



ALASKA CLEAN WATER FUND STATE REVOLVING FUND (SRF) PRIORITY CRITERIA FOR SFY12 NON-POINT SOURCE PROJECTS

Alaska has established the following criteria to prioritize non-point source projects seeking funding from the Alaska Clean Water Fund, the Clean Water Act State Revolving Loan Fund. These criteria allow traditional and nontraditional non-point water quality projects (CWA Sec. 319) to be considered for funding. These criteria address and rank projects by their relative threats to water quality and local initiative. The results of the most recent 303(d) list priorities will be utilized for identifying water quality issues considered for the non-point source SRF ranking process.

<u>WATER QUALITY CONSIDERATIONS (only one)</u>	Assigned Points
PREVENTION	
This project's main emphasis is prevention of non-point source pollution in a:	
303 d listed High Priority Water	100
303 d listed Medium Priority Water	90
303 d listed Low Priority Water	80
Non-303 d listed Water	60
 RESTORATION	
The proposed project's goal is to restore water quality in a water body identified as impaired or polluted in the most recent 303d list. This project implements a TMDL or load allocation or otherwise addresses a water quality problem that has resulted in a water body designed as impaired in a:	
303 d listed High Priority Water	70
303 d listed Medium Priority Water	60
303 d listed Low Priority Water	50
 STEWARDSHIP	
The proposed project will improve or maintain water quality in a:	
303 d listed High Priority Water	50
303 d listed Medium Priority Water	40
303 d listed Low Priority Water	30
Non-303 d listed Water	20

Assigned
Points

LOCAL INITIATIVE CONSIDERATIONS (only one)

A TMDL, a corrective plan, or a 319 grant application has been approved.	25
A draft TMDL or corrective action plan has been developed, or a draft 319 grant application has been prepared.	20
An environmental review has been performed for the proposed project.	15
A feasibility study that demonstrates the need and costs for the project has been completed.	10
A draft feasibility study has been completed or monitoring for the project has begun	5
A feasibility study is proposed, or no action has been taken	0

FUNDING COORDINATION (both possible)

This project will utilize other federal or state funds.	10
This project will utilize local funds or local in-kind contributions.	5
Not yet determined	0

ABILITY TO REPAY (both possible)

A viable repayment source has been identified.	10
Financial instruments, ordinances, agreement, etc., are in place to assure repayment.	10
Not yet determined	0

NPS STRATEGY IDENTIFIED PRIORITIES (determined by ADEC)

Any storm water project.	40
Any petroleum contamination/restoration	30
Any community landfills	20
All other identified in NPSS	10