

AUTHORIZATION TO SUBMIT REPORT

Stantec has been authorized by the client, 7-Eleven (representative Paula Sime, PG, Manager – Environmental Services) to submit the enclosed report (2Q June 2024 GWM Event, dated July 2024) to the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation. If you have any questions or need additional information concerning this groundwater monitoring report, please contact me at (907) 227-9883 or via email at bob.gilfilian@stantec.com.

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES, INC.

Robert (Bob) Gilfilian, P.E.

Robert Gilfilian

Project Technical Lead

Principal Senior Civil Engineer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACR	ONY	MS AND ABBREVIATIONS	.II
1.0	INT	RODUCTION	1
2.0	FIEI	LD ACTIVITIES	1
3.0	GRO	DUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS	2
	3.1	GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS	2
	3.2	FIELD PARAMETERS	
	3.3	GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS	
	3.4	QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA)/QUALITY CONTROL (QC) REVIEW	4
4.0	REN	MEDIATION SYSTEM	5
5.0	DIS	CUSSION OF FINDINGS	6
6.0	CON	NCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6
7.0	LIM	ITATIONS	6
LIS	T O	F TABLES	
Table		Groundwater Elevations	
Table		Groundwater Analytical Results for Sodium, BTEX, GRO, and DRO	
Table	e 3b	Groundwater Analytical Results for 1,2,4-TMB, 1,3,5-TMB, and Naphthalene	
Table	e 4	Laboratory Quality Control Objectives	. 5
LIS	T O	F FIGURES	
Figur Figur Figur	re 2	Location and Vicinity Map Site Plan with Groundwater Analytical Results Groundwater Elevation Contours	
API			
	PEN	DICES	
Appe Appe Appe	endix endix	A Site Background B Field Methods and Procedures C Field Measurements and Notes	

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ADEC Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

AK Alaska Test Method

BTEX Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes

DO dissolved oxygen
DRO diesel range organics
Chemox chemical oxidation
CAP corrective action plan

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

gpm gallons per minute
GRO gasoline range organics
gcl groundwater cleanup level

mg/L milligrams per liter

mV millivolts

ORP oxidation-reduction potential LOQ laboratory limit of quantization

QA quality assurance QC quality control

RDL reported detection limit SIM selective ion method SC specific conductance

Stantec Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Tesoro Tesoro Refining & Marketing Company

TNS Tesoro North Store
TMB Trimethylbenzene

μS/cm°C microSiemens per centimeter °C VOC volatile organic compounds VSC vapor stripping and circulation

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Groundwater Monitoring Event Report was prepared by Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec) on behalf of Speedway Store 5325, located at 7172 West Parks Highway, Wasilla, Alaska (**Figure 1**). Background and historical information for this site is summarized in **Appendix A**. The methods used for this monitoring event were conducted in accordance with the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) approved 2024 Corrective Action Plan (CAP) for this site. The 2024 CAP work plan tasks are summarized in **Appendix B**.

This second quarter 2024 groundwater monitoring event was conducted on June 19, 2024, by Stantec environmental staff Bob Gilfilian, Principal Engineer; Sydney Souza, Environmental Geologist; and Remi Malenfant, Geologist-In-Training. In addition, the Stantec field staff completed the monthly chemical oxidation (chemox) injection event on June 19, 2024.

2.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

The following field activities were completed during the second quarter 2024 groundwater monitoring event and chemox injection for groundwater treatment:

- Measured depth to groundwater in wells G-1, G-3, G-5, G-7, former Remediation Well RW16-1, and monitoring well MW16-2.
- Measured field intrinsic water quality parameters in wells G-1, G-3, G-5, G-7, Well RW16-1, MW16-2.
- Collected groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells G-1, G-3, G-5, G-7, RW16-1 (with a duplicate sample), and MW16-2. Sample locations are shown on **Figure 2**. Samples were analyzed for the following groundwater contaminants:
 - O Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) including benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene (TMB), and 1,3,5-TMB by US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) method 8260C; gasoline range organics (GRO) by Alaska test method (AK)101; diesel range organics (DRO) by AK102; polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), specifically naphthalene, by EPA 8270D; and sodium to assess the extent of chemox treatment.

On June 19, Stantec conducted a monthly injection of chemox into the remediation wells RW20-1 and RW20-2. The event included the injection of two 55-pound bags of Klozur® One per well, mixed with approximately 50 gallons each of water from the store's hose tap, for a total of 220 pounds of chemox product injected onsite. The chemox was subsequently hydraulically pushed into formation by the injection of an additional 100 gallons into RW20-2. RW20-1 is flushed continuously with water from the air-lift well recirculation system.

Field methods and procedures are provided in **Appendix B** and field measurements and notes are provided in **Appendix C**.

3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS

3.1 GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS

Table 1 presents groundwater elevations at this site based on the depths to static groundwater levels measured during the monitoring event.

Table 1 Groundwater Elevations

Measured on June 19, 2024

Monitoring Well Identification	Top of Casing Elevation ¹ (feet)	Depth to Water (feet btoc)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
G-1	99.29	1.51	97.78
G-2	99.25	NM	NM
G-3	99.13	25.65	73.48
G-4	98.28	25.10	73.18
G-5	101.45	28.12	73.33
G-7	99.42	26.25	73.17
RW16-1	99.44	25.94	73.50
MW16-2	99.21	25.68	73.53

Key:

btoc – below top of casing.

 $NM-not\ measured$

The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated to be approximately 0.089 feet per foot to the southeast at 186 degrees, as shown in **Figure 3**. The direction of flow and elevation gradient are comparable to historical measurements. A plot of groundwater elevation contours generated using the SampleServe® program, as well as a rose diagram, generated by the Surfer™ software program, of past groundwater direction and gradient, is included in **Figure 3**. All static water levels were measured with the groundwater recirculation system running. For purposes of generating the contours, the water elevation in well G-1 was left off the plot. The extremely high water level in G-1 indicates that the water percolates out of the well relatively slowly, and therefore its effect on the onsite flow regime is less than the water level would indicate.

3.2 FIELD PARAMETERS

Temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), and specific conductance (SC) were measured following purging of the sampled wells. Monitoring and remediation wells were purged of three well volumes or until purged dry and allowed to recharge prior to sampling. Well G-1 was purged due to water not being actively cycled through the system. Results of water quality parameter testing are presented in **Table 2**.

^{1 –} Well casing elevations surveyed on June 19, 2024. Elevations are presented in respect to a local benchmark with 100-foot datum.

Table 2 Field Parameters Measured on June 19, 2024

Monitoring Well Identification	Purged Volume (gallons)	Temp. (°C)	pН	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	SC (μs/cm°C)
G-1	NA	16.4	6.92	1.69	318.3	114.6
G-3	30	8.4	7.10	7.38	134.6	112.4
G-5	6.5	7.4	7.57	6.76	220.9	222.1
G-7	7.5	9.0	7.22	4.88	251.8	225.7
RW16-1	7.5	7.4	6.97	7.64	206.7	220.1
MW16-2	6	7.2	7.13	8.9	206.8	227.7

Key:

°C – degrees Celsius

μS/cm°C – microSiemens per centimeter °C

pH - -log [H⁺]

mg/L-milligrams/liter

NA – not applicable

ORP - oxidation-reduction potential

Temp. – temperature

SC – specific conductance

DO – dissolved oxygen

mV – millivolts

A summary of field measurements and notes generated by the SampleServeTM program are provided in **Appendix C**.

3.3 GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Pace Analytical Laboratory performed all analysis of groundwater samples for this sampling event. Historical monitoring data for all the wells associated with this site are presented in **Appendix D**. Laboratory analytical results are summarized in **Tables 3a** and **3b**. The laboratory analytical report is provided in **Appendix E**. Analytical sampling did not detect any analytes above GCLs. The detection limit for benzene in RW16-1 was above the GCL, but it was not detected in the duplicate sample.

Table 3a Groundwater Analytical Results for Sodium, BTEX, GRO, and DRO Samples collected on June 19, 2024

Sample Identification	Benzene (mg/L)	Toluene (mg/L)	Ethylbenzene (mg/L)	Xylenes (mg/L)	GRO (mg/L)	DRO (mg/L)
G-1	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00300)	U(0.100)	0.449 J
G-3	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	0.000439 J	U (0.00300)	U(0.100)	U(0.800)
G-5	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00300)	U(0.100)	U(0.800)
G-7	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00300)	0.0401 J	U(0.800)
RW16-1	U(0.00500)	U(0.00500)	0.00749	0.03393	0.580	U(0.800)
Duplicate 1 (of RW16-1)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00300)	0.0830 B,J	U(0.800)
MW16-2	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00300)	0.0474 B,J	U(0.800)
GCLs	0.0046	1.1	0.015	0.19	2.2	1.5

Table 3b Groundwater Analytical Results for 1,2,4-TMB, 1,3,5-TMB, and Naphthalene Samples collected on June 19, 2024

Sample	1,2,4-TMB (mg/L)	1,3,5-TMB	Naphthalene	Sodium
Identification		(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
G-1	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.000250)	5.79
G-3	0.000803 J	U (0.00100)	U (0.000250)	6.12
G-5	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.000250)	6.07
G-7	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.000250)	4.71
RW16-1	0.0271	0.00349 J	0.000851	14.6
Duplicate 1 (of RW16-1)	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.000250)	6.54
MW16-2	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.000250)	6.16
GCLs	0.056	0.06	0.0017	N/A

Key:

- B The same analyte is found in the associated blank
- **Bold** Indicates the concentration exceeds the GCL or, if not detected, the reported detection limit (RDL) exceeds the GCL.
- DRO Diesel range organics, analyzed by AK102
- GCLs Groundwater cleanup levels, per Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation 18 Alaska Administrative Code 75.345, Table C, updated September 29, 2018.
- GRO Gasoline range organics, analyzed by AK101
 - J The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate
- mg/L Milligrams per Liter
- NM Not measured
- TMB Trimethylbenzene
 - U Undetected above practical quantitation limits shown in parentheses

3.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA)/QUALITY CONTROL (QC) REVIEW

Laboratory QC data and the ADEC Laboratory Data Review Checklist are included with the laboratory report in **Appendix E**.

A duplicate sample set was collected to determine the precision of the field collection and laboratory analysis for the sampling event. Sample Duplicate 1 is a duplicate of Sample RW16-1. Data presented in **Table 4** shows that the precision for the duplicate sample set is outside the established QA criteria tolerance for GRO, which is the only analyte for which it could be calculated. Data flags on the GRO analysis from the duplicate sample indicate that analytical irregularities are the probable cause of the discrepancy. All analytes were extracted and analyzed within the applicable hold time.

Table 4 Laboratory Quality Control Objectives

Quality Control Designation	Tolerance	Results for this Event						
Holding Times								
DRO/Water/to analyze	40 days	12 days						
DRO/Water/to extract	14 days	10 days						
GRO/Water/to analyze	14 days	7-10 days						
VOCs/Water/to analyze	14 days	8-9 days						
PAHs/Water/to analyze	40 days	7-8 days						
Field Duplicates – Precision	Field Duplicates – Precision							
Benzene/Water	$\pm~30\%$	NC						
Toluene/Water	$\pm~30\%$	NC						
Ethylbenzene/Water	$\pm 30\%$	NC						
Xylenes/Water	$\pm 30\%$	NC						
Naphthalene/Water	± 30%	NC						
1,2,4-TMB	± 30%	NC						
1,3,5-TMB	± 30%	NC						
GRO/Water	± 30%	37.5%						
DRO/Water	± 30%	NC						

Key:

% - Percent

 \pm - Plus or minus

Bold - Indicates the value is above the acceptable range

DRO - Diesel range organics GRO - Gasoline range organics

PAHs - Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, specifically naphthalene

TMB - Trimethylbenzene

VOCs - Volatile organic compounds

4.0 REMEDIATION SYSTEM

The on-site groundwater treatment process consists of a Vapor Stripping Circulation system (VSC) and routine injections of a chemox solution into the groundwater table via two remediation wells. An airlift well operates the VSC system.

The frequency of chemox injections is typically monthly, subject to ambient air temperatures being above freezing. The chemox solution consists of a mixture of water and a sodium persulfate compound sold as Klozur One[®]. Remediation wells RW20-1 and RW20-2 (**Figure 2**) are 4-inch diameter wells used for the chemox injection.

On June 19, 2024, a monthly remediation event was completed that involved the injection of chemox. The chemox injection consisted of 110 pounds of Klozur One[®] product combined with 110 gallons of potable water (from the store hose spigot) injected by gravity into each of the two remediation wells (RW20-1 and RW20-2) that are shown on **Figure 2**. The chemox solution was hydraulically "pushed" into the formation with additional injection of several hundred gallons of potable water into each of the remediation injection wells.

5.0 DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The laboratory analytical sample results do not detect petroleum-associated analytes at concentrations exceeding ADEC GCLs as listed in Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) 18AAC 75.345 Table C (9/18/2019). The detection level for benzene in RW16-1 was above the GCL, but it was not detected in the duplicate sample. Historical results for the current and previous monitoring events are presented in **Appendix D**.

On June 19, Stantec conducted a monthly injection of chemox into the remediation wells RW20-1 and RW20-2. The event included the injection of two 55-pound bags of Klozur® One per well, mixed with approximately 50 gallons each of water from the store's hose tap, for a total of 220 pounds of chemox product injected onsite. The chemox was subsequently hydraulically pushed into formation by the injection of an additional 100 gallons into RW20-2. RW20-1 is flushed continuously with water from the air-lift well recirculation system.

The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated to be approximately 0.089 feet per foot to the southeast at 186 degrees. The direction of flow and elevation gradient are comparable to historical measurements. All static water levels were measured with the groundwater recirculation system running. The extremely high water level in G-1 indicates that the recirculated water percolates out of the well relatively slowly, and therefore its effect on the onsite flow regime is less than the water level would indicate.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

No anomalies were found during this first quarter 2024 monitoring event that require additional corrective action or changes to the approved year 2024 Corrective Action Work Plan for this site.


7.0 LIMITATIONS


Stantec conducted this monitoring event in accordance with the 2024 Corrective Action Work Plan approved by ADEC, and in a manner consistent with the level of skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing under similar conditions. All sampling activities were completed in accordance with the ADEC *Underground Storage Tanks Procedures Manual – Standard Sampling Procedures* (March 22, 2017). The conclusions in this report are Stantec's professional opinion, as of the time of the report, and concerning the scope described in the report. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the scope of work was conducted and do not consider any subsequent changes. This report relates solely to the specific project for which Stantec was retained and the stated purpose for which the report was prepared. The report is not to be used or relied on for any variation or extension of the project, or for any other project or purpose, and any unauthorized use or reliance is at the recipient's own risk.


This report is intended solely for use by the client in accordance with Stantec's contract with the client. While the report may be provided to applicable authorities having jurisdiction and others for whom the client is responsible, Stantec does not warrant the services to any third party. The report may not be relied upon by any other party without the express written consent of Stantec, which may be withheld at Stantec's discretion.

FIGURES

Figure 1 Location and Vicinity Map
Figure 2 Site Plan with Groundwater Analytical Results
Figure 3 Groundwater Elevation Contours







APPENDIX A	
Site Background	

APPENDIX A – SITE BACKGROUND

Speedway 5325 (Mile 49 Parks Highway, Wasilla, Alaska) ADEC Facility ID #648; ADEC File #2265.26.006

September 1996. During the removal of the former underground storage tank (UST) fueling system (consisting of several fuel dispensers, two 12,000-gallon gasoline, and one 12,000-gallon diesel USTs) on September 7, 1996, petroleum contamination was encountered in the surrounding soil. Gilfilian Engineering conducted the UST site assessment work. Approximately 240 cubic yards of gasoline and 60 cubic yards of diesel contaminated soil was excavated and treated at Alaska Soil Recycling.

February 1997. The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) reviewed the UST Closure Site Assessment Report prepared by Gilfilian Engineering. Subsequently, a Release Investigation (RI) Work Plan prepared by Gilfilian Engineering was approved by ADEC.

April 1997. The findings of the RI (later referred to as Phase I RI) showed high levels of diesel contamination under the diesel dispenser islands and gasoline contamination under the unleaded gasoline UST to 36 feet below ground surface (bgs). The RI included drilling five soil borings and installing and sampling one groundwater monitoring well (identified as G-1). Groundwater was also found to be contaminated. Subsequently, an ADEC-approved work plan was prepared by Gilfilian Engineering for Phase II RI.

December 1997. Phase II RI report submitted to ADEC. The RI included drilling soil borings and installing and sampling four groundwater monitoring wells (G-2, G-3, G-4 and G-5).

April 1998. ADEC approved the installation of a Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) system.

June 1998. Gilfilian Engineering submitted a Well Search report to ADEC. The well search targeted an area of 0.25-mile radius centered on the gas station site.

July 1998. ADEC approved the work plan prepared by Gilfilian Engineering for a Phase III RI.

August 1998. A Phase III RI was completed at the site by Gilfilian Engineering. The RI included installing and sampling three groundwater monitoring wells (G-6, G-7, and G-8).

January 2002. Several "rising and falling head hydraulic conductivity tests" (slug tests using the Hvorslev method) were performed by Gilfilian Engineering on January 9, 2002. The hydraulic conductivity at Monitoring Wells G-4 and G-7 exceeded 171 feet/day. Based on the high hydraulic conductivity values, Gilfilian Engineering recommended a pilot test to determine the effectiveness of treating the groundwater with a vapor stripping and circulation (VSC) well.

March/April 2002. One soil boring was drilled on March 6, 2002, for installation of a VSC well. Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX), gasoline range organics (GRO), and diesel



range organics (DRO) tested in soil samples collected from the soil boring were detected above ADEC soil cleanup levels (SCLs). In addition, a second soil boring was drilled for installation of an air sparge (AS) well that was designated AS-1. Benzene, ethylbenzene, and GRO were detected above SCLs and BTEX and GRO were above the ADEC groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) in AS-1. Pilot testing conducted in March and April 2002 showed the hydrogeological formation could not provide adequate water to operate a VSC or AS system at this site. Continued operation of the SVE system only was recommended, and the VSC well was subsequently connected to the SVE system.

June 2002. The SVE system was re-started on June 25, 2002, and was set to withdraw vapors from Wells SVE-1, SVE-5, and SVE-6. A significant increase in the volatile contaminant concentrations to 139 parts per million by volume (ppmv) as measured by a photoionization detector (PID), was noted in the SVE system discharge. By July 3, 2002, the volatile levels dropped to 58.5 ppmv, which was possibly related to the significant decrease in the thickness of free product measured in Monitoring Well G-1 (SVE-1).

December 2002. An SVE pilot study using a 5-horsepower FL-707 Rotron blower was conducted on December 19, 2002. The purpose was to determine if the use of a larger capacity blower would increase the recovery of volatile petroleum contaminants. The dramatic rise in PID readings during the second quarter of 2002 is attributed to the addition of SVE Wells 5, 6, and VSC.

October 2003. A 1-horsepower air compressor was installed for operation of the AS system. The AS well (AS-1) was previously installed at the site in 2002. The VSC manhole was reconfigured to enhance SVE system performance.

July 2004. The AS system was converted into a VSC system for pilot testing on July 21, 2004. Down well piping was installed in Monitoring Well VSC and connected to the compressor air supply line. Pilot testing indicated the system could be an effective groundwater treatment option. The AS compressor was removed from the site for maintenance.

September 2, 2004. The VSC system was activated following ADEC approval. The VSC system was treating approximately 1 gallon of contaminated groundwater per minute, or 1,440 gallons per day. The treated water was transferred (pumped by air) from the VSC well to Monitoring Well G-1 for circulation.

October 2007. Ten confirmation soil borings (CSB-1 through CSB-10) were installed on October 3 through 9, 2007, near the former USTs and areas of previous investigations across the site. Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, GRO, and DRO were detected above the SCLs in two or more borings. Toluene was the only analyte not detected above the SCLs in any soil boring.

