

3003 Minnesota Dr., Suite 302 Anchorage, AK 99503 PH 907-929-3326 www.geosyntec.com

21 February 2024

Shawn Holdridge, CCM Cook Inlet Housing Authority 3510 Spenard Road, Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99503 sholdridge@cookinlethousing.org

#### Subject: 2024 Annual Report for Long-Term Performance Monitoring of Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Ridgeline Terrace Apartments Anchorage, Alaska ADEC File Number: 2100.38.569

Dear Mr. Holdridge:

Geosyntec Consultants (Geosyntec) has prepared this report to document performance of the vapor intrusion mitigation systems (VIMS) at the Ridgeline Terrace Apartments located at 185 Ridgeline Loop in Anchorage, Alaska (Figure 1). This report has been prepared in accordance with the long-term performance monitoring requirements contained in Geosyntec's Vapor Intrusion Summary Report (February 2022). Performance monitoring of the VIMS includes:

- Documentation and resolution of alarms and notifications;
- Periodic checks;
- Verification sampling; and
- Contingency plans and actions should the objectives of the performance monitoring not be met.

This report covers the time from February 2023 through February 2024.

#### ALARMS AND NOTIFICATIONS

No VIMS-related alarms were triggered and Cook Inlet Housing Authority (CIHA) personnel received no notifications of VIMS performance issues during the reporting period of February 2023 through February 2024.

#### **PERIODIC CHECKS**

CIHA personnel conducted weekly checks of the VIMS in Buildings 106, 138, 146, and 152 during 2023 and into early 2024. Vacuum readings were noted each time. No operational issues were identified during the weekly checks. Attachment A contains the weekly vacuum readings for each VIMS.

During other routine building maintenance and inspections, CIHA personnel have not reported issues or abnormalities regarding the structural integrity (e.g., new foundation cracks, damage to utilities) of the buildings or individual apartments. No natural disasters or significant events have occurred to prompt further inspection of the VIMS.

#### VERIFICATION SAMPLING

#### 2024 Plan

On 24 January 2024, Geosyntec deployed nine passive air samplers in four apartment units and two outdoor locations. Additionally, two VIMS effluent samples were collected on 24 January 2024. Sampling locations were as follows:

- 1. Unit 138A fresh air vent (outdoor sample)
- 2. Unit 138C kitchen (main floor)
- 3. Unit 138E kitchen and kitchen duplicate (main floor)
- 4. Unit 146C kitchen (main floor); garage (first floor); fresh air vent (outdoor sample)
- 5. Unit 146E kitchen (main floor); garage (first floor)
- 6. Effluent sample from Building 138 VIMS
- 7. Effluent sample from Building 146 VIMS

The quantity and location of these samples were in accordance with the long-term performance monitoring requirements contained in the Vapor Intrusion Summary Report (February 2022). Unit 146E was occupied, while units 146C, 138E, and 138 C were unoccupied.

The passive air samplers deployed on 24 January were retrieved 48 hours later on 26 January. The samplers were shipped to Eurofins Air Toxics LLC for analysis of trichloroethene (TCE), tetrachloroethene (PCE), 1,1-dichorothene (DCE), cis-1,2-DCE (cDCE), and trans-1,1,-DCE (tDCE) by modified method TO-17. The VIMS effluent samples also were analyzed by Eurofins Air Toxics LLC for the same compounds by method TO-15.

TCE was not detected in any of the indoor or outdoor air samples, and laboratory reporting limits were 0.50 micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) or less compared to the residential indoor air target level of 2.0  $\mu g/m^3$ .

#### 2024 Annual Report for Long-Term Performance Monitoring of VIMS Ridgeline Terrace Apartments

PCE was detected in samples from the garage and kitchen of 146E with concentrations of 1.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 0.79  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. Both detections are an order of magnitude below the ADEC residential target level of 41  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Apartment 146E was sampled on seven previous occasions between April 2021 to September 2021, and PCE was not detected in any of the previous sampling events. During the January 2024 sampling event, the garage of 146E contained industrial cleaners, mechanical equipment, aerosols cans, a removed car engine, and miscellaneous chemicals (photos of the garage of 146E are presented in Attachment C Photolog). These contents were not present during previous sampling events. The multiple lines of evidence (previous sampling results versus current sampling results, previous materials inventory versus current materials inventory) suggest that an indoor source is the cause of the current low-level detections of PCE in 146E.

None of the other analyzed compounds were detected in the passive air samples from the apartment buildings or outdoor locations. Laboratory reporting limits for each compound were less than indoor air residential target levels. Table 1 presents the analytical results for the indoor and outdoor air samples. Figure 2 displays the results and includes comprehensive data for the apartments sampled in 2024 from pre-mitigation investigation and post-mitigation confirmation.

			Sampling	PCE	TCE	1,1-DCE	cDCE	tDCE
Unit	Apartment	Location	Date	$\mu g/m^3$	$\mu g/m^3$	μg/m <sup>3</sup>	$\mu g/m^3$	$\mu g/m^3$
		2nd Floor (Main)	Jan-2024	ND (0.58)	ND (0.49)	ND (1.8)	ND (0.54)	ND (1.1)
	С	1st Floor (Garage)	Jan-2024	ND (0.58)	ND (0.49)	ND (1.8)	ND (0.54)	ND (1.1)
146		Outdoor Fresh 80 Vent	Jan-2024	ND (0.58)	ND (0.49)	ND (1.8)	ND (0.54)	ND (1.1)
	E	1st Floor (Garage)	Jan-2024	1.1	ND (0.50)	ND (1.8)	ND (0.55)	ND (1.1)
		2nd Floor (Main)	Jan-2024	0.79	ND (0.50)	ND (1.8)	ND (0.55)	ND (1.1)
	A	Outdoor Fresh 80 Vent	Jan-2024	ND (0.58)	ND (0.50)	ND (1.8)	ND (0.55)	ND (1.1)
120	С	Main Floor	Jan-2024	ND (0.58)	ND (0.49)	ND (1.8)	ND (0.54)	ND (1.1)
138	F	Main Floor	Jan-2024	ND (0.58)	ND (0.49)	ND (1.8)	ND (0.54)	ND (1.1)
	Ľ	Main Floor - Duplicate	Jan-2024	ND (0.58)	ND (0.49)	ND (1.8)	ND (0.54)	ND (1.1)
		Indoor Air Target Levels		41	2.0	79	NA	790

 Table 1 – Passive Sampling Analytical Results

Key:

- 1,1-DCE 1,1-Dichloroethene
- cDCE cis-1,2-dichloroethylene
- $\mu g/m^3$  micrograms per cubic meter
- NA not available
- ND not detected (reporting limit)
- PCE tetrachloroethene
- TCE trichloroethene
- tDCE trans-1,2-dichloroethylene

The TCE concentrations of the VIMS effluent samples from Building 138 and 146 were 2,600  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 1,500  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. In the 2.5 years since VIMS installation, TCE effluent has decreased 80 percent at Building 138 and 90 percent at Building 146. Table 2 presents the comprehensive analytical results for the VIMS effluent samples.

