Ocean Ranger 2018 Report

Commercial Passenger Vessel Environmental Compliance (CPVEC) Program



Hoonah, 2018 (photo: ADEC staff)

Table of Contents

| INTRODUCTION | 3 |
|---|---|
| OCEAN RANGER PROGRAM SUMMARY | 3 |
| OCEAN RANGER, ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS SUMMARY | 5 |
| TABLES | 7 |

Abbreviations and Acronyms

| AAC: | Alaska Administrative Code |
|-------------------|--|
| ADEC: | Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation |
| AK: | Alaska |
| AS: | Alaska Statute |
| AMHS: | Alaska Marine Highway System |
| BMP: | Best Management Practice |
| BOD: | Biological Oxygen Demand |
| CFR: | Code of Federal Regulations |
| CPVEC: | Commercial Passenger Vessel Environmental Compliance (Program) |
| DG: | Diesel Generator |
| ECA: | Emissions Control Area |
| EGCS: | Exhaust Gas Cleaning System |
| EPA: | United States Environmental Protection Agency |
| EU: | Emission Unit |
| GT: | Gas Turbine |
| HFO: | Heavy Fuel Oil |
| IMO: | International Maritime Organization |
| LCPV: | Large Commercial Passenger Vessel |
| MOU: | Memorandum of Understanding |
| PAH: | Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon |
| SO _x : | Sulfur oxides |
| TSS: | Total Suspended Solids |
| US: | United States |
| USFS: | US Forest Service |
| UW: | Underway |
| VGP: | Vessel General Permit (EPA) |

INTRODUCTION

This report is prepared annually by the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservations (ADEC, or the Department) Division of Water (DOW), Commercial Passenger Vessel Environmental Compliance Program (CPVEC or the Program). The intent of this report is to provide information on the Program's monitoring and compliance efforts with cruise ship pollution and the status of the Ocean Ranger program.

OCEAN RANGER PROGRAM SUMMARY

<u>Objective 1</u>: Ensure that Ocean Rangers are on board as many ships as possible to verify compliance.

Authority. AS 46.03.476 establishes the Ocean Ranger Program. The statute requires that all large commercial passenger vessels (LCPV) entering the marine waters of the state have an Ocean Ranger on board to act as an independent observer for the purpose of monitoring compliance with state and federal requirements.

Implementation. Ocean Rangers inspect enforceable conditions of state and federal requirements in an observer role only. Reports from Ocean Rangers are made from checklists and visual inspection of all areas onboard a cruise ship in Alaska waters during voyages and while in port. Reportable days are when a ship is in Alaska waters for at least three hours in a day. The contract for Ocean Rangers is with Crowley Maritime Corporation.

Deployment Types. The deployment schedule contained three types of deployments. Ocean Rangers:

- A. boarded cruise ships in Seattle or Vancouver and rode the cruise ship for the entire round trip voyage,
- B. boarded in Alaska and departed in Alaska on a partial voyage, or
- C. conducted in-port inspections.

Results. In 2018, Ocean Rangers completed 1580 Daily Reports, which is decrease of 1 from 2017 due to an unexpected change in a scheduled arrival of a cruise ship. Coverage rate for Ocean Rangers decreased 0.6% from 2017 to 2018. The decrease was due to more ships and more voyages, even while the number of report days remained almost the same. Ocean Rangers submitted 71 additional information project reports, 24 once a season reports, 56 oil spill or sheen reports, and 165 other reports. Products

| Daily Reports: | 1580 Daily Reports completed |
|----------------|---|
| Coverage Rate: | Ocean Rangers were aboard ships 66 % of the days ships were in Alaska |
| | waters |

Objective 2: Improve compliance to protect public health and the environment through actions taken to correct compliance concerns reported by Ocean Rangers. Actions can be taken by ADEC or the appropriate referral agency. Reduce the annual infractions identified aboard cruise ships by the Ocean Rangers.

Authority. AS 46.03.476(a) authorizes Ocean Rangers to ensure that passengers, crew, and residents at ports are protected from improper sanitation, health, and safety practices.

Results. Ocean Rangers reported 189 alleged noncompliance incidents, an increase from 184 incidents in 2017. Table 1 provides a detailed breakdown of all alleged noncompliant incidents.