September 2008. Three chemical oxidation applications were completed by MWH Americas, Inc. (MWH). Sampling of groundwater monitoring wells noted benzene, ethylbenzene, and GRO detected above the GCLs in Monitoring Well G-3.



February 2009. Monitoring Well G-3 showed a consistent trend in increased hydrocarbon concentrations, and a fine sediment with a hydrocarbon odor was found in the bottom of the monitoring well. MWH recommended that the well be re-developed to remove the sediment build-up.

March 2009. Monitoring Well G-3 was redeveloped to remove the dark colored sediment. The sediment was noted to have a slight petroleum odor and heavy sheen.

January/June/August 2010. MWH performed potassium permanganate chemical oxidation treatments on January 27 and 28, June 11, and August 20, 2010. A solution of 3 percent potassium permanganate (180, 646, and 767 gallons, respectively) was injected into several groundwater monitoring wells.

October 30, 2012. The chemical oxidant Klozur CR[®] was injected into three on-site wells (Monitoring Well G-1 and SVE Wells SVE-5 and SVE-6). The Klozur CR[®] injection process was conducted to test the use of the existing remediation infrastructure for a means of delivering the chemical oxidant into the contaminated groundwater aquifer at the site, as well as evaluating the effectiveness of the chemical oxidant.

October 2012. Groundwater sample results were non-detect in all four monitoring wells sampled. The water table was considerably higher than normal, and the absence of dissolved contaminants was assumed to be associated with the high water table. The last time a high water table was observed was in October 2006, and the concentrations were all non-detects in all monitoring wells except for G-3, which was lower than historical concentrations at that time.

January 30, 2013. DRO was detected in Monitoring Wells G-1, G-3, and G-7, and toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes were detected in G-3 – with all analytes below the GCLs. The water table was higher than normal, and the concentrations detected were not believed to be indicative of the groundwater conditions at the site.

December 19, 2013. A chemical oxidation application of Klozur CR® was injected into three onsite wells: Monitoring Well G-1 and Remediation Wells SVE-5 and SVE-6.

February 2014. Groundwater sampling showed contaminant levels in all monitoring wells that were sampled remained below the GCLs for the last seven monitoring events.

May 2014. DRO was detected in Monitoring Well G-3 at 3.3 milligrams per liter (mg/L), exceeding the GCL for the first time since February 2011. The remediation system was operating on a full-time basis.

October 2014. Groundwater sampling showed contaminant levels in all monitoring wells were below GCLs. The remediation system was operating on a full-time basis.

February 2015. GRO and DRO were detected at 4.8 and 12 mg/L, respectively, in Monitoring Well G-3. All other analytes were below GCLs. Remediation system operating on full-time basis.



May 2015. GRO was detected at 2.6 mg/L in the duplicate sample collected from Monitoring Well G-3, the primary and all other analytes were below GCLs.

September 2015. Groundwater sampling showed contaminant levels in all monitoring wells were below GCLs. The remediation system was operating on a full-time basis.

October 2015. Three CSBs were installed by MWH to investigate the extent of any remaining soil contamination at the site. Two areas were investigated: the former diesel dispensers and the former gas dispensers and USTs. Soils encountered in the area of the former diesel dispensers had elevated headspace field screening results; however, DRO concentrations were below laboratory practical quantitation limits (PQLs). Soils encountered in the area of the former gas dispensers and USTs had detectable concentrations of GRO and one exceedance above the SCLs established for the site. Soil GRO contamination was limited to below the current groundwater level at the site. Similar observations were documented in 2007. Analytical results collected from the 2015 CSBs indicate that concentrations of petroleum contamination remaining at the site are generally decreasing when compared to the analytical results from the 2007 CSBs. Future management strategies at the site may include targeted chemical oxidation in the area of the former gas dispensers and USTs as represented by CSB 9-3, with no further cleanup action at the former diesel dispensers.

November 2015. GRO was detected at 3.2 mg/L in Monitoring Well G-3. An analytical sample was collected from the VSC well which indicated all analytes were below GCLs for the first time since September 2004. The remediation system was offline upon arrival at the site and remained offline pending groundwater conditions and further analytical sampling.

January 2016. The first quarter 2016 monitoring event was conducted on January 28, 2016. Results of the analytical sampling showed that all analytes were below GCLs, except GRO concentrations in Monitoring Well G-3. One or more analytes were detected above the PQLs in all the monitoring wells sampled, except Monitoring Well G-5. Analytical results from Remediation Well VSC were below PQLs.

May 2016. The second quarter 2016 monitoring event was conducted on May 9, 2016. All analytes were below the GCLs, only Monitoring Well G-3 had analytes detected above PQLs. Monitoring Wells G-2 and G-5 had insufficient water for sampling.

Four CSBs were placed at four locations surrounding the 2015 CSB 9-3, to the north, south, east, and west. Two discrete analytical soil samples were collected from CSB 16-1, CSB 16-2, and CSB 16-4, and one sample from CSB 16-3. These samples were collected from the locations with the highest PID readings, or at the water table interface if no detections were observed in field screened samples.

CSB 16-1 and CSB 16-2 (Samples CSB 16-1 38 and CSB 16-2 39), which were the closest to the former USTs and located to the north and east of 2015 CSB 9-3, respectively, both had GRO exceedances similar to the findings of the nearby 2015 Boring CSB 9-3. All the samples which exceeded SCLs were below the water table that was measured at a depth of 35.48 feet btoc in nearby Monitoring Well G-3 at the time of drilling. Analytical results at the water table interface



at three locations were below laboratory PQLs. The CSB 16-3 and CSB 16-4, located at a greater distance from the former USTs compared to CSB 16-1 and CSB 16-3 and to the south and west of 2015 CSB 9-3, did not have analyte exceedances. Soil Borings CSB 16-1 and CSB 16-2 were completed with PVC riser and screen assemblies to provide future access points for monitoring and/or remediation activities.

October 2016. The third quarter 2016 monitoring event took place on October 24, 2016. All wells listed in the 2016 Work Plan to be sampled in the third quarter had sufficient water for sampling. Monitoring Well G-3 had GRO detected above GCL. New Wells RW16-1 and MW16-2 were sampled for the first time. Remediation Well RW16-1 had all analytes, except benzene and toluene, detected above their GCLs. Monitoring Well MW16-2 had analytes detected above PQLs, but none above GCLs. The VSC system was not operating.

December 2016. The fourth quarter 2016 monitoring event took place on December 9, 2016. All wells listed in the 2016 Work Plan to be sampled in the fourth quarter had sufficient water for sampling. Monitoring Well G-3 had GRO detected above GCL (update effective November 6, 2016). Drinking water samples had no detections above PQLs. The VSC system was not operating.

February 2017. The first quarter 2017 monitoring event took place on February 8, 2017. Monitoring Wells G-1 and G-3 purged dry and did not recover sufficiently to allow for sampling. Monitoring Well G-5 was dry upon arrival at the site. Remediation Well RW16-1 and Monitoring Well MW16-2 were sampled. Ethylbenzene, xylenes, GRO, and DRO were detected above GCLs in both wells. The VSC system remained off-line due to low groundwater conditions and/or frozen circulation line. The SVE treatment system was not operational and will require maintenance to the blower system following spring breakup.

April and May 2017. The second quarter 2017 monitoring event took place on April 25, 2017. Analytes were detected above their GCLs in Monitoring Wells G-3, G-5, and MW16-2, and Remediation Well RW16-1. These wells had exceedances of specific volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) consisting of 1,2,4- and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene compounds and naphthalene.

Routine maintenance was conducted on the SVE and VSC systems, but due to unresolved electrical power/control issues, both systems are currently not operating until additional corrective action services are provided by an electrician.

Also, representative water samples were collected from the domestic water systems serving the existing buildings on Lots 1, 3 and 4 in Runion Subdivision, and were analyzed for public drinking water VOCs and DRO. No detectable levels of contaminants were found in any of the domestic drinking water wells.

On May 3, 2017, the first phase of the pilot test was initiated with an injection of a chemical oxidant (chemox) consisting of Klozur CR[®] into the new Remediation Well RW16-1. The pilot test will be continued during the third and fourth quarters of 2017, when the wells will be resampled to determine the impact of the chemox injection. Subject to the findings of the 2017



monitoring events, the pilot test may be continued in 2018 with several more injections of Klozur CR^{\otimes} .

October 2017. The fourth quarter 2017 monitoring event took place on October 20, 2017. DRO was detected above the GCL in Monitoring Well G-3. Analytes detected above their GCLs in MW16-2 included: ethylbenzene, GRO, naphthalene, and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene.

The SVE and VSC treatment systems were not operating due to electrical control systems malfunctions. The treatment systems are scheduled for replacement and/or upgrade in 2018.

The pilot test program for the chemox injection was initiated in May 2017 in accordance with the ADEC approved work plan for the 2017 Work Plan Task 3. The test results for intrinsic parameters measured during the October 2017 monitoring event indicate no unusual findings and will be monitored in future quarterly monitoring events scheduled for 2018 with additional applications of Klozur CR[®] into Remediation Well RW16-1.

February 2018. The first quarter 2018 monitoring event took place on February 13, 2018. Analytes detected above their GCLs included ethylbenzene and GRO in Monitoring Well MW16-2 and DRO in Monitoring Well G-3.

The SVE treatment system was off-line pending repairs. The operation of the VSC system was interrupted in the second quarter of 2017 relating to an issue with the variable frequency drive on the compressor and will be brought back online when the system can be evaluated by a licensed electrician.

Ongoing monitoring of sodium and total organic carbon, relating to the May 2017 chemical oxidation pilot test, showed elevated concentrations of both analytes in Monitoring Well G-3. Conductivity was also found to be elevated in Monitoring Well G-1, which may also indicate the presence of residual chemical oxidant.

August 2018. The third quarter monitoring event took place on August 17, 2018. Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeding the GCLs for: DRO in Monitoring Wells G-1 and G-3; GRO in Monitoring Well 16-2, and ethylbenzene, xylenes, GRO, and DRO in Remediation Well 16-1.

Several analytes for VOCs and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) were reported as undetected but had laboratory reporting limits that equaled or exceeded their corresponding GCLs. These undetected analytes were noted in all the wells that were sampled.

Also, representative water samples were collected from the domestic water systems serving the existing buildings on Lots 1&2, 4, and 5 in Runion Subdivision, and were analyzed for public drinking water VOCs. All the domestic drinking water wells were found to have no detectable levels of contaminants of concern.

The SVE and VSC treatment systems are not operating pending future repairs and/or modifications to the electrical systems which will be evaluated by a licensed electrician.



October 2018. The fourth quarter groundwater monitoring event was conducted on October 25, 2018. The monitoring event included measuring depth to water, field intrinsic water quality parameters, and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells G-1, G-3, G-5, G-7, and MW16-2. Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeding the GCLs for: DRO in Monitoring Well G-3; and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene in Monitoring Well 16-2.

The VSC treatment system is currently operating and pumping, via the air-lift pump, approximately 2 to 3 gallons per minute on a continuous basis. During the 3rd quarter of 2018, Stantec completed a chemox injection Klozur One[®]. Fifty-five pounds of Klozur One[®] was mixed with approximately 100 gallons of clean water. The chemox solution was injected into Remediation Well RW 16-1.

February 2019. The first quarter 2019 monitoring event took place on February 26, 2019. The monitoring event included measuring depth to water, field intrinsic water quality parameters, and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells G-1, G-3, G-5, G-7, and MW16-2. The depth to water and field intrinsic water quality parameters were also measured in Remediation Well RW16-1. Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeding the GCLs for: DRO in Monitoring Well G-3 and GRO in Monitoring Well 16-2.

The VSC and SVE treatment systems were found to be off (inoperative) upon arrival at the site due to an apparent power surge. Upon restart of the systems, the recirculation line was found to be frozen. The VSC and SVE systems were left off until spring thaw.

April 2019. The second quarter 2019 groundwater monitoring event was conducted on April 23 and 24, 2019. The monitoring event included measuring depth to groundwater and field intrinsic water quality parameters and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells G-1, G-2, G-3, G-4, G-5, G-7, and MW16-2 and Remediation Well RW16-1.

Based on the groundwater depth measurements, the average hydraulic gradient was determined to be flowing to the south at a bearing of 183 degrees with a gradient of 0.02 feet per foot. Groundwater flow direction and gradient was noted to be consistent with the historical results for this site.

Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeded the GCLs for the following monitoring wells:

- Monitoring Well G-3 –DRO, 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene, and 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
- Monitoring Well MW16-2 –GRO, 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene, and 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene

Representative water samples were also collected from the domestic water systems serving the existing buildings on Lots 1&2, 4, and 5 in Runion Subdivision, and were analyzed for drinking water analyses and DRO. All the domestic drinking water wells were found to have no detectable levels of contaminants of concern.



During this monitoring event, the on-site groundwater remediation system, consisting of a VSC system was inspected to determine its operational condition. The VSC treatment system was found to be off (in-operative) upon arrival at the site due to an apparent power surge. The VSC system was left off until such time the electrical supply system could be evaluated to determine the cause of the power outages to the VSC compressor.

July 2019. The third quarter 2019 groundwater monitoring event was conducted on July 16, 2019. The monitoring event included measuring depth to groundwater and field intrinsic water quality parameters and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells G-1, G-3, G-5, G-7, and MW16-2. In addition, depth to groundwater was measured at Monitoring Well G-4 and Remediation Well RW16-1 and field intrinsic water quality parameters were measured at Remediation Well RW16-1.

Based on the groundwater depth measurements, the average hydraulic gradient was determined to be flowing to the south at a bearing of 300 degrees with a gradient of 0.011 feet per foot. Groundwater flow direction and gradient were noted to be inconsistent with the historical results for this site. The change in groundwater flow may be a result of elevation changes due to "frost jacking" of the well casings on one or more monitoring wells that were noted during the sampling event. The elevations of the wells will be resurveyed during the 4th quarter monitoring event.

Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeded the GCLs for the following monitoring wells:

- Monitoring Well G-1 –DRO
- Monitoring Well G-3 –DRO
- Monitoring Well MW16-2 –GRO

The VSC groundwater treatment system was found to be off (inoperative) upon arrival at the site due to an apparent power surge. On a subsequent site visit conducted during the week of July 22, the VSC compressor was activated and currently remains operational. On July 25, 2019, Stantec injected a chemox solution consisting of 55 pounds of Klozur One® via a pressurized pump system into the remediation well RW 16-1.

October 2019. The fourth quarter 2019 groundwater monitoring event was conducted on October 17, 2019. The monitoring event included measuring depth to groundwater and field intrinsic water quality parameters and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells G-1, G-3, G-5, G-7, and MW16-2. In addition, depth to groundwater was measured at Monitoring Well G-4.

Based on the groundwater depth measurements, the average hydraulic gradient was determined to be flowing to the southwest at a bearing of 221 degrees with a gradient of 0.022 feet per foot. Groundwater flow direction and gradient were noted to be consistent with the historical results for this site. The elevations of the wells were resurveyed during this monitoring event.



Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeded the GCLs for the following monitoring wells:

- Monitoring Well G-3: DRO
- Monitoring Well MW16-2: 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene and 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene

The VSC groundwater treatment system was found to be operating within the normal range of performance with the production of 1 to 2 gallons per minute of recirculated groundwater with an air lift pump in the VSC well. Stantec injected a chemox solution consisting of 55 pounds of Klozur One® via gravity flow into the remediation well RW 16-1.

August 2020. This third quarter 2020 Monitoring Event Report was conducted on August 12, 2020, and included the following tasks: Measuring depth to groundwater, measuring field intrinsic water quality parameters, checking the operation of the in-situ remediation system, and collecting and analyzing groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells G-1, G-3, G-5, MW16-2, and remediation well RW16-1.

Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeded the groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) for the following monitoring wells:

• Remediation Well RW16-1: Ethylbenzene, xylenes, diesel range organics (DRO), and gasoline range organics (GRO)

Based on the groundwater depth measurements and the elevation survey of the tops of the monitoring wells, the average hydraulic gradient was determined to be flowing to the south-southeast at a bearing of 171 degrees with a gradient of 0.018 feet per foot. Groundwater flow direction and gradient were noted to be consistent with the historical results for this site.

During this monitoring event, the on-site groundwater remediation system, consisting of a vapor stripping and circulation (VSC) system was inspected to determine operational condition. The VSC compressor that operates the air-lift well was not operating due to a recent power outage. The compressor was activated and the flow from the air-lift well was adjusted to provide a constant flow of approximately 1 to 2 gallons per minute of aerated groundwater that is discharged into MW-1 for recirculation.

October 2020. This fourth quarter 2020 Monitoring Event was conducted on October 2, 2020. Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeded the groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) for the following monitoring well:

• Remediation Well RW16-1: Ethylbenzene, xylenes, diesel range organics (DRO), and gasoline range organics (GRO).

Analytical results by Test Method 545.1 showed no evidence of contamination for the on-site and nearby drinking water wells serving the following properties: Runion Subdivision Lots 1 and 2, Runion Subdivision Lot 4, and Runion Subdivision Lot 5.



Based on the groundwater depth measurements and the elevation survey of the tops of the monitoring wells, the average hydraulic gradient was determined to be flowing to the south-southwest at a bearing of 191 degrees with a gradient of 0.007 feet per foot. Groundwater flow direction and gradient were noted to be similar with the historical results but slightly lower gradient, as shown on the groundwater flow summary ("rose diagram") presented on Figure 2.

During this monitoring event, the on-site groundwater remediation system, consisting of a vapor stripping and circulation (VSC) system (see Figure 3) was inspected to determine operational condition. The VSC compressor that operates the air-lift well was operational and providing adequate flow upon arrival on site.

On October 27, 2020, Stantec finished the installation and development of two 4-inch diameter chemox injection wells, RW 20-1 and RW 20-2, located north of Remediation Well RW16-1. On November 27, 2020, Stantec conducted the first 2020 injection of a Klozur One® solution into the new chemox injection remediation wells, RW 20-1 and RW 20-2. The installation of the new wells will be described in a technical memorandum that will be submitted to ADEC.

March 2021. This first quarter 2021 monitoring event was conducted on March 31, 2021. Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeded the groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) for the following monitoring/remediation wells:

- Remediation Well RW 16-1: Ethylbenzene, xylenes, DRO, and GRO. Benzene practical quantitation limits exceeded ADEC groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs).
- Monitoring Well MW 16-2: GRO.

Due to limited data of groundwater elevations in measured wells and their linear positions across the site, the hydraulic gradient and flow direction of the groundwater table could not be calculated for this monitoring event.

During this monitoring event, the on-site groundwater remediation system, consisting of a vapor stripping and circulation (VSC) system (see Figure 3) was inspected to determine operational condition. The VSC compressor that operates the air-lift well was operational, but the air-lift well was not checked to determine if the well was discharging to the recirculation/receiving well (MW G-1). The staff noted there was a significant ice plug at the top of MW G-1 which prevented access to the well.

May 2021. This second quarter 2021 monitoring event was conducted on May 18, 2021. Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeded the groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) for the following monitoring wells:

- Remediation Well RW 16-1: Ethylbenzene, xylenes, diesel range organics (DRO), gasoline range organics (GRO), 1,2,4 trimethylbenzene, and 1,3,5 trimethylbenzene. Benzene practical quantitation limits exceeded ADEC groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs).
- Monitoring Well MW G-3: DRO.



• The naphthalene practical quantitation limits exceeded ADEC groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) in all the wells sampled.

The hydraulic gradient across the site was found to be approximately 0.020 feet per foot directed toward the south at 182 degrees; however, the hydraulic flow of the groundwater does not consider the groundwater level in MW G-1 since this well receives influent pumped from the air-lift well described in the following paragraph. The groundwater gradient and flow direction are generally consistent with past monitoring events.

During this monitoring event, the on-site groundwater remediation system, consisting of a vapor stripping and circulation (VSC) system that includes of an air-lift well (see Figure 3), was inspected to determine operational condition. The VSC compressor that operates the air-lift well was operational and observed to be discharging to the recirculation/receiving well (MW G-1). In addition, a chemox injection into the groundwater table via remediation wells RW 20-1 and RW 20-2 was completed during the monitoring event. A total of 220 pounds of Klozur One® and approximately 500 gallons of clean water from the store's water system was injected.

