

Domestic/Wildlife Interactions: *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae* in Alaska



**Alaska Farm Bureau
2018**



Bob Gerlach

Alaska State Veterinarian

Kimberlee Beckmen

Alaska Dept. Fish & Game

Alaska Situation

- Proposals to Board of Game // Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game (ADF&G)
 - Remove Sheep and Goats from the “Clean List”
 - Permit required by ADF&G:
 - To import sheep or goats into the State of Alaska
 - To own sheep or goats within 15 air mile of wild sheep habitat
 - Health certification – free of *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae*
 - Containment of sheep and goats in an “approved facility”

Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae (M. ovi)

- Sporadic Pneumonia outbreaks; some large die-offs (75-90% mortality) of bighorn sheep (BHS)
- Some reports of reduced BHS lamb survival following the pneumonia outbreaks - sustainability
- Wild sheep – reports of *low resistance* to some respiratory pathogens of domestic sheep/goats



M. ovi typically causes relatively mild disease in domestic sheep


- ‘Coughing syndrome’ in young lambs
- Reduced growth rate and weight gains
- Severe pneumonia has been reported, especially in young goats

- NAHMS Sheep study:
60 -80 % of large farms had
M. ovi detected
- USDA Pack Goat Study:
< 4% of farms (+) detected



Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae (M. ovi)

- Illness sheep and goat species (Caprinae)
 - Reported that clinical illness may be more severe in wildlife
- “Carrier status” in sheep and goats
- Diagnostic testing challenging
 - Bacteria difficult to culture
- Pathology by disrupting lower airway function



Yukon Order 10-30-18

- No farms above 1,000 meters elevation
- Premises registration, annual inspection
- Fencing requirements
- Animal ID, inventory and records
 - Any births, purchases, imports, deaths recorded
- Annual testing for pathogens of concern
 - Animals that are (+) or carriers > destroyed
- Movement by permit only
- Immediately report any escapes

Impacts of Pathogen Exposure

- Ecosystem Approach – “**One Health**”
- Science based evaluation
 - Need for an objective approach
 - Defensible data
- Risk Analysis: after gathering the facts
 - Risk vs benefit and Cost of proposed action
- Do we really know enough to make a sound decision?
 - Can you afford to delay taking action?

Respiratory Disease

- Pneumonia Outbreak : Multifactorial and involve Multiple Pathogens
- *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae* (Movi)
- Pasteurella bacteria
 - *Pasteurella haemolytica*
 - *P. haemolytica*
 - *P. trehalosi*
- *Fusobacterium necrophorum*
- Other bacteria (*Truperella pyogenes*)
- Respiratory viruses

Disease is Multifactorial

- Presence of the Pathogen – dose, virulence
- Combination of many factors are involved:
 - Health stressors – other parasites, pathogens
 - Population density -
 - Nutrition –protein, energy, mineral, water
 - Quality of graze/browse – carrying capacity
 - Fragmentation and restriction of range/habitat –
 - Wildlife may be more susceptible to clinical disease
 - Human interaction: *competition for resources*
 - tourism, hunting, urban expansion
 - Interaction with livestock
- Not a simple issue, no easy solution

What is the situation in the Western States?

- Bighorn sheep: Population Decline 15,000 - 18,000 estimated in 1960....
- Urban expansion = Habitat loss, hunting pressure, competition with domestic livestock
- Morbidity/Mortality events: respiratory disease
- Focus on wildlife conservation: Recovery
 - habitat preservation, reintroductions, focused management efforts

500,000 Bighorns



1850

18,000 Bighorns



1960

85,000 Bighorns



2012

Alaska: Unique Situation

- Not comparable to Western U.S. or Canada
 - No history of morbidity/mortality events
- Minimal fragmentation or loss of habitat
- Smaller number of farms and livestock
 - ~110 Sheep/Goat farms (NASS statistics)
 - Average <13 animals/farm (~2,000 sheep, goats)
 - Low density: ↓ probability for interaction
- Fewer importations/year
- No free grazing, animals are contained /fenced, some degree of separation



WASH.

MONT.

N. DAK.

ME.

OREG.

IDAHO

WYO.

MINN.

WIS.

N.Y.

VT.

N.H.

MASS.

R.I.

CONN.

N.J.

DEL.

D.C.

MICH.

PA.

NEV.

UTAH

COLO.

NEBR.

