specific analyses performed for each sample ID, including the dates and times of preparation and/or analysis.

Qualifier	Description
B	The same analyte is found in the associated blank.
J	The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.
J1	Surrogate recovery limits have been exceeded; values are outside upper control limits.
J3	The associated batch QC was outside the established quality control range for precision.
J4	The associated batch QC was outside the established quality control range for accuracy.
J6	The sample matrix interfered with the ability to make any accurate determination; spike value is low.
J7	Surrogate recovery cannot be used for control limit evaluation due to dilution.
V	The sample concentration is too high to evaluate accurate spike recoveries.



# ACCREDITATIONS & LOCATIONS

## Pace Analytical National 12065 Lebanon Rd Mount Juliet, TN 37122

Alabama	40660	Nebraska	NE-OS-15-05
Alaska	17-026	Nevada	TN000032021-1
Arizona	AZ0612	New Hampshire	2975
Arkansas	88-0469	New Jersey-NELAP	TN002
California	2932	New Mexico <sup>1</sup>	TN00003
Colorado	TN00003	New York	11742
Connecticut	PH-0197	North Carolina	Env375
Florida	E87487	North Carolina <sup>1</sup>	DW21704
Georgia	NELAP	North Carolina <sup>3</sup>	41
Georgia <sup>1</sup>	923	North Dakota	R-140
Idaho	TN00003	Ohio-VAP	CL0069
Illinois	200008	Oklahoma	9915
Indiana	C-TN-01	Oregon	TN200002
Iowa	364	Pennsylvania	68-02979
Kansas	E-10277	Rhode Island	LA000356
Kentucky <sup>1,6</sup>	KY90010	South Carolina	84004002
Kentucky <sup>2</sup>	16	South Dakota	n/a
Louisiana	AI30792	Tennessee <sup>1,4</sup>	2006
Louisiana	LA018	Texas	T104704245-20-18
Maine	TN00003	Texas <sup>5</sup>	LAB0152
Maryland	324	Utah	TN000032021-11
Massachusetts	M-TN003	Vermont	VT2006
Michigan	9958	Virginia	110033
Minnesota	047-999-395	Washington	C847
Mississippi	TN00003	West Virginia	233
Missouri	340	Wisconsin	998093910
Montana	CERT0086	Wyoming	A2LA
A2LA – ISO 17025	1461.01	AIHA-LAP,LLC EMLAP	100789
A2LA – ISO 17025 <sup>5</sup>	1461.02	DOD	1461.01
Canada	1461.01	USDA	P330-15-00234
EPA-Crypto	TN00003		

<sup>1</sup> Drinking Water <sup>2</sup> Underground Storage Tanks <sup>3</sup> Aquatic Toxicity <sup>4</sup> Chemical/Microbiological <sup>5</sup> Mold <sup>6</sup> Wastewater n/a Accreditation not applicable

\* Not all certifications held by the laboratory are applicable to the results reported in the attached report.

\* Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by Pace Analytical.

<sup>1</sup> Cp

<sup>2</sup> Tc

<sup>3</sup> Ss

<sup>4</sup> Cn

<sup>5</sup> Sr

<sup>6</sup> Qc

<sup>7</sup> Gl

<sup>8</sup> Al

<sup>9</sup> Sc

Company Name/Address:  
**Stantec - Anchorage, AK**  
 725 E Fireweed Lane  
 Suite 200  
 Anchorage, AK 99503

Billing Information:  
**Accounts Payable**  
 725 E Fireweed Lane  
 Suite 200  
 Anchorage, AK 99503

Report to:  
**Mr. John Marshall**

Email To: craig.cothron@pacelabs.com

Project Description:  
**Speedway 5314**

City/State  
 Collected: **Wasilla, AK**

Please Circle **AKDT**  
 PT MT CT ET

Phone: **907-266-1108**

Client Project #  
**185705773**

Lab Project #  
**STAAAKSSA-5314**

Collected by (print):  
**Remi Malenfant**

Site/Facility ID #  
**0005314**

P.O. #  
**185705773**


Collected by (signature):  
*[Signature]*  
 Immediately Packed on Ice N  Y

**Rush?** (Lab MUST Be Notified)  
 Same Day  Five Day  
 Next Day  5 Day (Rad Only)  
 Two Day  10 Day (Rad Only)  
 Three Day

