\$EPA

Long Term Hydrological Monitoring Program:

Amchitka, Alaska 1997



Long Term Hydrological Monitoring Program

Amchitka, Alaska 1997

S.H. Faller and D.E. Farmer

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Interagency Agreement DE-AI08-96NV11969

RADIATION AND INDOOR ENVIRONMENTS NATIONAL LABORATORY
OFFICE OF RADIATION AND INDOOR AIR
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
P.O. BOX 98517
LAS VEGAS, NV 89193-8517

NOTICE

The information in this document has been funded wholly or in part by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through Interagency Agreement (IAG) DE-AI08-96NV 11969 from the United States Department of Energy (DOE). This document has been subjected to the Agency's peer and administrative review, and it has been approved for publication as an EPA document. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

ABSTRACT

Surface water samples were collected on the island of Amchitka, Alaska during the month of June 1997 as part of the Environmental Protection Agency's Long Term Hydrological Monitoring Program. The samples were scanned for the presence of gamma-ray emitting radionuclides and analyzed to determine tritium concentrations. Both conventional and enrichment methods were used. No man made gamma-ray emitters were detected and results of the tritium analyses are consistent with historical values. Trends in decreasing concentration appear to be due to radioactive decay and to dilution.

This page left blank intentionally

CONTENTS

	Page
Notice	ii
Abstract	iii
Contents	. v
Acronyms and Abbreviations	. vii
Acknowledgments	viii
Site Background .	
Surface Contamination	1
Monitoring History	6
Sample Collection and Preparation	6
Sample Analysis	6
Sample Results	. 7
Discussions and Conclusions	7
References	10
Glossary of Terms	11
Appendix A (Summary of Analytical Procedures)	12
Appendix B (Graphical Listings of Historic LTHMP Tritium Concentrations)	13

FIGURES

_		Page
	Locations of Amchitka Island, Alaska .	2
2.	Amchitka Island and Camp Area	3
3.	Long Shot/Milrow Surface ground Zero Area	4
4.	Cannikin Surface Ground Zero Area	5
	TABLES	
_		Page
1	Sampling Locations Established at the Long Shot Site	7
2.	Sampling Locations Established at the Milrow Site .	. 8
3.	Sampling Locations Established at the Cannikin Site	9
4.	Sampling Locations Established to Provide Background Data	9

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

DOE Department of Energy

RSL Radiation Sciences Laboratory

EMSL Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

IAG Interagency Agreement

LTHMP Long-Term Hydrological Monitoring Program

MDA minimum detectable activity

pCi/L picocuries per liter = 10^{-12} curies per liter = 1/1,000,000,000,000 curies per liter

R&IE Radiation and Indoor Environments National Laboratory

GZ ground zero

SGZ surface ground zero

SOP standard operating procedure

USGS U.S. Geological Survey

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Don James, James Harris, and the staff of the hydrological monitoring group, EPA, for their dedication to quality and tireless work in the execution of the sampling and laboratory analysis effort. The authors would also like to thank Terry Mouck for her desktop publishing support.

Site Background

Amchitka island is the southernmost member of the Rat Island group of the Aleutian Chain, Alaska (see Figures 1 and 2). It is approximately 42 miles long, varies from two to four miles in width, and lies between longitudes 178° 21' and 179° 29' east and latitudes 51° 21' and 51° 39' north. It is bounded by the Bering Sea to the north and the Pacific Ocean to the south.

Three high-yield underground nuclear tests were conducted by the Atomic Energy Commission on Amchitka between 1965 and 1971. They were:

- Project Long Shot, an 80 kiloton yield test on October 29, 1965 to improve the capability to detect, locate, and identify nuclear explosions.
- Project Milrow, a 1 megaton yield test on October 2, 1969 to determine the island's suitability to be the site of the larger test to follow (Cannikin).
- Project Cannikin, a 5 megaton yield test on November 6, 1971, to test the Spartan Anti- Ballistic Missile warhead.

General area maps of these sites are shown in Figures 3 and 4.