In addition to the above compliance categories in Table 1, the following additional observations or concerns were noted during the 2018 season:

• Fleet wide exhaust gas cleaning system (EGCS) installation and operation

- Air emissions observations noted upsets, blue smoke and steam, some may have been due to operations with new exhaust gas cleaning systems.
- Ocean Ranger access restrictions, impeding completion of their job duties as agents of the State of Alaska
- Record keeping practices

Compliance. When Ocean Rangers reported potentially noncompliant incidents, in all cases, the CPVEC Program immediately reported the condition to the cruise ship owner or operator. Standard procedure requires Ocean Rangers to inform a cruise ship's crew of potentially noncompliant conditions. In the case of an actual noncompliant condition, CPVEC staff worked with the owner or operator to stop or correct the condition.

The CPVEC Program also provides notification to other responsible state and federal agencies regarding potentially noncompliant conditions that fell outside of the jurisdiction of the Program. CPVEC staff reported alleged noncompliant conditions to:

- Safety: U.S. Coast Guard Sector Juneau
- Health and Sanitation: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and the appropriate State of Alaska and local health agencies
- Vessel General Permit (VGP). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
- Oil Pollution Reporting: Because of the time-critical nature of oil pollution cases, Ocean Rangers submitted Oil Reports directly to ADEC's Division of Spill Prevention and Response and copied the Program and other relevant parties as needed
- Other Federal Agencies with jurisdiction such as National Park Service and US Forest Service

Objective 3: Maximize the number of qualified Alaskans hired as Ocean Rangers.

Authority. AS 39.25.150(5) authorizes preferential hiring for local applicants when appropriate.

Results. The number of Alaskan Ocean Ranger hires were the same as 2017.

| Alaskan Ocean Rangers: | 5 of 22, or 22.7% of deployed Ocean Rangers were Alaskan |
|------------------------|--|
| - | residents in 2018 |

TRAINING. Because marine engineers work on a wide variety of vessel types and sizes, it is unlikely that each trainee would have developed expertise or maintained currency in every Ocean Ranger monitoring category. Additionally, Ocean Rangers must learn state and contractor requirements.

(1) Training Development and Refinement. The Cruise Ship Program, CMS, and the American Maritime Officer's Union (AMO) cooperatively developed, refined, and delivered an Ocean Ranger training course.

(2) Training Delivered in 2018. CMS conducted an Ocean Ranger training course at the AMO Simulation, Training, Assessment & Research (STAR) Center in Dania Beach, Florida.

(3) On-the-job Training and Evaluations. In 2018, CMS continued on-the-job training and evaluation of Ocean Rangers in Alaska. The CMS program manager and an experienced Ocean Ranger conducted the training and evaluations while in port. This provided a valuable opportunity for Ocean Rangers, particularly new Ocean Rangers.

OCEAN RANGER, ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS SUMMARY

Authority. AS 46.03.476(a) authorizes Ocean Rangers to ensure that passengers, crew, and residents at ports are protected from improper sanitation, health, and safety practices. AS 46.03.476 (b) authorizes Ocean Rangers to monitor and record information on engineering, sanitation, and health related operations of the vessel.

2018 Project Objectives:

- 1. Exhaust gas cleaning system (EGCS) installation or operation
- 2. Combustion source inventory
- 3. Ballast water management
- 4. Food waste disposal practices

Objective 1: Exhaust gas cleaning system installation or operation

Authority. AS 46.03.465(h), AS 46.03.482, and AS 46.03.488, the CPVEC Program continues study on EGCSs and their potential effect on environment of Alaska.

Results. The CPVEC Program monitors pollution from large commercial passenger vessels, or cruise ships. The US EPA and the International Maritime Organization (IMO) establish rules and regulations regarding fuel use and sulfur oxides (SO_x) emissions globally; with lower limits established within designated Emission Control Areas (ECAs). State of Alaska waters east of the Kodiak area are part of the North American ECA.

Exhaust gas cleaning systems, or EGCSs, while designed to reduce SO_X from the exhaust entering ambient air, can deposit these and various other pollutants into the ambient water through waste effluent generated by the scrubbing process. Some of these wastes may be held or offloaded in port. Scrubber wash water is typically regulated by the IMO and the US EPA for pH, PAH, and turbidity with other pollutants potentially affecting Alaska water quality standards.