Quote #  
 Date Results Needed  
**(Standard)**

Sample ID	Comp/Grab	Matrix *	Depth	Date	Time	No. of Cntrs
MW-01	Grab	GW		8/19/22	1014	11
MW-02		GW			1048	11
MW-03		GW			1251	11
MW-04		GW			1128	11
RW19-01		GW			1141	11
DUP1		GW			1254	11
TRIP BLANK		GW				1

Analysis / Container / Preservative	Pres Chk
AK101 40mlAmb HCl	
AK102 100ml Amb HCl	
NAICP 250mlHDPE-HNO3	
PAHSIMLVID 40mlAmb-NoPres-WT	
V8260C 40mlAmb-HCl	
V8260C 40mlAmb-HCl-BIK	

Chain of Custody Page 1 of 1  
  
**PEOPLE ADVANCING SCIENCE**  
**MT JULIET, TN**  
 12065 Lebanon Rd Mount Juliet, TN 37122  
 Submitting a sample via this chain of custody constitutes acknowledgment and acceptance of the Pace Terms and Conditions found at: <https://info.pacelabs.com/hubs/pas-standard-terms.pdf>

SDG # **L1528 203**  
**J215**  
 Acctnum: **STAAAKSSA**  
 Template: **T175036**  
 Prelogin: **P932481**  
 PM: **034 - Craig Cothron**  
 PB: **BW 6/11/22**  
 Shipped Via: **FedEx 2nd Day**

\* Matrix:  
 SS - Soil AIR - Air F - Filter  
 GW - Groundwater B - Bioassay  
 WW - WasteWater  
 DW - Drinking Water  
 OT - Other

Remarks:  
 pH \_\_\_\_\_ Temp \_\_\_\_\_  
 Flow \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_  
 Samples returned via:  UPS  FedEx  Courier  
 Tracking # **591362674850 MON PO**

**Sample Receipt Checklist**

COC Seal Present/Intact:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NP	<input type="checkbox"/> Y	<input type="checkbox"/> N
COC Signed/Accurate:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	<input type="checkbox"/> N	<input type="checkbox"/> N
Bottles arrive intact:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	<input type="checkbox"/> N	<input type="checkbox"/> N
Correct bottles used:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	<input type="checkbox"/> N	<input type="checkbox"/> N
Sufficient volume sent:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	<input type="checkbox"/> N	<input type="checkbox"/> N
<i>If Applicable</i>			
VOA Zero Headspace:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	<input type="checkbox"/> N	<input type="checkbox"/> N
Preservation Correct/Checked:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	<input type="checkbox"/> N	<input type="checkbox"/> N
RAD Screen <0.5 mR/hr:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	<input type="checkbox"/> N	<input type="checkbox"/> N

Relinquished by: (Signature)  
*[Signature]*  
 Date: **8/19/22**  
 Time: **1430**

Received by: (Signature)  
**Hana Mwechinya**  
 Date: **8-22-22**  
 Time: **0930**

Trip Blank Received:  Yes  No  
 HCL/MeOH  
 TBR  
 Temp: **8.8 to 8.8 C** Bottles Received: **660**  
 If preservation required by Login: Date/Time  
 Hold: \_\_\_\_\_ Condition: **NCF / OK**

R5

# 8/22-NCF-L1528203 STAAAKSSA TD

Time spent: 0h

Time estimate: 0h

## Members

- Troy Dunlap (responsible)
- CC Craig Cothron

- Parameter(s) past holding time
- Temperature not in range
- Improper container type
- pH not in range
- Insufficient sample volume
- Sample is biphasic
- Vials received with headspace
- Broken container
- Sufficient sample remains
- If broken container: Insufficient packing material around container
- If broken container: Insufficient packing material inside cooler
- If broken container: Improper handling by carrier: \_\_\_\_\_
- If broken container: Sample was frozen
- If broken container: Container lid not intact
- Client informed by Call
- Client informed by Email
- Client informed by Voicemail
- Date/Time: 8/23/22 9:23 \_\_\_\_\_
- PM initials: cc \_\_\_\_\_
- Client Contact: John Marshall \_\_\_\_\_

## Comments

<i>Troy Dunlap</i>	22 August 2022 10:28 AM
Received out of temperature at 8.8°C. 5913 6267 4850 Monday PO Label	
<i>Craig Cothron</i>	23 August 2022 9:23 AM
Run out of temperature	
<i>Troy Dunlap</i>	23 August 2022 3:17 PM
Done.	