			PCE	TCE	1,1-DCE	cDCE	tDCE
Unit	Location	Date	μg/m <sup>3</sup>				
138	VIMS Effluent sample	Jul-21	ND (74)	13000	ND (43)	ND (43)	ND (43)
	VIMS Effluent sample	Feb-22	48	6700	ND (18)	35	ND (18)
	VIMS Effluent sample	Feb-23	ND (10)	2500	ND (5.9)	10	ND (5.9)
	VIMS Effluent sample	Jan-24	ND (8.3)	2600	ND (4.8)	11	ND (4.8)
146	VIMS Effluent sample	Jul-21	ND (67)	15000	ND (39)	48	ND (38)
	VIMS Effluent sample	Feb-22	ND (21)	4100	ND (12)	ND (12)	ND (12)
	VIMS Effluent sample	Feb-23	ND (14)	3700	ND (8.3)	ND (8.3)	ND (8.3)
	VIMS Effluent sample	Jan-24	ND (8.2)	1500	ND (4.8)	ND (4.8)	ND (4.8)

Table 2 – Effluent	Sampling	Analytical	Results
--------------------	----------	------------	---------

Key:

-	
1,1-DCE -	1,1-Dichloroethene
cDCE -	cis-1,2-dichloroethylene
μg/m <sup>3</sup> -	micrograms per cubic meter
PCE -	tetrachloroethene
TCE -	trichloroethene
tDCE -	trans-1,2-dichloroethylene
VIMS -	vapor intrusion mitigation systems

#### **CONTINGENCY ACTIVITIES**

No contingency activities are necessary since no alarms or notifications for the VIMS occurred during the past year, the weekly inspections of the VIMS and periodic checks of the buildings did not identify operational issues, and TCE was not detected during annual verification sampling.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Three years of performance monitoring has occurred since VIMS installation in June 2021 following the ADEC-approved long-term plan in the Vapor Intrusion Summary Report (February 2022). The approved plan indicated that modifications to verification sampling may occur after three years. Based on the findings that all the units in Buildings 138 and 146 have been sampled at least once during verification sampling and all the indoor and outdoor TCE concentrations are below the TCE indoor air target level, no further verification sampling is recommended at this time. Figure 3 provides a comprehensive summary of analytical results for Buildings 138 and 146 and unit 106A during the past three years of verification sampling and demonstrates the successful operations of the VIMS.

#### 2024 Annual Report for Long-Term Performance Monitoring of VIMS Ridgeline Terrace Apartments

Long-term performance monitoring should continue to include monitoring of VIMS alarms and notifications and periodic checks of the VIMS and buildings in accordance with the procedures contained in the Vapor Intrusion Summary Report (February 2022); however, annual reporting to ADEC is no longer necessary. Should a VIMS alarm or notification occur, a weekly check of the VIMS indicate abnormal operations, or a periodic check of the buildings indicate structural damage that could affect VIMS performance, CIHA will notify ADEC and contingency actions will be decided on a case by case basis. Contingency actions could include additional verification sampling and resuming annual reporting to ADEC.

#### **CLOSURE**

The execution and reporting of the long-term performance monitoring of the VIMS at Ridgeline Terrace Apartments was performed by the undersigned qualified environmental professionals, as defined in 18 AAC 75.333.

Sincerely,

Cole Richards Project Manager

Ber Martins

Ben Martich Principal

cc: Mark Fineman, CIHA Chelsea Smith, ACAH Michael Singleton, AHFC

Attachments

Figures

- A VIMS Exhaust Fan Checklist
- B Field Notes
- C Photo Log
- D-Laboratory Analytical Reports
- E Data Quality Checklists

Page 5

Figures



P:\Clients\Cook\_Inlet\_Housing\_Authority\PNG0937\_Ridgeline Terrace\06\_DataManagement\_Visualization\GIS\Projects\LTMP\_Figs\LTMP\_Figs.aprx 2/14/2024 9:02 AM



SantaBarbara-01\P:\Clients\Cook\_Inlet\_Housing\_Authority\PNG0937\_Ridgeline Terrace\06\_DataManagement\_Visualization\GIS\Projects\LTMP\_Figs\LTMP\_Figs.aprx 2/14/2024 (Author: emma.bristol) 10:54 AM



SantaBarbara-01\P:\Clients\Cook\_Inlet\_Housing\_Authority\PNG0937\_Ridgeline Terrace\06\_DataManagement\_Visualization\GIS\Projects\LTMP\_Figs\LTMP\_Figs\LTMP\_Figs.aprx 2/21/2024 (Author: emma.bristol) 9:19 AM

#### Attachment A

### **VIMS Exhaust Fan Checklist**

Date (From - To) 1/6/23 - 3/23/23

Bldg: 106

106

	and the second second			
Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
1-6	3:15	DM	.70	
		· ·		
1-12	5:20	Dm	.75	
1-19	11:15	DM	.75	
		(		
1-27	12:20	Don	.75	
2-10	4:20	Dm	.74	
		- 1		
2-16	11:20	DM	.74	
		<u> </u>		
2-23	1:20	DM	.75	
2-28	4:20	DM	e 15	
3-7	2:45	DM	675	
	1116-	Due		
3-16	4,50	DM	•12	
2 7	1-15		22	
3-25	10:50	DM	15	
2	1116		21	
5-21	11:30	DW	115	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		"		

