

LETTER OF PROMULGATION

1. The Kodiak Subarea Contingency Plan is the guideline for establishing operations in the event of a response effort to an oil spill or hazardous material release. This volume supplements the *Alaska Federal/State Preparedness Plan for Response to Oil & Hazardous Substance Discharges/Releases* (the Unified Plan).
2. Information contained herein will be disseminated to agencies and personnel involved in various response and cleanup activities.
3. The Kodiak Subarea Contingency Plan is the result of a joint planning effort involving members of the U.S. Coast Guard, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, the Kodiak Island Borough and other federal, state, local and industry participants.
4. We consider this to be a living document and solicit comments and recommendations to improve and update this Plan. Please forward your comments to any of the following agencies:

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
Prevention and Emergency Response Program
555 Cordova Street
Anchorage, AK 99501

United States Coast Guard
Captain of the Port, Western Alaska
510 L Street, Ste. 100
Anchorage, AK 99501

Kodiak Island Borough
Community Development Department
710 Mill Bay Road
Kodiak, AK 99615

Signed

MATT CARR
Federal On-Scene Coordinator, USEPA
Region X (Alaska Operations Office)

Signed

CAPTAIN E.P. THOMPSON, USCG
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
Captain of the Port, Western Alaska

Signed

KURT FREDRIKSSON
State On-Scene Coordinator, ADEC
Central Alaska Response Team
Kodiak SCP

Signed

JEROME M. SELBY
Kodiak Island Borough Mayor
Kodiak Emergency Services Council

(This page intentionally blank)

KODIAK SUBAREA CONTINGENCY PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Letter of Promulgation	i
Table of Contents	iii
Subarea Plan Description	v
Record of Changes	vii
Oil and Hazardous Substance Spill Notification Placards.....	ix

RESPONSE

PART ONE	Notification	A-1
	A. Emergency Response Notification List	A-1
	B. USCG and Federal Agency Contacts	A-2
	C. Alaska State Agency Contacts	A-3
	D. Local Kodiak Contacts.....	A-4
PART TWO	Emergency Response	A-6
	A. Unified Command and ICS	A-6
	B. Roles of the OSCs, RP and RACs.....	A-7
PART THREE	Response Procedures.....	A-9
	A. Response Objectives	A-9
	B. Scope of Activities	A-9
	C. Ramp up Procedures	A-11
	1. Ramp up to Full ICS Response Team	A-11
	2. ICS Organizational Charts and Assignments	A-14
	3. Agency Personnel Resources	A-19
	D. Additional Response Policies.....	A-21
	1. Health and Safety	A-21
	2. Cost Recovery/Documentation	A-21
	3. Waste Removal and Disposal.....	A-22
	4. <i>In-Situ</i> Burning/Dispersants/Chemical Countermeasures	A-22
	5. Public Affairs.....	A-22

RESOURCES

PART ONE	Logistics	B-1
	A. Command Centers.....	B-1
	B. Staging Areas	B-4
	C. Deployment.....	B-6
	D. Personnel.....	B-7
	E. Communications	B-8
	F. Community Profiles	B-15

PART TWO	Equipment	B-47
	A. Government Equipment	B-48
	B. Commercially owned Response Equipment	B-52
	C. Industry/Co-op Spill Response Equipment	B-53
PART THREE	Personnel And Information Directories	B-57
	A. Regional On-Scene Coordinator Personnel	B-57
	B. Police and Fire Departments	B-58
	C. Hospitals and Clinic Facilities	B-59
	D. Port and Harbor Facilities	B-59
	E. Marine Pilots	B-59
	F. Salvage Companies/Divers	B-60
	G. Towing Companies	B-60
	H. Water Intake/Use Facilities	B-60
	I. Laboratories	B-60
	J. Airports/Landing Strips and Aircraft Rental	B-61
	K. Equipment/Trucking Companies/Car Rentals/	B-63
	L. Electronic, Computer, and Office Equipment	B-64
	M. Weather Services	B-64
	N. Media	B-66
	O. Native Organizations/Corporations	B-67
	P. Local Fisheries Organizations/Environmental Groups	B-68
	Q. Regional and National Fishing Organizations	B-69
	R. Wildlife Rehabilitators	B-69
	S. Regional Citizens Advisory Councils	B-69
	T. Natural Resource Trustees	B-70
	U. Cultural Resources	B-70
	V. Volunteer Organizations	B-70
PART FOUR	VOLUNTEER COORDINATION PLAN	B-74
	A. Volunteer Coordination	B-74
	B. Volunteer Manager Responsibilities and Duties	B-76
	C. Volunteer Referral Center	B-77
	D. Needs Assessment and Recruiting	B-79
	E. Training and Skill Identification	B-79
	F. Identification and Recordkeeping	B-80
	G. Coordination with the Responsible Party	B-80
<u>HAZMAT</u>		
PART ONE	Hazardous Materials Spill Response	C-1
PART TWO	Risks Associated With Hazardous Materials Storage/Distribution	C-2
	A. Regional Chemical Distribution by Weight/Facility	C-2
	B. Chemical Risks and Hazard Factors	C-2
	C. Hazardous Materials Spill Response	C-3