Through information requests and daily Ocean Ranger observations, the CPVEC Program has summarized installation status and operation of EGCSs during the 2018 cruise ship season (Table 2).

Since January 1, 2015 cruise ships operating within ECAs have been required to comply with more stringent limits on SO_X emissions. In 2018, 32 registered vessels used the following strategies to comply with the SECA (low sulfur emissions) requirement:

- > 10 vessels exclusively used low sulfur marine gas oil (MGO; distillate fuel) to meet SOx limits.
- 22 vessels used higher sulfur residual fuel/heavy fuel oil (HGO) in combination with EGCSs:
 - 15 open loop EGCSs and
 - 7 hybrid EGCSs (capable of operating in either open- or closed-loop mode).

Of the 32 registered vessels, 29 vessels were part of EGCS observations by Ocean Rangers. CPVEC and Ocean Rangers inspected several systems of each type in operation (closed loop as well as open loop systems that use seawater).

Based on observations made by Ocean Rangers, the program has identified the following areas of concern:

1. Sulfur Emissions. While the Program does not have direct jurisdiction over SOx emissions, we continue to monitor for vessel compliance methods to meet ECA limit requirements. EGCSs can remove much of the sulfur from exhaust gases when combustion higher sulfur fuels; however, this requires proper operation and monitoring to ensure compliance.

- 2. Visible Emissions (Opacity): The Program observed that some EGCS system at times emit heavy steam plumes mixed with other visible emissions. To an untrained observer this steam may be mistaken for smoke and CPVEC did received multiple public complaints regarding low hanging smoke. There were instances when the observed visible emissions outside of the steam plume exceeded the opacity standards.
- **3.** Sheens and discoloration. Open loop EGCSs, discharge washwater into ambient water. This discharge stream is required to be monitored for PAH content by the EPA VGP. Alaska prohibits sheens or oily discharge. During 2018, Ocean Rangers observed potential sheens from EGCS wash water discharges in Alaska ports. Discoloration, foam, and potential solids of unknown source were also documented. Most observations occurred while ships were docked. CPVEC staff learned about EGCS discharge filtration systems added to some systems, although some of these units had short filter life or were not continually used.
- 4. Acidic Discharge. EGCS discharged wash water is acidic. Alaska Water Quality Standards and EPA VGP limit pH. The EPA VGP requires monitoring of EGCS discharged wash water for pH. Some ships reported non-compliant discharges to EPA in 2016 and 2017. One major cruise ship operator started a pH mixing zone sampling project after Ocean Rangers left for the season.
- 5. Other Discharges. EGCS discharged wash water can be hotter than ambient water temperatures and may be discolored. It is expected that high temperature discharge water from an EGCS will have minimal localized impacts.

This areas of interest were identified last year, and the CPVEC Program will continue to monitor EGCS use and discharges in Alaska Waters. There is no compliance component to EGCS monitoring at this time.

Objective 2: Combustion source inventory

Results. In an attempt to assess the current fleet of combustion sources operating aboard cruise ships in Alaska waters, Ocean Rangers attempted to complete an additional observation questionnaire first initiated in 2015. The purpose of this project was to help assess potential air emissions impacts from cruise ships. Data collected from this effort is still preliminary and will build on past information collected.

Objective 3: Ballast water management

Results. Ocean Rangers were tasked with completing a project on selected ships to look at ballast water handling and operations while in Alaska waters. This was a follow up on previous projects regarding ballast water. Twenty three additional observation projects were completed for thirty four large cruise ships. While most large cruise ships do not discharge ballast water in Alaska, the CPVEC program recognized that many ballast water systems share tanks and equipment with wastewater treatment systems. Ballast water operations, covered under the EPA Vessel General Permit, also have the potential for the introduction of invasive species. This project will continue to document systems onboard and note changed to ballast water management plans.

Objective 4: Food waste disposal practices

Results. Ocean Rangers completed a project to gather information on the onboard handling and disposal of food wastes. Continued from 2017, this project will continue to inform the Department on how food waste is handled onboard large cruise ships and how liquid wastes relate to other wastewaters.