July 2021. Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeded the groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) for the following monitoring well:

- Monitoring Well G-3: DRO, naphthalene, and both species of trimethylbenzene (TMB).
- Remediation Well RW 16-1: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, GRO, DRO, and both species of TMB.
- In addition, the RDL for naphthalene in all wells was above the GCL.

The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated by triangulation to be 0.021 feet per foot to the south-southwest at 207 degrees. This is consistent with historical groundwater gradient and direction of flow data.

During this monitoring event, the on-site groundwater remediation system, consisting of a vapor stripping and circulation (VSC) system was inspected to determine operational condition. The VSC compressor that operates the air-lift well was not operational due to mechanical failure in one of the fins.

The remediation event on July 21st, 2021, consisted of a total chemical oxidation (chemox) injection of 220 pounds of Klozur[®] One product combined with 110 gallons of potable water from Tesoro store into two treatment points (RW 20-1 and RW 20-2). The solution was further pushed into the formation with an additional 420 gallons of water.

October 2021. Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeded the groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) for the following monitoring well:

• Remediation Well RW 16-1: Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, GRO, DRO, 1,2,4- and 1,3,5-TMB. In addition, the lab RDL for naphthalene in this well was above the GCL.



Analytical results showed no evidence of VOC or DRO contamination for the on-site and nearby drinking water wells serving the following properties: Runion Subdivision Lots 1 and 2, Runion Subdivision Lot 4, and Runion Subdivision Lot 5.

Earlier this year the compressor for the VSC system seized up and was shut down for several months. In September of this year, Stantec ordered a replacement blower that consisted of a Becker compressor model DT-4.10, 0.6 horsepower. The blower was placed into operation on October 4, 2021, and continues to operate the air-lift well to this date on a continuous basis (24-hours per day). The VSC/air-lift well discharges into MW G-1 at an estimated rate of 1 to 2 gpm.

March 2022. Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeded the groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) for the following monitoring well:

- Remediation Well RW 16-1: Ethylbenzene, xylenes, gasoline range organics (GRO), diesel range organics (DRO), naphthalene, 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene (TMB), and 1,3,5-TMB. In addition, the lab's reported detection limit (RDL) for benzene and toluene were above the GCL.
 - A duplicate sample was collected from RW16-1, and confirms the exceedances in ethylbenzene, xylenes, GRO, DRO, naphthalene, 1,2,4-TMB, and 1,3,5-TMB, but concentrations of benzene and toluene in the duplicate sample were below GCLs.

The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated to be approximately 0.033 feet per foot to the south-southeast at 198 degrees. This is consistent with historical groundwater gradient and direction of flow data.

The on-site groundwater remediation system, consisting of a vapor stripping and circulation (VSC) system was not assessed due to the presence of ice in the receiving well, MW G-1. However, it was noted the VSC compressor that operates the air-lift well was operational upon arriving at the site. The air-lift well typically discharges an approximate flow rate of 1 to 2 gallons per minute (gpm) into MW G-1.

The remediation event on March 24, 2022, consisted of a chemical oxidation (chemox) injection of a total of 110 pounds of Klozur One[®] product mixed with 100 gallons of potable water from Tesoro store into each of the two injection wells (RW 20-1 and RW 20-2). The total amount of 220 pounds of chemox was injected into the groundwater table and an additional several hundred gallons of potable water used to hydraulically "push" the chemox solution into the aquifer.

May 2022. Results of the analytical sampling showed petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeded the groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) for the following monitoring well:

• Remediation Well RW 16-1: Ethylbenzene, xylenes, gasoline range organics (GRO), diesel range organics (DRO), naphthalene, 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene (TMB), and 1,3,5-TMB. In addition, the lab's reported detection limit (RDL) for benzene was above the GCL.



The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated to be approximately 0.011 feet per foot to the west-southwest at 343 degrees. This is further west than historical groundwater gradient and direction of flow data but is influenced by groundwater elevation data from MW-6, which has not been regularly included in groundwater calculations.

The operation of the on-site groundwater remediation system was assessed during the monitoring event. It was found that the PVC piping used for injecting air into the air-lift well had broken over the winter, making the well inoperable. This was repaired during the monitoring event, and the blower was restarted at 7.5 psi with water flowing into G-1. Subsequently it was found that the ground surface around the air lift manhole had subsided, creating a pothole in the parking lot. The blower was turned off in June 2022 to ensure it would not exacerbate the subsidence problem.

July 2022: A groundwater monitoring event was conducted on July 19, 2022, and included the following tasks:

- Measured depth to groundwater in wells G-1, G-4, G-5, G-7, RW 16-1, and MW 16-2.
- Measured field intrinsic water quality parameters in groundwater monitoring wells G-1, G-5, G-7, RW 16-1, and MW 16-2.
- Collected and analyzed groundwater samples from Monitoring Wells G-1, G-5, G-7, MW 16-2, former Remediation Well RW 16-1, and a duplicate sample of MW 16-2.

Results of the analytical sampling did not show petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeding the groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) in any of the sampled wells. The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated to be approximately 0.0018 feet per foot to the southwest at 226 degrees. The direction of flow was similar to historical groundwater flow measurements, but the gradient is much less than previous monitoring events. This may be the result of heavy rainfall at the site previous to this monitoring event. The pumping water level of well G-1 was recorded but not included in the groundwater contours because water had collected in the well nearly to the top of the casing.

On July 20, 2022, a remediation event was completed that consisted of a chemical oxidant (chemox) injection of a total of 110 pounds of Klozur One® product combined with 100 gallons of potable water from the 7-11 convenience store into each of the two injection wells (RW 20-1 and RW 20-2). The total amount of 220 pounds of chemox was injected into the groundwater table. The chemox solution was hydraulically "pushed" into the formation with additional injection of several hundred gallons of potable water.

The collapsed manhole housing the airlift VSC well was replaced on June 21, and included placing another manhole the same size over remediation well RW 20-1 to facilitate a change in plumbing of the remediation system. On July 5, Stantec installed buried insulated piping from the outlet of the VSC well to discharge on a continuous basis (24 hours per day) into RW 20-1. Flow discharged from the VSC well is split between MW G-1 and RW 20-1. During the chemox injection on July 20, flow into RW 20-1 was estimated at 1 to 2 gpm.

October 2022: The groundwater monitoring event was conducted on October 12, 2022. Results of the analytical sampling completed during this groundwater monitoring event showed no





petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant concentrations exceeded the groundwater cleanup levels (GCLs) for the sampled monitoring wells. Wells G-3 and RW 16-2 are historically contaminated and were the only wells in which analytes were detected above laboratory LOQs. In addition, no contaminants of concern were detected by EPA Test Method 524.2 in the drinking water samples collected from the drinking water wells located on Runion Lots 1 and 2, Runion Lot 4, and Runion Lot 5.

The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated to be approximately 0.0024 feet per foot to the southwest at 232 degrees. The direction of flow was similar to historical groundwater flow measurements, but the gradient measured in this event and in the 3Q monitoring event are much less than in previous events. This may be the result of heavy rainfall at the site in the second half of the summer. All static water levels were measured with the groundwater recirculation system not running.

On September 28, 2022, a monthly remediation event was completed that involved the injection of a chemical oxidant (chemox). The chemox injection consisted of 110 pounds of Klozur One® product combined with 100 gallons of potable water (from the 7-Eleven convenience store) injected by gravity into each of the two injection wells RW 20-1 and RW 20-2. The chemox solution was hydraulically "pushed" into the formation with additional injection of several hundred gallons of potable water into each of the remediation injection wells.

In July 2022, the air lift VSC well was plumbed to split flow between G-1 and RW 20-1. Currently, excess flow from G-1 is diverted to RW 20-1 at a rate of approximately 1.5 gallons per minute. On September 28, the Becker blower which operates the air lift VSC well was taken out of commission for maintenance, including replacement of filters and graphite fins. The blower was re-installed on October 12 after the groundwater monitoring event. It is anticipated that the graphite fins on the blower will need to be replaced every 12 months, depending on the consistency of operation of the blower. During the chemox injection event the new manholes that were installed June 21 of this year were insulated, and the VSC system is expected to be operation through the winter.

March 2023: The laboratory analytical sample results showed petroleum associated analytes were present at concentrations exceeding ADEC GCLs for the following monitoring wells:

- Monitoring Well MW 16-2: 1,2,4- and 1,3,5-TMB.
- <u>Former Remediation Well RW 16-1</u>: Ethylbenzene, total xylenes, GRO, DRO, naphthalene, 1,2,4- and 1,3,5-TMB.

The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated to be approximately 0.015 feet per foot to the southeast at 165 degrees. The direction of flow and elevation gradient are comparable to historical measurements. Low groundwater gradients measured in late 2022 may be the result of higher-than-average rainfall in the second half of the summer. All static water levels were measured with the groundwater recirculation system not running.

On March 29th, 2023, a monthly remediation event was completed that involved the injection of a chemical oxidant (chemox). The chemox injection consisted of 110 pounds of Klozur One[®] product combined with 100 gallons of potable water (from the 7-Eleven convenience store)



injected by gravity into each of the two injection wells RW 20-1 and RW 20-2. The chemox solution was hydraulically "pushed" into the formation with additional injection of several hundred gallons of potable water into each of the remediation injection wells.

On March 8th, 2023, the Becker blower was removed and taken out of commission for maintenance. Graphite fins in the blower were replaced and the blower was reinstalled on April 4th, 2023. It is anticipated that the graphite fins on the blower will need to be replaced every 12 months, depending on the consistency of operation of the blower.

April 2023: The laboratory analytical sample results showed petroleum associated analytes were present at concentrations exceeding ADEC GCLs as listed in Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) 18AAC 75.345 Table C (9/18/2019) for the following monitoring wells:

• <u>Former Remediation Well RW 16-1</u>: Ethylbenzene, Toluene, Total Xylenes, GRO, DRO, and Naphthalene

The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated to be approximately 0.044 feet per foot to the southeast at 170 degrees. The direction of flow and elevation gradient are comparable to historical measurements. Low groundwater gradients measured in late 2022 may be the result of higher-than-average rainfall in the second half of the summer. All static water levels were measured with the groundwater recirculation system not running.

July 2023: The laboratory analytical sample results showed petroleum associated analytes were present at concentrations exceeding ADEC GCLs as listed in Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) 18AAC 75.345 Table C (9/18/2019) for the following monitoring wells:

- Monitoring Well G-3: DRO
- Remediation Well RW16-1 and Duplicate 1: 1,2,4-TMB

Well MW 16-2 has historically been contaminated but did not show signs of contamination according to the laboratory results for this event.

The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated to be approximately 0.107 feet per foot to the southwest at 190 degrees. The direction of flow and elevation gradient are comparable to historical measurements, with a higher-than-typical gradient. The depth to groundwater in well G-1 is typically much higher than other wells onsite due to discharge from the vapor stripping circulation (VSC) well. The groundwater gradient calculation included well G-1, resulting in the higher average groundwater flow gradient.

On July 14, 2023, a monthly remediation event was completed that involved the injection of chemox. The chemox injection consisted of 100 pounds of Klozur One[®] product combined with 110 gallons of potable water (from the 7-Eleven convenience store) injected by gravity into each of the two injection wells (RW20-1 and RW20-2). The chemox solution was hydraulically "pushed" into the formation with additional injection of several hundred gallons of potable water into each of the remediation injection wells.



October 2023: The laboratory analytical sample results showed petroleum associated analytes were not present at concentrations exceeding ADEC GCLs as listed in Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) 18AAC 75.345 Table C (9/18/2019) in any sampled monitoring wells.

The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated to be approximately 0.053 feet per foot to the southeast at 121 degrees. The direction of flow and elevation gradient are comparable to historical measurements, with a more easterly flow direction. The difference in groundwater flow patterns may be attributed to additional information gained by gauging the water level in monitoring well G-2, as well as the mounding of water in well G-1 from the VSC well. All static water levels were measured with the groundwater recirculation system running and successfully delivering water to well G-1 and RW20-1.

On October 9, 2023, a monthly remediation event was completed that involved the injection of chemox. The chemox injection consisted of 100 pounds of Klozur One® product combined with 110 gallons of potable water (from the 7-Eleven convenience store) injected by gravity into each of the two injection wells (RW20-1 and RW20-2). The chemox solution was hydraulically "pushed" into the formation with additional injection of several hundred gallons of potable water into each of the remediation injection wells.

March 2024: The laboratory analytical sample results showed petroleum associated analytes were present at concentrations exceeding ADEC GCLs as listed in Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) 18AAC 75.345 Table C (9/18/2019) in well RW16-1 and its duplicate only. In RW16-1, only benzene and toluene concentrations were not above GCLs.

On April 23, Stantec conducted a monthly injection of chemox into the remediation wells RW20-1 and RW20-2. The event included the injection of two 55-gallon bags of Klozur® One, mixed with approximately 50 gallons of water each from the store's hose tap, for a total of 110 pounds of chemox product and 100 gallons of tap water per well. The chemox was subsequently hydraulically pushed into formation by the injection of an additional 100-200 gallons of water each.

The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated to be approximately 0.05 feet per foot to the southeast at 120 degrees. The direction of flow and elevation gradient are comparable to historical measurements, with a more easterly flow direction. The difference in groundwater flow patterns may be attributed to additional information gained by gauging the water level in monitoring well G-2, as well as the mounding of water in well G-1 from the VSC well. All static water levels were measured without the groundwater recirculation system. The pipes that circulate the water through the wells were frozen and were unable to successfully deliver water to wells G-1 and RW20-1.

June 2024: The laboratory analytical sample results do not detect petroleum-associated analytes at concentrations exceeding ADEC GCLs as listed in Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) 18AAC 75.345 Table C (9/18/2019). The detection level for benzene in RW16-1 was above the GCL, but it was not detected in the duplicate sample.



On June 19, Stantec conducted a monthly injection of chemox into the remediation wells RW20-1 and RW20-2. The event included the injection of two 55-pound bags of Klozur® One per well, mixed with approximately 50 gallons each of water from the store's hose tap, for a total of 220 pounds of chemox product injected onsite. The chemox was subsequently hydraulically pushed into formation by the injection of an additional 100 gallons into RW20-2. RW20-1 is flushed continuously with water from the air-lift well recirculation system.

The average groundwater gradient across the site was calculated to be approximately 0.089 feet per foot to the southeast at 186 degrees. The direction of flow and elevation gradient are comparable to historical measurements. All static water levels were measured with the groundwater recirculation system running. The extremely high water level in G-1 indicates that the recirculated water percolates out of the well relatively slowly, and therefore its effect on the onsite flow regime is less than the water level would indicate.



APPENDIX B

Field Methods and Procedures



APPENDIX B – FIELD METHODS AND PROCEDURES

Speedway Store 5325

The following table presents the proposed tasks for the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) approved 2024 Corrective Action Plan (CAP). The scope of these tasks is based on the results and findings of the monitoring and remediation completed to date at this site.

2024 Work Plan Schedule for Speedway Store 5325

Work Plan Task 2024		1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
	Monitoring Wells: G-1, G-3, G-5, G-7, MW16-2, and RW16-1	V, G, D, P, S & I	V, G, D, P, S, & I	V, G, D, P, S & I	V, G, D, P, S & I
Task 1	Monitoring Wells G-2 and G-4				V, G, D, P, S & I
	Drinking Water Wells serving Lots 1 and 2, Lot 4, and Lot 5 in Runion Subdivision				D & E
Task 2	O&M Air-Lift Well Remediation System	✓	✓	√	✓
Task 3	Chemical Oxidation Treatment	✓	✓	✓	✓

<u>Key</u>:

- AK Alaska Test Method
- D Diesel range organics by AK102.
- E Drinking water parameters by EPA Method 524.2.
- G Gasoline range organics by AK101.
- I Intrinsic indicators include dissolved oxygen, specific conductance, oxygen-reduction potential, pH, and temperature.
- O&M Operation and Maintenance
- V Volatile organic compounds by EPA Test Method 8260C.
- S Sodium analyzed by Metals (ICP) Method 6010C.
- P Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), i.e., semi-volatile organic compounds associated with petroleum fuel, by EPA Test Method 8270D Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM).


The CAP for the year 2024 will be implemented by Stantec on behalf of Speedway. Groundwater monitoring will be conducted to track migration and trends of contaminants that are present at the site. All sampling activities will be completed in accordance with ADEC's *Underground Storage Tanks*



Procedures Manual–Standard Sampling Procedures (March 22, 2017). The methods that will be used for conducting a monitoring event, unless otherwise noted in the monitoring report, will include:

- The static water levels in the monitoring wells will be measured with respect to the top of each well casing. The elevation of the static water level will be based on an arbitrary datum established on-site during a vertical control survey that will be completed by Stantec on an annual basis. The survey will be performed during the summer after the seasonal frost layer thaws.
- The monitoring wells will be purged of a minimum of three well bore volumes prior to collecting the water samples. A new, disposable, Teflon® bailer will be used to sample each well. The first bail of water removed from each well will be examined for petroleum odor, sheen, and any other unique physical features.
- Water samples will be collected in laboratory-supplied sample containers. The samples will be delivered to an ADEC-approved laboratory in accordance with standard chain-of-custody procedures.
- Additional water samples will be collected from the monitoring wells after the well has been purged, as described above, and tested in the field for chemical and physical intrinsic parameters listed in the 2024 Work Plan Schedule shown above.