Surface Contamination

Several weeks following the Long Shot test in 1965, tritium was detected near the surface ground zero (SGZ) area in the water of sump ponds used for drilling mud and in associated drainage ditches. In 1971, several shallow wells were drilled in the area to determine the distribution of the tritium. Samples indicate that the region of maximum contamination lies between 200 and 300 feet, and in the immediate area of the SGZ. No radioactive strontium or cesium was detected in the samples. Periodic sampling, as part of the Amchitka Long Term Hydrological Monitoring Program (LTHMP), indicates that the tritium concentrations are decreasing faster than would be expected from radioactive decay alone, indicating that dilution is also taking place.

Drillback operations were conducted only at the Cannikin site on Amchitka. After core samples were taken, water used for decontamination of equipment was injected into the collapse chimney. Contaminated drilling tools were abandoned in the reentry hole, which was sealed at the termination of the program. Other items, such as valves and pipes that contained tritium, were cut into pieces and buried beneath cement in the Cannikin reentry well cellar.




Figure 1 Location of Amchitka Island, Alaska




Figure 2. Amchitka Island and Camp Area




Figure 3. Long Shot/Milrow Surface Ground Zero Area




Figure 4. Cannikin Surface Ground Zero Area

Monitoring History

Hydrological monitoring on Amchitka was initiated by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) in 1963 to collect environmental background information prior to the Long Shot test. The program was continued after the test for safety prediction verification, and similar hydrologic monitoring was conducted before and after the Milrow and Cannikin tests until 1974, when the program was terminated. The Amchitka LTHMP was instated in 1977 with sample collection and analysis performed by the EPA Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory (EMSL) in Las Vegas, Nevada. This work continues under the EPA Radiation and Indoor Environments National Laboratory (R&IE).

Sample Collection and Preparation

Sample collection on Amchitka in 1997 took place between June 3 and 17. Field collection procedures and sampling locations are described in standard operating procedure (SOP) CER-203 and the Amchitka, Alaska Long Term Hydrologic Monitoring Plan. Samples for gamma-ray analysis were collected in 3500 ml plastic cubitainers and acidified for preservation. Water samples collected for tritium analysis were collected in 500 ml glass bottles and were not preserved. The chain of custody procedures used in the transfer of the samples to the laboratory are described in SOP's NRA 3.50, NRO 1.04, and CER-203.

Sample Analysis

Gamma-ray analysis was performed by placing water samples in a calibrated geometric configuration (3.5 L marinelli beaker) and on a high-purity germanium detector for a known data collection periods. Spectrometric data were saved and analyzed with a computer based multichannel analyzer. The technique is useful for the identification and quantification of a large number of man-made radionuclides. Collection times of approximately 100 min allowed a minimum detectable concentration of Cs-137 of no more than 5 pCi/L to be obtained. Operation of the gamma-ray detector systems is specified in SOP NRA 2. 17.

Conventional tritium analysis was performed primarily to screen the water samples for gross contamination. The process is used to detect concentrations above 400 pCi/L directly by distillation and liquid scintillation analysis. The only sample found to exceed this concentration, Longshot Well GZ No. 1, was not analyzed by tritium enrichment to avoid any possible contamination of the equipment.

Tritium analysis by enrichment was performed by slow electrolysis which preferentially concentrates tritiated water. The sensitivity of the method allows the tritium concentrations to be determined to levels below those expected for worldwide surface waters. Procedures for both methods are described in SOP's NRA 1.14 and NRA 1.07.

Sample Results

No manmade gamma-ray emitting radionuclides were detected in the samples within the scan periods used in the gamma-ray spectrometric analysis. Tritium values for the samples are given in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4. For each sample collected in the 1997 project, a graph of all sample data for that location since the inception of the LTHMP is given in the Appendix. Moderate weather on the island precluded the collection of rain water during this sampling project.

Discussion and Conclusion

Tritium concentrations on Amchitka Island, Alaska follow a decreasing trend established from prior LTHMP sampling. At locations around the Longshot SGZ where contamination is known to exist, concentrations continue to decrease faster than would be expected from tritium decay alone indicating that dilution is also an important factor.