**Laboratory Data Review Checklist**

Completed By:

Jeremiah Malenfant

Title:

Geologist-In-Training

Date:

09/13/2022

Consultant Firm:

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Laboratory Name:

Pace Analytical

Laboratory Report Number:

L1528203

Laboratory Report Date:

08/22/2022

CS Site Name:

7-Eleven Store 46745 (Former Speedway 5314, T2GM #76)

ADEC File Number:

2265.56.037

Hazard Identification Number:

2986

L1528203

Laboratory Report Date:

08/22/2022

CS Site Name:

7-Eleven Store 46745 (Former Speedway 5314, T2GM #76)

**Note: Any N/A or No box checked must have an explanation in the comments box.**

1. Laboratory

a. Did an ADEC CS approved laboratory receive and perform all of the submitted sample analyses?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

b. If the samples were transferred to another “network” laboratory or sub-contracted to an alternate laboratory, was the laboratory performing the analyses ADEC CS approved?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

Samples not transferred

2. Chain of Custody (CoC)

a. CoC information completed, signed, and dated (including released/received by)?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

b. Correct analyses requested?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

3. Laboratory Sample Receipt Documentation

a. Sample/cooler temperature documented and within range at receipt (0° to 6° C)?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

Samples received at 8.8°C. Cooler was delivered on Saturday but not received until Monday morning.

b. Sample preservation acceptable – acidified waters, Methanol preserved VOC soil (GRO, BTEX, Volatile Chlorinated Solvents, etc.)?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

L1528203

Laboratory Report Date:

08/22/2022

CS Site Name:

7-Eleven Store 46745 (Former Speedway 5314, T2GM #76)

c. Sample condition documented – broken, leaking (Methanol), zero headspace (VOC vials)?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

d. If there were any discrepancies, were they documented? For example, incorrect sample containers/preservation, sample temperature outside of acceptable range, insufficient or missing samples, etc.?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

Temperature outside range; analyses completed out of temperature.

e. Data quality or usability affected?

Comments:

Sample foaming issues resulted in dilution of BTEX samples from wells MW-3 and MW-4, precision from field duplicates out of acceptable range for all analytes.

4. Case Narrative

a. Present and understandable?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

b. Discrepancies, errors, or QC failures identified by the lab?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

Case narrative documents no errors or discrepancies “unless qualified or notated within report.”

c. Were all corrective actions documented?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

No corrective actions documented directly in case narrative.

d. What is the effect on data quality/usability according to the case narrative?

Comments:

No effect on data quality/usability.



L1528203

Laboratory Report Date:

08/22/2022

CS Site Name:

7-Eleven Store 46745 (Former Speedway 5314, T2GM #76)

5. Samples Results

a. Correct analyses performed/reported as requested on COC?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

b. All applicable holding times met?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

c. All soils reported on a dry weight basis?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

No soil samples submitted to lab.

d. Are the reported LOQs less than the Cleanup Level or the minimum required detection level for the project?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

e. Data quality or usability affected?

No.

6. QC Samples

a. Method Blank

i. One method blank reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

ii. All method blank results less than limit of quantitation (LOQ) or project specified objectives?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

GRO by AK101 detected at 0.0323 mg/L, below LOQ; DRO detected at 0.358 mg/L, below LOQ

L1528203

Laboratory Report Date:

08/22/2022

CS Site Name:

7-Eleven Store 46745 (Former Speedway 5314, T2GM #76)

iii. If above LOQ or project specified objectives, what samples are affected?

Comments:

GRO in MW-3; DRO in RW19-1 and the duplicate

iv. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

v. Data quality or usability affected?

Comments:

Raises concern about validity of GRO and DRO measurements from MW-3, already suspect because of high RPDs. No affect on DRO in RW19-1, as it is below the LOQ and the GCL.

b. Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate (LCS/LCSD)

i. Organics – One LCS/LCSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples? (LCS/LCSD required per AK methods, LCS required per SW846)

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

ii. Metals/Inorganics – one LCS and one sample duplicate reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

iii. Accuracy – All percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? (AK Petroleum methods: AK101 60%-120%, AK102 75%-125%, AK103 60%-120%; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages)

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

DRO (or surrogate) in all samples except RW19-1 and the duplicate had recoveries above the accepted limits, and the 8270D-SIM LCS had a high recovery for 2-methylnaphthalene, which is not a contaminant of concern for this report.