Page 1 of 8

Site Name: TNS #52 Date: 06/19/2024 Name(s): Sydney Souza

Site Name.	1143 #32		Date	. 00/13/2024		Name(s). Syuney 30uza						
Well ID	Time of Day	Depth to Product	Depth to Water	Depth to Bottom	Product Thickness	Well Diameter	Well Material	Comment(s) on Condition of Well				
G-1	09:53		1.51			4.0	PVC					
G-3	11:41		25.65			4						
G-4	12:44		25.1									
G-5	12:16		28.12									
G-7	12:55		26.25			2.0	pvc					
MW16-2	10:25		25.68									
RW16-1	09:59		25.94									

Sampler Names: Sydney, Remi

Location ID

pH: 6.92

DO: 1.69

ORP: 318.3

Notes: Clear

Field Intrinsics

G-1

Site Name: TNS #52 06/19/2024,
Date: 9:47 AM

61.5821862902

GPS Latitude (decimal)

Sydney Name(s): <u>Souza</u>

GPS Longitude (decimal)
-149.630815567

Sheen/Odor?: None
Specific Conductance: 114.6

Temperature (C): 16.4

Purge Volume (gal): 0







Site Name: TNS #52 06/19/2024,
Date: 12:05 PM

06/19/2024, Sydney tte: 12:05 PM Name(s): Souza



Location ID	GPS Latitude (d	ecimal)	GPS Longitude (decimal)	\prod
G-3	61.5820198468		-149.630777474	
Field Intrinsics				
Sampler Names:		Sheen/Odor?:		
pH: 7.10		Specific Conductant	ce: 112.45	111
DO: 7.38		Temperature (C): 8.4	42	111
ORP: 134.6		Purge Volume (gal):		111
Notes:				





Site Name: TNS #52 06/19/2024,
Date: 12:31 PM

06/19/2024, Sydney e: <u>12:31 PM</u> Name(s): <u>Souza</u>

Location ID	GPS Latitude (decimal)	GPS Longitude (decimal)							
G-5	61.581788987	-149.630862504							
Field Intrinsics									
Sampler Names	s: Sydney , Remi	Sheen/Odor?: None							
pH: 7.57		Specific Conductance: 222.1							
DO: 6.76		Temperature (C): 7.4							
ORP: 220.9		Purge Volume (gal): 6.5							
Notes:									







Page 4 of 6

Site Name: TNS #52 06/19/2024,
Date: 1:09 PM

9/2024, Sydney
PM Name(s): Souza

Location ID	GPS Latitude (decimal)	GPS Longitude (decimal)						
G-7	61.581454289	-149.631059783						
Field Intrinsics								
Sampler Names	: Sydney, Remi	Sheen/Odor?: None						
pH: 7.22		Specific Conductance: 225.7						
DO: 4.88		Temperature (C): 9.0						
ORP: 251.8		Purge Volume (gal): 7.5						
Notes:								

Site Name: TNS #52 06/19/2024,
Date: 10:46 AM Name(s

Sydney Name(s): <u>Souza</u>

uLu	
	7 *
	1




Location ID	GPS Latitude (decimal)		GPS Longitude (decimal)					
MW16-2	61.5821668		-149.6308637					
Field Intrinsics								
Sampler Names: S	Sydney, Remi	Sheen/Odor?: None						
pH: 7.13		Specific Conductance: 227.2						
DO: 8.90		Temperature (C): 7.2						
ORP: 206.8		Purg	e Volume (gal): 6					
Notes: Brown								

Page 6 of 6

Site Name: TNS #52 06/19/2024,
Date: 10:20 AM Name(s): S

Sydney Name(s): <u>Souza</u> /2/

						
Location ID	GPS Latitude (decimal)		GPS Longitude (decimal)			
RW16-1	61.5821994		-149.6309133			
Field Intrinsics						
Sampler Names: Sydney, Remi			Sheen/Odor?: None			
pH: 6.97		Spec	Specific Conductance: 220.1			
DO: 7.64		Tem	Temperature (C): 7.4			
ORP: 206.7		Purg	je Volume (gal): 7.5			
Notes:						



		Screen Mer.	Juno Wafe Elevation	,	,	/		,	/ /	,		,	/ /
		, 66n	Wator	0	6	91		1,20,10		0/0/		_ /	Solo)
	. 6	\(\sigma^2\)		135	A SA	Do.	0/ 3	OP O	٥/ م	South	10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	oloni 2	Jai Aylenes
	2	S S	/ ×	/ %			·/ W	<u>/ ও</u>		5		/ ~	/
Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	
GW Human Health Cleanup			<u>0.056</u>	<u>0.06</u>	0.0046	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>2.2</u>	0.0017		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>	
G-1													
04/24/1997			-	-	3.70	<u>11.0</u>	<u>12.0</u>	<u>170</u>	_	_	<u>28.0</u>	<u>64.0</u>	
09/03/1997 12/29/1997			-	_	0.00100 0.0420	12.0 3.30	<u>5.20</u> 1.50	<u>85.0</u> 34.0	_	_	<u>12.0</u> 2.00	41.0 9.30	
04/23/1998			_	_	<u>0.0420</u> <u>0.13</u>	8.30	4.10	<u>34.0</u> 91.0	_		<u>2.00</u> 3.90	<u>9.30</u> <u>23.0</u>	
08/03/1998			_		0.13	12.0	3.00	<u>76.0</u>	_		3.10	<u>23.0</u> 19.0	
11/02/1998			_	_	0.121	5.58	<u>4.76</u>	70.0	_	_	4.59	<u>10.0</u> 27.12	
02/12/1999			_	_	0.00100	19.0	4.00	91.0	_	_	5.40	24.0	
08/30/1999			_	_	0.00100	10.0	5.60	190	_	_	3.10	36.0	
10/29/1999			_	_	0.00100	0.45	0.0350	0.89	_	_	0.0260	0.21	
02/08/2000			_	_	0.00100	_	<u>4.40</u>	<u>10.0</u>	_	_	<u>3.30</u>	<u>26.0</u>	
06/08/2000			_	_	0.00100		<u>0.11</u>	<u>2.30</u>	_	_	0.0510	<u>0.61</u>	
08/30/2000			-	_	0.00100	0.57	<u>0.92</u>	<u>19.0</u>	_	_	0.50	<u>5.00</u>	
11/30/2000			-	_	0.00100		<u>2.30</u>	<u>42.0</u>	_	_	<u>1.20</u>	<u>11.0</u>	
02/05/2001			-	_	0.00100		<u>4.70</u>	94.0	_	_	3.40	25.0	
05/10/2001			-	-	0.00100	1.90	<u>2.62</u>	41.1	_	_	0.967	<u>15.36</u>	
08/16/2001			-	_	0.0130	1.99	<u>0.652</u>	14.3	_	_	0.401	<u>6.18</u>	
11/09/2001 02/15/2002			-	_	<u>0.0130</u> 0.0360	3.16 3.66	<u>1.75</u> 3.64	<u>25.4</u> 66.1	_	_	0.608	<u>9.55</u> 21.59	
05/30/2002				_	0.00100	92.6	9.94	113	_	_	<u>2.82</u> <u>5.52</u>	<u>21.59</u> <u>51.8</u>	
08/14/2002			_		0.00100	<u>92.6</u> 11.2	6.15	99.6	_		2.13	37.27	
11/14/2002			_		0.0530	1.51	5.37	<u>33.6</u> 105	_	_	2.35	27.17	
01/28/2003			_	_	U (0.025)	3.83	1.04	24.8	_	_	0.462	7.55	
04/17/2003			_	_	0.217	4.70	4.55	117	_	_	1.15	26.9	
07/17/2003			_	_	U (0.05)	8.34	6.00	104	_	_	1.81	<u>35.6</u>	
10/02/2003			-	_	0.184	U (0.32)	<u>5.34</u>	137	_	_	1.84	33.4	
01/20/2004			_	_	U (0.2)	<u>10.6</u>	<u>5.90</u>	<u>100</u>	_	_	<u>2.46</u>	<u>34.8</u>	
04/13/2004			-	_	U (0.1)	<u>6.97</u>	<u>6.37</u>	<u>109</u>	_	_	<u>1.49</u>	<u>37.5</u>	
07/20/2004			-	_	U (0.25)	<u>8.09</u>	<u>2.67</u>	<u>87.1</u>	_	_	0.612	<u>26.2</u>	
09/02/2004			-	-	U (0.05)	4.94	<u>2.60</u>	<u>48.5</u>	_	_	0.38	<u>18.4</u>	
10/13/2004			-	-	U (0.005)	1.90	0.232	<u>5.98</u>	_	_	0.615	1.87	
01/28/2005			-	_	U (0.0005)	0.818	0.08430	2.08	_	_	0.121	0.582	
04/11/2005 08/12/2005			_	_	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	0.78 0.528	0.03740 U (0.0005)	0.963 U (0.05)	_	_	0.0690 U (0.0005)	0.306 0.003100	
10/07/2005		-		-	U (0.0005)		0.008200	0.24	_	_	0.01030	0.003100	
02/14/2006			_	_	U (0.0005)	0.676	0.008200	0.24	_		0.0083100	0.07130	
04/18/2006			_		0.01470	8.37	0.004100 0.962	24.8	_	_	0.0003100	6.64	
07/06/2006			_	_	U (0.0005)		0.0028900	0.153	_	_	0.0035900	0.05390	
10/26/2006			_	_	,		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
02/02/2007			_	_	U (0.0005)		0.257	<u>7.79</u>	_	_	0.21	<u>1.95</u>	

		Screen mer.	Juno Wafe Flovation	, /		/		/	/ /	,		,	/ /
			, to .					ou de la composição de		/ ي	/ /		(a) Aylenes
		, o /	30	ø /	2	ر م		N.		Sou	_		No.
	ź	8) }}		135.	9/11/19	00/20/20	0/ ,		\mathbf{p}		, o	ological de la cologica de la cologi	
	ž,	<u> </u>	7		/ &		4		/ %	<u> </u>	2 49	<u> </u>	
Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	
GW Human Health Cleanup			<u>0.056</u>	<u>0.06</u>	0.0046	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>0.0017</u>		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>	
04/19/2007			_	_	U (0.0005)	0.894	0.13	4.12	_	_	0.165	1.12	
08/07/2007 10/23/2007			_	_	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	0.582	0.03920 U (0.0005)	0.891 U (0.05)	-	_	0.05360 U (0.0005)	0.277 0.0056600	
02/22/2008			_		U (0.0005)	0.479	0.0071200	0.229		_	0.01290	0.0056600	
04/15/2008			_	_	U (0.0005)	0.667	0.01370	0.45	_	_	0.02470	0.116	
08/27/2008			_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.4)	0.0039700	0.172	_	_	0.0066200	0.04770	
10/22/2008			_	_	U (0.0005)		0.02260	0.742	-	_	0.0320	0.255	
02/05/2009			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
04/08/2009			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	0.002100	
07/09/2009			_	-	U (0.0005)		U (0.001)	0.106	-	_	0.0013700	0.01880	
11/04/2009			_	_	U (0.0005)	` ,	0.0062400	0.271	-	_	0.0085600	0.06390	
01/27/2010			_	_	U (0.0005)	0.844	U (0.001)	0.07570	-	_	0.0012300	0.01680	
05/27/2010			_	_	U (0.0005)	0.538	0.01170	0.257	_	_	0.01140	0.09230	
08/19/2010 10/26/2010			_	-	U (0.0005)	0.993	0.000537000	0.184 0.181		_	U (0.0005) 0.0044100	0.01890 0.05740	
02/17/2011			_	-	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	0.993	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
06/09/2011			_	_ _	U (0.0005)	0.431		0.143	_		0.000913000	0.04250	
09/20/2011			_		U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	0.0023600	
10/21/2011			_	_	U (0.0005)	` ,	0.05650	0.851	_	_	0.01210	0.345	
02/17/2012			_	_	U (0.0005)	0.712	0.0023500	0.07870	_	_	0.0012800	0.0410	
05/17/2012			_	_	U (0.0005)	0.596	0.0250	0.941	_	_	0.0057200	0.339	
09/05/2012			_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.424)	0.01390	0.404		_	0.0046800	0.145	
10/30/2012			_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.439)	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_ _ _	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
01/30/2013			_	_	U (0.0005)	0.461	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-1	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
05/10/2013			_	_	U (0.0005)		0.0140	0.248	-	_	0.00067000	0.166	
10/11/2013			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	=	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
12/11/2013			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.001)	U (0.05)		_	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
02/19/2014 05/01/2014			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05) 0.11	-	_	0.000667000	0.0028100 0.0280	
10/30/2014			_	_	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)		0.003800 U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.001) U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
05/15/2015				_	U (0.0003)	0.34	U (0.003)	U (0.05)	_ _		U (0.003)	U (0.002)	
09/02/2015			_	_	U (0.0002)	U (0.40)	U (0.001)	0.15	_	_	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
11/12/2015			_	_	U (0.0020)	0.63	U (0.0030)	U (0.050)	-		U (0.0020)	U (0.0020)	
01/28/2016			_	_	U (0.0020)	0.88	U (0.0030)	U (0.050)	_	_	U (0.0020)	U (0.0020)	
05/09/2016			_	_	U (0.0002)	U (0.41)	Ù (0.001)	Ù (0.1)	_	_	U (0.001)	Ú (0.003)	
10/24/2016			_	-	U (0.0002)	U (0.41)	U (0.001)	U (0.1)	_	_	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
12/09/2016			_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.11)	U (0.003)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
04/25/2017			_	_	U (0.0002)	0.99	U (0.003)	U (1.0)	-	_	U (0.002)	U (0.002)	
10/20/2017			_	-	U (0.002)	1.40	U (0.003)	U (1.0)	-	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
02/13/2018			_	_	U (0.002)	0.88	U (0.003)	U (1.0)	-	_	U (0.002)	U (0.002)	
08/17/2018			-	ı — I	U (0.015)	<u>1.60</u>	U (0.015)	U (0.25)	-1	_	U (0.01)	U (0.015)	I

		Inter	Juna Water, Elevation	,	,	/		, ø/		, a/		/	*
	N.	Screen Integral	Mondo 152	135		00000	Q 4	on pour grand	0 2			olo 2	Jan Aneles
Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	
GW Human Health Cleanup			<u>0.056</u>	<u>0.06</u>	0.0046	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>2.2</u>	0.0017		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>	
10/25/2018	-			_	U (0.003)	U (0.12)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)]
02/26/2019 04/24/2019			_	_	U (0.003) U (0.003)	0.51	0.006600 U (0.003)	U (0.25) U (0.25)	_		U (0.002) U (0.002)	U (0.003) U (0.003)	
04/24/2019			_	_	U (0.003)	U (0.25) 1.60	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
10/17/2019					U (0.003)	U (0.12)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	_		U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
08/12/2020		93.30	_	_	U (0.001)	0.242	U (0.001)	U (0.100)	_	23.4	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
10/02/2020		97.11	_	_		U (0.824)	0.000248000	0.03370	_		U (0.001)	0.0026200	
05/18/2021		97.04	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.001)	0.405	U (0.001)	0.01520	U (0.00500)	16.4	U (0.001)	U (0.002)	
10/13/2021			0.000527000	0.000151000	0.000169000	0.518		0.182	U (0.000250)	56.7	U (0.001)	0.000554000	
05/11/2022		69.63	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	1.08	U(0.00100)	U(0.100)	U(0.000250)	23.9	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
07/19/2022		97.00	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	0.554	U(0.00100)	U(0.100)	U(0.0002500)	1.96	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
10/12/2022		80.20	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	0.565	U(0.00100)	U(0.100)	U(0.000250)	7.81	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
07/12/2023		97.41	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	1.21	U(0.00100)	0.0300	U(0.000250)	6.78	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	
11/02/2023 03/22/2024		97.11 66.54	U(0.00100) U(0.00100)	U(0.00100) U(0.00100)	U(0.00100) U(0.00100)	1.13 0.312	U(0.00100) U(0.00100)	0.04130 0.08040	U(0.000250) U(0.000250)	4.54 24.7	U(0.00100) U(0.00100)	U(0.00300) U(0.00100)	
06/19/2024		97.78	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	0.312	U(0.00100)	U(0.100)	U(0.000250)	5.79	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
G-3		37.70	0(0.00100)	0(0.00100)	0(0.00100)	0.773	0(0.00100)	0(0.100)	0(0.000230)	3.73	0(0.00100)	0(0.00300)	1
04/24/1997					0.00100	<u>5.10</u>	E 40	70.0			7.60	26.0	
09/03/1997					0.00100	5.10 7.50	<u>5.40</u> <u>1.40</u>	<u>70.0</u> 21.0	_		7.60 2.00	<u>26.0</u> 7.70	
12/29/1997				_	0.0570	3.50	1.50	<u>19.0</u>	_	_	0.43	4.70	
04/23/1998			_	_	0.00100	6.90	3.10	40.0	_		0.49	10.0	
08/03/1998			_	_	0.14	2.00	3.30	39.0	_	_	0.45	10.0	
11/02/1998			_	_	0.00100	2.43	3.00	30.0	l –	_	0.58	10.27	
02/12/1999			_	_	0.00100	8.00	3.90	<u>48.0</u>	_	-	0.52	<u>12.0</u>	
05/11/1999			_	_	<u>0.0510</u>	<u>17.6</u>	<u>1.02</u>	<u>14.0</u>	-	-	0.12	<u>4.16</u>	
08/30/1999			_	_	0.00100	<u>4.60</u>	<u>1.60</u>	<u>19.0</u>	_	-	0.12	3.90	
10/29/1999			_	_	0.001800	0.92	0.0170	0.32	-	-	0.001600	0.0730	
02/08/2000			_	_	0.00700	0	0.47	<u>4.00</u> 0	-	-	0.0380	0.89	
06/08/2000 08/30/2000			_	_	0.00100 0.00100	1.10 0.51	0.00300 0.00400	0.12	-		U 0.001800	0.0100 0.0300	
11/30/2000				_	0.00100	5.50	0.00400 0.32	2.90	_		0.001800	0.0300 0.68	
02/05/2001					0.00600	5.90 5.90	0.32 0.46	<u>2.90</u> <u>4.30</u>	_		0.0320	0.90	
05/10/2001			_	_	0.00100	12.8	0.00300	0	_		U	0.00900	
08/16/2001			_	_	0.00500	8.75	0.39	2.76	_	_	0.06130	0.856	
11/09/2001			_	_	0.0340	1.57	0.0190	0.57	l –	_	0.08280	0.103	
02/15/2002			_	_	0.00800	70.7	0.0490	0.87	_	-	0.119	0.156	
05/30/2002			_	_	0.0210	34.2	0.20	<u>2.25</u>	-	-	0.08090	<u>0.605</u>	
08/14/2002			_	_	0.0290	<u>5.68</u>	0.488	<u>5.44</u>	-	-	0.147	1.49	
11/14/2002			-	_	0.06580	4.08	0.804	8.97	_	-	0.186	1.9704	
01/28/2003			_	_	<u>0.05710</u>	<u>7.89</u>	<u>0.319</u>	<u>2.93</u>	-	-	0.09140	<u>0.644</u>	İ

			Juno Wafe Elevation	, /	, /	/	/ /	,	/ /		/ /	, /	′ /
		School Mer.	10/4/			/	/ /						
		4	19/6/					ou beneath		South	′ /		(4) 1/1600 s
		30	0	135	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	onesmo De		2V/		hale	E /	ø/	3
		\$/ .s	\$ 1			N. A	<u>ي</u> /دٍ	8	$\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}$		10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	No.	&
	ż	<u> </u>	/ 2	·/ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	/ 8		4	<u> </u>	/ 😤	\ \sigma_{\sigma}	/ ~	<u> </u>	
Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	
GW Human Health Cleanup			<u>0.056</u>	<u>0.06</u>	0.0046	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>0.0017</u>		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>	
04/17/2003 07/17/2003			_	_	0.0028800 U (0.0005)	4.58 7.48	0.02820 0.01070	0.585 0.233	-	_	0.02740 0.01650	0.0820 0.03270	
10/02/2003			_		U (0.0005)		0.000626000	U (0.08)	_		0.0022400	0.0023200	
01/20/2004			_	_	U (0.0005)	1.83	0.0039900	0.144	_	_	0.04390	0.01270	
04/13/2004			_	_	U (0.005)	2.89	0.04720	0.855	_	_	0.02610	0.148	
07/20/2004			_	_	U (0.0005)	19.4	0.002800	0.164	_	_	0.03050	0.0085300	
10/13/2004			_	_	U (0.0005)	2.11	U (0.0005)	U (0.08)	_	_	0.000537000	U (0.001)	
01/28/2005			_	_	0.000857000	<u>3.65</u>	0.00078000	0.09730	-	_	0.02930	0.003800	
04/11/2005			_	_	0.0031100	<u>2.58</u>	0.0023200	0.127	-	_	0.01130	0.02530	
08/12/2005			_	_	U (0.0005)	1.14	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
10/07/2005			_	_	U (0.0005)	2.85	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	0.0023400	U (0.0015)	
02/14/2006 04/18/2006			_	_	0.000874000 U (0.0005)	3.00	0.0012900 0.000884000	0.215 0.181	-	_	0.0760 0.06140	0.007200 0.0035600	
07/06/2006			_	_	U (0.0005)	7.64 3.17	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	0.0025200	U (0.0015)	
10/26/2006					U (0.0005)	1.06	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
02/02/2007			_	_	0.0052800	2.27	0.001700	0.236		_	0.05130	0.01540	
08/07/2007			_	_	U (0.0005)	0.841	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)		_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
10/23/2007			_	_	0.0050200	1.41	0.0200	0.322	_	_	0.03580	0.03190	
02/21/2008			_	_	0.0051700	0.93	0.0670	0.771	_	_	0.03070	0.144	
04/15/2008			_	_	0.0056200	0.604	<u>0.135</u>	1.44	-	_	0.0400	<u>0.211</u>	
08/27/2008			_	_	<u>0.01380</u>	0.978	<u>0.842</u>	<u>7.26</u>	-1	_	0.436	<u>2.88</u>	
10/22/2008			_	_	0.01240	0.83	<u>0.96</u>	<u>9.55</u>	-	_	0.514	3.57	
02/05/2009			_	_	U (0.01)	0.909	<u>1.17</u>	<u>15.7</u>	-	_	0.234	4.73	
02/19/2009			_	_	0.007100	9.47	0.08340	1.04	-	_	0.04930	0.241	
04/08/2009 07/09/2009			_	_	U (0.005)	1.51	0.378	<u>4.20</u> <u>3.01</u>	-	_	0.07020 0.04150	1.43	
11/04/2009			_	_	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	1.81	<u>1.12</u> 0.579	3.01 12.7	_	_	0.04150	4.32 2.55	
01/27/2010					U (0.0005)	1.12	0.337	6.47	_	_	0.01570	2.01	
05/27/2010			_	_	U (0.0005)	1.01	0.03790	0.936	_	_	0.000748000	0.137	
08/19/2010			_	_	U (0.0005)		0.03360	0.933	_	_	0.000756000	0.12	
10/26/2010			_	_	U (0.0025)		0.153	4.62	_	_	U (0.0025)	0.643	
02/17/2011			_	_	U (0.0005)	<u>4.10</u>	0.06470	2.11	-	_	0.0011200	0.222	
06/09/2011			_	_	0.000536000		<u>0.06660</u>	<u>2.26</u>	_	_	0.0018800	0.232	
09/20/2011			_	_	U (0.0005)		<u>0.02350</u>	1.69	-1	_	0.000718000	0.07940	
10/21/2011			_	_	0.0010700	` ,	0.03250	<u>2.51</u>	-	_	0.0012600	0.105	
02/17/2012			_	_	0.000809000	1.15	0.05360	<u>2.62</u>	-	_	0.000792000	0.131	
05/17/2012			_	_	0.0011700	0.56	0.08990	<u>5.91</u>	-	_	0.0016400	0.303 0.04860	
09/05/2012 10/30/2012			_	_	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)		0.166 U (0.0005)	0.71 U (0.05)	_		U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
01/30/2013					U (0.0005)	0.67	0.01820	0.818	_	_	0.0036400	0.05550	
05/10/2013			_	_	0.0015300		0.05540	1.35	_	_	0.0030400	0.167	
23.10/20101			!	1		- (21.120)			'			2	