# 2

### Exhaust Fan Checklist

Date (From - To) 4/4/23 - 6/27/23

Bldg: 106

Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
4-94	9:00	DM	.75	
9-11	0120	-	20	
-1-11	0150	DM	•13	
4-19	10:20	DM	.73	
4-27	9:00	DM	.73	
SIL	11:25	DNA	10	
31	1116	2111	115	
5-10	10:30	DM	,75	
	01110			
5-17	8:40	Dm	,75	
5-21	11:20	DM	.75	
2-26	1.100	10 001	115	
6-6	11:10	Om	175	
( ) =				
6-13	12:20	DM	,75	
6-7-1	9:30	DM	.25	
0 -1			- 12	
6-27	9:35	DM	,75	
L		l		

## 3

### **Exhaust Fan Checklist**

Date (From - To) 7/6/23 - 9/29/23

Bldg: 106

Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
7-6	3:15	DM	.75	
7-11	1:40	Dm	:75	
7-20	3:20	Dm	,75	
7-26	9:45	pm	175	
8-3	2:30	DM	175	
8-9	10:25	DM	,75	
8-15	10:30	DM	175	
8-29	9125	DM	.70	
9-8	9:20	55	,75	
9-15	4:40	55	175	
~				
7-22	9135	CM	.75	
9-29	11:15	CM	.75	
'				

Date (From - To) 10/6/23 - 12

Bldg: 106

Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
10-6	3'15	CM	.75	
10-12	5120	CM	.75	
10-20	11:15	CM	.75	
10-27	12:20	CM	,75	
11-9	9:20	LD	.75	
11-2)	11:20	LD	.70	
11-29	12:20	LD	.73	
12-7	12:20	LD	.75	
12-14	12:20	CD	.75	
12-27	12:40	LD	.74	
1-4-24	1:50	LD	.75	
1-11-24	1:20	LD	,75	

Date (From - To) 1/6/23 - 3/23/23

Bldg: 138

138

·				
Date	lime	Initials	Pressure	Notes
1-6	3:45	DM	4.5	
1=12	5:30	Don	4.5	
1-19	11:45	DM	4.5	
16-27	12:30	DM	4.5	
			- 1.0	
2-10	4:20	DIAA	43	
210	(19-	Duri	112	
2411	11:20	DIA	110	
416	11120	Din	4.5	
1200	1120	DIA	IIE	
-23	1,50	Dry	4.5	
0.00	111	~	1.7	
2-28	7:00	DM	4.5	
	0			
3-7	2155	DM	415	
3-16	4:40	DM	414	
3-23	10:40	DM	4.5	
3-27	11:45	DM	4,4	

/		7
//	-	
(	/	1
1	-	

Date (From - To)

Bldg: 138

_		1		
Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
4-4	9:30	Dm	45	
4-11	9:00	Dm	4.5	
4-19	10;40	DM	4.5	
4-27	9:30	DM	4.5	
5-4	11:45	Dm	4.5	
5-10	10:45	DM	4.5	
5-17	8:50	Dm	4.5	
5-26	11:30	Dm	4.5	
6-6	11:20	DM	4.5	
6-13	12:30	DM	4.5	
6-21	3:15	Pm	4.6	
6-27	9:55	pm	4.6	
	l			

 $(\mathbf{3})$ 

#### Exhaust Fan Checklist

Date (From - To) 7/6/23 - 9/29/23

Bldg: 138

Data	Time	1	I Den T	
Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
7-7	3150	Dm	4.5	
7-11	2:00	DM	4.5	
	2.2	<b>N</b>		
7-20	3130	DM	4.5	
7-26	9:25	DM	4.5	
8-3	2:00	pm	4.5	
0.0	101			
8-9	12:40	DM	4.5	
8-15	10:45	DM	4.5	
8-29	9:40	DM	4.4	
0 01				
9-8	9:25	JJ	4.5	
9-15	4:30	JJ	4.5	
9-22	9:45	Cm	4.6	
9-29	11:30	CM	4.5	

Date (From - To) 10/6/23 - 12

Bldg: 138

Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
10-6	3 pm	Cm	4.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10-12	4:45	CM	417	
10-20	2:30	CM	4,7	
10-27	9130	CM	4.7	
11-9	9:30	LD	4,7	
11-21	9130	LD	4,5	
11-29	12:130	LD	4,7	
12-7	12/30	LD	4.5	
2-14	12:30	LP	4.5	
2-2)	12:50	LD	4,6	
-4-24	2:00	LD	4.5	
-11-24	1:30	LD	4.5	

146

Date (From - To) 1/6/23 - 3/23/23

Bldg: 146

Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
1-6	3:30	DM	4,9	
1-12	5'.10	DM	4.9	
1-19	11:30	DM	4,8	
1-27	12',10	Dm	4.8	
2-10	4:10	DM	4,75	
2-16	11:10	DM	4.8	
2-23	1:10	DM	4.5	
2-28	4:40	DM	4.5	
3-7	2:35	Dm	5.0	
3-16	5:10	DM	5.0	
3-23	11:10	DM	5.0	
3-27	12/10	DM	5.0	
	L.	-		

Date (From - To) 4/4/23 - 6/27/23

Bldg: 146

Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
4-4	10:00	DM	5.0	
4-11	9:30	DM	4.9	
4-19	10:00	DM	5.0	
4-27	9:45	Pm	5.0	
5-4	11:00	DM	5.1	
5-10	10:00	Dun	5.0	
5-17	9:00	pm	5.0	
5-26	11:40	Dm	5.0	
6-6	11:00	Dun	5.0	
6-13	2:00	pm	5.0	
6-21	9:15	Dm	5.1	
6-27	9:25	DW	5.1	

Date (From - To) 7/6/23 - 9/29/23

Bldg: 146

5

Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
7-6	2:45	DM	5.1	
7-11	1:20	DM	5,1	
7-20	3:00	DM	5.0	
8-3	9:05	DM	5.0	
8-9	2:00	Dm	5.0	
8-15	10:15	DM	5,5	
8-29	10:00	DM	5.0	
9-8	9:00	JJ	5.0	
9-15	4:50	JJ	5.0	
9-22	9:10	cur	5.0	
9-29	10:40	cm	5.0	
6 7				
		ag :		

Date (From - To) 10/6/23-12

Bldg: 146

Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
10-6	2115	CM	510	
10-12	2:45	cm	4.9	
10-20	3:45	cm	5,0	
10-27	8:45	cm	5.0	
11-9	9:00	40	4,9	
11-21	<b>9</b> :00	LD	5.0	
1-29	12:00	LD	4.8	
12-7	1:00	LD	4.7	
12-14	12:00	LD	4,9	
12-27	12:00	LD	4,9	
1-4-24	1:30	60	4.9	
-11-24	1:00	LD	5.0	