Table 1. Sampling Locations Established at the Long Shot Site (Figure 3).

	pCi/L		
Wells	Tritium	2-sigma	MDA
WL-1	12	3	5
WL-2	41	4	5
GZ-1	938	152	223
GZ-2	48	4	5
EPA-1	12	4	6
Surface Locations			
Reed Pond	15	4	6
Mud Pit No. 1	83	4	5
Mud Pit No. 2	113	5	5
Mud Pit No. 3	157	5	5
Stream East of Long Shot	110	5	5
Long Shot Pond No. 1	13	3	5
Long Shot Pond No. 2	13	3	5
Long Shot Pond No. 3	19	3	5

Table 2. Sampling Locations Established at the Milrow Site (Figure 3).

	pCi/L		
Tundra Holes	Tritium	2-sigma	MDA
W-2	8	136	223*
W-3	0	4	6
W-4	18	4	. 6
W-5	Not 3	Sampled - wel	l dry
W-6	Not 3	Sampled - well	l dry
W-7	12	3	5
W-8	0.5	3.5	5.8
W-9	Not Sampled - well head under water		
W-10	0.3	3.5	5.8
W-11	5.1	3.5	5.6
W-12	Not Sampled - well head under water		
W-13	20	4	6
W-14	13	3	5
W-15	2.3	3.5	5.6
W-16	13	4	6
W-17	Not Sampled - well head under water		
W-18	21	4	6
W-19	Not Sampled - well head under water		
Surface Locations			
Heart Lake	0.0	4.8	7.9
Duck Cove Creek	5.4	3.5	5.6
Clevenger Creek	23	4	6

^{*} Insufficient sample for enrichment, conventional screening only.

Table 3. Sampling Locations Established at the Cannikin Site (Figure 4).

***	pCi/L			
Wells	Tritium	2-sigma	MDA	
НТН-3	19	3	5	
Surface Locations				
Cannikin Lake, north end	15	3	. 5	
Cannikin Lake, south end	13	3	5	
Ice Box Lake	16	4	6	
Pit south of Cannikin GZ	9.1	3.4	5.3	
DK-45 Lake	14	4	6	
White Alice Creek	13	4	6	

Table 4. Sampling Locations Established to Provide Background Data.

	pCi/L		
Wells	Tritium	2-sigma	MDA
Army Well No. 1	15	5	8
Army Well No. 2	9	3.2	5
Army Well No. 3	Not Collected - well blocked		
Army Well No. 4	9.4	2.5	3.8
Exploratory Hole D	Not Collected - well blocked		
Exploratory Hole E	Not Collected - well blocked		
Surface Locations			
Jones Lake	12	3	5
Constantine Spring	32	5	7
Clevenger Lake	19	4	5
TX Site Spring	13	3	5
Precipitation	None Collected		

REFERENCES

- U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Project (Cannikin. May, 1971 (internal document)
- U.S. Department of Energy, Nevada Operations Office, Health Physics Division, Environmental Branch. Long-Term Hydrologic Monitoring Program Amchitka Island, Alaska. Las Vegas, NV: U.S. Department of Energy, Nevada Operations Office; NVO-242; 1982.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air. *Amchitka*, *Alaska Long Term Hydrologic Monitoring Plan*. Las Vegas, NV: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Radiation and Indoor Environments National Laboratory. Submitted to U.S. Department of Energy April, 1997.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Background Radiation

The radiation in man's environment, including cosmic rays and radiation from naturally-occurring and man-made radioactive elements, both outside and inside the bodies of humans and animals. The usually quoted average individual exposure from background radiation is 125 millirem per year in mid-latitudes at sea level.

Curie (Ci)

The basic unit used to describe the rate of radioactive disintegration. The curie is equal to 37 billion disintegrations per second, which is the equivalent of 1 gram of radium. Named for Marie and Pierre Curie who discovered radium in 1898. One microcurie (μ Ci) is 0.000001 Ci.

Isotope

Atoms of the same element with different numbers of neutrons in the nuclei. Thus ¹²C, ¹³C, and ¹⁴C are isotopes of the element carbon, the numbers denoting the approximate atomic weights. Isotopes have very nearly the same chemical properties, but have different physical properties (for example ¹²C and ¹³C are stable, ¹⁴C is radioactive).

Enrichment Method

A method of electrolytic concentration that increases the sensitivity of the analysis of tritium in water. This method is used if the tritium concentration is less than 400 pCi/L.

Minimum Detectable Concentration (MDC)

The smallest amount of radioactivity that can be reliably detected with a probability of Type I and Type II errors at 5 percent each (DOE 1981).

Offsite

Areas exclusive of the immediate RIO BLANCO Test Site Area.