L1528203

Laboratory Report Date:

08/22/2022

CS Site Name:

7-Eleven Store 46745 (Former Speedway 5314, T2GM #76)

iv. Precision – All relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? RPD reported from LCS/LCSD, and or sample/sample duplicate. (AK Petroleum methods 20%; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages)

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

v. If %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected?

Comments:

DRO samples from MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-4

vi. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

vii. Data quality or usability affected? (Use comment box to explain.)

Comments:

DRO samples suspect in MW-3 and -4; MW-4 shows high levels of contamination not consistent with historical values and much higher than those from RW19-1, which is situated near the well.

c. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

**Note: Leave blank if not required for project**

i. Organics – One MS/MSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

ii. Metals/Inorganics – one MS and one MSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

L1528203

Laboratory Report Date:

08/22/2022

CS Site Name:

7-Eleven Store 46745 (Former Speedway 5314, T2GM #76)

iii. Accuracy – All percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

Sodium below acceptable limits due to high concentration, DRO in RW19-1 and the duplicate showed low recovery due to matrix interference.

iv. Precision – All relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? RPD reported from MS/MSD, and or sample/sample duplicate.

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

v. If %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected?

Comments:

Sodium in all samples, DRO in MW-3 and RW19-1.

vi. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

vii. Data quality or usability affected? (Use comment box to explain.)

Comments:

DRO results in MW-3 and the duplicate not very useable. RW19-1 not as affected because of the low value of the result. No DRO exceedences are expected in RW19-1. Sodium is only used as a tracker for the chemox product, so any impact on the values is trivial.

d. Surrogates – Organics Only or Isotope Dilution Analytes (IDA) – Isotope Dilution Methods Only

i. Are surrogate/IDA recoveries reported for organic analyses – field, QC and laboratory samples?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

Not included.

L1528203

Laboratory Report Date:

08/22/2022

CS Site Name:

7-Eleven Store 46745 (Former Speedway 5314, T2GM #76)

ii. Accuracy – All percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? (AK Petroleum methods 50-150 %R for field samples and 60-120 %R for QC samples; all other analyses see the laboratory report pages)

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

Not included.

iii. Do the sample results with failed surrogate/IDA recoveries have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

Not included.

iv. Data quality or usability affected?

Comments:

No affected samples.

e. Trip Blanks

i. One trip blank reported per matrix, analysis and for each cooler containing volatile samples? (If not, enter explanation below.)

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

ii. Is the cooler used to transport the trip blank and VOA samples clearly indicated on the COC? (If not, a comment explaining why must be entered below)

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

iii. All results less than LOQ and project specified objectives?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

iv. If above LOQ or project specified objectives, what samples are affected?

Comments:

No affected samples.

L1528203

Laboratory Report Date:

08/22/2022

CS Site Name:

7-Eleven Store 46745 (Former Speedway 5314, T2GM #76)

v. Data quality or usability affected?

Comments:

No affected samples.

f. Field Duplicate

i. One field duplicate submitted per matrix, analysis and 10 project samples?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

ii. Submitted blind to lab?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

iii. Precision – All relative percent differences (RPD) less than specified project objectives?  
(Recommended: 30% water, 50% soil)

$$\text{RPD (\%)} = \text{Absolute value of: } \frac{(R_1 - R_2)}{((R_1 + R_2) / 2)} \times 100$$

Where  $R_1$  = Sample Concentration  
 $R_2$  = Field Duplicate Concentration

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

Precision out of acceptable range for every contaminant for which it could be calculated.

iv. Data quality or usability affected? (Use the comment box to explain why or why not.)

Comments:

Probably due to reported foaming issues in MW-3, in turn due to high cooler temp. Makes the values from MW-3 less meaningful. The higher value is reported.

g. Decontamination or Equipment Blank (If not applicable, a comment stating why must be entered below)?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

All disposable equipment.

L1528203

Laboratory Report Date:

08/22/2022

CS Site Name:

7-Eleven Store 46745 (Former Speedway 5314, T2GM #76)

i. All results less than LOQ and project specified objectives?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments:

All disposable equipment.

ii. If above LOQ or project specified objectives, what samples are affected?

Comments:

None.

iii. Data quality or usability affected?

Comments:

No.

7. Other Data Flags/Qualifiers (ACOE, AFCEE, Lab Specific, etc.)

a. Defined and appropriate?

Yes  No  N/A  Comments: