Eielson Air Force Base Air Monitoring Program Annual Data Report June 2004 – September 2005

prepared for

U.S. Air Force & Army Corps of Engineers

prepared by

Hoefler Consulting Group 3401 Minnesota Drive, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska 99503 (907) 563-2137

March 2006

Hoefler Consulting Group

This USAF annual report for the Eielson Air Force Base ambient monitoring program has been reviewed by the following:

Frances Isrigg Corps of Engineers Project Manager

Date

3 06 Date

HCG Permitting Manager

K. Steven Mackey HCG Project Manager

Alan M. Trbovich

3 2001 Date

CONTENTS

| EXEC | JTIVE | SUMMARY | 1 |
|--|---|---|----------------------------|
| List of | Execu | itive Summary Tables | |
| E-1 E-2 E-3 E-4 E-5 E-6 | 1 st and 1 st and Meteor Meteor Gas Ar Quality | d 2 nd Maximum Concentrations: PM ₁₀ d 2 nd Maximum Concentrations: PM _{2.5} rological Data Capture: Valid Hours per Month rological Data Capture: Quarterly Data Completeness nalyzer Data – 1 st and 2 nd Maximum Concentrations y Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) Variation Table | 1 2 2 3 3 5 |
| 1.0 | INTRO | | 6 |
| | 1.1 | Project Summary | 6 |
| | 1.2 | Measurement Methods | 10 |
| | 1.3 | Variations from the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) | 13 |
| 2.0 | STATI | ION PERFORMANCE SUMMARY | 14 |
| | 2.1 | Significant Project Events | 14 |
| | 2.2 | Missing, Invalid, and Adjusted Data | 14 |
| | 2.3 | Network Data Completeness | 14 |
| | 2.4 | Precision Statistics | 19 |
| | | 2.4.1 Monitoring Network Precision Statistics | 19 |
| | | 2.4.2 Analytical Laboratory Precision Statistics for Gravimetric | |
| | | Analysis | |
| | | 2.4.3 Analytical Laboratory Precision Statistics for Lead | |
| | | Analysis | |
| | 2.5 | Accuracy Statistics | 29 |
| | | 2.5.1 Instrument Calibration Statistics | 29 |
| | | 2.5.2 Independent Quality Assurance | 31 |
| 3.0 | MONI | TORING NETWORK DATA SUMMARY | |
| | 3.1 | Air Quality Data Summary | |
| | 3.2 | Meteorological Data Summary | |
| 4.0 | REFE | RENCES | 61 |

CONTENTS (continued)

List of Tables

| 1-1 | Air Quality Monitoring Equipment and Measurement Methods | . 11 |
|------|--|------|
| 1-2 | Meteorological Monitoring Equipment and Measurement Methods | . 12 |
| 2-1 | Chronology of Significant Events | . 15 |
| 2-2 | Quarterly Data Completeness - Meteorological Monitoring | . 16 |
| 2-3 | Quarterly Data Completeness - PM ₁₀ | . 17 |
| 2-4 | Quarterly Data Completeness - PM _{2.5} | . 18 |
| 2-5 | Quarterly Data Completeness - Gas Analyzers | . 19 |
| 2-6 | PM ₁₀ Monitoring Network Precision Statistics | .21 |
| 2-7 | PM _{2.5} Monitoring Network Precision Statistics | . 23 |
| 2-8 | Gas Analyzer Monitoring Network Precision Statistics | . 25 |
| 2-9 | Analytical Laboratory Precision – Part I | . 27 |
| 2-9 | Analytical Laboratory Precision – Part II | . 28 |
| 2-10 | PM ₁₀ QC Check Accuracy | . 30 |
| 2-11 | PM _{2.5} QC Check Accuracy | . 30 |
| 2-12 | Meteorological Performance Audit Summary – June 23, 2004 | . 32 |
| 2-13 | Meteorological Performance Audit Summary – December 29, 2004 | . 33 |
| 2-14 | Meteorological Performance Audit Summary – June 13, 2005 | . 33 |
| 2-15 | Particulate Matter Performance Audit Accuracy | . 34 |
| 2-16 | Gas Analyzer Performance Audit Summary – August 24, 2004 | . 36 |
| 2-17 | Gas Analyzer Performance Audit Summary – December 29, 2004 | . 37 |
| 2-18 | Gas Analyzer Performance Audit Summary – March 8, 2005 | . 38 |
| 2-19 | Gas Analyzer Performance Audit Summary – June 13, 2005 | . 39 |
| 3-1 | AAAQS Ambient Air Monitoring – PM ₁₀ | . 40 |
| 3-2 | AAAQS Ambient Air Monitoring – PM _{2.5} | . 41 |
| 3-3 | Average and Maximum Wind Speeds for Monitoring Period | . 42 |
| 3-4 | Annual Windrose Analysis | . 48 |
| 3-5 | First Monitoring Quarter Windrose Analysis | . 49 |
| 3-6 | Second Monitoring Quarter Windrose Analysis | . 50 |
| 3-7 | Third Monitoring Quarter Windrose Analysis | . 51 |
| 3-8 | Fourth Monitoring Quarter Windrose Analysis | . 52 |
| 3-9 | Two-Meter Temperature Climatology | . 54 |

CONTENTS (continued)

List of Figures

| 1-1 | General Site Location Map | 8 |
|------|---|----|
| 1-2 | Specific Site Location Map | 9 |
| 3-1 | Annual Windrose (July 1, 2004 – June 30, 2005) | 43 |
| 3-2 | First Quarter Windrose (July 1, 2004 – September 30, 2004) | |
| 3-3 | Second Quarter Windrose (October 1, 2004 – December 31, 2004) | 45 |
| 3-4 | Third Quarter Windrose (January 1, 2005 – March 31, 2005) | |
| 3-5 | Fourth Quarter Windrose (April 1, 2005 – June 30, 2005) | 47 |
| 3-6 | Windrose Superimposed over Site Map | 53 |
| 3-7 | Average Hourly 2-m Temperatures | 55 |
| 3-8 | Delta Temperature | 56 |
| 3-9 | Average Hourly Relative Humidity | |
| 3-10 | Average Hourly Barometric Pressure | 59 |
| 3-11 | Average Hourly Solar Radiation | 60 |
| | | |

Appendices

| Α | Data Processing Specifications and Statistical Formulae |
|---|---|
| | |

- A.1 Data Recovery Percentage
- A.2 Data Bias Correction Using Calibration Information
- A.3 Estimation of Pasquill-Gifford Stability Categories
- B Precision Data
- **C** Accuracy Data
 - C.1 Calibration Data
 - C.2 Quality Control (QC) Data
 - C.3 Assessment Reports
- D Validated Meteorological Data
- **E** Validated Particulate Matter and Gaseous Analyzer Data

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This project was conducted by the U.S Department of the Air Force (USAF) to collect meteorological and air quality data at Eielson Air Force Base near North Pole, Alaska to establish an ambient air quality database. The objective of this monitoring program was to collect meteorological and ambient air quality data that could be used in the event that a Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) air permit might be required for future construction or expansion activities. The data collection was consistent with the requirements of the U.S Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

The monitoring program began in May 2004. For the purposes of this report, the official *meteorological* monitoring period is from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005. The official *gas analyzer* monitoring period runs from August 1, 2004 through July 31, 2005, and the *particulate matter* monitoring period runs from October 1, 2004 through September 30, 2005.

Data capture summary tables are provided as follows for each of the system parameters. Data capture for each of the meteorological monitoring parameters was greater than 90 percent per quarter, and therefore achieved PSD data quality objectives. Data capture percentages for the gas analyzer data and the PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ monitoring periods are included as separate tables. Data that exceeds 80 percent of the AAAQS are highlighted in red.

| Period | Average (μg/m³) | 1 st High Value (µg/m³) | 2 nd High Value (µg/m³) | AAAQS | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|------------------|--|
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 8 | 24 | 18 | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 5.3% | 16.0% | 12.0% | | |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | 11 | 39 | 20 | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 7.3% | 26.0% | 13.3% | $150 \mu a/m^3$ | |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 19 | 79 | 54 | 150 µg/m | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 12.6% | 52.6% | 36% | | |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 38 | 148 | 52 | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 25.1% | 98.6% | 34.6% | | |
| Annual | 19 | n/a | n/a | $50 \mu a/m^3$ | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 38.0% | n/a | n/d | ου μg/m | |

Table E-1. 1st and 2nd Maximum Concentrations: PM₁₀

| Period | Average (µg/m³) | 1 st High Value (µg/m³) | 2 nd High Value (µg/m ³) | AAAQS |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|---|----------------|
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 4.2 | 10.3 | 7.3 | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 6.4% | 15.8% | 11.2% | |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | 7.6 | 35.4 | 12.8 | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 11.7% | 54.5% | 19.7% | $GE u a / m^3$ |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 7.9 | 40.9 | 37.5 | oo µg/m |
| Percent of AAAQS | 12.2% | 62.9% | 57.7% | |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 24.4 | 31.6 | 26.3 | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 37.5% | 48.6% | 40.5% | |
| Annual | 11.1 | n/a | n/a | 15 |
| Percent of AAAQS | 74.0% | n/a | n/a | µg/m³ |

Table E-2. 1st and 2nd Maximum Concentrations: PM_{2.5}

Table E-3. Meteorological Data Capture: Valid Hours per Month

| | - | Meteorological Parameter | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|------------|--------------------------|-----|-----|---------------|-----|-------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Period | Temp 2m | Delta T | WS | WD | Wind Sigma | RH | Solar | BP | | | | | | |
| Jul-04 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | | | | | | |
| Aug-04 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | | | | | | |
| Sep-04 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | | | | | | |
| Oct-04 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | | | | | | |
| Nov-04 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | | | | | | |
| Dec-04 | 741 | 741 | 741 | 741 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | | | | | | |
| Jan-05 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | | | | | | |
| Feb-05 | 672 | 672 | 672 | 672 | 672 | 672 | 672 | 672 | | | | | | |
| Mar-05 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | | | | | | |
| Apr-05 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | | | | | | |
| May-05 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 744 | | | | | | |
| Jun-05 | 718 | 718 | 710 | 710 | 710 | 718 | 720 | 720 | | | | | | |

| | Meteorological Parameter | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|
| Period | Temp 2m | Delta T | ws | WD | Wind Sigma | RH | Solar | BP | | |
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.5% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | 99.9% | 99.9% | 99.9% | 99.9% | 99.9% | 99.7% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 99.9% | 99.9% | 99.5% | 99.5% | 99.5% | 99.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| Annual | 99.9% | 99.9% | 99.5% | 99.5% | 99.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |

Table E-4. Meteorological Data Capture: Quarterly Data Completeness

Table E-5. Gas Analyzer – 1st and 2nd Maximum Concentrations

| Durit I | NO ₂ - Annual mean (ppm) | | | CO 8-hour (ppm) | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|-------|--|--|
| Period | Average | AAAQS | | 1 st High Value | 2 nd High Value | Average | AAAQS | | |
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | | | | 5 2324 | 5 2309 | 0 4510 | | | |
| | | | _ | 0.2021 | 0.2000 | 0.1010 | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | | | | 58.1% | 58.1% | 5.0% | | | |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | | 0.053 ppm | | 1.8145 | 1.7215 | 0.1386 | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | n/2 | | | 20.2% | 19.1% | 1.5% | | | |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | n/a | | | 1.5027 | 1.4524 | 0.2168 | 9 nnm | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | | | | 16.7% | 16.1% | 2.4% | o ppm | | |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | | | | 0.5502 | 0.5494 | 0.1339 | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | | | | 6.1% | 6.1% | 1.5% | | | |
| Annual | 0.0105 | | | 5.2324 | 5.2309 | 0.2559 | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 19.9% | | | 58.1% | 58.1% | 2.8% | | | |

Table E-5 (continued). Gas Analyzer 1st and 2nd Maximum Concentrations

| | CO 1-hour (ppm) | | | | | S | O₂ Annual n | nean (ppm) | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|--------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|-------|
| Period | 1 st High Value | 2 nd High Value | Average | AAAQS | | 1 st High Value | 2 nd High Value | Average | AAAQS |
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 6.9840 | 6.2260 | 0.4497 | | | | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 20.0% | 17.8% | 1.3% | | | | | | |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | 2.8380 | 2.5720 | 0.1395 | | | | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 8.1% | 7.3% | 0.4% | | | | n/a | | |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 3.2950 | 3.0000 | 0.2178 | 35 nnm | | | Π/a | | 0.030 |
| Percent of AAAQS | 9.4% | 8.6% | 0.6% | 55 ppm | | | | | ppm |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 1.2492 | 0.6427 | 0.1350 | | | | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 3.6% | 1.8% | 0.4% | | | | | | |
| Annual | 6.9840 | 6.2260 | 0.2559 | | | | 0.0015 | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 20.0% | 17.8% | 0.7% | | | | 4.9% | | |

| | SO ₂ 3-hour (ppm) | | | | | SO ₂ 24-hour (ppm) | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--|
| Period | 1 st High Value | 2 nd High Value | Average | AAAQS | | 1 st High Value | 2 nd High Value | Average | AAAQS | | |
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 0.0217 | 0.0192 | 0.0005 | | | 0.0098 | 0.0096 | 0.0005 | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 4.3% | 3.8% | 0.1% | | | 7.0% | 6.9% | 0.3% | | | |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | 0.0439 | 0.0246 | 0.0010 | | | | | 0.0111 | 0.0073 | 0.0010 | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 8.8% | 4.9% | 0.2% | | | | | 7.9% | 5.2% | 0.7% | |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 0.1159 | 0.0937 | 0.0024 | 0 5 ppm | | 0.0450 | 0.0198 | 0.0024 | 0.14 | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 23.2% | 18.7% | 0.5% | 0.5 ppm | | 32.2% | 14.2% | 1.7% | ppm | | |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 0.0895 | 0.0757 | 0.0039 | | | 0.0349 | 0.0166 | 0.0038 | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 17.9% | 15.1% | 0.8% | | | 24.9% | 11.9% | 2.7% | | | |
| Annual | 0.1159 | 0.0937 | 0.0015 | | | 0.0450 | 0.0349 | 0.0015 | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 23.2% | 18.7% | 0.3% | | | 32.2% | 24.9% | 1.1% | | | |

| | | O ₃ 1-hour (ppm) | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Period | 1 st High Value | 2 nd High Value | Average | AAAQS | | | | | | |
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 0.0406 | 0.0337 | 0.0144 | | | | | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 50.8% | 42.1% | 18.0% | | | | | | | |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | 0.0378 | 0.0341 | 0.0117 | | | | | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 47.3% | 42.6% | 14.6% | | | | | | | |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 0.0463 | 0.0450 | 0.0210 | 0.12 | | | | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 57.9% | 56.3% | 26.3% | ppm | | | | | | |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 0.0398 | 0.0357 | 0.0161 | | | | | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 49.7% | 44.7% | 20.1% | | | | | | | |
| Annual | 0.0463 | 0.0450 | 0.0156 | | | | | | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 57.9% | 56.3% | 19.5% | | | | | | | |

| Item/Procedure | Variation Summary | Variation Reason |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Monitoring period differs | The monitoring periods used for data completeness do not begin as indicated in the QAPP. | Operational delays |
| Monitoring periods not concurrent | The monitoring periods used to gain complete annual data sets cover different time periods. | Unforeseen project delays |
| Personnel changes | USAF personnel named as site technicians varied over the course of the monitoring period. | Military rotations and deployment of project personnel |
| Personnel changes | HCG employee named as quality assurance manager and data managers varied from what was stated in the QAPP. | Employee assignment shifted |

| Table E-6. | Quality | Assurance | Project | Plan | Variation | Table |
|------------|---------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|-------|
| | quanty | Abbaranoc | 110,000 | I IMII | | IUNIC |

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Summary

This document serves as the annual monitoring report for the Eielson Air Force Base (EAFB) Air Monitoring Program. This document covers a different monitoring period for each of the three separate parts of the monitoring program, which began in May 2004. For the purposes of this report, the official meteorological monitoring period is from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005. The official gas analyzer monitoring period runs from August 1, 2004 through July 31, 2005. The particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) monitoring period runs from October 1, 2004 through September 30, 2005. As indicated in the *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Version 2*, (Hoefler Consulting Group, August 2005) some parameters of the air monitoring program ran longer than others to achieve one full year of monitoring data. This draft report presents meteorological and particulate matter and gaseous pollutant monitoring data.

This project was proactively conducted by the United States Air Force (USAF) to collect meteorological and air quality data at EAFB near North Pole, Alaska to establish an air quality database. The objective was to collect meteorological and ambient air quality data that could be used in the event that a Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) air permit might be required for future construction or expansion activities at EAFB. Representative data are a necessary component of ambient air quality analysis, and could be used to characterize the local environment in support of an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The data collection was consistent with the requirements of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) and the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for the EAFB Monitoring Project.

The Eielson monitoring site was located on a gravel lot southwest of the power plant at EAFB in interior Alaska, approximately 35 miles east of Fairbanks, Alaska and approximately 9 miles east of North Pole. General and specific location maps are provided in Figures 1-1 and 1-2, respectively. The selected site meets the PSD criteria for surface meteorological data collection and is considered representative of the EAFB air shed. The monitoring system was installed in April and May 2004, and valid data collection began on July 1, 2004.

The Eielson AFB Air Monitoring Program measured for the following parameters:

- Sulfur dioxide (SO₂)
- Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂)
- Carbon monoxide (CO)
- Ozone (O₃)
- Particulate matter less than 10 microns (PM₁₀)
- Particulate matter less than 2.5 microns (PM_{2.5})

The PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} monitoring was done according to the 3- and 6-day sampling schedule adopted by EPA for the National Ambient Monitoring Stations (NAMS) network and the State/Local Ambient Monitoring Stations (SLAMS) network. Co-located PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} samples for the determination of method precision were collected on the 6-day sample schedule. These data provide a one year air quality baseline prior to the start of any construction activities, should a PSD review be required. These data also represent conservative ambient background concentrations. Continuous meteorological data has also been collected during the monitoring period for the following parameters:

- Wind speed (WS) (meters per second [m/s])
- Wind direction (WD) (degrees [°])
- Wind direction standard deviation (sigma theta[σ_θ])
- Air temperature (2 meters) (degrees Celsius [°C])
- Air temperature (10 meters) (degrees Celsius [°C])
- Delta temperature (degrees Celsius [°C])
- Relative humidity (RH) (percent [%])
- Solar radiation (watts per square meter [watts/m²])
- Barometric pressure (BP) (millibars [mb])



Figure 1-1. General Site Location Map



Figure 1-2. Specific Site Location Map

1.2 Measurement Methods

The data collected in this project include 1-hour average concentration data from the continuous SO_2 , NO_2 , CO, and O_3 gas analyzers and 24-hour average PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations from filter-based manual samplers. All meteorological parameters were collected as hourly averages, except barometric pressure, which was recorded at the start of each hour.

A detailed listing of all parameters measured and the instrumentation is provided in Table 1-1 and Table 1-2. All instruments meet or exceed EPA PSD requirements for range accuracies, thresholds, response times, resolutions, damping ratios, and other performance measures.

| Parameter | Measurement Method | Manufacturer | Manufacturer Model No. | EPA Accuracy Limits | Sample Frequency | Averaging Period | Range | Method Detection Limit/ Resolution |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|
| PM ₁₀ | Selective-size inlet, gravimetric analysis | Rupprecht & Patashnick | Partisol 2000 | ±10% | 24-hour | 24-hour | NA | 2 µg/m ³ |
| PM _{2.5} | Selective-size inlet, gravimetric analysis | Rupprecht & Patashnick | Partisol 2000 | ±10% | 24-hour | 24-hour | NA | 2 µg/m ³ |
| NO/NO _X /NO ₂ | Chemiluminescent and referential calculation | Horiba | APNA-360CE | ±20% | continuous | 1-hour | 0 - 0.5 ppm | 0.01 ppm |
| со | Nondispersive cross modulation infrared analysis | Horiba | APMA-360CE | ±20% | continuous | 1-hour | 0 - 50 ppm | 1.0 ppm |
| O ₃ | Ultraviolet light absorption | Horiba | APOA-360CE | ±20% | continuous | 1-hour | 0 - 0.5 ppm | 0.01 ppm |
| SO ₂ | Ultraviolet fluorescence | Horiba | APSA-360CE | ±20% | continuous | 1-hour | 0 - 0.5 ppm | 0.01 ppm |

Table 1-1. Air Quality Monitoring Equipment and Measurement Methods

| Parameter | Measurement Method | Manufacturer | Manufacturer Model No. | EPA Accuracy Limits | Sample Frequency | Averaging Period | Range | Method Detection Limit/ Resolution |
|------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Wind Speed | 3-cup propeller sensor w/ photochopper generating frequency output | Met One Instruments | 010C | ± (0.2m/s + 5%) | 1-second | Hourly | 0 – 44.7 m/s | 0.1 m/s |
| Wind Direction | Vane-mounted potentiometer generating a voltage output | Met One Instruments | 020C | ± 5° | 1-second | Hourly | 0 - 360º | 1° |
| Wind Sigma | 15-minute root mean square values averaged to 1-hour values. | calculated | Yamartino Method | | 15-minute | Hourly | | 1°C |
| Temperature | Aspirated multi-stage solid-state thermistor | Met One Instruments | 062A | ± 0.5°C | 1-second | Hourly | -50⁰C to +50⁰C | 0.02°C/m |
| Delta T | Dual thermistors located at two meters and at 10 meters | Met One Instruments | 062A | ±0.1 °C | 1-second | Hourly | | 0.1 m/s |
| Relative Humidity | Capacitive polymer H chip in a motor-aspirated shield | Vaisala | HMP45AC | ± 2 % RH | 1-second | Hourly | 0% - 100% | 1 %RH |
| Solar Radiation | Silicon photovoltaic detector | Met One Instruments | 096-1 | ±5% | 1-second | Hourly | 0-200 W/m ² | 10 W/m ² |
| Barometric Pressure | Solid state pressure transducer | Met One Instruments | 090D | ± 3 mbar | 1-hour | | 880 – 1084 mbar | 0.5 mb |

Table 1-2. Meteorological Monitoring Equipment and Measurement Methods

1.3 Variations from the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)

In the EAFB Air Monitoring Program QAPP, Version 2, the official monitoring period was expected to begin in May 2004. Due to start up problems, the actual monitoring period for meteorological data did not begin until July 1, 2004. Ambient air monitoring for SO₂, NO₂, CO, and O₃ is considered to have begun on August 1, 2004 due to NO₂ analyzer failure in July 2004. The monitoring period for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} began on October 1, 2004 due to sampling problems in August and September 2004.

The monitoring periods were selected to obtain the first 12 continuous calendar months of monitoring data. Because the three selected monitoring periods do not follow a calendar year, data are reported using monitoring quarters rather than calendar quarters.

Based on the selected monitoring periods, the initial station performance and systems audit occurred prior to when the monitoring periods actually begin. However, the initial systems and performance audit was conducted within 30 days of the initial operation date and all other systems and performance audits were performed in a timely manner. The final audits of all samplers and or sensors were conducted within 30 days of the ending date for that respective part of the monitoring program. ADEC approval of the QAPP is pending.

The site technicians provided by the USAF and named in the QAPP changed throughout the monitoring period due to military operational rotations and deployments. The HCG personnel involved it the project also varied from the original Project/Task Organization provided in the QAPP. Katie Baltus took on the duties of the Quality Assurance manager. Jared Cockman and Kathryn Kaufman shared responsibilities as Data Managers.

There were no other variations from the QAPP.

2.0 STATION PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

This section provides a summary of events significant to monitoring station performance and as well as those contributing to or detracting from data completeness, precision, and accuracy.

2.1 Significant Project Events

Significant project events for each parameter are summarized in Table 2-1.

2.2 Missing, Invalid and Adjusted Data

The data sets were carefully reviewed during the quality assurance process. Some data were removed as a result of activity (audit and calibration) at the monitoring site. Some data were flagged, but not removed. Flagged data are carefully examined, but are generally not removed unless the values are outside the normal range of variation, the values become almost constant for an unidentified reason, maintenance activity has occurred at the site, instruments have been damaged, or if the flags continue uninterrupted for an extended period without explanation.

2.3 Network Data Completeness

The data quality objective (DQO) for meteorological data completeness is 90 percent data capture per quarter for every parameter. Data completeness for the meteorological sensors is calculated by dividing the number of valid hours of data by the total number of hours during each monitoring quarter. The 90 percent data capture goal was met each quarter for all parameters. Data capture percentages are provided in Table 2-2.

The PSD goal for annual valid data recovery is 80 percent for all air quality parameters (PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$, and gas analyzers). Additionally, four consecutive quarters, each with at least 80 percent valid data recovery, should be obtained for a complete one-year database.