Type I Error

The statistical error of accepting the presence of radioactivity when none is present. Sometimes called alpha error.

Type II Error

The statistical error of failing to recognize the presence of radioactivity when it is present. Sometimes called beta error.

APPENDIX A

Summary of Analytical Procedures

Type of Analysis	Analytical Equipment	Counting Period (Min)	Analytical Procedures	Sample Size	Approximate Detection Limit*
HpGe Gamma ^b	HpGe detector calibrated at 0.5 keV/channel (0.04 to 2 MeV range) individual detect Efficiencies ranging from 15 to 35%.	or	Radionuclide concen- tration quantified from gamma spectral data by online computer program	3.5L	Varies with radionuclides
³ H	Automatic liquid scintillation counter	300	Sample prepared by distillation.	5 to 10 mL	300 to 700 pCi/L
3H+ Enrichment (LTHMP samples)	Automatic liquid scintillation counter	300	Sample concentrated by electrolysis followed by distillation.	250 mL	5 pCi/L

The detection limit is defined as the smallest amount of radioactivity that can be reliably detected, i.e., probability of Type I and Type II error at 5 percent each (DOE 1981).

Gamma spectrometry using a high purity intrinsic germanium (HpGe) detector.

Typical MDA Values for Gamma Spectrosco	ру
(100 minute count time)	

Geometry*	Marinelli	Model	430G
Matrix	Water	Density	1.0 g/ml
Volume	3.5 liter	Units	pCi/L
		Ru-106	4.76E+01
Be-7	4.56E+01	Sn-113	8.32E+00
K-40	4.92E+01	Sb-125	1.65E+01
Cr-51	5.88E+01	I-131	8.28E+00
Mn-54	4.55E+01	Ba-133	9.16E+00
Co-57	9.65E+00	Cs-134	6.12E+00
Co-58	4.71E+00	Cs-137	6.43E+00
Fe-59	1.07E+01	Ce-144	7.59E+01
Co-60	5.38E+00	Eu-152	2.86E+01
Zn-65	1.24E+01	Ra-226	1.58E+01
Nb-95	5.64E+00	U-235	1.01E+02
Zr-95	9.06E+00	Am-241	6.60E+01

Disclaimer

The MDA's provided are for background matrix samples presumed to contain no known analytes and no decay time. All MDA's provided here are for one specific *Germanium detector and the geometry of interest. The MDA's in no way should be used as a source of reference for determing MDA's for any other type of detector. All gamma spectroscopy MDA's will vary with different types of shielding, geometries, counting times and decay time of sample.

APPENDIX B

Historic LTHMP Tritium Concentrations

Long Shot Site

A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 A6 A7	WL-1 WL-2 GZ -1 GZ -2 EPA-1 Reed Pond Mud Pit No.1 Mud Pit No.2	A39 A40 A41 A42	Jones Lake Constantine Spring Clevenger Lake TX Site Spring
A8 A9	Mud Pit No.2 Mud Pit No.3		
MJ	IVIUU FILINO.3		

Stream East of Long Shot

Long Shot Pond No.1 Long Shot Pond No.2 Long Shot Pond No.3

Milrow Site

A10

A11

A12 A13

A14	W-2
A15	W-3
A16	W-4
A17	W-7
A18	W-8
A19	W-10
A20	W-11
A21	W-13
A22	W-14
A23	W-15
A24	W-16
A25	W-18
A26	Heart Lake
A27	Duck Cove Creek
A28	Clevenger Creek

Cannikin Site

A29	HTH-3
A30	Cannikin Lake, north end
A31	Cannikin Lake, south end
A32	lce Box Lake
A33	Pit south of Cannikin GZ
A34	DK-45 Lake
A35	White Alice Creek

Background

A36.	Army Well No.1
A37	Army Well No.2
A38	Army Well No.4

Note:

The following graphs depict the variation of tritium concentrations at Amchitka sites since sample collection was begun as part of the Long Term Hydrological Monitoring Program. The error bars of each point represent a two-sigma uncertainty level. The lines connecting the points have been added for ease of visual tracking. They are not intended to imply that seasonal or other fluctuations do not occur. The dashed line represents the rate of decay for the first measured concentration at each site $(T_{1/2} = 12.3 \text{ years})$.