SENSITIVE AREAS

INTRODUCTION D-1

PART ONE Initial Contacts D-3

PART TWO Areas of Environmental Concern D-7

 A. Background/Criteria..... D-7

 B. Areas of Major Concern..... D-8

 C. Areas of Moderate Concern D-9

 D. Areas of Lesser Concern D-10

PART THREE Resource Sensitivity D-11

PART FOUR Background Information D-20

 Introduction D-20

 Land Management Maps D-21

 A. Land Management Designations D-29

 B. Habitat Types D-31

 C. Biological Resources D-34

 1. Fish and Wildlife D-34

 2. Vegetation..... D-41

 3. Biologically Sensitive Areas..... D-41

 D. Human Use Resources D-45

 1. Fish Hatcheries and Associated Ocean Net Pens D-45

 2. Aquaculture Sites..... D-45

 3. Cultural Resources..... D-49

 4. Subsistence and Personal Use Harvests D-49

 5. Commercial Fishing..... D-49

 6. Sport Fishing and Hunting D-50

 7. Recreational Sites and Facilities D-51

 8. Commercial Tourism D-52

 9. Marinas and Ports D-52

 10. Fish Processing D-52

 11. Logging Facilities D-53

 12. Water Intake/Use D-54

 E. Wind, Ice and Current Conditions D-54

PART FIVE Key References D-58

PART SIX Areas of Local Concern..... D-62

ATTACHMENT ONE Resource and Sensitive Areas Maps for the Kodiak Subarea

ATTACHMENT TWO Seabird Colonies

GEOGRAPHIC RESPONSE PLANS

INTRODUCTION E-1

PART ONE Kitoi Bay Hatchery Site Protection Strategy E-3

 A. Site Description..... E-3

 B. Logistical Support..... E-4

 C. Protection Strategies E-4

PART TWO Buskin River Site Protection Strategy E-9

 A. Site Description..... E-9

 B. Logistical Support..... E-10

 C. Protection Strategies E-11

BACKGROUND

PART ONE Subarea Information F-1

 A. Subarea Plan F-1

 B. Subarea Description F-1

 C. Subarea Committee and Work Groups..... F-5

PART TWO Kodiak Subarea Spill History..... F-7

PART THREE Risk Assessment for Oil and Hazardous Substance Spills F-12

 A. Findings from Risk Assessment in Kodiak Subarea..... F-12

 B. Summary and Conclusions F-14

PART FOUR Abbreviations and Acronyms F-15

SCENARIOS

PART ONE Maximum Most Probable Discharge G-1

PART TWO Typical Discharge G-17

PART THREE Source Unidentified..... G-19

PART FOUR Worst Case Discharge G-20

KODIAK SUBAREA CONTINGENCY PLAN DESCRIPTION

Relationship to the Unified Plan. The Kodiak Subarea Contingency Plan is a supplement to the *Alaska Federal/State Preparedness Plan for Response to Oil & Hazardous Substance Discharges/Releases* (commonly referred to as the Unified Plan). The Unified and the Subarea Contingency plans represent a coordinated and cooperative effort by government agencies and were written jointly by the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the U.S. Department of the Interior (DOI), and the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC). The Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA 90) law requires the USCG and the EPA to prepare oil spill response plans for the State of Alaska, which is designated as an entire planning region under federal guidelines. Alaska statute requires the ADEC to prepare a state-wide plan addressing oil and hazardous substance discharges. The Unified Plan meets these federal and state planning requirements.

OPA 90 requires the development of Area Contingency Plans for the inland and coastal “zones” of each federal government region. For the region of Alaska, there are three USCG Captain of the Port (COTP) zones and one inland zone. The three Captain of the Port zones are: 1) Southeast Alaska; 2) Prince William Sound area; and 3) Western Alaska (which includes the rest of coastal Alaska from Cook Inlet out the Aleutians and north to the Beaufort Sea and the Canadian border). The inland zone, which comes under EPA jurisdiction, is subdivided into two sectors: 1) the North Slope oil production area and the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System (TAPS) and 2) all other areas inland from the coastal zones.