Objective 5: Seawater Intake Project (New in 2018)

Results. Ocean Rangers completed a project to gather information on seawater intakes. Information gathered included water intake location, marine growth control systems employed, and methods for cleaning

intake strainers, including how debris is handled (e.g incinerated or offloaded). All 32 vessels had some observations relating to oil to sea interfaces.

Objective 6: Oil to Sea Interface Project (New in 2018)

Results. Ocean Rangers completed a project to gather information on vessel systems with an oil sea interface. Propulsion systems, thrusters, stabilizers, and rudder systems where there is the potential to lose lubricant to the marine environment. The use of Environmentally Acceptable Lubricants (EALs) is preferred, but not possible due to operational/system limitations. Questions also included methods to monitor and recordkeeping of oil consumption. All 32 vessels had some observations relating to oil to sea interfaces.

TABLES

Table 1: Ocean Ranger Alleged Noncompliant Incidents Reported (2018)

| Alleged Noncompliant | Number of |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Condition | Incidents Reported |
| Oil Pollution | 40 |
| Safety | 11 |
| Sanitation | 6 |
| Wastewater | 56 |
| Other Waste | 23 |
| Air Pollution | 10 |
| EPA VGP Items | 32 |
| Access | 11 |
| Total | 189 |

| Line | Vessel | EGCS: EU | EU Inventory EGCS EU | | | | | EGCS | Port Fuel | |
|---------|----------------------|---|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|---|-------------|
| CCL | Carnival Legend | 3:6 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | DG6 | Open Loop | HFO/ MGO |
| CCL | Carnival Splendor | No Ocean Ranger data on sources or EGCS N | | | | | | | MGO | |
| CEL | Infinity | 1:3 | DG1 | GT1 | GT2 | | | | Closed Loop Hybrid | HFO/ MGO |
| CEL | Millennium | 1:3 | DG1 | GT1 | GT2 | | | | Closed Loop Hybrid- Not operated 2018 in Alaska | MGO |
| CEL | Solstice | 2:4 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | | | Closed Loop Hybrid | MGO |
| CRY | Symphony | 0:6 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | DG6 | None | MGO |
| DIS | Disney Wonder | 0:5 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | | None | MGO |
| HAL | Amsterdam | 3:5 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | | Open Loop | HFO |
| HAL | Eurodam | 4:6 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | DG6 | Open Loop | HFO |
| HAL | Nieuw Amsterdam | 4:6 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | DG6 | Open Loop | MGO |
| HAL | Noordam | 3:6 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | GT1 | Open Loop | HFO |
| HAL | Volendam | 3:5 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | | Open Loop | HFO |
| HAL | Westerdam | 4:6 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | GT1 | | HFO |
| HAL | Zaandam | 3:5 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | | Open Loop | HFO |
| NCL | Norwegian Bliss | 5:5 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | | Closed Loop Hybrid | HFO |
| NCL | Norwegian Pearl | 5:5 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | | Closed Loop Hybrid | HFO |
| NCL | Norwegian Jewel | 5:5 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | | Closed Loop Hybrid | HFO |
| Oceania | Regatta | 0:4 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | | | None | MGO |
| PCL | Coral Princess | 3:4 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | GT1 | | | Open Loop | HFO |
| PCL | Emerald Princess | 2:6 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | DG6 | Open Loop | HFO |
| PCL | Golden Princess | 2:6 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | DG6 | Open Loop | HFO |
| PCL | Grand Princess | 4:6 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | DG6 | Open Loop | HFO |
| PCL | Island Princess | 3:4 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | GT1 | | | Open Loop | HFO |
| PCL | Ruby Princess | 2:6 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | DG6 | Open Loop | HFO |
| PCL | Star Princess | 4:6 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | DG6 | Open Loop | HFO |
| RCI | Explorer of the Seas | 4:6 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | DG6 | Closed Loop Hybrid- Not operated 2018 in Alaska | MGO |
| RCI | Radiance of the Seas | 1:3 | DG1 | GT1 | GT2 | | | | Closed Loop Hybrid | HFO |
| SEA | Seabourn Sojourn | 0:4 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | | | None | MGO |
| SVN | Seven Seas Mariner | 0:4 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | | | None | MGO |
| SIC | Silver Shadow | 0:5 | DG1 | DG2 | DG3 | DG4 | DG5 | | None | MGO |

Table 2: 2018 Vessel Emissions Unit and EGCS Details