IOWA

ILL.

IND.

OHIO

W. VA.

MD.

N.C.

CALIF.

ARIZ.

N. MEX.

KANS.

MO.

KY.

S.C.

OKLA.

ARK.

TENN.

GA.

TEXAS

MISS.

ALA.

FLA.

San Francisco

Jacksonville

0 250 500
MILES

Wild Sheep Working Group

- Organized by the Alaska Farm Bureau and the Wild Sheep Foundation
- Discuss options and strategies for prevention of wildlife / livestock interaction
 - Regulations
 - Separation – no contact
 - “M. ovi free status” – must be defined
- Need for Alaskan data. Evaluate prevalence of M. ovi in domestic sheep and goats

Livestock Study Protocol

- Using USDA, NASS statistics develop a sampling plan to evaluate AK farms
- Domestic livestock sample collection:
 - Veterinarians to collect samples
 - **Client/patient confidentiality** – used farm code
 - Follow established protocols
 - Nasal, conjunctival swabs and serum
 - Nasal Swabs submitted to 2 Laboratories:
 - Animal Disease Research, (ARS-USDA) Lab
 - Washington State Animal Diagnostic Lab: WADDL

USDA NASS 2012 Farm Census

	Aleutian -Kodiak Islands	Southcentral	Kenai Peninsula	Interior/ Fairbanks	Southeast	
# Farms		Anchorage- MatSu-Valdez- Cordova		Delta - Yukon to Canada		Total farms
Sheep	2	25	7	14	2	50
Goat	1	27	10	15	3	56
Total	3	52	17	29	5	106
# Animals						Total animals
Sheep	42	326	147	216	42	773
Goat	6	343	52	177	18	595
Total	48	669	199	393	60	1,368

General Dall Sheep Distribution in Alaska



Study Protocol

- Voluntary participation
 - Evaluate farms in each region
- Survey completed by farmer
 - Focus on management and husbandry practices
- All animals tested on the farm, repeated (3x) sampling at ~ 4 and then 8 weeks later
 - Duplicate Nasal Swabs ~ 20% of animals on farm
- Data returned to the Veterinarian/client and summary data to State Veterinarian



Acknowledge the **Collaborative Partnership**

- Office of the State Veterinarian
- Department of Fish and Game
 - Kimberlee Beckmen
- USDA-ARS
 - Maggie Highland
- Washington State Animal Diseases Diagnostic Laboratory
 - Jim Evermann, David De Avila

Current Results for the Study

- 43 farms: (samples from 656 animals)
 - 7 of 43 were sheep farms
 - 4 of 43 had both sheep and goats
 - 32 of 43 were goat farms
- 11 of 43 farms (26 %) *M. ovi* was detected
 - sheep farms 4/7 (57%)
 - goat farms 4/32 (12%)
 - consistent with finding of some other studies
- 32 of 43 (74%) had no *M. ovi* detected

M. ovi: Summary Data

	#	Non - Detect	Detected	% Prevalence
Total # Animals	656	631	25	3.8%
Goats	485	473	12	2.5%
Sheep	171	158	13	7.6%

Comparison of Laboratory Data

- Study not an inter-laboratory comparison
 - Duplicate samples - not identical**
 - Test (PCR) Methodology was not identical
- Total of 573 duplicate samples:

	Detected	Non-Detect	% detection
USDA-ARS	33	540	5.8 %
WADDL	19	555	3.3 %

- Results were extraordinarily similar
 - 95% concordance or agreement

ADF&G Wildlife Study Goals (2017-2020)

- Determine the distribution of *M. ovi* and other respiratory mycoplasma in Alaskan wildlife ungulates
 - Evaluate proximity to domestic animals
 - Culture and isolate as possible, genotype and then compare genotype (strains) of *Mycoplasma* spp. found in Alaskan wildlife

ADF&G Wildlife Study Goals

- Evaluate the impact of *Mycoplasma* spp. on herd health of wild ungulates
 - Herd performance and survival over time
- Evaluate the interaction of different wildlife species and the threat of pathogen spread
- Establish a sound study design for future surveillance:
 - Laboratory concordance study
 - Evaluate factors influencing detection probabilities