Alaska statute divides the state into ten regions for oil and hazardous substance spill planning and preparedness. The USCG and the EPA joined with the ADEC to use these ten regions for area planning instead of the federal planning divisions since this would facilitate unified planning for the State of Alaska and prove more practical as well (for example, the huge COTP Western Alaska planning zone is replaced by seven more manageable divisions). Because the State of Alaska is called a planning “region” under federal planning guidelines, and to avoid confusion with the other federal term, “area” as in “area contingency plans,” these ten subordinate planning regions of the state are called “subareas” in the context of the Unified Plan.

The Unified Plan contains information applicable to pollution response within the entire State of Alaska and meets the pollution response contingency planning requirements applicable to the federal and state governments. The plan provides broad policy guidance and describes the strategy for a coordinated federal, state and local response to a discharge, or substantial threat of discharge, of oil and/or a release of a hazardous substance within the boundaries of Alaska and its surrounding waters.

Under both federal and state law, the responsible party for an oil or hazardous substance incident is required to report the incident and mount a response effort to contain and cleanup the release. The federal and state governments mandate response plans for oil tank vessels and facilities that have stringent spill response requirements. If the responsible party fails to respond adequately or if no responsible party can be identified, then the federal and state governments will rely on the Unified Plan and the appropriate Subarea Contingency Plan for response protocols and guidance.

Whereas the Unified Plan contains general information for response efforts taking place anywhere in the State of Alaska, the Subarea Contingency Plan (SCP) concentrates on issues and provisions specific to its particular subarea. The Kodiak SCP focuses on the Kodiak region of the state. The boundaries of this subarea are described in the Background Section of this plan. The Kodiak SCP provides information specific to the area, including emergency response phone numbers, available response equipment and

other resources, specific response guidelines, and information on hazardous substance presence and sensitive areas protection.

Alaska State statute mandates a public review of all new plans, an annual departmental review of these plans, and another public review whenever the plans are significantly revised. For this plan, ADEC in conjunction with the Kodiak Island Borough offered a public review period of 46 days during which verbal and written comments were accepted. Public meetings were held in Kodiak and Anchorage. The Federal government does not require public review for any of its plans, though the USCG, as part of the Alaska unified planning process, participated in the public review process. Neither the Federal nor the State government maintains a formal approval process for these plans. The Unified Plan and the SCPs are presented to the Alaska State Emergency Response Commission and the Alaska Regional Response Team for review and comment. Acceptance of the plan by the USCG, the State of Alaska, and the Kodiak Island Borough constitutes approval of the plan.

Purpose and Objectives. The Kodiak Subarea Contingency Plan (KSCP) describes the strategy for coordinated federal, state, local and responsible party response to a discharge or substantial threat of discharge of oil or a release of a hazardous substance from a vessel or onshore facility operating within the boundaries of the Kodiak Subarea. This plan may also serve as a reference tool for spills originating outside the Kodiak Subarea.

This plan describes the respective roles of the state, federal and local agency personnel and the Responsible Party in the Incident Command System (ICS) and contains mechanisms for ensuring that locally available personnel and equipment resources are effectively utilized during a spill response. The KSCP shall be used regardless of whether or not there is a Responsible Party involved in the spill response. In a Responsible Party-led response, this plan will be used to guide agency oversight activities, and also as a reference document for environmental sensitivities and natural resource information. In instances where no Responsible Party can be located, or if the Responsible Party is unable or unwilling to lead a spill response, this plan shall be used to guide the response strategies and command structure employed by federal, state and local government agency personnel.

The KSCP and the Unified Plan shall serve as guides for reviewing vessel and facility response plans required by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 and by Alaska statute. The review for consistency with the KSCP and the Unified Plan shall ensure that facility and vessel contingency plans are consistent with the standards established in these plans with respect to the following issues: notification procedures and priorities; protection of environmentally, culturally and economically sensitive areas; incorporation of local emergency response personnel in the command structure; and response equipment and personnel (quantity and type) available within the Subarea. Vessel and facility contingency plans should reference the Kodiak SCP as a source of natural resource sensitivity data, community profiles, and response equipment lists, as well as the Unified Plan for ARRT-approved guidelines.

Scenarios. This plan contains four scenarios, which were developed to model effectiveness of the response strategies in this plan. The scenarios were developed based on the qualitative risk assessment summarized in the Background Section of this plan. The four scenarios address response to a maximum most probable discharge (log ship spill), worst case discharge (tanker spill), a typical discharge (fishing vessel spill) and an “orphan” spill (no Responsible Party). Planning for these four scenarios covers the expected range of spills likely to occur in the Kodiak Subarea based on a qualitative examination of local spill hazards and historical spill data.

(This page intentionally blank)