		Screen Me.	omo Water Elevation	1. MB	9 ML 5	000		ouo _{nto} ou		S _C		oun 2	Jah Wienes
	2	් ර	3/ 2/		<u> </u>	1 5 S	4	8	₹ ₹	<u> </u>		<i>`</i> /	5/
Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	
GW Human Health Cleanup			<u>0.056</u>	<u>0.06</u>	0.0046	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>2.2</u>	0.0017		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>	
10/11/2013			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
12/11/2013			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.001)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
02/19/2014			_	_	U (0.0005)	0.928	0.00066000	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	0.0017700	
05/01/2014			_	_	U (0.0005)	4.80	0.006600	0.30	-	_	0.00100	0.0170	
10/30/2014 02/11/2015			_	_	U (0.0005) 0.00200	1.00 12.0	0.009700 0.0870	0.46	_	_	U (0.0005) 0.001100	0.0230	
05/15/2015			_	_	U (0.002)	1.30	0.007800	4.80 2.60	-	_	U (0.002)	0.24 0.0150	
09/02/2015			_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.40)	0.007800	2.60 1.10	-	_	U (0.002)	0.006400	
11/12/2015			_	_	U (0.002)	0.26	0.007900 0.0360	3.20	-	_	U (0.001)	0.006400	
01/28/2016		l	_	_	U (0.0020)	0.26	0.0360	3.20 3.20	-	_	U (0.0020)	0.0520	
05/09/2016			_	_	0.0002000	0.76	0.008600	1.60	_	_	U (0.0020)	0.0320	
10/24/2016					0.0002000	0.36	0.001700	4.40	-	_	U (0.001)	0.003600	
12/09/2016				_	U (0.002)	0.37	0.00200	4.40	-	_	U (0.001)	0.003800	
04/25/2017			_		U (0.002)	4.70	0.008900	2.30	_	_	U (0.002)	0.003800	
10/20/2017					U (0.002)	3.00	U (0.003)	U(1.0)		_	U (0.002)	U(0.003)	
02/13/2018				_	U (0.002)	6.70	U (0.003)	U (1.0)	-		0.005400	0.004700	
08/17/2018			_	_	U (0.002)	3.20	0.004700	0.99	_	_	0.00091000	0.0093800	
10/25/2018					U (0.003)	2.30	U (0.003)	0.99	_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
02/26/2019					U (0.003)	8.50	0.00600	1.70	-		U (0.002)	0.0130	
04/24/2019			_	_	U (0.003)	<u>0.50</u> 7.70	0.003400	1.70	_	_	U (0.002)	0.006800	
07/16/2019			-	_	U (0.003)	4.60	0.003400	1.30	-	_	U (0.002)	0.00600	
10/17/2019			_	_	U (0.003)	3.60	U (0.003)	0.58	-	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
08/12/2020		67.25	_	_	,	0.339	0.000754000	0.56	-	8.35		0.0015900	
10/02/2020		66.93	_	_	U (0.001) U (0.001)	1.45		0.173	-	0.33	U (0.001) U (0.001)	U (0.002)	
03/03/2021		00.93	_	_	U (0.001)	1.43	0.000143000	1.01	-	_	U (0.001)	0.00086000	
03/03/2021		62.99	_	_	0 (0.001)	1.47	0.00091000	1.01	_	123	0 (0.001)	0.00000000	
05/18/2021		64.72	0.04520	0.04570	U (0.001)	8.48	U (0.001)	1.36	U (0.00500)	32.2	U (0.001)	U (0.002)	
07/21/2021		64.55	0.05990	0.04370	U (0.001)	2.32	0.0016300	1.68	0.0020600	9.61		0.001500	
10/13/2021		67.39	0.000928000	0.000365000	U (0.001)	0.865	U (0.001)	0.176	U (0.000250)	10.7	U (0.001)	U (0.002)	
05/11/2022		67.75	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.800)	U(0.00100)	U(0.100)	U(0.000250)	22.0	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
10/12/2022		80.10	0.0011800	0.000508000	U(0.00100)	0.392	0.000464000	0.03490	U(0.000250)	7.96	U(0.00100)		
04/28/2023		55.10] 3.0011000		U(0.00100)	1.30	0.000565000	0.03430	U(0.000250)	6.68	0.000797000	0.000581000	
07/12/2023		73.70	0.000789000	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	1.61	0.000513000	0.07620	U(0.000250)	6.03	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	
11/02/2023		74.43	0.005500	0.0028800	0.000151000	1.14	0.0031700	0.08810	U(0.000250)	5.00	0.01170	0.0024900	
06/19/2024			0.000803000	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)			U(0.100)	U(0.000250)	6.12	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
G-5		. 3. 13	2.222300000	3(3.33103)	2(3.30100)	2(0.000)		2(3.100)	(3.300200)	3.12	(0.00100)	2(3.30000)	
					0.0220		004	47.0			0.50	E 00	
04/24/1997 09/03/1997			_	-	0.0320 0.00100	4 00	0.91	<u>17.0</u>	_	_	0.56 U	<u>5.20</u>	
12/29/1997			_	_		4.80 4.00	1.10 1.00	<u>25.0</u> 19.0	_	_	0.15	5.40 4.70	
04/23/1998			_	_	0.0650 0.0480	2.70	0.38	19.0 11.0	-	_	0.0680		
04/23/1990			ı —	I —	<u>0.0400</u>	2.70	<u>v.30</u>	11.0	I —	_	1 0.0000	1.70	I


		:	Ind Water Elevation	, /	,	/	/ /	/	/ /	,	/ /	, ,	/ /
		Sreen Interven				/	/ /						
			46					ou ben		Solution	/ /		Jan Angelong
		.8°/	2	135.	8 MIS	D.P.		27/		19/6	2	ø	3
	;		5		<u>\$</u> /	1000	0/ ,		2/ 3	340	Zojim Zoj	one of	\(\text{\text{\$\delta}}\)
	'n	\$\ \&\ \&\\	/		/ ଶ୍ୱ	*/ 5	4	?/ &	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	S/ ~	S/ K	
Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	
GW Human Health Cleanup			<u>0.056</u>	0.06	0.0046	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>0.0017</u>		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>	
08/03/1998			_	_	0.00100	0.27	Ω (0	_	_	U	0.001900	
11/02/1998			-	_	0.0260	1.82	<u>0.12</u>	3.70	-	_	0.0100 0.0290	0.27	
08/31/1999 10/29/1999			_	_	<u>0.0110</u> 0.0240	0.95 0.40	<u>0.34</u> 0.0660	4.60 2.70	_	_	0.0290	<u>0.90</u> 0.11	
02/08/2000					0.00800	0.40	0.0530	<u>2.70</u> 4.20	_		0.00600	0.11	
06/08/2000			_	_	0.00100	0	0.0230	0.61	_	_	U	0.0400	
08/30/2000			_	_	0.00100	0.00100	0.00400	0.22	_	_	Ū	0.00800	
11/30/2000			_	_	0.0120	0.49	<u>0.0790</u>	<u>3.90</u>	_	_	0.00600	0.14	
02/05/2001			-	_	<u>0.0150</u>	0.32	<u>0.0160</u>	2.10	-	_	0.00800	0.0260	
05/10/2001			-	_	0.00700	0.00100	<u>0.0610</u>	1.62	-	_	U	0.10	
08/16/2001			-	-	0.0310	U	0.0420	<u>2.74</u>	-1	_	0.0110	0.0650	
11/09/2001			-	_	0.00400	0 FF0	U	0.258	-	_	0.00000	0.00200	
08/14/2002 11/14/2002			-	_	0.0130 0.0025700	0.552 U (0.5)	0.145 U (0.002)	2.53 0.137	-	_	0.00300 U (0.002)	0.182 U (0.002)	
01/28/2003			-	_	0.0025700 0.0640	1.20	0.07330	2.40	_	_	U (0.002)	0.06670	
04/17/2003			_		0.01810	0.418	0.08340	3.14			0.00200	0.186	
07/17/2003			_	_	U (0.005)	U (0.5)	0.06660	2.72	_	_	U (0.005)	0.184	
10/02/2003			_	_	0.01250	U (0.32)	0.127	4.33	_	_	0.0057700	0.217	
04/13/2004			_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.5)	U (0.0005)	0.05390	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
07/20/2004			_	_	0.0035100	0.484	<u>0.05610</u>	1.70	_	_	U (0.0005)	0.02390	
10/13/2004			_	_	0.00900	0.443	<u>0.08930</u>	<u>2.71</u>	_	_	0.0015500	0.113	
01/28/2005			-	-	0.001100	0.45	<u>0.01830</u>	1.35	-	_	0.0019800	0.0200	
04/11/2005			_	_	U (0.0005)		0.01380	1.06	-	_	0.000845000	0.01170	
08/12/2005			_	-	U (0.0005)	U (0.41)	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-1	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
10/07/2005 02/14/2006			-	_	U (0.0005) 0.0018600	0.475	U (0.0005)	U (0.05) 1.34	-	_	U (0.0005) 0.0013600	U (0.0015) 0.006600	
02/14/2006				_	0.001800	0.473	0.01630 0.153	2.04	_	_	0.000663000	0.006600 0.24	
07/06/2006				_	0.0014100		0.09320	1.14	_		0.0015800	0.103	
10/26/2006			_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.41)	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
04/19/2007			_	_	U (0.0005)		0.01630	0.774	_	_	U (0.0005)	0.02270	
08/07/2007			_	_	0.0014700	U (0.407)	0.0061100	0.529	_	_	U (0.0005)	0.00700	
10/23/2007			_	_	U (0.0005)		0.0053400	0.40	_	_	U (0.0005)	0.0060300	
02/21/2008			-	-	0.0023100		<u>0.05920</u>	1.97	-	_	0.000739000	0.05230	
08/27/2008			_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.4)	0.02030	0.506	-	_	U (0.0005)	0.02430	
10/22/2008			-	-	U (0.0005)		0.0062900	0.35	-	_	U (0.0005)	0.0051200	
02/05/2009			-	_	0.00093000	0.59 0.689	0.08980	2.02	-	_	0.0021100	0.101	
02/19/2009 04/08/2009			_		0.0024900 0.005800		0.129 0.26	1.96 3.84	-	_	0.0028300 0.169	0.262 0.634	
07/09/2009					0.0026700		0.28 0.184	3.04 2.51	_		0.0045200	0.834 0.284	
11/04/2009			_		0.0026700		0.292	4.13	_		0.0073900	0.645	
01/27/2010			_	_	0.0038500			7.17	_	_	0.03130	1.51	
1			,	'		/1		. —'	'	•			•


			ound Water Elevation	, /	/	/		/	/ /	,	/ /	, /	/ /
		Screen Inter-				/	/ /	_ /					
		10	,					No.		00/	′ /		Soles
		55	10/	MB	MB	9/8		200		the/i	E	200	438
	2		N. 25	13.5	So-TMB	00200	0 4	ologia de la como de l	0/	South	10,10	on on one	Sal Anelles
Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	
GW Human Health Cleanup			0.056	0.06	0.0046	<u>1.5</u>	0.015	2.2	0.0017		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>	
05/27/2010				_	0.002200	0.668		<u>5.19</u>	_	_	0.02180	<u>1.22</u>	
08/19/2010			_	_	0.0010500	0.415		3.27	-	_	0.0030700	0.977	
10/26/2010 02/17/2011			_	_	U (0.0022) 0.0029100		0.04490 0.108	0.741	_	_	U (0.0005) 0.003400	0.07230	
06/09/2011			_	_	0.0029100	0.436	0.108	3.11 5.08		_	0.003400	0.472 0.856	
09/20/2011				_	0.0010100		0.03620	0.975	_	_	0.0040300	0.138	
10/21/2011			_	_	U (0.0005)		0.01210	0.365	_	_	U (0.0005)	0.03030	
02/17/2012			_	_	0.0040300	0.726		<u>2.80</u>	_	_	0.0049700	<u>0.476</u>	
05/17/2012			_	_	0.000704000	0.541	0.01250	0.683	_	_	0.000734000	0.03780	
10/30/2012			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)		_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
01/30/2013			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
05/10/2013			_	_	0.00052000		U (0.0005)	0.221	_	_	0.000627000	0.0019400	
10/11/2013			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)		_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
12/11/2013			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.001)	U (0.05)		_	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
02/19/2014 05/01/2014			_	_	U (0.0005) U (0.005)		U (0.0005) U (0.001)	U (0.05) U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005) U (0.001)	U (0.0015) U (0.001)	
10/30/2014					0.00086000		U (0.0005)	0.19		_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
02/11/2015				_	U (0.0005)	U (0.42)	0.003100	0.13	_	_	U (0.0005)	0.003100	
11/12/2015			_	_	U (0.0020)	U (0.21)	U (0.0030)	0.32	_	_	U (0.0020)	U (0.0020)	
01/28/2016			_	_	U (0.0020)	U (0.11)	U (0.0030)	U (0.050)	-	_	U (0.0020)	U (0.0020)	
10/24/2016			_	_	U (0.0002)	U (0.41)	Û (0.001)	Ù (0.1)		_	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
12/09/2016			_	_	Ú (0.002)	U (0.12)	0.006300	0.17	-	_	U (0.001)	0.003400	
04/24/2017			_	_	U (0.0002)	0.22	<u>0.0850</u>	1.40		_	U (0.001)	<u>0.44</u>	
10/20/2017			_	_	U (0.002)	U(0.110)	U (0.003)	U(1.0)	-	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
02/13/2018			_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.13)	U (0.003)	U (1.0)	_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.002)	
08/17/2018			_	_	U (0.003)	U (0.12)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)		_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
10/25/2018			_	_	U (0.003)	U (0.12)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)		_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
02/26/2019 04/24/2019			_	_	U (0.003) U (0.003)	0.12 U (0.27)	U (0.003) 0.008600	U (0.25) U (0.25)	-	_	U (0.002) U (0.002)	U (0.003) 0.006800	
07/16/2019			_		U (0.003)	U (0.27)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	_		U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
10/17/2019				_	U (0.003)	U (0.12)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)		_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
08/12/2020		66.92	_	_	U (0.001)		U (0.001)	U (0.100)	_	10.6	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
10/02/2020		66.29	_	_	0.000236000	0.406	U (0.001)	0.01890		_	U (0.001)	U (0.002)	
05/18/2021		62.56	U (0.00100)	0.000191000	U (0.001)	U (0.800)	0.001700	0.06930	U (0.00500)	13.9	U (0.001)	U (0.002)	
07/21/2021		62.64	0.000612000	0.000507000	U (0.001)	0.34	U (0.001)	0.04780	U (0.00500)	14.2	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
10/13/2021		66.89	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)		0.402	U (0.001)	0.07760	U (0.000250)	20.3	U (0.001)	U (0.002)	
03/18/2022		62.05	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)		U(0.800)		0.08580	U (0.000250)	17.3	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
05/11/2022		67.47	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.800)	U(0.00100)	0.03450	U(0.000250)	20.2	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
07/19/2022		69.95	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.800)	U(0.00100)	U(0.100)	U(0.0002500)	8.41	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
10/12/2022		79.93	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100) 0.0031900		U(0.00100)	U(0.100)	U(0.000250)	8.87 9.82	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
03/08/2023		65.87	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	0.003 1900	J U(U.17U)	U(0.00100)	U(0.100)	U(0.000250)	9.82	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	I

		á	Juno Wafe, Elevation, 122	,	,	/	/ /	,	/ /	,		,	/ /
		Screen Inter	Water	2	2	<i>&</i> /		A Dept.		Jalene	_		Nall X
	Z,		and of	135	8/11/18	onzono Do				South		0/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/0	K / In /
Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	
GW Human Health Cleanup			<u>0.056</u>	<u>0.06</u>	0.0046	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>2.2</u>	0.0017		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>	
04/28/2023			_	_	0.000126000	0.386	U(0.00100)	0.07080	U(0.000250)	18.5	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
07/12/2023		73.56	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	0.207	U(0.00100)	0.03590	U(0.000250)	6.18	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	
11/02/2023 03/22/2024		74.35 64.34	U(0.00100) U(0.00100)	U(0.00100) U(0.00100)	U(0.00100) U(0.00100)	1.02 U(0.800)	U(0.00100) U(0.00100)	0.03580 0.186	U(0.000250) U(0.000250)	5.24 11.0	U(0.00100) U(0.00100)	U(0.00300) U(0.00100)	
06/19/2024		73.32	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.800)	U(0.00100)	U(0.100)	U(0.000250)	6.07	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
G-7			, ,	, ,	,	,		,			,		1
08/03/1998			_	_	U	U	l ul	U	_	_	U	U	
11/02/1998				_	U	U	0.0120	0.16	_	_	0.00500	0.0580	
02/12/1999			-	_	U	0.79	<u>U</u>	U	_	_	U	U	
05/10/1999			-	_	U	0.45	!	U	-	_	U	<u> </u>	
08/30/1999 10/29/1999			-	_	U	U	U U	U	-	_	U	U U	
06/08/2000			_		U	ľ	ان ا	U	_		U	l ü	
11/30/2000			_	_	Ü	l ü	l ŭl	Ŭ	_	_	Ü	l ŭ	
05/10/2001				_	Ü	Ū	ا آ	Ü	_	_	Ū	Ū	
11/09/2001				_	U	U	U	U	_	_	U	U	
05/30/2002			-	_	U	<u>2.47</u>	U	U	-	_	U	U	
04/17/2003			-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.25)	U (0.0005)	U (0.08)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.001)	
04/13/2004			-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.5)	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
04/11/2005 04/18/2006			_	_	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	U (0.435)	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	U (0.05) U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	U (0.0015) U (0.0015)	
04/19/2007			_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.42)	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
04/15/2008			_	_	U (0.0005)	0.673	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
02/19/2009			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
01/27/2010				_	U (0.0005)		U (0.001)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
05/27/2010			-	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
08/19/2010			-	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
10/26/2010 02/17/2011			-	_	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)		U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	U (0.08) U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	U (0.001) U (0.0015)	
06/09/2011			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
09/20/2011			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_		U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
10/21/2011			_	_	U (0.0005)	` ,	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	l –	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
02/17/2012			-	_	U (0.0005)	0.584	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
05/17/2012			-	_	U (0.0005)	0.628	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
07/18/2012			-	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0010)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0010)	U (0.0030)	
09/05/2012			-	-	U (0.0005)	` ,	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
10/30/2012 01/30/2013			-	_	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	U (0.397) 0.531	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	U (0.05) U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005) U (0.0005)	U (0.0015) U (0.0015)	
02/15/2013			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
05/10/2013			_	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	