ADF&G Wildlife Study

- Nasal swabs, blood samples collected:
 - Routine wildlife population surveys
 - (Dall sheep, Mtn Goat, Moose, Caribou, Bison, MuskoX)
 - Morbidity/Mortality investigations
 - Evaluation of captive wildlife populations
- Nasal swabs collected from hunter harvest
- Summer to Fall 2018: (collection continuing)
 - > 800 samples to USDA ARS
 - > 500 samples to WADDL

2018 Hunter Harvested Sampling: Priority Species

- Dall's sheep: (>331 sampled)
 - Sheep were collected 2017
- Mountain goats: (>113 sampled)
- Caribou: (>120 sampled)
 - Collaboration with Canadian colleagues
- Moose: (> 94 sampled) - includes road kills

**** All samples must meet collection guidelines

ADF&G Wildlife Data

- Results were unexpected and surprising
- M. ovi detected initially in southcentral:
 - Mountain Goats
 - Dall's Sheep

Then detected in other ungulate species:

- Moose
- Caribou
- (+) Dall's Sheep herds across entire State:
Kenai Peninsula to North of the Brooks Range
- 1 Caribou respiratory mortality: (+) detection

In Press (Emerging Infectious Disease,
online Oct, in print Dec 2018)

Emergence of *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae*
in wildlife species beyond the subfamily
Caprinae

Highland, Herndon, Bender, Hansen, Gerlach, Beckmen

“Elucidating the emergence of *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae*-associated respiratory disease in ruminants requires identification of pathogen host range. Preliminarily concluded to be host restricted to *Caprinae* subfamily species, we describe identification of this bacterium in moose, caribou, mule deer, and diseased mule and white-tailed deer.”

Comparison of Preliminary Results Domestic and Wildlife

	Dom. Sheep	Dom. Goat	Dall Sheep	Mtn. Goat	Caribou	Moose
# Tested	171	485	184	43	421	362
# M. ovi Detection	13	12	12	5	21	9
% (+) Detection	7.6%	2.5%	3.4%	11.6%	5%	2.5%


General Dall Sheep Distribution in Alaska



Game
Management
Units with (+)
Detection of
M. ovi



Indicates (+) detection



In Review (JWD)

Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae associated with polymicrobial pneumonia in a free-ranging yearling caribou (*Rangifer tarandus tarandus*) from Alaska, USA

Rovani, Beckmen, Highland

“*Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae* has to date been reported in association with respiratory disease only in members of the taxonomic subfamily *Caprinae*. This report represents the identification of *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae* in a free-ranging caribou (*Rangifer tarandus tarandus*) calf with polymicrobial bronchopneumonia. “

- Detected by universal PCR at WADDL, then on *M. ovi* PCR, confirmed and cultured by USDA.

New Dynamic

- M. ovi : Present in Livestock & Wildlife
 - Prevalence ~ 4% in both groups
- M. ovi present in non-Caprinae Species
 - Changes the epidemiology dynamics significantly
- Environmental stressors – no change
 - Healthy, well managed habitat, ↓ fragmentation
 - Nutrition, population density, genetics
- Livestock / Wildlife interaction - very minimal
 - Farmers are controlling this factor and Improved guidelines are being developed to further ↓ risk

Next Steps in Alaska

- Dependent on the study results
 - Await results wildlife study (spring 2019)
 - Continue to collect samples from livestock (12/18)
 - Use data for RA and **Science Based Decision**
 - Evaluate options for mitigation action:
 - No action *What are the costs?*
 - “M. ovi free status”
 - Management of livestock to decrease wildlife interaction or separation
- Use a “One Health or Ecosystem Approach”*

In Summary

- Domestic/Wildlife interactions may pose a threat to both wildlife and domestic animals
- Alaskan Collaborative Partnership:
 - Producers, wildlife biologist, veterinarians, Farm Bureau, State, Federal Agencies
- Acknowledge collaborative management strategies to reduce / mitigate Livestock/Wildlife interactions – ***the Agricultural community must continue to take the lead on this issue now***

Management Strategies

- Herd Health Plan / Biosecurity Plan - *written*
 - Veterinary Oversight: nutrition, vaccine, wormer
 - Animal ID
 - Report Morbidity / Mortalities – treatment plan
 - Disease Testing / Herd Certification
- Manage Fencing: clear both sides of fence
- Storage Feeds, isolate feed/water troughs
- Use of Livestock Guardian Dogs
- Reporting Escapees, strays - inventory
- Reporting Wildlife Sightings

Ecosystem approach: consider All impacts and consequences



Questions ?