Quarterly data completeness for particulate monitoring and air quality analyzers are provided in Tables 2-3, 2-4, and 2-5 respectively. Calculations for determining data completeness are provided in Appendix A.

| Date | Event or Comment |
|---------------------------------|---|
| June 23-25, 2004 | Initial systems and performance audit. Data communications established. |
| August 24-25, 2004 | 3 rd quarter 2004 gas analyzer and particulate matter audits were completed. |
| October 8 -13, 2004 | NO/NO _X calibration gas was accidentally shut off. The October 8 th -12 th calibrations for NO and NO _X were unsuccessful and invalid because no NO/NO _X calibration gas was available on those dates. Calibrations for the other analyzers were not affected |
| | -NO/NOX calibration gas emptied due to a faulty fitting, so the October 29 th -30 th calibrations for NO/NO _X were unsuccessful and invalid. |
| October 29- November 5, 2004 | NO/NOX replacement calibration cylinder installed, but the Environics calibrator was left out of remote mode. No calibrations for any analyzers occurred on November 1st or 2nd. On November 5th, 2004, the NO_X monitoring system problems were resolved and a multi-point calibration of the gas analyzer was conducted. |
| December 29-30, 2004 | 4 th quarter 2004 air quality audits and semiannual meteorological audits conducted. Gas analyzer calibration, gas cylinders swapped out, and manual gas analyzer precision checks completed. |
| March 8-10, 2005 | 1 st quarter 2005 gas analyzer and particulate matter audits completed. |
| April 26 – June 14, 2005 | During this period the sample manifold was left disconnected. All gas analyzer data for this period is considered to be invalid or suspect. |
| June 13-14, 2005 | 2 nd quarter 2005 gas analyzer and particulate matter audits as well as the semiannual meteorological audit were conducted. The gas analyzers were calibrated and underwent manual precision checks. |
| August 16, 2005 | 3 rd quarter 2005 particulate matter audits completed and meteorological data were downloaded. |

Table 2-1. Chronology of Significant Events

| | Meteorological | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Period | Temp 2m | Temp 10m | ws | WD | Sigma | RH | Solar | BP |
| Jul-04 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Aug-04 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.5% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Sep-04 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.5% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Oct-04 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Nov-04 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Dec-04 | 99.6% | 99.6% | 99.6% | 99.6% | 99.6% | 99.7% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | 99.9% | 99.9% | 99.9% | 99.9% | 99.9% | 99.7% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Jan-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Feb-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Mar-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Apr-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| May-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Jun-05 | 99.7% | 99.7% | 98.6% | 98.6% | 98.6% | 99.7% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 99.9% | 99.9% | 99.5% | 99.5% | 99.5% | 99.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Annual | 99.9% | 99.9% | 99.5% | 99.5% | 99.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Table 2-2. Quarterly Data Completeness - Meteorological Monitoring

| Period | Main | Co-located | I Combined | | |
|---|---------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| Oct-04 | 90.9% | 83.3% | 90.9% | | |
| Nov-04 | 77.8% | 60.0% | 77.8% | | |
| Dec-04 | 81.8% | 60.0% | 81.8% | | |
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 83.9% | 68.8% | 83.9% | | |
| Jan-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| Feb-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| Mar-05 | 100.0% | 80.0% | 100.0% | | |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter 100.0% | | 93.3% | 100.0% | | |
| Apr-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| May-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| Jun-05 | 90.0% | 100.0% | 90.0% | | |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 96.8% | 100.0% | 96.8% | | |
| Jul-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| Aug-05 | Aug-05 100.0% | | 100.0% | | |
| Sep-05 | 90.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 96.8% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| Annual | 94.3% | 90.2% | 95.1% | | |

Table 2-3. Quarterly Data Completeness – PM₁₀

| Period Main | | Co-located | Combined |
|------------------------------------|--------|------------|----------|
| Oct-04 | 90.0% | 100.0% | 90.0% |
| Nov-04 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Dec-04 | 100.0% | 60.0% | 100.0% |
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 96.8% | 86.7% | 96.8% |
| Jan-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Feb-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Mar-05 | 80.0% | 100.0% | 90.0% |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | 93.3% | 100.0% | 96.8% |
| Apr-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| May-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Jun-05 | 90.0% | 100.0% | 90.0% |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 96.8% | 100.0% | 96.8% |
| Jul-05 | 100.0% | 80.0% | 100.0% |
| Aug-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Sep-05 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 100.0% | 93.3% | 100.0% |
| Annual | 96.7% | 95.0% | 97.5% |

| | Table 2-4. | Quarterly | Data | Com | oleteness | - PM ₂₅ |
|--|------------|-----------|------|-----|-----------|--------------------|
|--|------------|-----------|------|-----|-----------|--------------------|

| Data Recovery | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
| Period | NO | NO ₂ | NO _x | СО | SO ₂ | O ₃ | |
| Aug-04 | 93.8% | 93.8% | 93.8% | 94.6% | 94.8% | 83.3% | |
| Sep-04 | 94.9% | 94.9% | 94.9% | 95.6% | 95.3% | 95.6% | |
| Oct-04 | 95.6% | 95.6% | 95.6% | 95.7% | 95.6% | 95.8% | |
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 94.7% | 94.7% | 94.7% | 95.3% | 95.2% | 91.5% | |
| Nov-04 | 81.8% | 81.8% | 81.8% | 88.9% | 88.8% | 89.0% | |
| Dec-04 | 94.0% | 94.0% | 94.0% | 94.4% | 94.1% | 94.9% | |
| Jan-05 | 95.2% | 95.2% | 95.2% | 95.6% | 95.6% | 94.5% | |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | 90.4% | 90.4% | 90.4% | 93.0% | 92.8% | 92.8% | |
| Feb-05 | 95.4% | 95.4% | 95.4% | 95.8% | 95.8% | 95.8% | |
| Mar-05 | 92.5% | 92.5% | 92.5% | 93.1% | 93.1% | 92.9% | |
| Apr-05 | 81.5% | 81.5% | 81.5% | 81.7% | 81.7% | 81.8% | |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 89.7% | 89.7% | 89.7% | 90.1% | 90.1% | 90.1% | |
| May-05 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | |
| Jun-05 | 24.4% | 24.4% | 24.4% | 24.6% | 24.6% | 24.0% | |
| Jul-05 | 70.2% | 70.7% | 70.2% | 82.7% | 70.4% | 75.7% | |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 31.6% | 31.8% | 31.6% | 35.9% | 31.7% | 33.3% | |
| Annual | 76.5% | 76.6% | 76.5% | 78.5% | 77.4% | 76.8% | |

Table 2-5. Quarterly Data Completeness - Gas Analyzers

2.4 **Precision Statistics**

2.4.1 Monitoring Network Precision Statistics

Individual precision statistics for particulate monitoring are provided in Table 2-6 and 2-7. Precision statistics were determined using the methods in 40 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) Part 58, Appendix B.

Gas analyzer precision statistics are provided in Table 2-8. Early in the monitoring program, four of the individual precision check results for CO had results between -15 percent and -20 percent. All of the quarterly average CO analyzer precision checks were less than 15 percent as required. However, these seemingly poor individual CO precision checks were caused by the station's automatic precision check feature not running the CO calibration gas long enough to provide more representative results.

Each of the *manual* CO precision checks during this timeframe of August 2004 through November 2004 gave much lower precision checks (approximately 7 percent low) than the *automatic* precision check results (which varied from 6 percent low to 19 percent low). The different automatic precision check results (versus the manual precision check results) were due to the fixed amount of time the CO calibration gas was allowed to flow during an automatic precision check. During each manual precision check, the CO calibration gas was allowed to flow as much time as was needed to produce a stable reading. This problem of insufficient time settings for the CO calibration gas was permanently corrected on December 29, 2004, when a multiple component gas cylinder and new precision check timing sequences were incorporated into the Eielson monitoring system.

| Period | Main (µg/m³) | Co- located (µg/m³) | Percent Difference | Average Percent Difference | Standard Deviation | Upper 95% Limit | Lower 95% Limit |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1 st Monitor | ing Quarte | r | | | | | |
| 10/1/04 | 6 | 5 | -18 | | | | |
| 10/6/04 | 24 | 9 | -91 | | | | |
| 10/12/04 | 18 | 20 | 11 | | | | |
| 10/18/04 | 7 | 8 | 13 | | | | |
| 10/24/04 | 11 | 10 | -10 | | | | |
| 10/30/04 | 6 | 5 | -18 | | | | |
| 11/5/04 | 7 | 7 | 0 | | | | |
| 11/11/04 | 4 | 3 | -29 | -7 33 | 31 / | 36.1 | -50.8 |
| 11/17/04 | 7 | Invalid | - | -7.55 | 51.4 | 50.1 | -50.0 |
| 11/23/04 | 1 | Invalid | - | | | | |
| 11/29/04 | 13 | 14 | 7 | | | | |
| 12/5/04 | 6 | 7 | 15 | | | | |
| 12/11/04 | 5 | Invalid | - | | | | |
| 12/17/04 | 13 | 18 | 32 | | | | |
| 12/23/04 | 7 | 7 | 0 | | | | |
| 12/29/04 | 11 | Invalid | - | | | | |
| 2 nd Monitor | ring Quarte | r | | | ſ | 1 | |
| 1/4/05 | 9 | 9 | 0 | | | | |
| 1/10/05 | 2 | 8 | 120 | | | | |
| 1/16/05 | 7 | 4 | -55 | | | | |
| 1/22/05 | 8 | 14 | -55 | | | | |
| 1/28/04 | 11 | 11 | 0 | | | | |
| 2/3/05 | 14 | 14 | 0 | | | | |
| 2/9/05 | 11 | 11 | 0 | | | | |
| 2/15/05 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 11.1 | 38.9 | 64.9 | -42.8 |
| 2/21/05 | 9 | 9 | 0 | | | | |
| 2/27/05 | 8 | 10 | 22 | | | | |
| 3/5/05 | 5 | Invalid | - | | | | |
| 3/11/05 | 7 | 7 | 0 | | | | |
| 3/17/05 | 10 | 9 | -11 | | | | |
| 3/23/05 | 20 | 23 | 15 | | | | |
| 3/29/05 | 10 | 11 | 10 | | | | |

| Table 2-6. | PM₁₀ Monitoring | Network | Precision | Statistics |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------|------------|

| Period | Main (µg/m³) | Co- located (µg/m³) | Percent Difference | Average Percent Difference | Standard Deviation | Upper 95% Limit | Lower 95% Limit |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 3 rd Monitor | ing Quarte | r | | | | | |
| 4/4/05 | 8 | 9 | 12 | | | | |
| 4/10/05 | 8 | 7 | -13 | | | | |
| 4/16/05 | 13 | 13 | 0 | | | | |
| 4/22/05 | 19 | 23 | 19 | | | | |
| 4/28/05 | 20 | 20 | 0 | | | | |
| 5/4/05 | 16 | 16 | 0 | | | | |
| 5/10/05 | 23 | 20 | -14 | | | | |
| 5/16/05 | 9 | 8 | -12 | 1.0 | 18.3 | 25.9 | -24.7 |
| 5/22/05 | 7 | 5 | -33 | | | | |
| 5/28/05 | 6 | 10 | 50 | | | | |
| 6/3/05 | 6 | 6 | 0 | | | | |
| 6/9/05 | 40 | 40 | 0 | | | | |
| 6/15/05 | 14 | 15 | 7 | | | | |
| 6/21/05 | 22 | 21 | -5 | | | | |
| 6/27/05 | 54 | 53 | -2 | | | | |
| 4 th Monitor | ing Quarte | r | + | | | ł | |
| 7/3/05 | 6 | 7 | 15 | | | | |
| 7/9/05 | 10 | 11 | 10 | | | | |
| 7/15/05 | 26 | 26 | 0 | | | | |
| 7/21/05 | 13 | 14 | 7 | | | | |
| 7/27/05 | 51 | 51 | 0 | | | | |
| 8/02/05 | 29 | 18 | -47 | | | | |
| 8/08/05 | 33 | 32 | -3 | | | | |
| 8/14/05 | 148 | 148 | 0 | -6.3 | 39.6 | 48.6 | -61.1 |
| 8/20/05 | 188 | 182 | -3 | | | | |
| 8/26/05 | 10 | 7 | -35 | | | | |
| 9/1/05 | 17 | 6 | -96 | | | | |
| 9/7/05 | 2 | 5 | 86 | | | | |
| 9/13/05 | 10 | 8 | -22 | | | | |
| 9/19/05 | 4 | 4 | 0 | | | | |
| 9/25/05 | Invalid | 4 | - | | | | |

Table 2-6 (continued). PM_{10} Monitoring Network Precision Statistics

| Period | Main (µg/m³) | Co- located (µg/m³) | Percent Difference | Average Percent Difference | Coefficient of Variation | Upper 95% Limit | Lower 95% Limit |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1 st Monitor | ing Quarte | r | | | | | |
| 10/6/04 | 4.5 | 2.1 | -73 | | | | |
| 10/12/04 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0 | | | | |
| 10/18/04 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0 | | | | |
| 10/24/04 | 3.2 | 3.1 | -3 | | | | |
| 10/30/04 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3 | | | | |
| 11/5/04 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 4 | | | | |
| 11/11/04 | 3.0 | 2.6 | -14 | | | | |
| 11/17/04 | 5.0 | 4.9 | -2 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 2.9 |
| 11/23/04 | 3.0 | 13.1 | 125 | | | | |
| 11/29/04 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 21 | | | | |
| 12/5/04 | 3.7 | Invalid | - | | | | |
| 12/11/04 | 4.1 | Invalid | - | | | | |
| 12/17/04 | 4.7 | 7.8 | 50 | | | | |
| 12/23/04 | 2.9 | 1.8 | -47 | | | | |
| 12/29/04 | Invalid | 7.4 | - | | | | |
| 2 nd Monitor | ring Quarte | r | | | | | |
| 1/4/05 | 5.8 | 5.7 | -2 | | | | |
| 1/10/05 | 6.9 | 9.5 | 32 | | | | |
| 1/16/05 | 5.0 | 7.9 | 45 | | | | |
| 1/22/05 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 8 | | | | |
| 1/28/05 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 0 | | | | |
| 2/3/05 | 11.3 | 11.1 | -2 | | | | |
| 2/9/05 | 7.9 | 7.8 | -1 | | | | |
| 2/15/05 | 4.6 | 5 | 2 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 6.6 | 3.5 |
| 2/21/05 | 6.9 | 6.7 | -3 | | | | |
| 2/27/05 | 3.7 | 3.3 | -11 | | | | |
| 3/5/05 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 37 | | | | |
| 3/11/05 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 22 | | | | |
| 3/17/05 | invalid | 3.9 | - | | | | |
| 3/23/05 | 7.9 | 5 | -45 | | | | |
| 3/29/05 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 7 | | | | |

| Table 2-7. | PM _{2.5} Monitoring | Network Precision | Statistics |
|------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
|------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|

| Period | Main (µg/m³) | Co- located (µg/m³) | Percent Difference | Average Percent Difference | Coefficient of Variation | Upper 95% Limit | Lower 95% Limit |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 3 rd Monitor | ing Quarte | r | | | | | |
| 4/4/05 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 9 | | | | |
| 4/10/05 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 46 | | | | |
| 4/16/05 | 3.1 | 2.8 | -10 | | | | |
| 4/22/05 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 20 | | | | |
| 4/28/05 | 6.0 | 5.8 | -3 | | | | |
| 5/4/05 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 5 | | | | |
| 5/10/05 | 17.7 | 4.5 | -119 | | | | |
| 5/16/05 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 26 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 2.9 |
| 5/22/05 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 25 | | | | |
| 5/28/05 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 46 | | | | |
| 6/3/05 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 8 | | | | |
| 6/9/05 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 0 | | | | |
| 6/15/05 | 11.7 | 14.5 | 21 | | | | |
| 6/21/05 | 18.7 | 15.7 | -17 | | | | |
| 6/27/05 | 40.9 | 40.5 | -1 | | | | |
| 4 th Monitor | ing Quarte | r | | | | | |
| 7/3/05 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 29 | | | | |
| 7/9/05 | 6.9 | 6.8 | -1 | | | | |
| 7/15/05 | 7.6 | 7.3 | -4 | | | | |
| 7/21/05 | 3.8 | 2.7 | -34 | | | | |
| 7/27/05 | 26.3 | Invalid | - | | | | |
| 8/2/05 | 19.2 | 27.8 | 37 | | | | |
| 8/8/05 | 17.5 | 18 | 3 | | | | |
| 8/14/05 | 127.0 | 124.6 | -2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 8/20/05 | 171.8 | 170.4 | -1 | | | | |
| 8/26/05 | 4.4 | 2.7 | -48 | | | | |
| 9/1/05 | 12.9 | 2.6 | -133 | | | | |
| 9/7/05 | 1.4 | 1.3 | -7 | | | | |
| 9/13/05 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0 | | | | |
| 9/19/05 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 89 | | | | |
| 9/25/05 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 80 | | | | |

Table 2-7 (continued). $PM_{2.5}$ Monitoring Network Precision Statistics

NO NO₂ Precision Gas Type of Analyzer Precision Gas Average Upper Lower Analyzer Precision Gas Average Upper Lower Analyzer Percent Percent Standard Percent Standard 95% 95% Concentration Precision Concentration 95% 95% Concentration Percent Response Response Response (ppm) (ppm) Difference Difference Deviation Limit l imit (ppm) (ppm) Difference Difference Deviation Limit Limit (ppm) (ppm) Check Period ^{**} Monitoring Quarter 8/9/2004 auto 8/12/2004 0.0866 0.0897 -3.46 manual 8/23/2004 auto 8/24/2004 0.0926 0.10 -7.40 0.0884 0.0885 0.0959 0.10 manual -0.11 9/6/2004 auto 0.094 0.10 -6.00 0.092 0.10 9/7/2004 manual 0.0839 0.0867 -3.23 -7.25 -4.51 -5.88 -0.99 -2.96 1.44 -0.96 -4.96 9/20/2004 auto 0.095 0.10 -5.00 0.093 0.10 9/20/2004 0.0843 0.0875 -3.66 manual 0.10 10/4/2004 auto 0.094 -6.00 0.093 0.10 0.0857 -3.15 10/7/2004 0.083 manual 10/18/2004 0.095 0.10 -5.00 0.094 0.10 auto 0.0854 0.0891 10/20/2004 manual -4.15 2nd Monitoring Quarter 11/3/2004 manual 11/5/2004 0.0963 0.10 -3.70 0.0889 0.0890 -0.11 0.0969 0.10 manual 11/15/2004 auto 11/15/2004 0.10 0.10 neither ------0.0889 0.0897 -0.89 11/16/2004 manual 11/29/2004 auto 12/1/2004 0.0928 0.10 -7.20 0.0947 0.10 auto 0.0872 0.0889 11/29/2004 manual -1.91 12/13/2004 0.10 0.10 auto -------7.24 9.39 -2.54 3.01 -3.07 -11.41 3.43 4.30 0.0898 12/16/2004 manual 0.0856 4.91 12/27/2004 auto 0.0937 0.10 -6.30 0.0941 0.10 12/30/2004 manual 12/28/2004 manual 0.0888 0.0839 5.84 1/14/2005 0.093 0.10 -7.00 0.095 0.10 auto 0.0812 1/18/2005 manual 0.0876 7.88 1/24/2005 auto 0.088 0.10 -12.00 0.093 0.10 0.089 0.0822 1/28/2005 manual 8.27 1/24/2005 auto ^a Monitoring Quarter 0.088 0.10 -12.00 0.095 0.10 2/7/2005 auto 2/3/2005 manual 0.089 0.0820 8.54 2/21/2005 0.088 0.10 -12.00 0.095 0.10 auto 2/21/2005 0.0904 0.0830 8.92 manual 3/7/2005 auto 0.088 0.10 -12.00 0.095 0.10 3/7/2005 manual 0.0930 0.0821 13.28 0.086 0.10 0.092 0.10 3/21/2005 auto -14.00 12.33 1.03 -12.43 1.13 -10.86 -14.00 6.68 4.07 0.0868 3/21/2005 0.0829 4.70 manual 4/4/2005 0.089 0.10 -11.00 0.096 0.10 auto 4/4/2005 0.0883 0.0825 7.03 manual 4/18/2005 0.086 0.10 -14.00 0.093 0.10 auto 4/30/2005 manual 0.0854 0.0827 3.26 -12.00 5/2/2005 auto 0.088 0.10 0.095 0.10 0.0882 0.0873 1.03 4/30/2005 manual 4" Monitoring Quarter 5/16/2005 0.087 0.10 -13.00 0.095 0.10 auto 0.0850 5/19/2005 0.0903 6.24 manual 5/30/2005 auto 0.087 0.10 -13.00 0.095 0.10 6/1/2005 manual 0.09 0.0818 10.02 6/15/2005 manual 0.076 0.08 -5.00 -9.72 4.99 16.63 -16.63 0.0969 0.0972 -0.31 3.13 4.62 9.53 -3.27 0.0763 0.08 6/28/2005 manual 0.0964 0.10 -3.60 0.084 0.0857 -1.98 0.0964 0.10 -14.00 0.093 0.10 7/11/2005 auto 0.086 0.10 7/21/2005 0.0874 0.0835 4.67 manual 7/28/2005 manual 0.0819 0.0818 0.12

Table 2-8. Gas Analyzer Monitoring Network Precision Statistics

| | Ν | O _x | | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 3 | Percent Difference | Average Percent Difference | Standard Deviation | Upper 95% Limit | Lower 95% Limit |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | -4.10 | | | | |
| | -8.00 | | | | |
| | -7.00 | -6.42 | 1.48 | -4.37 | -8.47 |
| | -7.00 | | | | |
| | -6.00 | | | | |
| | -0.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | -3.10 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | -5.30 | | | | |
| | 0.00 | | | | |
| | | -5.26 | 1.43 | -3.28 | -7.24 |
| | | | | | |
| | -5.90 | | | | |
| | -5.00 | | | | |
| | -7.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 5.00 | | | 1 | |
| | -5.00 | | | | |
| | -5.00 | | | | |
| | -5.00 | | | | |
| | -8.00 | -5 57 | 1 40 | -3.63 | -7.51 |
| | -4.00 | 0.01 | | 5.00 | |
| | -7.00 | | | | |
| | -5.00 | | | | |
| | 0.00 | | | | |
| | -5.00 | | | | |
| | -5.00 | | | | |
| | -3.00 | | 4.05 | | |
| | -4.62 -3.60 | -5.05 | 1.23 | -3.33 | -6.76 |
| | -7.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | CO SO ₂ | | | | | | | O ₃ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------|---------------|----------------|------------|-----------|-------|----------------|----------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|----------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|-------|
| | Type of | Analyzer | Precision Gas | | Average | | Upper | Lower | Analyzer | Precision Gas | | Average | 1 | Upper | Lower | Analyzer | Precision Gas | | Average | | | Lower |
| | Precision | Response | Concentration | Percent | Percent | Standard | 95% | 95% | Response | Concentration | Percent | Percent | Standard | 95% | 95% | Response | Concentration | Percent | Percent | Standard | Upper | 95% |
| Period | Check | (ppm) | (ppm) | Difference | Difference | Deviation | Limit | Limit | (ppm) | (ppm) | Difference | Difference | Deviation | Limit | Limit | (ppm) | (ppm) | Difference | Difference | Deviation | 95% Limi | Limit |
| 1 st Monitoring | Quartar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8/9/2003 | auto | 8 065 | 10,000 | -19.35 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 0 1000 | 0.10 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 8/12/2004 | manual | 0.000 | 10.000 | 10.00 | | | | | 0.0932 | 0.10 | -6.80 | | | | | 0.1000 | 0.10 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 8/23/2004 | auto | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.094 | 0.10 | -6.00 | | | | |
| 8/24/2004 | manual | 9.313 | 10.000 | -6.87 | | | | | 0.0937 | 0.10 | -6.30 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9/6/2004 | auto | 8.674 | 10.000 | -13.26 | | | | | 0.0020 | 0.10 | 6 10 | | | | | 0.097 | 0.10 | -3.00 | | | | |
| 9/7/2004 | auto | 8 359 | 10.000 | -16 41 | -13.10 | 5.59 | -5.35 | -20.85 | 0.0939 | 0.10 | -6.10 | -6.20 | 0.47 | -5.54 | -6.86 | 0 094 | 0.10 | -6.00 | -3.17 | 2.48 | 0.28 | -6.61 |
| 9/20/2004 | manual | 0.000 | 10.000 | 10.11 | | | | | 0.0934 | 0.10 | -6.60 | | | | | 0.001 | 0.10 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 10/4/2004 | auto | 8.312 | 10.000 | -16.88 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.097 | 0.10 | -3.00 | | | | |
| 10/7/2004 | manual | | | | | | | | 0.0945 | 0.10 | -5.50 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/18/2004 | auto | 9.419 | 10.000 | -5.81 | | | | | 0.0041 | 0.10 | E 00 | | | | | 0.099 | 0.10 | -1.00 | | | | |
| 2 ^{na} Monitorin | a Quarter | | | | | | | | 0.0941 | 0.10 | -5.90 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/3/2004 | manual | 9.326 | 10.000 | -6.74 | | | 1 | | 0.0925 | 0.10 | -7.50 | | 1 | 1 | | 0.0875 | 0.10 | -12.50 | | | | |
| 11/5/2004 | manual | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/15/2004 | auto | 8.819 | 10.000 | -11.81 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.101 | 0.10 | 1.00 | | | | |
| 11/15/2004 | neither | | | | | | | | 0.0065 | 0.10 | 3 50 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/29/2004 | auto | 8.199 | 10.000 | -18.01 | | | | | 0.0905 | 0.10 | -3.30 | | | | | 0.095 | 0.10 | -5.00 | | | | |
| 12/1/2004 | auto | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/29/2004 | manual | | | | | | | | 0.095 | 0.10 | -5.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12/13/2004 | auto | 8.729 | 10.000 | -12.71 | -9.88 | 4.57 | -3.54 | -16.21 | 0.0050 | 0.40 | 4.00 | -5.07 | 1.66 | -2.77 | -7.38 | 0.101 | 0.10 | 1.00 | -2.36 | 4.99 | 4.56 | -9.27 |
| 12/16/2004 | manual | | | | | _ | | _ | 0.0952 | 0.10 | -4.80 | | | | | 0 101 | 0.10 | 1.00 | | | | - |
| 12/30/2004 | manual | 9.25 | 10.000 | -7.50 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.101 | 0.10 | 1.00 | | | | |
| 12/28/2004 | manual | 0.20 | | | | | | | 0.097 | 0.10 | -3.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1/14/2005 | auto | 9.535 | 10.000 | -4.65 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.1 | 0.10 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 1/18/2005 | manual | | 40.000 | | | | | | 0.0953 | 0.10 | -4.70 | | | | | | 0.40 | | | | | |
| 1/24/2005 | auto | 9.228 | 10.000 | -1.12 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.098 | 0.10 | -2.00 | | | | |
| 1/24/2005 | auto | | | | | | | | 0.093 | 0.10 | -7.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 rd Monitoring | g Quarter | | | | | | | | 0.000 | 0110 | | | 1 | | L | | | | | 1 | | 1 |
| 2/7/2005 | auto | 9.201 | 10.000 | -7.99 | | | | | 0.093 | 0.10 | -7.00 | | | | | 0.098 | 0.10 | -2.00 | | | | |
| 2/3/2005 | manual | | 40.000 | 0.00 | | | | | 0.001 | | 0.00 | | | | | | 0.40 | | | | | |
| 2/21/2005 | auto | 9.077 | 10.000 | -9.23 | | | | | 0.094 | 0.10 | -6.00 | | | | | 0.098 | 0.10 | -2.00 | | | | |
| 3/7/2005 | auto | 9.021 | 10.000 | -9.79 | | | | | 0.092 | 0.10 | -8.00 | | | | | 0.098 | 0.10 | -2.00 | | | | |
| 3/7/2005 | manual | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3/21/2005 | auto | 8.963 | 10.000 | -10.37 | -8.95 | 1.29 | -7.15 | -10.74 | 0.095 | 0.10 | -5.00 | -5.71 | 1.38 | -3.80 | -7.63 | 0.096 | 0.10 | -4.00 | -2.29 | 1.25 | -0.55 | -4.02 |
| 3/21/2005 | manual | 0.000 | 40.000 | 7 4 4 | 0.00 | | | | 0.005 | 0.10 | F 00 | 0.7 1 | | 0.00 | | 0.007 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0 | | 0.00 | |
| 4/4/2005 4/4/2005 | auto | 9.289 | 10.000 | -7.11 | | | | | 0.095 | 0.10 | -5.00 | | | | | 0.097 | 0.10 | -3.00 | | | | |
| 4/18/2005 | auto | 8.973 | 10.000 | -10.27 | | | | | 0.095 | 0.10 | -5.00 | | | | | 0.097 | 0.10 | -3.00 | | | | |
| 4/30/2005 | manual | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5/2/2005 | auto | 9.214 | 10.000 | -7.86 | | | | | 0.096 | 0.10 | -4.00 | | | | | 0.1 | 0.10 | 0.00 | | | | |
| 4/30/2005 | manual | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 Wonitoring | auto | 8 986 | 10,000 | -10 14 | | | | | 0.094 | 0.10 | -6.00 | | I | 1 | | 0.099 | 0.10 | -1.00 | | | | |
| 5/19/2005 | manual | 0.000 | 10.000 | 10.14 | | | | | 0.004 | 0.10 | 0.00 | | | | | 0.000 | 0.10 | 1.00 | | | | |
| 5/30/2005 | auto | 9.132 | 10.000 | -8.68 | | | | | 0.095 | 0.10 | -5.00 | | | | | 0.099 | 0.10 | -1.00 | | | | |
| 6/1/2005 | manual | | | | | 0.07 | o | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4.0- | c | |
| 6/15/2005 | manual | 9.602 | 10.000 | -3.98 | -7.02 | 3.02 | -2.83 | -11.20 | 0.097 | 0.10 | -3.00 | -4.22 | 1.31 | -2.40 | -6.03 | 0.1037 | 0.10 | 1.27 | -0.53 | 1.00 | 0.87 | -1.92 |
| 7/11/2005 | auto | 9.043 | 10.000 | -3.57 -8.71 | | | | | 0.0964 | 0.10 | -3.60 | | | | | 0.0991 | 0.10 | -0.90 | | | | |
| 7/21/2005 | manual | 0.120 | 10.000 | 5.71 | | | | | 0.000 | 0.10 | 0.00 | | | | | 0.000 | 0.10 | 1.00 | | | | |
| 7/28/2005 | manual | | | | | | | | 0.0973 | 0.10 | -2.70 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2-8. (continued). Gas Analyzer Monitoring Network Precision Statistics

2.4.2 Analytical Laboratory Precision Statistics for Gravimetric Analysis

Analytical laboratory precision for gravimetric PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} samplers is assessed and reported in Appendix B. Replicate sample data is presented in Part I of Table 2-9 below. Part II of Table 2-9 provides data on the filter conditioning environment.

| | | Р | M ₁₀ | PI | /I _{2.5} |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | Period | Replicate Sample Measurements Pre-Exposure | Replicate Sample Measurements Post-Exposure | Replicate Sample Measurements Pre-Exposure | Replicate Sample Measurements Post-Exposure |
| 1 st | No. of Precision Checks | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Monitoring | Average Difference (mg) | -0.002 | -0.006 | -0.001 | -0.001 |
| Quarter | Maximum Difference (mg) | -0.006 | -0.015 | -0.003 | -0.003 |
| | | | | | |
| and | No. of Precision Checks | 6 | 4 | 7 | 8 |
| Z Monitoring | Average Difference (mg) | -0.005 | 0.007 | -0.002 | -0.003 |
| Quarter | Maximum Difference (mg) | -0.017 | 0.011 | -0.006 | -0.010 |
| | | | | | |
| ard | No. of Precision Checks | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| S Monitoring | Average Difference (mg) | -0.009 | 0.003 | -0.002 | -0.001 |
| Quarter | Maximum Difference (mg) | -0.012 | -0.008 | -0.006 | 0.004 |
| | | | | | |
| 4 th | No. of Precision Checks | 5 | 5 | 7 | 13 |
| 4 Monitoring Quarter | Average Difference (mg) | -0.006 | 0.009 | -0.002 | -0.003 |
| Quarter | Maximum Difference (mg) | -0.013 | 0.033 | -0.003 | -0.013 |

| Table 2-9. | Analytical | Laboratory | Precision - | Part I |
|------------|------------|------------|-------------|--------|
| | | | | |

| Buried | | PN | I ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Per | iod | Temperature (°C) | Relative Humidity (%) | Temperature (°C) | Relative Humidity (%) | | |
| | Minimum | 19.0 | 39.1 | 20.7 | 34.9 | | |
| 1 st Monitoring | Maximum | 21.0 | 40.1 | 21.9 | 37.0 | | |
| Quarter | Average | 20.6 | 39.5 | 21.1 | 35.9 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2 nd | Minimum | 19.1 | 37.9 | 20.9 | 35.4 | | |
| Monitoring | Maximum | 21.0 | 40.0 | 21.7 | 36.4 | | |
| Quarter | Average | 20.7 | 39.3 | 21.1 | 36.0 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3 rd | Minimum | 20.3 | 38.9 | 20.7 | 34.9 | | |
| Monitoring | Maximum | 23.0 | 41.2 | 22.0 | 38.7 | | |
| Quarter | Average | 21.5 | 39.5 | 21.2 | 36.1 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 4 th | Minimum | 21.6 | 38.7 | 20.8 | 34.5 | | |
| Monitoring | Maximum | 23.0 | 40.9 | 22.1 | 38.6 | | |
| Quarter | Average | 22.7 | 39.8 | 21.8 | 35.9 | | |
| Precisior | n Criteria | 20±3°C | 40±5% | 20±3°C | 40±5% | | |

| Table 2-9. | Analytical Labo | ratory Precision | – Part II |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|
| | Analytical Ease | 14(0) y 1 100101011 | |

2.4.3 Analytical Laboratory Precision Statistics for Lead Analysis

Not applicable.

2.5 Accuracy Statistics

These data summarize the results of the EAFB ambient monitoring program quality control and quality assurance checks. Also included are summaries of the performance and systems audits performed on the monitoring station over the course of the monitoring period. The site was installed in April and May 2004, and the initial performance and system audit was conducted at that time. Due to equipment malfunctions and communications issues, ongoing data collection for the purposes of this annual report did not begin until several months later. The ongoing quality assurance and independent audits results outlined below serve to demonstrate that the procedures for collecting ambient gaseous data, meteorological data, and particulate matter measurements at EAFB meet the requirements as set forth by EPA and ADEC.

2.5.1 Instrument Calibration Statistics

Tables 2-10 and 2-11 summarize the monthly quality control checks of the particulate matter samplers. These manual QC checks are conducted by on-site personnel and the data are transmitted to the HCG Anchorage office.

Multi-point calibration data for the gas analyzers is provided in Appendix C-1. Multi-point calibrations were used to establish or verify the linearity of the gas analyzers. Level 1 zero/span calibration data and graphs are also presented in Appendix C-1. Level 1 zero and span calibrations of the continuous gas analyzers were conducted daily during the monitoring period. These two-point analyzer calibrations were used to assess whether the instruments were properly operating and were conducted by challenging the analyzers with a test atmosphere containing zero concentration of the pollutant, and with a test atmosphere containing a concentration of between 70 and 90 percent of the full measurement range in which the analyzer was operating. The challenge gas was sampled through as much of the sample inlet system as practical to mimic actual sampling of ambient air. These Level 1 zero and span checks were programmed to occur automatically.

| Period | Date | Flow Transfer Standard Value | Sampler Indicated Reading | Percent Difference | Average Percent Difference | Standard Deviation |
|-------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| . et | 10/16/04 | 16.7 | 16.6 | -0.6 | | |
| 1 st Monitoring | 11/13/04 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0.3 |
| Quarter | 12/10/04 | 16.7 | 16.6 | -0.6 | | |
| | 1/5/05 | 16.7 | 16.9 | 1.2 | | |
| 2 nd | 2/1/05 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 | | 4.0 |
| Monitoring Quarter | 2/28/05 | 16.7 | 16.5 | -1.2 | -0.2 | 1.0 |
| | 3/28/05 | 16.6 | 16.5 | -0.6 | | |
| 3 rd | 4/24/05 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 0.6 | | |
| Quarter | 5/31/05 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| ⊿ th | 7/2/05 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 0.6 | | |
| Monitoring | 8/3/05 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Quarter | 9/2/05 | 16.4 | 16.7 | 1.8 | | |

Table 2-10. PM₁₀ QC Check Accuracy

Table 2-11. PM_{2.5} QC Check Accuracy

| Period | Date | Flow Transfer Standard Value | Sampler Indicated Reading | Percent Difference | Average Percent Difference | Standard Deviation |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| ⊿ st | 10/16/04 | 16.7 | 16.5 | -1.2 | | |
| Monitoring | 11/13/04 16.6 16.7 0.6 -0.4 | | -0.4 | 0.9 | | |
| Quarter | 12/10/04 | 16.7 | | | | |
| | 1/5/05 | 16.7 | 16.6 | -0.6 | | 0.6 |
| 2 nd Monitoring | 2/1/05 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | |
| Quarter | 2/28/05 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | |
| | 3/28/05 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 | | |
| 3 rd Monitoring | 4/24/05 | 16.5 | 16.7 | 1.2 | -0.3 | 21 |
| Quarter | 5/31/05 | 16.7 | 16.4 | -1.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| 4 th | 7/2/05 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 0.0 | | |
| Monitoring | 8/3/05 | 16.6 | 16.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Quarter | 9/2/05 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 0.6 | | |

2.5.2 Independent Quality Assurance Audits

The initial systems audit was conducted for the Eielson Ambient Air and Meteorological Monitoring Program on June 23, 2004. An evaluation of the systems audit revealed that HCG has the necessary organization, practical field experience, work facilities, and data processing procedures in place to accurately collect and report ambient air quality data for the Eielson Ambient Air Monitoring project. HCG's staff is experienced and capable. The workspace and facilities are adequate for the project's current needs. The air monitoring station appears to be sited at the best available location for obtaining the desired air quality data. The pollution instrument inlet probes and meteorological sensors meet EPA siting requirements. HCG has an effective QA/QC program in place that follows recommended guidelines. The complete technical systems audit reports are available in Appendix C-3.

Meteorological Monitoring

The first performance audit was conducted on June 23, 2004. During each performance audit, the value of each meteorological sensor is compared to the output value from the appropriate piece of audit equipment or from calibrated instruments co-located with the sensor. The difference between the station value for each reading and the predicted value is compared with established PSD limits to assess instrument accuracy. The results of this audit are presented in Table 2-12. The audit results for the relative humidity sensor on June 23, 3004 and June 13, 2005 indicate that the relative humidity sensor failed the performance audit specification of + 1.5 C° dew point. The relative humidity was found to be within 0.1°C dew point range during a calibration check on November 5, 2004. The same relative humidity sensor passed the audit on December 29, 2004. Despite this apparent audit failure in June 2005, the relative humidity data appears to be valid and consistent. For this reason, the relative humidity sensor is believed to have functioned properly throughout the one year monitoring program. While no relative humidity data were removed from the data set, it should be noted that this data may be considered suspect. Appendix C-3 contains the complete performance audit.

| Parameter | EPA/ Manufacturer Limit | Units | Maximum Reading | Pass/Fail |
|--|-------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-----------|
| Wind Direction Alianment | < +5 | dearee | 3.8 | Pass |
| Wind Direction Accuracy | ≤ ±5 | degree | 3.1 | Pass |
| Wind Direction Linearity | ≤ ±3 | degree | 1.9 | Pass |
| Wind Direction Torque | ≤ 0.090 | oz-in | NC | |
| Wind Speed Accuracy | $\leq \pm 0.2 + 5\%$ | m/s | 0.06 | Pass |
| Wind Speed Torque | ≤ 0.003 | oz-in | <0.003 | Pass |
| Air Temperature Accuracy | ≤ ±0.5 | °C | 0.11 | Pass |
| Vertical Air Temperature Difference | ≤ ±0.1 | °C | 0.03 | Pass |
| Relative Humidity (dewpoint) | ≤ ±1.5 | °C | 2.8 | Fail |
| Solar Radiation | ≤ ±5 | % obs | | |
| Barometric Pressure | ≤ ±0.3 | kPa | 0.03 | Pass |

| | Table 2-12. | Meteorological | Performance Au | dit Summary | / – June 23, | 2004 |
|--|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|------|
|--|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|------|

Additional performance audits were performed on December 29, 2004 and June 13, 2005. Each sensor was checked with certified audit equipment, and the starting torque for the wind vane and anemometer were tested. The wind direction alignment, linearity, and starting torque were audited after removing the wind vane. The main temperature thermistor was checked against a National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) traceable digital thermometer to determine relative accuracy. Relative humidity was audited using a co-located NIST traceable RH sensor. The barometric pressure sensor was audited by comparing the output readings of the field sensor to the output of a NIST-traceable barometric pressure/altimeter sensor. All audit results were compared to the appropriate EPA limits to determine accuracy. The results of the performance audits are presented in Tables 2-13 and 2-14. Appendix C-3 contains the complete performance audits.

| Parameter | EPA/ Manufacturer Limit | Units | Maximum Reading | Pass/Fail |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-----------|
| Wind Direction Alignment | ≤ ±5 | degree | 3.4 | Pass |
| Wind Direction Accuracy | ≤ ±5 | degree | 3.1 | Pass |
| Wind Direction Linearity | ≤ ±3 | degree | -2.2 | Pass |
| Wind Direction Torque | ≤ 0.090 | oz-in | 0.06 | |
| Wind Speed Accuracy | $\leq \pm 0.2 + 5\%$ | m/s | 0.53 | Pass |
| Wind Speed Torque | ≤ 0.003 | oz-in | <0.003 | Pass |
| Air Temperature Accuracy | ≤ ±0.5 | °C | 0.19 | Pass |
| Vertical Air Temperature Difference | ≤ ±0.1 | °C | -0.07 | Pass |
| Relative Humidity (dewpoint) | ≤ ±1.5 | °C | 0.14 | Pass |
| Solar Radiation | ≤ ±5 | % obs | | |
| Barometric Pressure | ≤ ±0.3 | kPa | -0.237 | Pass |

| Table 2-13. | Meteorological | Performance | Audit Summary | – December | 29, 2004 |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|------------|----------|
| | | | | | , |

 Table 2-14.
 Meteorological Performance Audit Summary – June 13, 2005

| Parameter | EPA/ Manufacturer Limit | Units | Maximum Reading | Pass/Fail |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-----------|
| Wind Direction Alignment | < +5 | dograa | 47 | Page |
| | 2 ±J | uegiee | 4.7 | rass |
| Wind Direction Accuracy | ≤ ±5 | degree | 2.5 | Pass |
| Wind Direction Linearity | ≤ ±3 | degree | 1.2 | Pass |
| Wind Direction Torque | ≤ 0.090 | oz-in | <0.06 | Pass |
| Wind Speed Accuracy | $\leq \pm 0.2 + 5\%$ | m/s | 0.09 | Pass |
| Wind Speed Torque | ≤ 0.003 | oz-in | <0.003 | Pass |
| Air Temperature Accuracy | ≤ ±0.5 | °C | 0.13 | Pass |
| Vertical Air Temperature Difference | ≤ ±0.1 | °C | 0.10 | Pass |
| Relative Humidity (dewpoint) | ≤ ±1.5 | °C | 3.18 | Fail |
| Solar Radiation | ≤ ±5 | % obs | | |
| Barometric Pressure | ≤ ±0.3 | kPa | 0.237 | Pass |

Air Quality Monitoring

The initial systems and performance audit of the ambient air monitoring network at EAFB was conducted on June 23, 2004. PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ sampler flow audits were also conducted once per quarter throughout the monitoring period. The combination of systems and performance audits constitute a comprehensive evaluation of a monitoring system. The auditing methods used in these audits are consistent with guidelines published by the EPA and ADEC.

Each of the particulate matter flow audits passed the applicable criteria specified in the project QAPP. The PM₁₀ flow audit criteria specified in the QAPP are: (a) sampler display flow rate \pm 7 percent of Transfer Standard QC flow rate, and (b) the Transfer Standard QC flow rate \pm 7 percent of design 16.67 L/min flow rate. Similarly, the PM_{2.5} flow audit criteria specified in the QAPP are: (a) sampler display flow rate \pm 4 percent of Transfer Standard QC flow rate, and (b) the Transfer Standard QC flow rate, and (b) the Transfer Standard QC flow rate, and (b) the Transfer Std QC flow rate \pm 5 percent of design 16.67 L/min flow rate. The results of these performance audits are summarized in Table 2-15. Applicable audit reports are provided in Appendix E.

| | | | | | PM ₁₀ | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Projec Monitori Quarte | et ing er | Audit Transfer Standard Flow Rate (I/min) | Sampler Indicated Reading (I/min) | Design Flow Rate (I/min) | Percent Difference (from Audit Flow Rate) | Percent Difference (from Design Flow Rate) | Average Percent Difference | Standard Deviation | Upper 95% Limit | Lower 95% Limit |
| | 1Q | 16.84 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -0.8 | 1.0 | | | | |
| Primarv | 2Q | 17.16 | 16.6 | 16.67 | -3.3 | 2.9 | | | | |
| Sampler | 3Q | 16.4 | 16.6 | 16.67 | 1.2 | -1.6 | -1.3 | 2.0 | -3.2 | 0.6 |
| | 4Q | 17.1 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -2.3 | 2.6 | | | | |
| <u> </u> | 1Q | 16.78 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -0.5 | 0.7 | | | | |
| Co- located Sampler 3Q | 2Q | 17.3 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -3.5 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 18 | -3.0 |
| | 3Q | 17.2 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -2.9 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 2.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| • | 4Q | 17.12 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -2.5 | 2.7 | | | | |
| | | | | | PM _{2.5} | | | | | |
| | 1Q | 16.79 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -0.5 | 0.7 | | | | |
| Primary | 20 | 16.9 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -1.2 | 14 | | | | |
| Sampler | 30 | 16.9 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -1.2 | 1.4 | -1.4 | 0.9 | -2.2 | -0.5 |
| | 4Q | 17 14 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -2.6 | 2.8 | | | | |
| | 1Q | 16.73 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -0.2 | 0.4 | | | | |
| Co- | 2Q | 16.97 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -1.6 | 1.8 | | | | |
| located | 3Q | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.67 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -1.2 | 1.4 | -2.5 | 0.2 |
| Campier | 4Q | 17.2 | 16.7 | 16.67 | -2.9 | 3.2 | | | | |

Table 2-15. Particulate Matter Performance Audit Accuracy

The NO/NO_X/NO₂ continuous gaseous analyzer is audited in three stages. First, the NO and NO_X channels of the gaseous analyzer are audited with known concentrations of NO. Next, the analyzer NO₂ channel is audited by generating three NO₂ concentration ranges specified by EPA. The percent difference between the expected concentration of NO₂ and the concentration displayed by the analyzer is calculated to determine the analyzer accuracy. Finally, for each NO₂ concentration generated during the NO₂ audit, the NO_X channel percent converter efficiency (CE) is tested. The Environics 6103 dynamic dilution system is used to generate CO concentrations in air for auditing the Horiba CO₁O₃ and SO₂ continuous gaseous analyzer.