			Juno Water Elevation	,	, /	/		/	/ /	,	/ /	/	/ /
		School Mer.				/	/ /	_ /					
		60/1	10 Jato					ougue de la composition della		Societations			Nai Allenes
		55/	100	MIN	NA PARA	60/0		900	_ /	le U	4	Sugar Property and the second	#
	2		3	135	B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	Op.					70,000	one one	<u>o</u> /
Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ĺ
GW Human Health Cleanup			0.056	0.06	0.0046	1.5	0.015	2.2	0.0017		1.1	0.19	1
10/11/2013			_		U (0.0005)	U (0.410)	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	1
12/11/2013				_	U (0.0005)		U (0.001)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
02/19/2014			-	_	U (0.0005)		U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
05/01/2014			-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.39)	U (0.001)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.001)	U (0.001)	
10/30/2014			-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.39)	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
02/11/2015			-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.42)	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
05/15/2015			-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.42)	U (0.0005)	U (0.05)	-	_	U (0.0005)	U (0.0015)	
09/02/2015			-	_	U (0.0020)	U (0.42)	U (0.001)	0.16	_	_	U (0.001)	U (0.001)	
11/12/2015			-	_	U (0.0020)	U (0.20) 0.23	U (0.0030)	U (0.050)	-	_	U (0.0020)	U (0.0020) U (0.0020)	
01/28/2016 05/09/2016			-	_	U (0.0020)	U (0.41)	U (0.0030) U (0.001)	U (0.050) U (0.1)	_	_	U (0.0020) U (0.001)	U (0.0020)	
10/24/2016			-	_	U (0.0002) U (0.0002)	U (0.41)	U (0.001)	U (0.1)	_		U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
12/09/2016		l I	-	_	U (0.002)	U (0.41)	U (0.001)	U (0.05)	_	_	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
02/08/2017				_	U (0.002)	U (0.11)	U (0.003)	U (0.05)	_		U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
04/25/2017			=1		U (0.002)	U (0.11)	U (0.003)	U (1.0)	_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.002)	
10/20/2017			=1	_	U (0.002)		U (0.003)	U (1.0)	_		U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
02/13/2018			_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.110)	U (0.003)	U (1.0)	_		U (0.002)	U (0.002)	
08/17/2018				_	U (0.003)	U (0.12)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
10/25/2018				_	U (0.003)	U (0.12)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
02/26/2019			_	_	U (0.003)	U (0.12)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
04/24/2019				_	U (0.003)	U (0.26)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
07/16/2019			_	_	U (0.003)	U (0.12)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	_	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
10/17/2019				_	U (0.003)	U (0.12)	U (0.003)	U (0.25)	l _	_	U (0.002)	U (0.003)	
10/02/2020		67.10	_	_	U (0.001)		U (0.001)	U (0.100)	_	_	U (0.001)	U (0.002)	
05/18/2021		60.81	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.001)	,	U (0.001)	0.0320	U (0.00500)	9.55	U (0.001)	U (0.002)	
07/21/2021		61.67	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.001)	0.251	U (0.001)	U (0.100)	U (0.00500)	13.1	U (0.001)	U (0.003)	
10/13/2021		66.63	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.001)	0.358	U (0.001)	0.05070	U (0.000250)	5.05	U (0.001)	U (0.002)	
03/18/2022		59.20	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.800)	U(0.00100)	U(0.100)	U (0.000250)	14.3	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
05/11/2022		67.15	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.800)	U(0.00100)	U(0.100)	U(0.000250)	5.09	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
07/19/2022		69.89	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.800)	U(0.00100)	U(0.100)	U(0.0002500)	6.10	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
10/12/2022		79.69	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.170)	U(0.00100)	U(0.0287	Ù(0.000250)	6.88	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
03/08/2023		65.89	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	0.000124000	0.28	U(0.00100)	0.04930	U(0.000250)	4.35	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
04/28/2023			<u> </u>		U(0.00100)	0.267	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.000250)	7.91	U(0.00100)	0.000431000	
07/12/2023		73.37	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	0.216	U(0.00100)	0.04610	U(0.000250)	5.20	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	
11/02/2023		74.17	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	0.563	U(0.00100)	0.0380	U(0.000250)	4.41	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
03/22/2024		64.30	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.840)	U(0.00100)	0.0430	U(0.000250)	4.67	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	
06/19/2024		73.17	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.800)	U(0.00100)	0.04010	U(0.000250)	4.71	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)]
MW16-2													
12/09/2016			_	_	U (0.0002)	0.25	0.0220	2.00	-	_	U (0.001)	0.429	
02/08/2017			_	_	Ú (0.002)	<u>2.10</u>	0.44	<u>19.0</u>	-	_	0.00780Ó	3.30	

		Sreen Inter	ound Water Elevation	,	,	/		800		, 9 /		,	sall sall sall sall sall sall sall sall
	N.	\$ 5 G	1 ouno 1	35.				ol olivens	0 4			olono V	Solo III
Unit	ft	ft	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	
GW Human Health Cleanup			<u>0.056</u>	<u>0.06</u>	0.0046	<u>1.5</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>0.0017</u>		<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.19</u>	
04/25/2017			_	_	U (0.0002)	0.86		<u>8.70</u>	_	_	U (0.002)	1.00	
10/20/2017			_	_	U (0.002)	0.26		2.20	_	_	U (0.002)	0.125	
02/13/2018 08/17/2018			_	_	U (0.002) U (0.003)	0.59 0.63	0.0510 0.0150	6.10 2.40	_	_	U (0.002) U (0.002)	0.177 0.07710	
10/25/2018			_	_	U (0.003)	0.63	0.003600	1.00	_	_	U (0.002)	0.07710	
02/26/2019					U (0.003)	1.10	0.006600	4.60			U (0.002)	0.0230	
04/24/2019			_	_	U (0.003)	0.58	0.006500	4.20	_	_	U (0.002)	0.0270	
07/16/2019			_	_	U (0.003)	0.67	0.006600	3.40	_	_	U (0.002)	0.0310	
10/17/2019			l _	_	U (0.003)	0.30	0.005200	2.10	_	_	U (0.002)	0.0230	
08/12/2020		67.36	l –	_	U (0.001)	0.419	0.0016600	1.65	_	21.7	U (0.001)	0.0073500	
10/02/2020		67.05	l –	_	U (0.001)	0.25	0.00072000	0.967	_	_	U (0.001)	0.00277200	
03/31/2021		65.19	l –	_	U (0.001)	0.585	0.00100	<u>2.86</u>	_	4.42	U (0.001)	0.0027600	
05/18/2021		66.27	0.0110	0.01030	U (0.001)		U (0.001)	0.419	U (0.00500)	4.72	U (0.001)	U (0.002)	
07/21/2021		66.08	0.01450	0.01260	U (0.001)	0.441	0.000569000	0.724	U (0.00500)	5.58	U (0.001)	0.0013500	
10/13/2021		67.54	U (0.00100)	U (0.00100)	U (0.001)	0.819	U (0.001)	0.765	U (0.000250)	71.7	U (0.001)	U (0.002)	
03/18/2022		65.86	0.03410	0.02310	U(0.00100)	0.643	0.00032000	1.95	0.000106000	6.93	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
05/11/2022		67.88	0.01240	0.0063100	0.000105000	0.49	U(0.00100)	0.658	U(0.000250)	21.6	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
07/19/2022		70.17	0.02030	0.01010	0.00013000		U(0.00100)	0.354	U(0.000250)	9.06	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
10/12/2022			0.000523000		U(0.00100)	U(0.170)		0.02940	U(0.000250)	9.84	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
03/08/2023		66.69	0.09380	0.06640	0.0029300	1.46		1.61	0.000116000	180	0.00042000		
04/28/2023 07/12/2023		73.75	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100) U(0.00100)	0.413	0.000143000 U(0.00100)	0.926 U(0.100)	U(0.000250) U(0.000263)	16.2 6.95	U(0.00100) U(0.00100)	0.000357000 U(0.00100)	
11/02/2023		74.47	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	0.449		0.03820	U(0.000250)	5.26	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
03/22/2024		66.62	0.01980	0.01260	U(0.00100)	U(0.800)	0.000346000	0.03020	U(0.000250)	42.9	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	
06/19/2024		73.52	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)	U(0.00100)		U(0.00100)	0.04740	U(0.000250)	6.16	U(0.00100)	U(0.00300)	
RW16-1		7 0.02	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	G (0.00.00)	0(0.000)	(0.00.00)	0.00	0(0.000200)	00	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
10/24/2016					U (0.0002)	4.60	1.70	30.0			0.0190	10.1	
02/08/2017					U (0.0002)	2.70	7.90	<u>30.0</u> 25.0		_	0.004800	8.90	
04/25/2017			_		U (0.002)	2.40	U (0.750)	<u>12.0</u>			U (0.001)	4.83	
08/17/2018			_	_	U (0.003)	7.90	1.20	24.0	_	_	0.001800	8.50	
08/12/2020		67.49	l _	_	0.00092000	2.00	1.58	5.85	_	65.8	0.0055800	8.26	
10/02/2020		67.20	_	_	U (0.020)	3.58	0.373	3.99	_	_	0.01740	1.721	
03/31/2021		67.77	l –	l –	U (0.020)	4.72	1.33	<u>14.0</u>	_	64.0	U (0.020)	<u>5.28</u>	
05/18/2021		66.12	<u>2.50</u>	<u>0.53</u>	U (0.200)	7.24	0.761	3.38	U (1.00)	24.1	U (0.200)	4.80	
07/21/2021		65.91	<u>2.90</u>	<u>0.597</u>	U (0.200)	9.60	1.36	<u>7.22</u>	U (1.00)	16.7	U (0.200)	7.69	
10/13/2021		67.71	<u>1.83</u>	0.28	U (0.200)	7.89	<u>1.11</u>	<u>7.99</u>	U (1.00)	11.3	U (0.200)	4.826	
03/18/2022		65.51	<u>4.04</u>	<u>0.868</u>	U(0.200)	4.36	<u>0.939</u>	<u>23.2</u>	<u>0.04860</u>	39.9	U(0.200)	<u>5.548</u>	
05/11/2022		68.00	3.88	<u>0.756</u>	U(0.0500)	<u>5.82</u>	0.533	<u>17.7</u>	<u>0.06120</u>	56.9	U(0.0500)	<u>2.773</u>	
07/19/2022		70.05	0.03960		0.000116000	0.572		0.247	0.0010400	33.2	0.00028000	0.033910	
10/12/2022		79.98	J 0.0024100	U(0.000104)	J 0.000309000	J 0.50	0.000383000	0.322	0.001100	26.7	0.00038000	0.0130	







Pace Analytical® ANALYTICAL REPORT

Stantec - Anchorage, AK

Sample Delivery Group:

L1749452

Samples Received:

06/21/2024

Project Number:

203723785

Description:

Store 5325

Report To:

Ms. Sydney Souza

725 E Fireweed Lane

Suite 200

Anchorage, AK 99503

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Shane Gambill Project Manager

Ganlill

Results relate only to the items tested or calibrated and are reported as rounded values. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of the laboratory. Where applicable, sampling conducted by Pace Analytical National is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures ENV-SOP-MTJL-0067 and ENV-SOP-MTJL-0068. Where sampling conducted by the customer, results relate to the accuracy of the information provided, and as the samples are received.

ACCOUNT: PROJECT: SDG: DATE/TIME: PAGE: 07/02/24 09:48 Stantec - Anchorage, AK 203723785 L1749452 1 of 33

Pace Analytical National 12065 Lebanon Rd Mount Juliet, TN 37122 615-758-5858 800-767-5859 mydata.pacelabs.com



















TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cp: Cover Page	1
Tc: Table of Contents	2
Ss: Sample Summary	3
Cn: Case Narrative	5
Sr: Sample Results	6
G-1 L1749452-01	6
G-3 L1749452-02	8
G-5 L1749452-03	10
G-7 L1749452-04	12
RM16-1 L1749452-05	14
MW16-2 L1749452-06	16
TRIP BLANK L1749452-07	18
DUP L1749452-08	19
Qc: Quality Control Summary	21
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	21
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	22
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	24
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	26
Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	27
GI: Glossary of Terms	31
Al: Accreditations & Locations	32
Sc: Sample Chain of Custody	33



















SAMPLE SUMMARY

G-1 L1749452-01 GW			Collected by Sydney Souza	Collected date/time 06/19/24 09:42	Received da 06/21/24 09	
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation	Analysis	Analyst	Location
Metriou	Datcii	Dilution	date/time	date/time	AlldlySt	LUCALIOII
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG2313524	1	06/28/24 10:50	06/28/24 15:37	JTM	Mt. Juliet, TN
/olatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG2312041	1	06/26/24 20:43	06/26/24 20:43	ACG	Mt. Juliet, Ti
olatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG2312492	1	06/27/24 10:04	06/27/24 10:04	BAM	Mt. Juliet, Ti
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	WG2313035	1	06/29/24 15:22	07/01/24 11:30	MAA	Mt. Juliet, TI
Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG2312155	1	06/26/24 15:25	06/27/24 02:27	AMS	Mt. Juliet, TI
			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received da	te/time
G-3 L1749452-02 GW			Sydney Souza	06/19/24 12:05	06/21/24 09	:15
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG2313524	1	06/28/24 10:50	06/28/24 15:48	JTM	Mt. Juliet, TN
olatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG2312041	1	06/26/24 21:06	06/26/24 21:06	ACG	Mt. Juliet, TN
olatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG2312492	1	06/27/24 10:23	06/27/24 10:23	BAM	Mt. Juliet, TI
emi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	WG2313035	1	06/29/24 15:22	07/01/24 11:50	MAA	Mt. Juliet, TI
emi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG2312155	1	06/26/24 15:25	06/27/24 02:47	AMS	Mt. Juliet, TI
			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received da	te/time
9-5 L1749452-03 GW			Sydney Souza	06/19/24 12:31	06/21/24 09	:15
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG2313524	1	06/28/24 10:50	06/28/24 15:50	JTM	Mt. Juliet, Ti
olatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG2312041	1	06/26/24 21:28	06/26/24 21:28	ACG	Mt. Juliet, Ti
olatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG2312492	1	06/27/24 10:42	06/27/24 10:42	BAM	Mt. Juliet, Ti
emi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	WG2313035	1	06/29/24 15:22	07/01/24 08:28	MAA	Mt. Juliet, Ti
emi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG2312155	1	06/26/24 15:25	06/27/24 03:07	AMS	Mt. Juliet, Ti
			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received da	te/time
G-7 L1749452-04 GW			Sydney Souza	06/19/24 13:09	06/21/24 09	:15
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG2313524	1	06/28/24 10:50	06/28/24 15:55	JTM	Mt. Juliet, TN
olatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG2312041	1	06/26/24 21:51	06/26/24 21:51	ACG	Mt. Juliet, TI
olatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG2312492	1	06/27/24 11:02	06/27/24 11:02	BAM	Mt. Juliet, TI
emi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	WG2313035	1	06/29/24 15:22	07/01/24 08:48	MAA	Mt. Juliet, TI
emi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG2312155	1	06/26/24 15:25	06/27/24 03:26	AMS	Mt. Juliet, TI
			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received da	te/time
RM16-1 L1749452-05 GW			Sydney Souza	06/19/24 10:20	06/21/24 09	:15
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
letals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG2313524	1	06/28/24 10:50	06/28/24 15:57	JTM	Mt. Juliet, TI
olatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG2312041	1	06/26/24 22:13	06/26/24 22:13	ACG	Mt. Juliet, Ti
olatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG2313699	5	06/28/24 12:06	06/28/24 12:06	BAM	Mt. Juliet, Th
emi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	WG2313035	1	06/29/24 15:22	07/01/24 09:09	MAA	Mt. Juliet, Ti
Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG2312155	1	06/26/24 15:25	06/27/24 03:46	AMS	Mt. Juliet, TN



















PAGE:

3 of 33

ACCOUNT:

SAMPLE SUMMARY

			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received da	te/time
MW16-2 L1749452-06 GW			Sydney Souza	06/19/24 10:46	06/21/24 09:	:15
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG2313524	1	06/28/24 10:50	06/28/24 15:59	JTM	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG2314376	1	06/29/24 08:20	06/29/24 08:20	JAH	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG2312492	1	06/27/24 11:21	06/27/24 11:21	BAM	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102	WG2313035	1	06/29/24 15:22	07/01/24 09:29	MAA	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM	WG2312157	1	06/26/24 15:23	06/26/24 23:36	DSH	Mt. Juliet, TN
			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received da	te/time
TRIP BLANK L1749452-07 GW			Sydney Souza	06/19/24 00:00	06/21/24 09:	:15
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation	Analysis	Analyst	Location
			date/time	date/time		
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG2312492	1	06/27/24 05:56	06/27/24 05:56	BAM	Mt. Juliet, TN
			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received da	te/time
DUP L1749452-08 GW			Sydney Souza	06/19/24 10:51	06/21/24 09:	:15
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D	WG2313524	1	06/28/24 10:50	06/28/24 16:01	JTM	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101	WG2314376	1	06/29/24 08:43	06/29/24 08:43	JAH	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C	WG2312492	1	06/27/24 11:40	06/27/24 11:40	BAM	Mt. Juliet, TN

1

WG2313035

WG2312157

06/29/24 15:22

06/26/24 15:23

07/01/24 09:49

06/26/24 23:54

MAA

DSH

Mt. Juliet, TN

Mt. Juliet, TN





















Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

CASE NARRATIVE

All sample aliquots were received at the correct temperature, in the proper containers, with the appropriate preservatives, and within method specified holding times, unless qualified or notated within the report. Where applicable, all MDL (LOD) and RDL (LOQ) values reported for environmental samples have been corrected for the dilution factor used in the analysis. All Method and Batch Quality Control are within established criteria except where addressed in this case narrative, a non-conformance form or properly qualified within the sample results. By my digital signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data have been identified by the laboratory, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.