Date (From - To) 1/6/23 - 3/23/23

Bldg: 152

152

Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
1-6	3:00 p	DM	.90	
1-12	5:00p	DM	.90	
1-19	11:00 a	DM	.90	
1-27	12 pm	Dm	.95	
2-10	4 pm	Dm	.95	
2-16	llam	DM	.95	
2-23	1 pm	Pm	.92	
2-28	4;30p	DM	.92	
3-7	2:30p	Pm	. 95	
3-16	5 pm	PM	.92	
3-23	llam	PM	.92	
3-27	12.pm	PM	• 98	WINDY X

2

### **Exhaust Fan Checklist**

Date (From - To) 4/4/23 - 6/27/23

Bldg: 152

Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
4-4	8 am	DM	.92	
4-11	8 am	DM	.92	
4-19	9:301	DM	-92	
4-27	8:30a	DM	-90	
5-4	12p	DM	. 92	
5-10	lla	DM	.92	
5-17	8:30	DM	.96	
5-26	llam	DW	• 91	
6-6	11:30	DM	- 92	
6-13	12.pm	DM	.93	
6-21	3:30p	DM	- 92	
6-27	llam	DM	.92	

Date (From - To) 7/6/23 - 9/29/23

Bldg: 152

Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
7-6	3:00	DM	.92	
7-11	1:30	DM	.92	
7-20	3:10	DM	. 92	
7-26	9:15	Dm	.93	
8-3	2:10	DW	. 93	
8-9	10:30	DM	. 92	
8-15	10:10	DM	.92	
8-29	9:10	DM	. 92	
9-8	9:00	72	.93	
9-15	5:00	JJ	.92	
9-22	9:20	cm	• 92	
9-29	11:00	cM	.92	

Date (From - To) [0/6/23 - 12

Bldg: 152

Date	Time	Initials	Pressure	Notes
10-6	21.30	CM	-92	
10-12	3:00	CM	.93	
10-20	4:00	CM	. 93	
10-27	9:00	ст	-92	
11-9	9:15	LD	.92	
11-21	3:15	LD	.93	
11-29	12:10	LD	.93	
12-7	1:15	LD	. 93	
12-14	12:10	LD	.92	×
12-27	12:15	LD	. 93	
1-4-24	1:40	LD	.92	
1-11-24	1:10	LD	.92	

#### Attachment B

**Field Notes** 



28 PN60937 RTA 1/24/24	PN60937 FFA 1/22/282
0915 Kitchen. (10 03-01)	0942 Mare to 138 F80
[24- RTA-03-01-01-1A]	0953 Place VH268 at
0920 Move to 1382	38 F80 [24-RTA- 79-01-01-AA]
0925 Place VH262 and	0954 move to 146 VIMS
dupe VH263 in Kitchen	to sample
of 1382. Will give	For 146 VIMS
dupe time of 0955	Can: 12024
Sample VHZ102 at [24-PTA-10-01-01-4]	Flow 22424 24911
VH2103 [24-KTA-95-01-01-1A]	4)Sevial F1949
0930 place 14265 in kitcher	0950 Initial Vac 27 in Hg
on blinds 24-RTA - 12-01-01-TA	10:00-26 begin to Sample [24-RTA-146-01-56]
<u>IN 138C</u>	
0931 Walk inside 138 mech	10:00 Stop Sample.
to look at system is the	Final Vaccum. 10 inHa
U955 156 Con Priter LOLOT garage	10:10 set up for 1:38 V1198 3
Note Cleanars, notern equipment,	Flowe 24-912
Werdsols and other. Appen to	Can: 123497
be car gurage [ meenamiden	Sterial: NOT23
NONIS "CNUMICOU".	Mihal Vacuum 260.5 intta
ALSO NOVE DIEDK CHE OLEANER	016 15egin Sampling24-KA+158-01-56
UNTO PLACE TRADE IN SUVERYO	loar stop sound pring 11. H
09145 PLACE 111+2107 110 Vitchan	IDID PALK WAR WARK
OF HIDE THE PTA-DE-DI-DI-LA	10. LI VILLE UP. WPATTER
	INTEL LONGY CPTION ZEVO
Sala 1 anum 1/24/24	- 100 12 MM. NO WINDL. TEAVESIT
Scale, i square =	Scale: 1 square =

30 PN60137 RTA 1	26/24	31
0947 C Richards on soto	te	
meet 5. Holdridge to pro	ch	
UP passive sampiers.		
1005 Pick of aller from		
Fresh 80 outside on 146C		
· loop Pick up ay 263 from		
146C garage		
612 WER UP VH264 from		
196C Kitchen		
LOIT PICK UP V H266 trom		
146E garage		
1020 Prek of UHDER from		
146E lettohen		<u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u>
LOAS PICK OF VITAGE TION	<u>N</u> ]	
130 E Kotchen		
120 FROM UP DUP IN RIT	They	
138 - VILLOS Mark form		
1031 ONE 10 LILLAGE Com		
1326 LALahan		
LAZZ RICKING MAGY From		
138 Fresh Sin puter		
1042 C. Richard C. off out		
- Contraction of the Contraction		
Caro Achu		
Scale: 1 square =	Scale: 1 square =	Rete in the Rein

Attachment C

**Photo Log** 





#### Attachment D

#### **Laboratory Analytical Reports**



#### **Air Toxics**

2/8/2024 Mr. Shawn Holdridge Cook Inlet Housing Authority 3510 Spenard Road Suite 100 Anchorage AK 99503

Project Name: Ridgeline Terrace Project #: Workorder #: 2401553

Dear Mr. Shawn Holdridge

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 1/26/2024 at Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Monica Tran at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ionica Fran

Monica Tran Project Manager

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630 T 916-985-1000 F 916-351-8279 www.airtoxics.com



**Air Toxics** 

#### WORK ORDER #: 2401553

#### Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Shawn Holdridge	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable
	Cook Inlet Housing Authority		Cook Inlet Housing Authority
	3510 Spenard Road		3510 Spenard Road
	Suite 100		Suite 100
	Anchorage, AK 99503		Anchorage, AK 99503
PHONE:	907-793-3036	<b>P.O.</b> #	124758
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ridgeline Terrace
DATE RECEIVED:	01/26/2024	CONTACT	Monica Tran
DATE COMPLETED:	02/08/2024	connaci.	Wollica Hall

			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	24-RTA-146-01-SG	TO-15	9.4 "Hg	9.8 psi
02A	24-RTA-138-01-SG	TO-15	9.4 "Hg	9.9 psi
03A	Lab Blank	TO-15	NA	NA
04A	CCV	TO-15	NA	NA
05A	LCS	TO-15	NA	NA
05AA	LCSD	TO-15	NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

layes

DATE: <u>02/08/24</u>

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP – 209222, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP – T104704434-22-18, UT NELAP – CA009332022-14, VA NELAP - 12240, WA ELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) CA300005-017 Eurofins Environment Testing Northern California, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the 2016 TNI Standard.