All audit results were compared to the appropriate EPA limits to determine accuracy. Performance audit results are summarized in Tables 2-16 through 2-19. Appendix C-3 contains the complete performance audits.

| Analyzer | Point 1 | Point 2 | Point 3 | Average Percent Difference | Standard Deviation | Upper 95% Limit | Lower 95% Limit |
|-----------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | NO _x | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.075 | 0.182 | 0.395 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0771 | 0.1995 | 0.3981 | 4 22 | 1 65 | 10.79 | 0.11 |
| Accuracy (%) | 2.6 | 9.6 | 0.8 | 4.55 | 4.05 | 10.78 | -2.11 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |
| | | | NO | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.078 | 0.186 | 0.390 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0747 | 0.1950 | 0.3783 | -0.9 | 5 20 | 6 30 | -8 10 |
| Accuracy (%) | -4.8 | 5.0 | -2.9 | -0.9 | 5.20 | 0.30 | -0.10 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |
| | | | СО | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 7.854 | 18.753 | 37.895 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 7.830 | 20.20 | 40.69 | 1 03 | 1 53 | 11 22 | -1 35 |
| Accuracy (%) | -0.3 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 4.55 | 4.00 | 11.22 | -1.55 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |
| | | | O ₃ | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.077 | 0.186 | 0.399 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.081 | 0.192 | 0.367 | 0.53 | 7 54 | 10.98 | -9.92 |
| Accuracy (%) | 6.3 | 3.3 | -8.0 | 0.00 | 7.04 | 10.96 | 0.02 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |
| | | | SO ₂ | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.077 | 0.193 | 0.388 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.072 | 0.196 | 0.406 | -0.13 | 5.82 | 7 94 | -8 21 |
| Accuracy (%) | -6.6 | 1.5 | 4.7 | -0.15 | 0.02 | 1.34 | -8.21 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |

| Table 2-16. | Gas Analyzer Performance | Audit Summary – August | 24. 2004 |
|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| | Ous Analyzer i chormanec | Addit Odiffinary August | 27, 2007 |

| Analyzer | Point 1 | Point 2 | Point 3 | Average Percent Difference | Standard Deviation | Upper 95% Limit | Lower 95% Limit |
|-----------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | NO _x | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.0713 | 0.1665 | 0.3572 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0726 | 0.1672 | 0.3585 | 0.97 | 0.91 | 1 00 | 0.25 |
| Accuracy (%) | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 1.99 | -0.25 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |
| | | | NO | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.0713 | 0.1665 | 0.3572 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0720 | 0.1664 | 0.3574 | 0.33 | 0.50 | 1 15 | -0.48 |
| Accuracy (%) | 1.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 1.15 | -0.40 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |
| | | | СО | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 7.42 | 17.35 | 37.21 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 7.48 | 17.54 | 37.56 | 0 93 | 0.15 | 1 15 | 0.72 |
| Accuracy (%) | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 1.10 | 0.72 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |
| | | | O ₃ | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.0614 | 0.1740 | 0.3986 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0539 | 0.1542 | 0.354 | -11 60 | 0.53 | -10.87 | -12 33 |
| Accuracy (%) | -12.2 | -11.4 | -11.2 | -11.00 | 0.53 | -10.07 | -12.00 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |
| | | | SO ₂ | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.0748 | 0.1748 | 0.3750 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0782 | 0.1838 | 0.3966 | 5 13 | 0.65 | 6.04 | 1 23 |
| Accuracy (%) | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.15 | 0.00 | 6.04 | 4.20 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |

| l able 2-17. | Gas Analyzer Performance | ce Audit Summary - | - December 29, 2004 |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|

| Analyzer | Point 1 | Point 2 | Point 3 | Average Percent Difference | Standard Deviation | Upper 95% Limit | Lower 95% Limit |
|-----------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | NO _x | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.0799 | 0.1800 | 0.4497 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0787 | 0.1798 | 0.4556 | 0.10 | 1 40 | 1 0 / | 2.04 |
| Accuracy (%) | -1.5 | -0.1 | 1.3 | -0.10 | 1.40 | 1.04 | -2.04 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |
| | | - | NO | | - | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.0799 | 0.1800 | 0.4497 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0756 | 0.1746 | 0.4397 | -3.53 | 1.67 | -1 23 | -5.84 |
| Accuracy (%) | -5.4 | -3.0 | -2.2 | -3.53 | 1.07 | -1.23 | -3.04 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |
| | | | СО | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 6.98 | 17.97 | 39.98 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 7.92 | 20.52 | 45.45 | 12 77 | 0.40 | 1/ 22 | 12 21 |
| Accuracy (%) | 13.4 | 14.2 | 13.7 | 13.77 | 0.40 | 14.33 | 13.21 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |
| | | | O ₃ | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.0656 | 0.1750 | 0.3787 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0607 | 0.1579 | 0.3631 | 7 1 2 | 2.07 | 2.16 | 11 11 |
| Accuracy (%) | -7.5 | -9.8 | -4.1 | -7.13 | 2.07 | -3.10 | -11.11 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |
| | | | SO ₂ | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.07997 | 0.17973 | 0.40002 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0622 | 0.1503 | 0.3385 | -14 30 | 2 82 | -10 40 | -18 20 |
| Accuracy (%) | -11.1 | -16.4 | -15.4 | | 2.02 | 10.40 | 10.20 |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | |

| Table 2-18. G | Gas Analyzer | Performance Audit | t Summary – March | 8, 2005 |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|

| Analyzer | Point 1 | Point 2 | Point 3 | Average Percent Difference | Standard Deviation | Upper 95% Limit | Lower 95% Limit | | | | |
|-----------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| NO _x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.0698 | 0.1749 | 0.3999 | | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.068 | 0.1715 | 0.3977 | 4 70 | | 0.01 | 2.40 | | | | |
| Accuracy (%) | -2.6 | -2.0 | -0.6 | -1.73 | 1.03 | -0.31 | -3.16 | | | | |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | | | | | |
| | | | NO | | | | | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.0698 | 0.1749 | 0.3999 | | | | | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0667 | 0.1677 | 0.3885 | -3.83 0.83 | 0.00 | -1 00 | | | | | |
| Accuracy (%) | -4.5 | -4.1 | -2.9 | | 0.05 | 2.00 | 4.00 | | | | |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | | | | | |
| СО | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 6.9830 | 17.4760 | 39.9856 | | 0.95 | 2.18 | -0.44 | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 6.9680 | 17.6800 | 40.6300 | 0.97 | | | | | | | |
| Accuracy (%) | -0.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.07 | | | | | | | |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | | | | | |
| | | | O ₃ | | | | | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.0768 | 0.1747 | 0.3991 | | | | | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0802 | 0.1681 | 0.3756 | -1 77 | 5 11 | 5 78 | -0.31 | | | | |
| Accuracy (%) | 4.4 | -3.8 | -5.9 | -1.77 | 5.44 | 5.70 | -9.51 | | | | |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | | | | | |
| | | | SO ₂ | | | | | | | | |
| Input (ppm) | 0.0700 | 0.1748 | 0.3999 | | | | | | | | |
| Response (ppm) | 0.0642 | 0.1592 | 0.3863 | -6.87 | 3 02 | -2.60 | -11.05 | | | | |
| Accuracy (%) | -8.3 | -8.9 | -3.4 | -0.07 | 3.02 | -2.69 | -11.05 | | | | |
| EPA Limit: ±20% | Pass | Pass | Pass | | | | | | | | |

| Table 2-19. | Gas Analyzer | Performance / | Audit Summary | [,] – June 13, 2005 |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|
|-------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|

3.0 MONITORING DATA NETWORK SUMMARY

3.1 Air Quality Data Summary

A summary of the concentration of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ (micrograms per standard cubic meter [µg/m³]) measured at the monitoring station from October 1, 2004 through September 30, 2005 is provided in Table 3-1 and 3-2.

The annual average PM_{10} concentration for the main sampler was 19.0 µg/m³. (The annual average PM_{10} concentration for the co-located sampler 18.7 µg/m³.) These measured annual averages are in compliance with the maximum annual average concentration of 50 µg/m³ as set forth in 18 Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) 50.010.

The AAAQS 24-hour average of 150 μ g/m³ was exceeded on four days in August 2005 during the fourth monitoring quarter. These measured PM₁₀ values are valid but are not representative of normal conditions. Ambient PM₁₀ concentrations were elevated above normal levels in August 2005 because of dense regional smoke from numerous and very large lightning-caused wildfires in Interior Alaska. This measured concentration, while greater than the applicable Alaska and National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), does not represent a violation of those standards.

| Period | Average (μg/m³) | 1 st High Value (µg/m ³) | 2 nd High Value (µg/m ³) | AAAQS | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 8 | 24 | 18 | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 5.3% | 16.0% | 12.0% | | |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | 11 | 39 | 20 | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 7.3% | 26% | 13.3% | 150 µg/m ³ | |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 19 | 79 | 54 | 150 µg/m | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 12.6% | 52.6% | 36% | | |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 38 | 148 | 52 | | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 25.1% | 98.6% | 34.6% | | |
| Annual | 19 | n/2 | n/a | 50 µg/m ³ | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 38.0% | n/a | 11/a | 50 µg/m² | |

Table 3-1. AAAQS Ambient Air Monitoring – PM₁₀

The annual average $PM_{2.5}$ concentration for the main sampler was 11.1 µg/m³ and for the colocated sampler 11.5 µg/m³. These measured annual averages are in compliance with the maximum annual average concentration of 15 µg/m³ as set forth in 18 AAC 50.010.

The AAAQS 24-hour average of 65 μ g/m³ was exceeded on four days during the fourth monitoring quarter. These measured PM_{2.5} values are valid but are not representative of normal conditions. Ambient PM_{2.5} concentrations were elevated above normal levels in August 2005 because of dense regional smoke from numerous and very large lightning-caused wildfires in Interior Alaska. This measured concentration, while greater than the applicable Alaska and National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), does not represent a violation of those standards.

| Period | Average (µg/m³) | 1 st High Value (µg/m³) | 2 nd High Value (µg/m ³) | AAAQS |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|---|----------------------|
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 4.2 | 10.3 | 7.3 | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 6.4% | 15.8% | 11.2% | |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | 7.6 | 35.4 | 12.8 | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 11.7% | 54.5% | 19.7% | 65 ug/m ³ |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 7.9 | 40.9 | 37.5 | 05 µg/m |
| Percent of AAAQS | 12.2% | 62.9% | 57.7% | |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 24.4 | 31.6 | 26.3 | |
| Percent of AAAQS | 37.5% | 48.6% | 40.5% | |
| Annual | 11.1 | n/2 | n/2 | 15 |
| Percent of AAAQS | 74.0% | n/d | n/d | µg/m³ |

Table 3-2. AAAQS Ambient Air Monitoring – PM_{2.5}

3.2 Meteorological Data Summary

This section presents specific meteorological data for all parameters monitored. The monitoring period was from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005.

Wind Speed and Wind Direction Climatology

On an annual basis, winds were predominately from the southeast and east with a strong component from the southwest. During the first quarter, the winds were primarily from the west/southwest, with a smaller component from the southeast. The second quarter winds are dominated by a southeastern component along with a northern component. Winds in the third quarter were generally from the southeast and north. Strong westerly winds were predominant during the fourth quarter with southeast winds. The annual average wind speed was 1.61 meters per second (m/s). Table 3-3 provides a summary of the average wind speeds and the maximum wind speeds for each quarter. Annual and quarterly windroses are presented in Figures 3-1 through 3-5. Wind frequency distribution information is provided in Tables 3-4 through 3-8. The wind data are available in Appendix D.

| Monitoring Quarter | Average Hourly Wind Speeds (m/s) | Maximum Hourly Wind Speed (m/s) | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| First (3 rd Quarter 2004) | 1.93 | 9.09 | | |
| Second (4 th Quarter 2004) | 1.16 | 6.03 | | |
| Third (1 st Quarter 2005) | 1.30 | 8.70 | | |
| Fourth (2 nd Quarter 2005) | 2.07 | 8.18 | | |

Table 3.3. Average and Maximum Wind Speeds for Monitoring Period



Figure 3-1. Annual Windrose (July 1, 2004 – June 30, 2005)



Figure 3-2. First Monitoring Quarter Windrose (July 1, 2004 – September 30, 2004)







Figure 3-4. Third Monitoring Quarter Windrose (January 1, 2005 – March 31, 2005)



Figure 3-5. Fourth Monitoring Quarter Windrose (April 1, 2005 – June 30, 2005)

Table 3-4. Annual Windrose Analysis

Station ID: Eielson AFB

RUN ID: Annual

Start Date: July 1, 2004

End Date: June 30, 2005

Frequency Distribution

(Percent)

| | 0.51- | | 3.60- | 5.66- | | | |
|---------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|
| | 2.06 | 2.06-3.60 | 5.66 | 8.75 | 8.75-10.80 | >10.80 | Total |
| | | | | | | | |
| Ν | 0.0473 | 0.0160 | 0.0067 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0700 |
| NNE | 0.0295 | 0.0114 | 0.0071 | 0.0015 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0494 |
| NE | 0.0283 | 0.0102 | 0.0074 | 0.0050 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0514 |
| ENE | 0.0285 | 0.0075 | 0.0023 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0387 |
| E | 0.0328 | 0.0042 | 0.0030 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0404 |
| ESE | 0.0558 | 0.0042 | 0.0035 | 0.0013 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0648 |
| SE | 0.0683 | 0.0116 | 0.0058 | 0.0018 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0877 |
| SSE | 0.0678 | 0.0242 | 0.0035 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0959 |
| S | 0.0413 | 0.0144 | 0.0017 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0575 |
| SSW | 0.0328 | 0.0095 | 0.0011 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0434 |
| SW | 0.0288 | 0.0136 | 0.0015 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0441 |
| WSW | 0.0247 | 0.0279 | 0.0137 | 0.0023 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0685 |
| W | 0.0217 | 0.0259 | 0.0183 | 0.0027 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0686 |
| WNW | 0.0236 | 0.0159 | 0.0047 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0446 |
| NW | 0.0341 | 0.0129 | 0.0016 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0487 |
| NNW | 0.0451 | 0.0162 | 0.0013 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0626 |
| Total | 0.6103 | 0.2256 | 0.0832 | 0.0166 | 0.0007 | 0.0000 | 0.9363 |
| Avg. Wi | nd | | | | | | |
| Speed: | | 1.61 | m/s | | | | |