¹Cp

















Hilmoll

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 09:42

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	5.79		0.504	3.00	1	06/28/2024 15:37	WG2313524



Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	U		0.0287	0.100	1	06/26/2024 20:43	WG2312041
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	90.6			50.0-150		06/26/2024 20:43	WG2312041
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	102			79.0-125		06/26/2024 20:43	WG2312041



Ss

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.0000941	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000137	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000105	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500	1	06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
m&p-Xylene	U		0.000430	0.00200	1	06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
(S) Toluene-d8	112			80.0-120		06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	92.9			77.0-126		06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100			70.0-130		06/27/2024 10:04	WG2312492

GI

[®]Sc

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	0.449	<u>J</u>	0.170	0.800	1	07/01/2024 11:30	WG2313035
(S) o-Terphenyl	108			50.0-150		07/01/2024 11:30	WG2313035

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Fluorene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Naphthalene	U		0.0000917	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155

ACCOUNT:

Stantec - Anchorage, AK

PROJECT: 203723785

SDG: L1749452 DATE/TIME: 07/02/24 09:48

PAGE: 6 of 33

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 09:42

L1749452

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
1-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000687	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
2-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000674	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5	88.9			31.0-160		06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	91.1			48.0-148		06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14	89.5			37.0-146		06/27/2024 02:27	WG2312155



















SDG:

L1749452

DATE/TIME:

07/02/24 09:48

PAGE:

7 of 33

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 12:05

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	6.12		0.504	3.00	1	06/28/2024 15:48	WG2313524



	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	U		0.0287	0.100	1	06/26/2024 21:06	WG2312041
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	85.8			50.0-150		06/26/2024 21:06	WG2312041
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	102			79.0-125		06/26/2024 21:06	WG2312041



Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.0000941	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
Ethylbenzene	0.000439	<u>J</u>	0.000137	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
Isopropylbenzene	0.000173	J	0.000105	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500	1	06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.000803	<u>J</u>	0.000322	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
m&p-Xylene	U		0.000430	0.00200	1	06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
(S) Toluene-d8	112			80.0-120		06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	92.0			77.0-126		06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	97.1			70.0-130		06/27/2024 10:23	WG2312492

[°]Qc

GI

Αl

³Sc

Semi-Volatile Organic Co	mpounds (GC) b	v Method AK102

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	U		0.170	0.800	1	07/01/2024 11:50	WG2313035
(S) o-Terphenyl	99.5			50.0-150		07/01/2024 11:50	WG2313035

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Fluorene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Naphthalene	U		0.0000917	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155

ACCOUNT:

Stantec - Anchorage, AK

PROJECT: 203723785

SDG: L1749452

DATE/TIME: PAGE: 07/02/24 09:48 8 of 33

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 12:05

L1749452

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
1-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000687	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
2-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000674	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5	75.8			31.0-160		06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	79.5			48.0-148		06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14	72.6			37.0-146		06/27/2024 02:47	WG2312155



















Collected date/time: 06/19/24 12:31

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	6.07		0.504	3.00	1	06/28/2024 15:50	WG2313524

Ss

Cn







	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	U		0.0287	0.100	1	06/26/2024 21:28	WG2312041
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	89.5			50.0-150		06/26/2024 21:28	WG2312041
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	103			79.0-125		06/26/2024 21:28	WG2312041



Gl

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.0000941	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000137	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000105	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500	1	06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
m&p-Xylene	U		0.000430	0.00200	1	06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
(S) Toluene-d8	113			80.0-120		06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	92.2			77.0-126		06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	97.8			70.0-130		06/27/2024 10:42	WG2312492



Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	U		0.170	0.800	1	07/01/2024 08:28	WG2313035
(S) o-Terphenyl	108			50.0-150		07/01/2024 08:28	WG2313035

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Fluorene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Naphthalene	U		0.0000917	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155

ACCOUNT:

Stantec - Anchorage, AK

PROJECT: 203723785

SDG: L1749452

DATE/TIME: 07/02/24 09:48 PAGE:

10 of 33

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 12:31

L1749452

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
1-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000687	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
2-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000674	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5	<i>7</i> 5. <i>8</i>			31.0-160		06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	78.9			48.0-148		06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14	<i>75.3</i>			37.0-146		06/27/2024 03:07	WG2312155



















Collected date/time: 06/19/24 13:09

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	4.71		0.504	3.00	1	06/28/2024 15:55	WG2313524

Ss

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	0.0401	<u>J</u>	0.0287	0.100	1	06/26/2024 21:51	WG2312041
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	101			50.0-150		06/26/2024 21:51	WG2312041
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	103			79.0-125		06/26/2024 21:51	WG2312041



Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.0000941	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000137	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000105	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500	1	06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
m&p-Xylene	U		0.000430	0.00200	1	06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
(S) Toluene-d8	112			80.0-120		06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	93.6			77.0-126		06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	101			70.0-130		06/27/2024 11:02	WG2312492

Gl

ΆΙ

[°]Qc

Sc

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	U		0.170	0.800	1	07/01/2024 08:48	WG2313035
(S) o-Terphenyl	109			50.0-150		07/01/2024 08:48	WG2313035

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Fluorene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Naphthalene	U		0.0000917	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155

ACCOUNT:

PROJECT:

SDG:

DATE/TIME:

PAGE:

203723785 L1749452 07/02/24 09:48 12 of 33 Stantec - Anchorage, AK

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 13:09

L1749452

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
1-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000687	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
2-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000674	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5	83.7			31.0-160		06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	92.6			48.0-148		06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14	86.8			37.0-146		06/27/2024 03:26	WG2312155



















SDG:

L1749452

DATE/TIME:

07/02/24 09:48

PAGE:

13 of 33

RM16-1

SAMPLE RESULTS - 05

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 10:20

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	14.6		0.504	3.00	1	06/28/2024 15:57	WG2313524





Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	0.580		0.0287	0.100	1	06/26/2024 22:13	WG2312041
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	92.5			50.0-150		06/26/2024 22:13	WG2312041
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	101			79.0-125		06/26/2024 22:13	WG2312041



Ss



[°]Qc

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.000471	0.00500	5	06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
n-Butylbenzene	U	<u>C3</u>	0.000785	0.00500	5	06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000625	0.00500	5	06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000635	0.00500	5	06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
Ethylbenzene	0.00749		0.000685	0.00500	5	06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000525	0.00500	5	06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
Naphthalene	U	<u>C3</u>	0.00500	0.0250	5	06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
Toluene	U		0.00139	0.00500	5	06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.0271		0.00161	0.00500	5	06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.00349	<u>J</u>	0.000520	0.00500	5	06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
m&p-Xylene	0.0282		0.00215	0.0100	5	06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
o-Xylene	0.00573		0.000870	0.00500	5	06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
(S) Toluene-d8	104			80.0-120		06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	98.8			77.0-126		06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	99.0			70.0-130		06/28/2024 12:06	WG2313699

Αl

GI



Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	U		0.170	0.800	1	07/01/2024 09:09	WG2313035
(S) o-Terphenyl	105			50.0-150		07/01/2024 09:09	WG2313035

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Fluorene	0.0000184	J	0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Naphthalene	0.000851		0.0000917	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155

Stantec - Anchorage, AK

RM16-1

SAMPLE RESULTS - 05

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 10:20

L1749452

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.000238	<u>J</u>	0.0000687	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.000109	<u>J</u>	0.0000674	0.000250	1	06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5	86.8			31.0-160		06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	81.6			48.0-148		06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14	73.2			37.0-146		06/27/2024 03:46	WG2312155



















MW16-2

SAMPLE RESULTS - 06

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 10:46

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	6.16		0.504	3.00	1	06/28/2024 15:59	WG2313524

Ss

Cn







[°]Qc







Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	0.0474	<u>B J</u>	0.0287	0.100	1	06/29/2024 08:20	WG2314376
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	83.1			50.0-150		06/29/2024 08:20	WG2314376
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	102			79.0-125		06/29/2024 08:20	WG2314376

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.0000941	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000137	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000105	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500	1	06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
m&p-Xylene	U		0.000430	0.00200	1	06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
(S) Toluene-d8	116			80.0-120		06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	91.5			77.0-126		06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	96.1			70.0-130		06/27/2024 11:21	WG2312492

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	U		0.170	0.800	1	07/01/2024 09:29	WG2313035
(S) o-Terphenyl	105			50.0-150		07/01/2024 09:29	WG2313035

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Fluorene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Naphthalene	U		0.0000917	0.000250	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157

Stantec - Anchorage, AK

MW16-2

SAMPLE RESULTS - 06

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 10:46

L1749452

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
1-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000687	0.000250	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
2-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000674	0.000250	1	06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5	88.4			31.0-160		06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	79.5			48.0-148		06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14	73.7			37.0-146		06/26/2024 23:36	WG2312157



















SAMPLE RESULTS - 07

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 00:00

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.0000941	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000137	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000105	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500	1	06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
m&p-Xylene	U		0.000430	0.00200	1	06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
(S) Toluene-d8	114			80.0-120		06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	92.4			77.0-126		06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100			70.0-130		06/27/2024 05:56	WG2312492



















DATE/TIME:

07/02/24 09:48

PAGE:

18 of 33

DUP

SAMPLE RESULTS - 08

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 10:51

L1749452

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Sodium	6.54		0.504	3.00	1	06/28/2024 16:01	WG2313524

²Tc

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
TPHGAK C6 to C10	0.0830	ВЈ	0.0287	0.100	1	06/29/2024 08:43	WG2314376
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	85.8			50.0-150		06/29/2024 08:43	WG2314376
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	103			79.0-125		06/29/2024 08:43	WG2314376



Ss

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.0000941	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000137	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000105	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500	1	06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
m&p-Xylene	U		0.000430	0.00200	1	06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100	1	06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
(S) Toluene-d8	114			80.0-120		06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	92.2			77.0-126		06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	99.1			70.0-130		06/27/2024 11:40	WG2312492

⁸Al

Gl

Sc

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
AK102 DRO C10-C25	U		0.170	0.800	1	07/01/2024 09:49	WG2313035
(S) o-Terphenyl	86.5			50.0-150		07/01/2024 09:49	WG2313035

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Fluorene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Naphthalene	U		0.0000917	0.000250	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157

Stantec - Anchorage, AK

DUP

SAMPLE RESULTS - 08

Collected date/time: 06/19/24 10:51

L1749452

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

	Result	Qualifier	MDL	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	<u>Batch</u>
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l		date / time	
1-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000687	0.000250	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
2-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000674	0.000250	1	06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5	90.0			31.0-160		06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	83.2			48.0-148		06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14	77.9			37.0-146		06/26/2024 23:54	WG2312157



















QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

L1749452-01,02,03,04,05,06,08

Metals (ICP) by Method 6010D

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R4087931-6 06/2	28/24 16:24			
	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l
Sodium	U		0.504	3.00









ı		D40070347	00/20/24	10.20
١	LUS) R4087931-7	00/20/24	10.20

	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier
Analyte	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	
Sodium	10.0	10.1	101	80 0-120	







(OS) L1749452-01 06/28/24 15:37 • (MS) R4087931-4 06/28/24 15:40 • (MSD) R4087931-5 06/28/24 15:42

, ,	Spike Amount	Original Result	MS Result	MSD Result	MS Rec.	MSD Rec.	Dilution	Rec. Limits	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits	
Analyte	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%		%			%	%	
Sodium	10.0	5 79	15 9	15.9	101	101	1	75 0-125			0.190	20	









QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

L1749452-01,02,03,04,05

Method Blank (MB)

MB) R4087444-2 06/26					
	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL	
ınalyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l	
PHGAK C6 to C10	U		0.0287	0.100	
(S) ı,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	84.9			60.0-120	
(S) ı,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	102			79.0-125	

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R4087444-1 06/26	S) R4087444-1 06/26/24 12:46 • (LCSD) R4087444-3 06/26/24 18:57												
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits			
Analyte	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	%			%	%			
TPHGAK C6 to C10	5.00	5.39	5.12	108	102	60.0-120			5.14	20			
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)				110	107	60.0-120							
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)				119	118	79.0-125							



Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK101

L1749452-06,08

Method Blank (MB)

9/24 04:31				
MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL	
mg/l		mg/l	mg/l	
0.0777	<u>J</u>	0.0287	0.100	
87.3			60.0-120	
103			79.0-125	
	MB Result mg/l 0.0777 87.3	MB Result mg/l 0.0777 87.3	MB Result mg/l MB Qualifier mg/l MB MDL mg/l 0.0777 J 0.0287 87.3 0.0287	MB Result mg/l MB Qualifier mg/l MB MDL mg/l MB RDL mg/l 0.0777 J 0.0287 0.100 87.3 60.0-120

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R4088286-1 06/29	S) R4088286-1 06/29/24 03:23 • (LCSD) R4088286-2 06/29/24 03:46												
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits			
Analyte	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	%			%	%			
TPHGAK C6 to C10	5.00	4.98	4.88	99.6	97.6	60.0-120			2.03	20			
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)				109	108	60.0-120							
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)				119	119	79.0-125							



QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

L1749452-01,02,03,04,06,07,08

Method Blank (MB)

Sc

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R4087534-1 06/27/	24 04:58				
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier
Analyte	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	
Benzene	0.00500	0.00435	87.0	70.0-123	
n-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00525	105	73.0-125	
sec-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00548	110	75.0-125	
tert-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00578	116	76.0-124	
Ethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00526	105	79.0-123	
Isopropylbenzene	0.00500	0.00515	103	76.0-127	
Naphthalene	0.00500	0.00536	107	54.0-135	
Toluene	0.00500	0.00523	105	79.0-120	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00542	108	76.0-121	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00568	114	76.0-122	
m&p-Xylene	0.0100	0.0105	105	80.0-122	
o-Xylene	0.00500	0.00504	101	80.0-122	
(S) Toluene-d8			109	80.0-120	
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene			93.1	77.0-126	
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4			101	70.0-130	

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260C

L1749452-05

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R4088014-3 06/28/2	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l
Benzene	U		0.0000941	0.00100
n-Butylbenzene	U		0.000157	0.00100
sec-Butylbenzene	U		0.000125	0.00100
tert-Butylbenzene	U		0.000127	0.00100
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000137	0.00100
Isopropylbenzene	U		0.000105	0.00100
Naphthalene	U		0.00100	0.00500
Toluene	U		0.000278	0.00100
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000322	0.00100
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U		0.000104	0.00100
m&p-Xylene	U		0.000430	0.00200
o-Xylene	U		0.000174	0.00100
(S) Toluene-d8	102			80.0-120
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	98.1			77.0-126
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98.1			70.0-130

Sc

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

CS) R4088014-1 06/28/24 09:31 • (LCSD) R4088014-2 06/28/24 09:54												
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits		
Analyte	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	%			%	%		
Benzene	0.00500	0.00543	0.00542	109	108	70.0-123			0.184	20		
n-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00398	0.00429	79.6	85.8	73.0-125			7.50	20		
sec-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00477	0.00490	95.4	98.0	75.0-125			2.69	20		
tert-Butylbenzene	0.00500	0.00431	0.00437	86.2	87.4	76.0-124			1.38	20		
Ethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00474	0.00477	94.8	95.4	79.0-123			0.631	20		
Isopropylbenzene	0.00500	0.00464	0.00473	92.8	94.6	76.0-127			1.92	20		
Naphthalene	0.00500	0.00300	0.00334	60.0	66.8	54.0-135	<u>J</u>	<u>J</u>	10.7	20		
Toluene	0.00500	0.00476	0.00484	95.2	96.8	79.0-120			1.67	20		
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00442	0.00459	88.4	91.8	76.0-121			3.77	20		
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.00500	0.00456	0.00467	91.2	93.4	76.0-122			2.38	20		
m&p-Xylene	0.0100	0.00953	0.00993	95.3	99.3	80.0-122			4.11	20		
o-Xylene	0.00500	0.00457	0.00451	91.4	90.2	80.0-122			1.32	20		
(S) Toluene-d8				102	102	80.0-120						
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene				101	101	77.0-126						
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4				97.2	96.4	70.0-130						

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method AK102

L1749452-01,02,03,04,05,06,08

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R4088514-1 06/30/	MB) R4088514-1 06/30/24 21:23										
	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL							
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l							
AK102 DRO C10-C25	U		0.170	0.800							
(S) o-Terphenyl	117			60.0-120							







Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

11 (5)	P4088514-2	06/30/24 22:39 •	(I CSD	D1088511-3	06/30/24 23:00
(LCS) K4U00314-2	00/30/24 22.39	にしいし	1 K4000014-3	00/30/24 23.00

(/		- ,								
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
Analyte	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	%			%	%
AK102 DRO C10-C25	6.00	5.07	4.91	84.5	81.8	75.0-125			3.21	20
(S) o-Terphenyl				95.3	103	60.0-120				







⁷Gl

L1748395-01 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1748395-01 06/30/24 23:20 • (MS) R4088514-4 06/30/24 23:40 • (MSD) R4088514-5 07/01/24 00:00

(03) [17 +0333-01 00/30/	(C3) E1740333-01 O0/30/24 23.20 * (NIS) N4000314-4 O0/30/24 23.40 * (NISD) N4000314-3 O/701/24 O0.00													
	Spike Amount	Original Result	MS Result	MSD Result	MS Rec.	MSD Rec.	Dilution	Rec. Limits	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits		
Analyte	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%		%			%	%		
AK102 DRO C10-C25	6.00	0.735	5.97	5.65	87.2	81.9	1	75.0-125			5.51	20		
(S) o-Terphenyl					98.9	101		50.0-150						





QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

L1749452-01,02,03,04,05

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R4087768-3 06/2	26/24 21:13				`
	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL	2
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l	-
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	3
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	Ľ
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	4
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	\vdash
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	5
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	Ľ
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	6
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	(
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	
Fluorene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	7
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	L
Naphthalene	U		0.0000917	0.000250	8
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500	1
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	
1-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000687	0.000250	9
2-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000674	0.000250	Ľ
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5	84.0			31.0-160	
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	88.5			48.0-148	
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14	87.5			37.0-146	

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R4087768-1 06/26/	24 20:33 • (LCS	SD) R4087768-	2 06/26/24 20	D:53						
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
Analyte	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	%			%	%
Anthracene	0.00200	0.00141	0.00152	70.5	76.0	67.0-150			7.51	20
Acenaphthene	0.00200	0.00149	0.00158	74.5	79.0	65.0-138			5.86	20
Acenaphthylene	0.00200	0.00143	0.00154	71.5	77.0	66.0-140			7.41	20
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.00200	0.00131	0.00149	65.5	74.5	61.0-140			12.9	20
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.00200	0.00125	0.00142	62.5	71.0	60.0-143			12.7	20
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.00200	0.00142	0.00167	71.0	83.5	58.0-141			16.2	20
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.00200	0.00127	0.00140	63.5	70.0	52.0-153			9.74	20
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.00200	0.00123	0.00140	61.5	70.0	58.0-148			12.9	20
Chrysene	0.00200	0.00147	0.00169	73.5	84.5	64.0-144			13.9	20
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.00200	0.00122	0.00132	61.0	66.0	52.0-155			7.87	20
Fluoranthene	0.00200	0.00166	0.00181	83.0	90.5	69.0-153			8.65	20
Fluorene	0.00200	0.00157	0.00178	78.5	89.0	64.0-136			12.5	20

 ACCOUNT:
 PROJECT:
 SDG:
 DATE/TIME:
 PAGE:

 Stantec - Anchorage, AK
 203723785
 L1749452
 07/02/24 09:48
 27 of 33

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

L1749452-01,02,03,04,05

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R4087768-1 06/26/24 20:33 • (LCSD) R4087768-2 06/26/24 20:53

	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
Analyte	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	%			%	%
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.00200	0.00117	0.00131	58.5	65.5	54.0-153			11.3	20
Naphthalene	0.00200	0.00140	0.00140	70.0	70.0	61.0-137			0.000	20
Phenanthrene	0.00200	0.00164	0.00176	82.0	88.0	62.0-137			7.06	20
Pyrene	0.00200	0.00167	0.00182	83.5	91.0	60.0-142			8.60	20
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.00200	0.00153	0.00152	76.5	76.0	66.0-142			0.656	20
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.00200	0.00147	0.00143	73.5	71.5	62.0-136			2.76	20
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5				76.0	82.0	31.0-160				
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl				74.5	68.0	48.0-148				
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14				65.5	76.5	37.0-146				



















DATE/TIME:

07/02/24 09:48

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

L1749452-06,08

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R4087851-3 06/2	6/24 23:18				
	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL	2
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	mg/l	
Anthracene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	Ŀ
Acenaphthene	U		0.0000190	0.0000500	3
Acenaphthylene	U		0.0000171	0.0000500	L
Benzo(a)anthracene	U		0.0000203	0.0000500	4
Benzo(a)pyrene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	U		0.0000168	0.0000500	L
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U		0.0000184	0.0000500	5
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U		0.0000202	0.0000500	L
Chrysene	U		0.0000179	0.0000500	6
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	U		0.0000160	0.0000500	
Fluoranthene	U		0.0000270	0.000100	_
Fluorene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	7
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	U		0.0000158	0.0000500	L
Naphthalene	U		0.0000917	0.000250	8
Phenanthrene	U		0.0000180	0.0000500	
Pyrene	U		0.0000169	0.0000500	L
1-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000687	0.000250	5
2-Methylnaphthalene	U		0.0000674	0.000250	
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5	91.0			31.0-160	
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl	76.0			48.0-148	
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14	82.0			37.0-146	

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R4087851-1 06/26/2	24 22:42 • (LCS	D) R4087851-2	2 06/26/24 23:	00						
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
Analyte	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	%			%	%
Anthracene	0.00200	0.00184	0.00171	92.0	85.5	67.0-150			7.32	20
Acenaphthene	0.00200	0.00172	0.00158	86.0	79.0	65.0-138			8.48	20
Acenaphthylene	0.00200	0.00184	0.00175	92.0	87.5	66.0-140			5.01	20
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.00200	0.00170	0.00166	85.0	83.0	61.0-140			2.38	20
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.00200	0.00152	0.00149	76.0	74.5	60.0-143			1.99	20
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.00200	0.00160	0.00161	80.0	80.5	58.0-141			0.623	20
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.00200	0.00146	0.00141	73.0	70.5	52.0-153			3.48	20
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.00200	0.00146	0.00142	73.0	71.0	58.0-148			2.78	20
Chrysene	0.00200	0.00167	0.00164	83.5	82.0	64.0-144			1.81	20
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.00200	0.00147	0.00142	73.5	71.0	52.0-155			3.46	20
Fluoranthene	0.00200	0.00187	0.00181	93.5	90.5	69.0-153			3.26	20
Fluorene	0.00200	0.00190	0.00183	95.0	91.5	64.0-136			3.75	20

Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8270D-SIM

L1749452-06,08

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R4087851-1 06/26/24 22:42 • (LCSD) R4087851-2 06/26/24 23:00

	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
Analyte	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	%	%	%			%	%
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.00200	0.00146	0.00143	73.0	71.5	54.0-153			2.08	20
Naphthalene	0.00200	0.00178	0.00164	89.0	82.0	61.0-137			8.19	20
Phenanthrene	0.00200	0.00185	0.00172	92.5	86.0	62.0-137			7.28	20
Pyrene	0.00200	0.00178	0.00173	89.0	86.5	60.0-142			2.85	20
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.00200	0.00182	0.00171	91.0	85.5	66.0-142			6.23	20
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.00200	0.00182	0.00165	91.0	82.5	62.0-136			9.80	20
(S) Nitrobenzene-d5				89.5	88.5	31.0-160				
(S) 2-Fluorobiphenyl				76.5	78.0	48.0-148				
(S) p-Terphenyl-d14				70.0	70.5	37.0-146				



















GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Guide to Reading and Understanding Your Laboratory Report

The information below is designed to better explain the various terms used in your report of analytical results from the Laboratory. This is not intended as a comprehensive explanation, and if you have additional questions please contact your project representative.