> This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC. 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000

> > Page 2 of 10
#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Cook Inlet Housing Authority Workorder# 2401553

Two 1 Liter Summa Canister samples were received on January 26, 2024. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

### **Receiving Notes**

There were no receiving discrepancies.

### **Analytical Notes**

There were no analytical discrepancies.

### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

M - Reported value may be biased due to apparent matrix interferences.

CN - See Case Narrative.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



# Summary of Detected Compounds EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-146-01-SG

Lab ID#: 2401553-01A

Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Trichloroethene	1.2	280	6.5	1500

#### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-138-01-SG

Lab ID#: 2401553-02A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.2	2.7	4.8	11
Trichloroethene	1.2	490	6.6	2600



### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-146-01-SG Lab ID#: 2401553-01A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Т

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a020116 2.43	Date of Collection: 1/24/24 10:06:00 AM Date of Analysis: 2/1/24 09:34 PM		4/24 10:06:00 AM 4 09:34 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.2	Not Detected	4.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.2	Not Detected	4.8	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.2	Not Detected	4.8	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	1.2	280	6.5	1500
Tetrachloroethene	1.2	Not Detected	8.2	Not Detected

#### Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
Toluene-d8	102	70-130	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	91	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	70-130	



### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-138-01-SG Lab ID#: 2401553-02A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Т

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a020117 2.44	a020117 Date of Collection: 1/24/24 10:21:00 2.44 Date of Analysis: 2/1/24 10:11 PM		4/24 10:21:00 AM 4 10:11 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.2	Not Detected	4.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.2	Not Detected	4.8	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.2	2.7	4.8	11
Trichloroethene	1.2	490	6.6	2600
Tetrachloroethene	1.2	Not Detected	8.3	Not Detected

#### Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
Toluene-d8	108	70-130	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	91	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	70-130	



### Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 2401553-03A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Т

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a020106d 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 2/1/24 12:46 PM		4 12:46 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.50	Not Detected	2.0	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	Not Detected	2.0	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	Not Detected	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	0.50	Not Detected	2.7	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.50	Not Detected	3.4	Not Detected

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
Toluene-d8	100	70-130	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	89	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	70-130	



### Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 2401553-04A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a020103 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 2/1/24 11:02 AM
Compound		%Recovery
1,1-Dichloroethene		92
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		94
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		93
Trichloroethene		97
Tetrachloroethene		95

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
Toluene-d8	102	70-130	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	91	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	106	70-130	



### Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 2401553-05A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Т

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a020104 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 2/1/24 11:36 AM	
Compound		%Recovery	Method Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene		95	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		96	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		95	70-130
Trichloroethene		99	70-130
Tetrachloroethene		99	70-130

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	101	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	88	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	106	70-130



### Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 2401553-05AA EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

Т

File Name: Dil. Factor:	a020105 1.00	Date of Collec Date of Analys	ction: NA sis:   2/1/24 12:10 PM
Compound		%Recovery	Method Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene		91	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		94	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		90	70-130
Trichloroethene		100	70-130
Tetrachloroethene		99	70-130

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
Toluene-d8	101	70-130	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	91	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	105	70-130	



2/9/2024 Mr. Shawn Holdridge Cook Inlet Housing Authority 3510 Spenard Road Suite 100 Anchorage AK 99503

Project Name: Ridgeline Terrace Project #: Workorder #: 2401631

Dear Mr. Shawn Holdridge

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 1/29/2024 at Eurofins Air Toxics LLC.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Eurofins Air Toxics LLC. for your air analysis needs. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Monica Tran at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Ionica Fran

Monica Tran Project Manager

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



### WORK ORDER #: 2401631

#### Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Shawn Holdridge	BILL TO:	Accounts Payable
	Cook Inlet Housing Authority		Cook Inlet Housing Authority
	3510 Spenard Road		3510 Spenard Road
	Suite 100		Suite 100
	Anchorage, AK 99503		Anchorage, AK 99503
PHONE:	907-793-3036	<b>P.O.</b> #	124758
FAX:		PROJECT #	Ridgeline Terrace
DATE RECEIVED:	01/29/2024	CONTACT	Monica Tran
DATE COMPLETED:	02/09/2024	contact.	

FRACTION #	NAME	<u>TEST</u>
01A	24-RTA-82-01-01-AA	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
02A	24-RTA-03-02-01-IA	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
03A	24-RTA-03-01-01-IA	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
04A	24-RTA-10-01-01-IA	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
05A	24-RTA-95-01-01-IA	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
06A	24-RTA-12-01-01-IA	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
07A	24-RTA-05-02-01-IA	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
08A	24-RTA-05-01-01-IA	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
09A	24-RTA-79-01-01-AA	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
10A	24-RTA-01-TB	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
11A	Lab Blank	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
12A	CCV	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
13A	LCS	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
13AA	LCSD	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC
13AA	LCSD	Passive S.E. RAD130/SKC

Lau

DATE: <u>02/09/24</u>

Technical Director

CERTIFIED BY:

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, FL NELAP – E87680, LA NELAP – 02089, NH NELAP – 209222, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP – T104704434-22-18, UT NELAP – CA009332022-14, VA NELAP - 12240, WA ELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) CA300005-017 Eurofins Environment Testing Northern California, LLC certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the 2016 TNI Standard.

> This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC. 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 95630 (916) 985-1000

> > Page 2 of 20

🛟 eurofins

#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE RAD130 Passive SE by Mod EPA TO-17 Cook Inlet Housing Authority Workorder# 2401631

Ten Radiello 130 (Solvent) samples were received on January 29, 2024. The laboratory analyzed the charcoal sorbent bed of the passive sampler following modified method EPA TO-17. The VOCs were chemically extracted using carbon disulfide and an aliquot of the extract was injected into a GC/MS for identification and quantification of volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

The mass of each target compound adsorbed by the sampler was converted to units of concentration using the sample deployment time and the sampling rate for each VOC. If sampling rates were calculated by the lab or the manufacturer, the concentration result has been flagged as an estimated value. Results are not corrected for desorption efficiency.