Table 3-5. First Monitoring Quarter Windrose Analysis

Station ID: Eielson AFB

RUN ID: 1st Quarter

Start Date: July 1, 2004

End Date: September 30, 2004

Frequency Distribution

(Percent)

Speed (m/s)

| | 0.51- | | 3.60- | 5.66- | | | |
|-------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|
| | 2.06 | 2.06-3.60 | 5.66 | 8.75 | 8.75-10.80 | >10.80 | Total |
| N | 0.0272 | 0.0159 | 0.0018 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0448 |
| NNE | 0.0163 | 0.0140 | 0.0068 | 0.0023 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0394 |
| NE | 0.0190 | 0.0149 | 0.0063 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0403 |
| ENE | 0.0226 | 0.0077 | 0.0032 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0335 |
| E | 0.0313 | 0.0077 | 0.0027 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0417 |
| ESE | 0.0525 | 0.0059 | 0.0023 | 0.0014 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0620 |
| SE | 0.0666 | 0.0136 | 0.0045 | 0.0045 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0897 |
| SSE | 0.0616 | 0.0380 | 0.0032 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1028 |
| S | 0.0349 | 0.0213 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0571 |
| SSW | 0.0389 | 0.0131 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0530 |
| SW | 0.0267 | 0.0168 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0444 |
| WSW | 0.0222 | 0.0362 | 0.0249 | 0.0063 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0897 |
| W | 0.0213 | 0.0344 | 0.0335 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0942 |
| WNW | 0.0276 | 0.0240 | 0.0100 | 0.0014 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0630 |
| NW | 0.0412 | 0.0181 | 0.0036 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0634 |
| NNW | 0.0385 | 0.0263 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0648 |
| Total | 0.5485 | 0.3080 | 0.1051 | 0.0213 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.9837 |
| | nd Snood. | 1 03 | m/s | | | | |

Avg. Wind Speed: 1.93 m/s

Table 3-6. Second Monitoring Quarter Windrose Analysis

Station ID: Eielson AFB

Start Date: October 1, 2004

RUN ID: 2nd Quarter

End Date: December 31, 2004

Frequency Distribution (Percent)

| | 0.51- | | 3.60- | 5.66- | | | |
|---------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|
| | 2.06 | 2.06-3.60 | 5.66 | 8.75 | 8.75-10.80 | >10.80 | Total |
| N | 0.0725 | 0.0113 | 0.0063 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0901 |
| NNE | 0.0516 | 0.0050 | 0.0054 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0620 |
| NE | 0.0476 | 0.0072 | 0.0023 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0571 |
| ENE | 0.0512 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0557 |
| E | 0.0516 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0521 |
| ESE | 0.0711 | 0.0023 | 0.0018 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0752 |
| SE | 0.0770 | 0.0100 | 0.0036 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0906 |
| SSE | 0.0779 | 0.0181 | 0.0032 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0992 |
| S | 0.0412 | 0.0113 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0530 |
| SSW | 0.0335 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0385 |
| SW | 0.0313 | 0.0118 | 0.0009 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0444 |
| WSW | 0.0285 | 0.0186 | 0.0045 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0521 |
| W | 0.0136 | 0.0104 | 0.0059 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0299 |
| WNW | 0.0213 | 0.0009 | 0.0014 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0236 |
| NW | 0.0281 | 0.0018 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0299 |
| NNW | 0.0593 | 0.0086 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0679 |
| Total | 0.7572 | 0.1273 | 0.0358 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.9212 |
| Avg. Wi | ind | | | | | | |
| Speed: | | 1.16 | m/s | | | | |

Table 3-7. Third Monitoring Quarter Windrose Analysis

Station ID : Eielson AFB Start Date: January 1, 2005

RUN ID: 3rd Quarter

End Date: March 31, 2005

Frequency Distribution (Percent)

| | 0.51- | | 3.60- | 5.66- | | | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|
| | 2.06 | 2.06-3.60 | 5.66 | 8.75 | 8.75-10.80 | >10.80 | Total |
| N | 0.0634 | 0.0083 | 0.0097 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0815 |
| NNE | 0.0278 | 0.0093 | 0.0069 | 0.0019 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0458 |
| NE | 0.0292 | 0.0065 | 0.0065 | 0.0176 | 0.0019 | 0.0000 | 0.0616 |
| ENE | 0.0227 | 0.0051 | 0.0009 | 0.0014 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0301 |
| E | 0.0269 | 0.0037 | 0.0005 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0319 |
| ESE | 0.0602 | 0.0046 | 0.0032 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0681 |
| SE | 0.0694 | 0.0083 | 0.0056 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0838 |
| SSE | 0.0787 | 0.0130 | 0.0032 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0958 |
| S | 0.0468 | 0.0083 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0560 |
| SSW | 0.0287 | 0.0051 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0338 |
| SW | 0.0319 | 0.0056 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0380 |
| WSW | 0.0231 | 0.0153 | 0.0069 | 0.0023 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0477 |
| W | 0.0204 | 0.0116 | 0.0074 | 0.0060 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0454 |
| WNW | 0.0255 | 0.0028 | 0.0009 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0296 |
| NW | 0.0370 | 0.0051 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0421 |
| NNW | 0.0523 | 0.0060 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0593 |
| Total | 0.6440 | 0.1185 | 0.0542 | 0.0319 | 0.0019 | 0.0000 | 0.8505 |
| Avg. Wi | nd Speed: | 1.30 | m/s | | | | |

Table 3-8. Fourth Monitoring Quarter Windrose Analysis

Station ID : Eielson AFB Start Date: April 1, 2005 RUN ID: 4th Quarter End Date: June 30, 2005

Frequency Distribution

(Percent)

| | | | 3.60- | 5.66- | | | |
|--------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|
| | 0.51-2.06 | 2.06-3.60 | 5.66 | 8.75 | 8.75-10.80 | >10.80 | Total |
| NI | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| IN | 0.0261 | 0.0284 | 0.0092 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0636 |
| NNE | 0.0220 | 0.0174 | 0.0092 | 0.0018 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0504 |
| NE | 0.0174 | 0.0119 | 0.0147 | 0.0027 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0467 |
| ENE | 0.0174 | 0.0128 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0353 |
| E | 0.0211 | 0.0050 | 0.0087 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0357 |
| ESE | 0.0394 | 0.0041 | 0.0069 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0540 |
| SE | 0.0600 | 0.0147 | 0.0096 | 0.0023 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0865 |
| SSE | 0.0531 | 0.0275 | 0.0046 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0856 |
| S | 0.0426 | 0.0165 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0641 |
| SSW | 0.0298 | 0.0147 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0481 |
| SW | 0.0252 | 0.0201 | 0.0037 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0495 |
| WSW | 0.0247 | 0.0412 | 0.0183 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0842 |
| W | 0.0316 | 0.0472 | 0.0261 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1049 |
| WNW | 0.0201 | 0.0357 | 0.0064 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0623 |
| NW | 0.0302 | 0.0266 | 0.0027 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0595 |
| NNW | 0.0302 | 0.0238 | 0.0041 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0582 |
| Total | 0.4908 | 0.3475 | 0.1378 | 0.0124 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.9886 |
| Avg. W | /ind | | | | | | |
| Speed | : | 2.07 | m/s | | | | |





Temperature Climatology

Daily average temperatures at Eielson AFB ranged from 23.6°C to -40.5°C during the monitoring period. On average, the summer months are relatively warm for Alaska, and during the winter, longer periods of extremely low temperatures are common. Extreme high and low temperatures ranged from 29.6°C to -41.7°C. Table 3-9 presents a temperature summary for the station. The complete data set is provided in Appendix D. Figure 3-6 illustrates the temperature data for the monitoring period. Figure 3-7 presents the delta between the 2-meter and 10-meter thermistors.

| Period | Max. Daily Average Temperature (°C) | Min. Daily Average Temperature (°C) | Monthly Average (°C) | Maximum Hourly High Temperature (°C) | Date | Minimum Hourly Low Temperature (°C) | Date |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|---|-------|--|-------|
| July | 23.6 | 14.4 | 18.5 | 29.6 | 7/14 | 9.6 | 7/1 |
| August | 20.2 | 9.0 | 16.6 | 28.2 | 8/16 | 3.9 | 8/30 |
| September | 10.0 | -2.0 | 3.3 | 16.3 | 9/8 | -6.3 | 9/28 |
| 1 st Monitoring Quarter | 23.6 | -2.0 | 12.8 | 29.6 | 7/14 | -6.3 | 9/28 |
| October | 5.2 | -13.2 | -1.5 | 14.0 | 10/4 | -17.2 | 10/31 |
| November | -5.0 | -22.5 | -13.6 | 3.0 | 11/19 | -29.1 | 11/7 |
| December | -3.0 | -31.8 | -19.5 | 4.4 | 12/23 | -39.0 | 12/25 |
| 2 nd Monitoring Quarter | 5.2 | -31.8 | -11.5 | 14.0 | 10/4 | -39.0 | 12/25 |
| January | -1.9 | -40.5 | -21.9 | 0.0 | 1/6 | -41.7 | 1/12 |
| February | -5.2 | -37.9 | -18.9 | 3.9 | 2/14 | -41.0 | 2/3 |
| March | 1.1 | -16.4 | -5.8 | 7.6 | 3/10 | -21.7 | 3/5 |
| 3 rd Monitoring Quarter | 1.1 | -40.5 | -15.5 | 7.6 | 3/10 | -41.7 | 1/12 |
| April | 15.7 | -10.1 | 1.1 | 21.8 | 4/30 | -15.6 | 4/2 |
| Мау | 17.0 | 8.4 | 13.1 | 23.6 | 5/11 | 0.5 | 5/5 |
| June | 20.5 | 10.2 | 16.2 | 27.3 | 6/29 | 6.4 | 6/6 |
| 4 th Monitoring Quarter | 20.5 | -10.1 | 10.1 | 27.3 | 6/29 | -15.6 | 4/2 |
| Annual | 23.6 | -40.5 | -1.0 | 29.6 | 7/14 | -41.7 | 1/12 |

 Table 3-9.
 Two-Meter
 Temperature
 Climatology



Figure 3-7 Average Hourly 2-m Temperatures



Figure 3-8 Delta Temperature

Other Meteorological Parameters

Average daily relative humidity ranged from 13.4 to 99.2 percent during the monitoring period. A time-series plot of the relative humidity data is presented in Figure 3-9. Daily average barometric pressure ranged from 28.0 to 30.3 inHg, which is similar to the pressure climatology for the surrounding region. An analysis of barometric pressure data is provided in Figure 3-10. Solar radiation climatology information is presented in Figure 3-11. Complete solar radiation data is presented in Appendix D.



Figure 3-9 Average Hourly Relative Humidity

Date







Figure 3-11 Average Hourly Solar Radiation

Date

4.0 **REFERENCES**

- Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 50, National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards, July 1, 2002.
- Title 40, CFR, Part 53, Ambient Air Monitoring Reference and Equivalent Methods, July 1, 2002.
- Title 40, CFR, Part 58, Appendix B, Ambient Air Quality Surveillance, Quality Assurance Requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), Air Monitoring, Appendix B, July 1, 2002.
- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, 18 AAC 50, Air Quality Control, As Amended through July 2002.
- Ambient Monitoring Guidelines for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), EPA-450/4-87-007, EPA, May 1987.
- *Meteorological Monitoring Guidance for Regulatory Modeling Applications*, EPA-454/R-99-005, EPA, February 2000.
- Quality Assurance Guidance Document 2.12: Monitoring PM_{2.5} in Ambient Air Using Designated Reference or Class I Equivalent Methods, EPA, November 1998.
- Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems, Volume II: Part 1, EPA-454/R-98-004, EPA, August 1998.
- Quality Assurance Project Plan for Laboratory and Data Management Support of the Determination of Fine Particulate Matter as PM_{2.5} in the Atmosphere, Rev 6, IML Air Science, April 2003.
- Quality Assurance Project Plan for Laboratory and Data Management Support of the Determination of Particulate Matter as PM₁₀ in the Atmosphere (Low Volume Method), Rev 3, IML Air Science, March 2003.
- Alaska Quality Assurance Manual for Ambient Air Quality Monitoring, as amended through August 21, 1996.