Results Disclaimer - Information that may be provided by the customer, and contained within this report, include Permit Limits, Project Name, Sample ID, Sample Matrix, Sample Preservation, Field Blanks, Field Spikes, Field Duplicates, On-Site Data, Sampling Collection Dates/Times, and Sampling Location. Results relate to the accuracy of this information provided, and as the samples are received.

Abbreviations and Definitions

MDL	Method Detection Limit.
RDL	Reported Detection Limit.
Rec.	Recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group.
(S)	Surrogate (Surrogate Standard) - Analytes added to every blank, sample, Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate and Matrix Spike/Duplicate; used to evaluate analytical efficiency by measuring recovery. Surrogates are not expected to be detected in all environmental media.
U	Not detected at the Reporting Limit (or MDL where applicable).
Analyte	The name of the particular compound or analysis performed. Some Analyses and Methods will have multiple analytes reported.
Dilution	If the sample matrix contains an interfering material, the sample preparation volume or weight values differ from the standard, or if concentrations of analytes in the sample are higher than the highest limit of concentration that the laboratory can accurately report, the sample may be diluted for analysis. If a value different than 1 is used in this field, the result reported has already been corrected for this factor.
Limits	These are the target % recovery ranges or % difference value that the laboratory has historically determined as normal for the method and analyte being reported. Successful QC Sample analysis will target all analytes recovered or duplicated within these ranges.
Original Sample	The non-spiked sample in the prep batch used to determine the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) from a quality control sample. The Original Sample may not be included within the reported SDG.
Qualifier	This column provides a letter and/or number designation that corresponds to additional information concerning the resul reported. If a Qualifier is present, a definition per Qualifier is provided within the Glossary and Definitions page and potentially a discussion of possible implications of the Qualifier in the Case Narrative if applicable.
Result	The actual analytical final result (corrected for any sample specific characteristics) reported for your sample. If there was no measurable result returned for a specific analyte, the result in this column may state "ND" (Not Detected) or "BDL" (Below Detectable Levels). The information in the results column should always be accompanied by either an MDL (Method Detection Limit) or RDL (Reporting Detection Limit) that defines the lowest value that the laboratory could detect or report for this analyte.
Uncertainty (Radiochemistry)	Confidence level of 2 sigma.
Case Narrative (Cn)	A brief discussion about the included sample results, including a discussion of any non-conformances to protocol observed either at sample receipt by the laboratory from the field or during the analytical process. If present, there will be a section in the Case Narrative to discuss the meaning of any data qualifiers used in the report.
Quality Control Summary (Qc)	This section of the report includes the results of the laboratory quality control analyses required by procedure or analytical methods to assist in evaluating the validity of the results reported for your samples. These analyses are not being performed on your samples typically, but on laboratory generated material.
Sample Chain of Custody (Sc)	This is the document created in the field when your samples were initially collected. This is used to verify the time and date of collection, the person collecting the samples, and the analyses that the laboratory is requested to perform. This chain of custody also documents all persons (excluding commercial shippers) that have had control or possession of the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the laboratory for analysis.
Sample Results (Sr)	This section of your report will provide the results of all testing performed on your samples. These results are provided by sample ID and are separated by the analyses performed on each sample. The header line of each analysis section fo each sample will provide the name and method number for the analysis reported.
Sample Summary (Ss)	This section of the Analytical Report defines the specific analyses performed for each sample ID, including the dates and times of preparation and/or analysis.

O 1:C	D
Qualifier	Description
Qualifici	DESCRIBLION

В	The same analyte is found in the associated blank.
C3	The reported concentration is an estimate. The continuing calibration standard associated with this data responded low. Method sensitivity check is acceptable.
J	The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.



















ACCREDITATIONS & LOCATIONS

Pace Analytical National 12065 Lebanon Rd Mount Juliet, TN 37122

Alabama	40660	Nebraska	NE-OS-15-05
Alaska	17-026	Nevada	TN000032021-1
Arizona	AZ0612	New Hampshire	2975
Arkansas	88-0469	New Jersey-NELAP	TN002
California	2932	New Mexico ¹	TN00003
Colorado	TN00003	New York	11742
Connecticut	PH-0197	North Carolina	Env375
Florida	E87487	North Carolina ¹	DW21704
Georgia	NELAP	North Carolina ³	41
Georgia ¹	923	North Dakota	R-140
Idaho	TN00003	Ohio-VAP	CL0069
Illinois	200008	Oklahoma	9915
Indiana	C-TN-01	Oregon	TN200002
Iowa	364	Pennsylvania	68-02979
Kansas	E-10277	Rhode Island	LAO00356
Kentucky 16	KY90010	South Carolina	84004002
Kentucky ²	16	South Dakota	n/a
Louisiana	Al30792	Tennessee 1 4	2006
Louisiana	LA018	Texas	T104704245-20-18
Maine	TN00003	Texas ⁵	LAB0152
Maryland	324	Utah	TN000032021-11
Massachusetts	M-TN003	Vermont	VT2006
Michigan	9958	Virginia	110033
Minnesota	047-999-395	Washington	C847
Mississippi	TN00003	West Virginia	233
Missouri	340	Wisconsin	998093910
Montana	CERT0086	Wyoming	A2LA
A2LA – ISO 17025	1461.01	AIHA-LAP,LLC EMLAP	100789
A2LA – ISO 17025 ⁵	1461.02	DOD	1461.01
Canada	1461.01	USDA	P330-15-00234



^{*} Not all certifications held by the laboratory are applicable to the results reported in the attached report.

TN00003

EPA-Crypto



















 $^{^* \, \}text{Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by Pace Analytical.} \\$

Company Name/Address:			Billing Infor	g Information:						Analysis / Container / Preservative					Chain of Custody Page of		
Stantec - Anchorage,	AK		Accounts	Pavable		Pres											
				eweed Lane		Chk										10	7
725 E Fireweed Lane			Suite 200													1-1	ace
Suite 200				ge, AK 99503												PEOPL	E ADVANCING SCIENCE
Anchorage. AK 99503						4										NAT I	ULIET, TN
Report to:	011	70	Email To: craig.cothron@pacelabs.com													12065 Lebanon Rd M	
Will commissions Charles	rey Do	27	Dyans	A. DO SH	60100	em										Submitting a sample v	via this chain of custody dgment and acceptance of the
Project Description: Store \$325		City/State Collected:	Uas:11	la, AK	Please Cir PT MT C					-WT						Pace Terms and Cond	
Phone 907 700-1109	Client Project			Lab Project #						res						1	74940
907-229-1514	203	7235	785	STAAAKSSA	9914-				93	40mlAmb-NoPres-WT		8 k				SDG#	B028
Collected by (print):	Site/Facility I	0#		P.O. #	0-06		_	HC	N.	-qu	D	0				1	
Jyaney Joues				20372	378		H	1 9	E	A	Ŧ	70				Acctnum: STA	AAAKSSA
Collected by (signature):		Lab MUST Be I		Quote #			40mlAmb HCl	Amb	250mlHDPE-HN03	40m	V8260C 40mlAmb-HCl	40mlAmb-HCl-Blk				Template: T25	
1	Next Da		(Rad Only)	Date Result	s Needed		N A	lm(E		Jm(E I				Prelogin: P10	
Immediately Packed on Ice N Y	Two Da		y (Rad Only)			No.	40r	100ml	250	15	240	40				PM: 034 - Crai	7.74 BK
						of	01		8	SIR	200	2009					edEX 2nd Day
Sample ID	Comp/Grab	Matrix *	Depth	Date	Time	Cntrs	AK101	AK 102	NAICP	PAHSIMLVID	V82	V8260C				Remarks	Sample # (lab only)
G-1	G	GW		6/19/24	0942	11	X	X	X	X	X						1-01
6-3		GW			1205	11	Х	X	Х	X	X						-02
6-5		GW			1231	11	X	X	X	X	X						-03
6.7		GW			1309	11	X	X	X	X	X						-04
PM16-1		GW			1020	11	X	Х	X	X	X						-05
mu 16-2	V	GW			1046	11	X	X	X	X	X						-01
TRIP BLANK		GW		1		1						х					-07
200	6			W19/24	1-51	11		~		-	N -	^					-0/
DUP	9	6W		417/24	1051	11	X	X	X	X	×			· 图》			-08
* Matrix:	Remarks:WO - 1	107005670	00 227	22600													
SS - Soil AIR - Air F - Filter	Remarks.WO - 1	10/0930/0	- 10 2377	23098						рН		Temp		COC Se	eal Pre	e Receipt Chesent/Intact	: _NP N N
GW - Groundwater B - Bioassay										Flow		Other				Accurate:	AY N
WW - WasteWater DW - Drinking Water						1	· Commission			TIOW		_ Other		Correc	ct bott	les used:	ZY_N
OT - Other	Samples returned UPS FedEx			Trackir	g#	141	1	452	6	-	514	1				If Applicab	le Z
Relinquished by (Signature)		ite:	Time:		ed by: (Signati	ire)			1	Trip Blan			s /No	Preser	rvation	Correct/Che	
hal -	1	/19/1	4 14	00									CL/ MeoH	KAD SO	reen <	0.5 mR/hr:	∠Y _N
Relinguished by : (Signature) Date:			Time:		ed by: (Signatu	ıre)					0,	n Bottle	BR s Received:	If prese	rvation	required by Lo	gin: Date/Time
nemica by . (Signature)				1.00011	7. (0.8.1.40					Temp:	2	1	12.3.	= 1.4	7031011	Equit ca by 20	S Date, Time
Relinquished by : (Signature)	Da	ite:	Time:	Receive	ed for lab by: (Signatu	ire)			Date: Time:			Hold:			Condition	
Transfer of A (Signature)	08		Time.	I CCEIVE	111	Briatt	no	111		6-7	11-7	4	9:00	Hold.			Condition: NCF / ØK)
					1110	/	100	71		0		1					

ADEC Contaminated Sites Program Laboratory Data Review Checklist

Completed By:	Remi Malenfant	CS Site Name:	Tesoro North Store 52	Lab Name:	Pace Analytical						
Title:	Environmental Geologist	ADEC File No.:	2265.26.006	Lab Report No.:	L1749452						
Consulting Firm:	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	Hazard ID No.:	23769	Lab Report Date:	July 2, 2024						
Note: Any N/A or I		must have an exp	planation in the con	nments box.							
app Yes	roved laboratory ⊠ No □ N/A	receive and perfe	boratory Approval I orm all of the subm text.	- ,	•						
to a app Yes	n alternate labor roved? □ No □ N/A	atory, was the lab	other "network" labo poratory performing erred	•							
2. Chain of C	ustody (CoC)										
rele Yes	ased/received by ⊠ No □ N/A	y)?	gned, and dated (in text.	cluding							
Yes Ana	 b. Were the correct analyses requested? Yes ⋈ No □ N/A □ Analyses requested: AK101, AK102, 8260C, 8270SIM, and sodium Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. 										
3. Laboratory	/ Sample Recei	ot Documentatio	on								
6° C Yes Cod	C)? · ⊠ No □ N/A bler temperature(text.	n range at rece	eipt (0° to						

	b.	Is the sample preservation acceptable – acidified waters, methanol preserved soil (GRO, BTEX, VOCs, etc.)? Yes \boxtimes No \square N/A \square Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
	C.	Is the sample condition documented – broken, leaking, zero headspace (VOA vials); canister vacuum/pressure checked and no open valves, etc.? Yes \boxtimes No \square N/A \square Comments: Sample condition documented as OK
	d.	If there were any discrepancies, were they documented? For example, incorrect sample containers/preservation, sample temperature outside of acceptable range, insufficient or missing samples, canister not holding a vacuum, etc.? Yes \square No \boxtimes N/A \square Comments: None
	e.	Is the data quality or usability affected? Yes □ No ☒ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
4.	Case I	Narrative
	a.	Is the case narrative present and understandable? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
	b.	Are there discrepancies, errors, or QC failures identified by the lab? Yes No N/A Comments: Case narrative documents no errors or discrepancies "unless qualified or notated within report"
	C.	Were all the corrective actions documented? Yes \square No \square N/A \boxtimes Comments: No corrective actions taken
	d.	What is the effect on data quality/usability according to the case narrative? Comments: No effect on data quality/usability
5.	Sampl	le Results
	a.	Are the correct analyses performed/reported as requested on CoC? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
	b.	Are all applicable holding times met? Yes \boxtimes No \square N/A \square

CS Site Name: Tesoro North Store 52

Lab Report No.: L1749452

CS Site Name: Tesoro North Store 52 Lab Report No.: L1749452				
	Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.			
C.	Are all soils reported on a dry weight basis? Yes □ No □ N/A ☒ Comments: No soil samples submitted to the lab			
d.	Are the reported limits of quantitation (LoQ) or limits of detections (LOD), or reporting limits (RL) less than the Cleanup Level or the action level for the project? Yes \square No \boxtimes N/A \square Comments: Benzene RL above GCL in RW16-1, OK in duplicate			
e.	Is the data quality or usability affected? Yes □ No ☒ N/A □ Comments: Non-detect verified in duplicate			
6. QC Sa	amples			
a.	Method Blank			
	 i. Was one method blank reported per matrix, analysis, and 20 samples? Yes ⋈ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. 			
	 ii. Are all method blank results less than LOQ (or RL)? Yes □ No ☒ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. 			
	iii. If above LoQ or RL, what samples are affected? Comments: GRO in the duplicate and MW16-2			
	iv. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined?Yes ⋈ No □ N/A □Comments:			
	v. Data quality or usability affected? Yes □ No ⋈ N/A □ Comments: All results far below cleanup, J-tagged detections			
b.	Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate (LCS/LCSD)			
	 i. Organics – Are one LCS/LCSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples? (LCS/LCSD required per AK methods, LCS required per SW846) Yes ⋈ No □ N/A □ 			

CS Site Name: Tesoro North Store 52

Lab Report No.: L1749452

Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

	ii.	Metals/Inorganics – Are one LCS and one sample duplicate reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
	iii.	Accuracy – Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? (AK Petroleum methods: AK101 60%-120%, AK102 75%-125%, AK103 60%-120%; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages) Yes No N/A Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
	iv.	Precision – Are all relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? Was the RPD reported from LCS/LCSD, and or sample/sample duplicate? (AK Petroleum methods 20%; all other analyses see the laboratory QC pages) Yes \boxtimes No \square N/A \square Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
	V.	If %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected? Comments: N/A
	vi.	Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined? Yes \square No \square N/A \boxtimes Comments: No affected samples
	vii.	Is the data quality or usability affected? Yes □ No ☒ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
c.	Matrix	Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)
	i.	Organics – Are one MS/MSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
	ii.	Metals/Inorganics – Are one MS/MSD reported per matrix, analysis and 20 samples? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

CS Site Name: Tesoro North Store 52 Lab Report No.: L1749452 iii. Accuracy – Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. iv. Precision – Are all relative percent differences (RPD) reported and less than method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? RPD reported from MS/MSD, and or sample/sample duplicate. Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. v. If %R or RPD is outside of acceptable limits, what samples are affected? Comments: N/A vi. Do the affected sample(s) have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠ Comments: No affected samples vii. Is the data quality or usability affected? Yes □ No ⋈ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. d. Surrogates – Organics Only or Isotope Dilution Analytes (IDA) – Isotope Dilution Methods Only i. Are surrogate/IDA recoveries reported for organic analyses – field, QC, and laboratory samples? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. ii. Accuracy – Are all percent recoveries (%R) reported and within method or laboratory limits and project specified objectives, if applicable? (AK Petroleum methods 50-150 %R for field samples and 60-120 %R for QC samples; all other analyses see the laboratory report pages) Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. iii. Do the sample results with failed surrogate/IDA recoveries have data flags? If so, are the data flags clearly defined? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠

Comments: None failed

Yes □ No ⋈ N/A □

iv. Is the data quality or usability affected?

CS Site Name: Tesoro North Store 52

Lab Report No.: L1749452

e.

f.

	Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.					
Trip Blanks						
i.	Is one trip blank reported per matrix, analysis, and for each cooler containing volatile samples? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.					
ii.	Are all results less than LoQ or RL? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.					
iii.	If above LoQ or RL, what samples are affected? Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.					
iv.	Is the data quality or usability affected? Yes □ No ⋈ N/A □ Comments: No affected samples					
Field D	Field Duplicate					
i.	Are one field duplicate submitted per matrix, analysis, and 10 project samples? Yes No N/A Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.					
ii.	Was the duplicate submitted blind to lab? Yes ⊠ No □ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.					
iii.	Precision – All relative percent differences (RPD) less than specified project objectives? (Recommended: 30% water or air, 50% soil)					
	$RPD \ (\%) = \left \frac{R_1 - R_2}{\left(\frac{R_1 + R_2}{2}\right)} \right X \ 100$					
	Where R_1 = Sample Concentration					
	R ₂ = Field Duplicate Concentration					
	Is the data quality or usability affected? (Explain)					
	Yes \square No \boxtimes N/A \square Comments: GRO outside precision tolerance. No other analytes detected to calculate					
iv.	Is the data quality or usability affected? (Explain)					

Yes □ No ⊠ N/A □

Lab Report No.: L1749452 Comments: GRO is well below GCL in both samples g. Decontamination or Equipment Blanks i. Were decontamination or equipment blanks collected? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠ Comments: Used disposable equipment ii. Are all results less than LoQ or RL? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠ Comments: Used disposable equipment iii. If above LoQ or RL, specify what samples are affected. Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. iv. Are data quality or usability affected? Yes □ No ⋈ N/A □ Comments: Click or tap here to enter text. 7. Other Data Flags/Qualifiers (ACOE, AFCEE, Lab Specific, etc.) a. Are they defined and appropriate? Yes \boxtimes No \square N/A \square Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.

CS Site Name: Tesoro North Store 52