The reference method used for this procedure is EPA TO-17, which describes the collection of VOCs in ambient air using sorbents and analysis by GC/MS. Because TO-17 describes active sample collection using a pump and thermal desorption as the preparation step, several modifications are required. Modifications to TO-17 are listed in the table below:

Requirement	TO-17	ATL Modifications
Sample Collection	Pump pulls measured air volume through sorbent tube	VOCs in air adsorbed onto sorbent bed passively through diffusion
Sample Preparation	Thermal extraction	Solvent extraction
Sorbent tube conditioning	Condition newly packed tubes prior to use	Charcoal-based sorbent is a single use media and conditioning is conducted by vendor.
Instrumentation	Thermal desorption introduction system	Liquid injection introduction system
Internal Standard	Gas-phase internal standard introduced on the tube or focusing trap during analysis	Liquid-phase internal standard introduced on the tube at the time of extraction
Media and sample storage	<4 deg C, 30 days	Media shelf life is determined by vendor; sample hold-time is 6 months for the RAD130 and WMS. Sample preservation requirements are storage in a cool, solvent-free refrigerator and optional use of ice during shipping.
Internal Standard Recovery	+/-40% of daily CCV area	-50% to +100% of daily CCV area

### **Receiving Notes**

The Chain of Custody was missing method information. The laboratory proceeded with the analysis as per the original contract or verbal agreement.

### **Analytical Notes**

The uptake rates were corrected based on average field temperatures if provided. In the absence of



field temperatures, the uptake rates determined at 25 deg C were used.

If validated uptake rates were not available, rates were estimated using the chemical's diffusion coefficient in air and the geometric constant of the sampler. Chemicals that are poorly retained by the sorbent over the sampling duration may exhibit a low bias. All concentrations calculated using estimated rates are qualified with a "C" flag.

To calculate ug/m3 concentrations in the Lab Blank, a sampling duration of 2941 minutes was applied. The assumed temperature used for the uptake rate is listed on the data page. If the field temperatures were provided, the rate was adjusted in the same manner as the field samples.

#### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Ten qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicate as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.
- S Saturated peak.
- Q Exceeds quality control limits.
- U Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit.
- UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV
- N The identification is based on presumptive evidence.
- C Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate
- CN See case narrative explanation.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



# Summary of Detected Compounds VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

#### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-82-01-01-AA

Lab ID#: 2401631-01A No Detections Were Found.

#### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-03-02-01-IA

Lab ID#: 2401631-02A No Detections Were Found.

#### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-03-01-01-IA

Lab ID#: 2401631-03A No Detections Were Found.

#### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-10-01-01-IA

Lab ID#: 2401631-04A No Detections Were Found.

#### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-95-01-01-IA

Lab ID#: 2401631-05A No Detections Were Found.

#### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-12-01-01-IA

Lab ID#: 2401631-06A

No Detections Were Found.

#### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-05-02-01-IA

Lab ID#: 2401631-07A

	Rpt. Limit	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Amount
Compound	(ug)	(ug/m3)	(ug)	(ug/m3)
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.58	0.18	1.1

#### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-05-01-01-IA

Lab ID#: 2401631-08A

Compound (ug) (ug/m3) (ug) (ug/m3)		Rpt. Limit	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Amount
	Compound	(ug)	(ug/m3)	(ug)	(ug/m3)



# Summary of Detected Compounds VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

#### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-05-01-01-IA

Lab ID#: 2401631-08A

Compound	Rpt. Limit	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Amount
	(ug)	(ug/m3)	(ug)	(ug/m3)
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.58	0.14	0.79

#### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-79-01-01-AA

Lab ID#: 2401631-09A

No Detections Were Found.

#### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-01-TB

Lab ID#: 2401631-10A

No Detections Were Found.



### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-82-01-01-AA Lab ID#: 2401631-01A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

T

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020513sim 1.00	Date of Collection: 1/26/24 10:05:00 A Date of Analysis: 2/5/24 03:58 PM Date of Extraction: 2/5/24		6/24 10:05:00 AM 4 03:58 PM 24
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	1.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Trichloroethene	0.10	0.49	Not Detected	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	1.1	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	0.54	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.58	Not Detected	Not Detected

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 77.0F , duration time = 2935 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Toluene-d8	101	70-130



### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-03-02-01-IA Lab ID#: 2401631-02A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020514sim 1.00	Date of Collection: 1/26/24 10:08:00 Date of Analysis: 2/5/24 04:26 PM Date of Extraction: 2/5/24		6/24 10:08:00 AM 4 04:26 PM 24
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	1.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Trichloroethene	0.10	0.49	Not Detected	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	1.1	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	0.54	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.58	Not Detected	Not Detected

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 77.0F , duration time = 2936 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Toluene-d8	101	70-130



### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-03-01-01-IA Lab ID#: 2401631-03A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020515sim 1.00	Da Da Da	te of Collection: 1/26 te of Analysis: 2/5/24 te of Extraction: 2/5/	6/24 10:12:00 AM 4 04:53 PM 24
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	1.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Trichloroethene	0.10	0.49	Not Detected	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	1.1	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	0.54	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.58	Not Detected	Not Detected

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 77.0F , duration time = 2937 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Toluene-d8	102	70-130



### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-10-01-01-IA Lab ID#: 2401631-04A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020516sim D 1.00 D D		te of Collection: 1/26 te of Analysis: 2/5/24 te of Extraction: 2/5/	6/24 10:23:00 AM 4 05:21 PM 24
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	1.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Trichloroethene	0.10	0.49	Not Detected	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	1.1	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	0.54	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.58	Not Detected	Not Detected

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 77.0F , duration time = 2938 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Toluene-d8	101	70-130



### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-95-01-01-IA Lab ID#: 2401631-05A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

T

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020517sim 1.00		te of Collection: 1/26 te of Analysis: 2/5/24 te of Extraction: 2/5/	6/24 10:56:00 AM 4 05:49 PM 24
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	1.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Trichloroethene	0.10	0.49	Not Detected	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	1.1	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	0.54	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.58	Not Detected	Not Detected

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 77.0F , duration time = 2941 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Toluene-d8	101	70-130



### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-12-01-01-IA Lab ID#: 2401631-06A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020518sim Date 1.00 Date Date		te of Collection: 1/26 te of Analysis: 2/5/24 te of Extraction: 2/5/	6/24 10:30:00 AM 4 06:16 PM 24
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	1.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Trichloroethene	0.10	0.49	Not Detected	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	1.1	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	0.54	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.58	Not Detected	Not Detected

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 77.0F , duration time = 2940 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Toluene-d8	100	70-130



### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-05-02-01-IA Lab ID#: 2401631-07A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

T

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020519sim Date 1.00 Date Date		te of Collection: 1/26 te of Analysis: 2/5/24 te of Extraction: 2/5/	6/24 10:17:00 AM 4 06:44 PM 24
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	1.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
I richloroethene trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	1.1	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Tetrachloroethene	0.10 0.10	0.55 0.58	Not Detected C 0.18	Not Detected C 1.1

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 77.0F , duration time = 2922 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Toluene-d8	100	70-130



### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-05-01-01-IA Lab ID#: 2401631-08A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020520sim Da 1.00 Da Da		te of Collection: 1/26 te of Analysis: 2/5/24 te of Extraction: 2/5/	6/24 10:20:00 AM 4 07:11 PM 24
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	1.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Trichloroethene	0.10	0.50	Not Detected	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	1.1	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	0.55	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.58	0.14	0.79

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 77.0F , duration time = 2915 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Toluene-d8	103	70-130



### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-79-01-01-AA Lab ID#: 2401631-09A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

T

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020521sim 1.00	Date of Collection: 1/26/24 10:37:00 AM Date of Analysis: 2/5/24 07:39 PM Date of Extraction: 2/5/24		5/24 10:37:00 AM I 07:39 PM 24
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	1.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Trichloroethene	0.10	0.50	Not Detected	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	1.1	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	0.55	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.58	Not Detected	Not Detected

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 77.0F , duration time = 2924 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Toluene-d8	100	70-130



### Client Sample ID: 24-RTA-01-TB Lab ID#: 2401631-10A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

T

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020522sim 1.00	Date of Collection: 1/26/24 10:00:00 AM Date of Analysis: 2/5/24 08:07 PM Date of Extraction: 2/5/24		6/24 10:00:00 AM 4 08:07 PM 24
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	1.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Trichloroethene	0.10	0.49	Not Detected	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	1.1	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	0.54	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.58	Not Detected	Not Detected

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 77.0F , duration time = 2940 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Toluene-d8	100	70-130



### Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 2401631-11A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

T

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020510sim 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis:  2/5/24 02:35 PM Date of Extraction:  2/5/24		l 02:35 PM 24
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ug)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug)	Amount (ug/m3)
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.40	1.8	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Trichloroethene	0.10	0.49	Not Detected	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	1.1	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	0.54	Not Detected C	Not Detected C
Tetrachloroethene	0.10	0.58	Not Detected	Not Detected

C = Estimated concentration due to calculated sampling rate.

Temperature = 77.0F , duration time = 2941 minutes. Container Type: Radiello 130 (Solvent)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Toluene-d8	100	70-130



### Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 2401631-12A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

Т

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020502sim 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 2/5/2 Date of Extraction: N/	24 10:39 AM A
Compound		%Recovery	
1,1-Dichloroethene		112	
Trichloroethene		98	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		103	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		104	
Tetrachloroethene		97	

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	92	70-130



### Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 2401631-13A VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

T

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020508sim 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 2/5/24 01:40 PM Date of Extraction: 2/5/24	
Compound		%Recovery	Method Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene		112	70-130
Trichloroethene		104	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		105	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		102	70-130
Tetrachloroethene		101	70-130

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	102	70-130



### Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 2401631-13AA VOCS BY PASSIVE SAMPLER - GC/MS

T

File Name: Dil. Factor:	18020509sim 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 2/5/24 02:08 PM Date of Extraction: 2/5/24	
Compound		%Recovery	Method Limits
1,1-Dichloroethene		110	70-130
Trichloroethene		104	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		103	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		101	70-130
Tetrachloroethene		100	70-130

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
Toluene-d8	102	70-130	

# Attachment E

# **Data Quality Checklists**

### Laboratory Data Review Checklist for Air Samples

### Completed By:

Molly Becia

Title:

Staff Scientist

### Date:

2/14/2024

### Consultant Firm:

Geosyntec Consultants

Laboratory Name:

Eurofins Air Toxics, LLC. Folsom, CA

### Laboratory Report Number:

2401631

Laboratory Report Date:

2/9/2024

CS Site Name:

**Ridgeline Terrace** 

ADEC File Number:

2100.38.569

Hazard Identification Number:

4145

Laboratory Report Date:

2/9/2024

CS Site Name:

**Ridgeline Terrace** 

### Note: Any N/A or No box checked must have an explanation in the comments box.

- 1. Laboratory
  - a. Did an ADEC CS approved laboratory receive and perform all of the submitted sample analyses?

	Yes     No     N/A     Comments:
	b. If the samples were transferred to another "network" laboratory or sub-contracted to an alternate laboratory, was the laboratory performing the analyses ADEC CS approved?
	Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A $\boxtimes$ Comments:
	The samples were not transferred to another laboratory for analysis.
2. <u>C</u>	Chain of Custody (CoC)
	a. CoC information completed, signed, and dated (including released/received by)? Yes⊠ No□ N/A□ Comments:
	Incorrect error corrections were observed on the COC forms, instead of the proper procedure of a single strike through, correction, and initials and date of person making the corrections.
	<ul><li>Incorrect error corrections were observed on the COC forms, instead of the proper procedure of a single strike through, correction, and initials and date of person making the corrections.</li><li>b. Correct analyses requested?</li></ul>
	Incorrect error corrections were observed on the COC forms, instead of the proper procedure of a single strike through, correction, and initials and date of person making the corrections.         b. Correct analyses requested?         Yes No N/A       Comments:
	Incorrect error corrections were observed on the COC forms, instead of the proper procedure of a single strike through, correction, and initials and date of person making the corrections.         b. Correct analyses requested?         Yes⊠ No□ N/A□ Comments:         The COC was missing analysis request information. Laboratory proceeded with analysis per contract agreement/verbal agreement.

a. Sample condition documented - Samples collected in gas tight, opaque/dark Summa canisters or other ADEC approved container? Canister vacuum/pressure checked, recorded upon receipt and contained no open valves?

Yes  $\boxtimes$  No  $\square$  N/A  $\square$  Comments:

b. If there were discrepancies, were they documented? For example, incorrect sample containers, insufficient or missing samples, canister not holding a vacuum etc.?

Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A $\boxtimes$	Comments:
--	-----------

No discrepancies were documented.

### 2401631

Laboratory Report Date:

2/9/2024

CS Site Name:

**Ridgeline Terrace** 

c. Data quality or usability affected?

Comments:

Data quality and usability were not affected.

4. <u>Case Narrative</u>

a. Present and understandable?

Yes  $\boxtimes$  No $\square$  N/A $\square$  Comments:

b. Discrepancies, errors, or QC failures identified by the lab?

Yes  $\square$  No  $\boxtimes$  N/A  $\square$  Comments:

No discrepancies, errors, or QC failures were identified by the laboratory

c. Were all corrective actions documented?

Yes  $\square$  No  $\square$  N/A  $\boxtimes$  Comments:

No corrective actions were documented.

d. What is the effect on data quality/usability according to the case narrative?

Comments:

The case narrative did not indicate that data quality/usability were affected.

### 5. <u>Samples Results</u>

a. Correct analyses performed/reported as requested on COC?

Yes  $\boxtimes$  No $\square$  N/A $\square$  Comments:

b. All applicable holding times met?

Yes  $\boxtimes$  No $\square$  N/A $\square$  Comments:

Laboratory Report Date:

2/9/2024

CS Site Name:

**Ridgeline** Terrace

c. Are the reported LOQs less than the target level or screening level for the project, as defined in the approved work plan?

YesNoN/AComments:Approved work plan not applicable. The reporting limits were less than ADEC indoor air target<br/>levels.

d. Data quality or usability affected?

Data quality and usability were not affected.

6. QC Samples

- a. Method Blank
  - i. One method blank reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?

Yes  $\boxtimes$  No $\square$  N/A $\square$  Comments:

ii. All method blank results less than limit of quantitation (LOQ) or project specified objectives?Yes⊠ No□ N/A□ Comments:

iii. If above LOQ or project specified objectives, what samples are affected? Comments:

The samples were not affected by the method blank results.

iv. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes  $\square$  No  $\square$  N/A  $\boxtimes$  Comments:

Laboratory flags were not applied to the data based on the method blank results.

v. Data quality or usability affected?

Comments:

Data quality and usability were not affected.

Laboratory Report Date:

2/9/2024

CS Site Name:

eline Terrace		
b. Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate (LCS/LCSD)		
i. Organics – One LCS/LCSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples?		
$Ves \boxtimes No \square N/A \square Comments:$		
<ul> <li>ii. Accuracy – All percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits ar project specified objectives, if applicable?</li> </ul>		
Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$ N/A $\square$ Comments:		
iii. Precision – All relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable?		
Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ N/A $\square$ Comments:		
RPDs were not reported in the laboratory report for the LCS/LCSD pair. RPDs were calculated by validator. Calculated RPDs were less than the method specific limits.		
iv. If %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected? Comments:		
The samples were not affected by the LCS/LCSD results.		
v. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?		
Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A $\boxtimes$ Comments:		
Laboratory flags were not applied to the data based on the LCS/LCSD results.		
vii. Data quality or usability affected? (Use comment box to explain.)		
Comments:		
Data quality and usability were not affected.		
c. Surrogates – VOCs only		
i. Are surrogate recoveries reported for VOC analyses – field, QC and laboratory samples?		
$Yes \boxtimes N_0 \boxtimes N/A \boxtimes Comments:$		

### 2401631

Laboratory Report Date:

### 2/9/2024

CS Site Name:

**Ridgeline Terrace** 

ii. Accuracy – All percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable?

Yes  $\boxtimes$  No  $\square$  N/A  $\square$  Comments:

iii. Do the sample results with failed surrogate recoveries have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?

Yes  $\square$  No  $\square$  N/A  $\boxtimes$  Comments:

Laboratory flags were not applied to the data based on the surrogate results.

iv. Data quality or usability affected?

Comments:

Data quality and usability were not affected.

- d. Field Duplicate
  - i. One field duplicate submitted per matrix, analysis and 10 project samples?

Yes  $\boxtimes$  No  $\square$  N/A  $\square$  Comments:

One field duplicate pair was submitted with the sample set: • 24-RTA-10-01-01-IA/24-RTA-95-01-01-IA

ii. Submitted blind to lab?

Yes  $\boxtimes$  No $\square$  N/A $\square$  Comments:

iii. Precision – All relative percent differences (RPD) less than specified project objectives? (Recommended: 30% air)

RPD (%) = Absolute value of:  $(R_1-R_2)/((R_1+R_2)/2)$  x 100

Where  $R_1 =$  Sample Concentration  $R_2 =$  Field Duplicate Concentration

Yes  $\boxtimes$  No  $\square$  N/A  $\square$  Comments:

Laboratory Report Date:

2/9/2024

CS Site Name:

**Ridgeline Terrace** 

iv. Data quality or usability affected? (Use the comment box to explain why or why not.) Comments:

Data quality and usability were not affected.

e. Field Blank (If not applicable, a comment stating why must be entered below)?

Yes  $\boxtimes$  No $\square$  N/A $\square$  Comments:

Trip blank 24-RTA-01-TB was submitted with the sample set.

i. All results less than LOQ and project specified objectives?

Yes  $\boxtimes$  No $\square$  N/A $\square$  Comments:

ii. If above LOQ or project specified objectives, what samples are affected?

Comments:

The samples were not affected by the trip blank results.

iii. Data quality or usability affected?

Comments:

Data quality and usability were not affected.

### 7. Other Data Flags/Qualifiers (ACOE, AFCEE, Lab Specific, etc.)

a. Defined and appropriate?

Yes  $\boxtimes$  No $\square$  N/A $\square$  Comments:

The laboratory applied C flags to indicate the results were estimated due to the calculated sampling rate. Based on professional and technical judgement, no qualifications were applied to the data.

Additionally, the laboratory applied ND flags in the laboratory report to indicate the results were not detected at the RLs. The ND flags were updated to U flags in the electronic data deliverable (EDD) for consistency with validation qualifier standards.